

# Probing light new physics at the LUXE experiment

**RAQUEL QUISHPE\*** on behalf of the LUXE collaboration


\*KIT – Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Invisibles'23 Workshop

31.08.23 - Göttingen, Germany



# Laser Und XFEL Experiment




Based at DESY and European XFEL (Eu.XFEL) in Hamburg and Schenefeld, Germany

9 countries  
26 institutes



membership of Russian institutes suspended

# Laser Und XFEL Experiment




- LUXE will collide Eu.XFEL electrons and bremsstrahlung photons with a high-intensity laser
- CDR done in 2021, TDR done in 2023, reached CD-1
- First data foreseen in 2026
- *Physics goals*: study strong-field QED and ALPs searches

# Strong-field QED (SFQED)

- QED is one of the most precisely tested theories in physics in the perturbative regime
- LUXE will probe QED in non-perturbative strong-field regime
- QED is predicted to become non-perturbative above the critical electric field, Schwinger limit

$$\mathcal{E}_{cr} = \frac{m_e^2 c^3}{e \hbar} \approx 1.32 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ V/m}$$

- Creation of  $e^+e^-$  pair from vacuum in constant field




# SFQED in the laboratory

- Existing fields orders of magnitude too small compared to  $\mathcal{E}_{cr}$
- But, non-linear quantum effects accessible in fields below  $\mathcal{E}_{cr}$  with relativistic probe particles, i.e. fields  $O(\mathcal{E}_{cr})$  in particle rest frame
- Can be reached with Lorentz boosted electric field

$$E^* = \gamma_e E (1 + \cos\theta) \text{ with } \gamma_e \approx 10^4$$

➔ *Use multi-GeV electrons and multi-TW laser*




[M. Marklund and J. Lundin, Eur. Phys. J. D 55 \(2009\) 319](#)

# Non-linear Compton scattering


In strong fields, electrons obtain larger effective mass

$$m_* = m_e \sqrt{1 + \xi^2}$$

- Compton edge shifts as a function of the laser intensity parameter  $\xi$
- Higher harmonics appear, i.e. interaction with  $n$  laser photons
- Non-linear Compton scattering has a classical limit, i.e. deviation between non-linear QED and non-linear classical Compton: quantum non-linearity parameter  $\chi$
- Parameters  $\xi$  and  $\chi$  determined by laser intensity and electron beam energy



16.5 GeV electron, 800 nm laser, 17.2° crossing angle



*Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* 230, 2445–2560 (2021)




# Breit-Wheeler pair production

- Dependency of positron emission probability as a function of laser intensity

Perturbative regime: power law  $\xi \ll 1: R_{e^+} \propto \xi^{2n} \propto I^n$

Non-perturbative regime  $\xi \gg 1: R_{e^+} \propto \chi_\gamma \exp\left(-\frac{8}{3\chi_\gamma}\right)$


- First experiment to measure Breit-Wheeler pair production with real photons*



*Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* 230, 2445–2560 (2021)


# Previous experiment in SFQED

- Predecessor: E144 experiment at SLAC in the 90s
  - Used 1 TW laser and 46.6 GeV electron beam
  - Reached  $\chi \sim 0.25$ ,  $\xi \sim 0.4$
  - Observed process  $e^- + n\gamma_L \rightarrow e^- + e^+ + e^-$
  - Observed start of  $\xi^{2n}$  power law, but not departure from it
- LUXE has a three orders of magnitude more powerful laser





# LUXE in SFQED parameter space



- LUXE will precisely map parameter space  $(\xi, \chi)$  in transition region
- Continuous data-taking with variable laser spot size (unique in LUXE)

# ALPs searches

## New physics (NP) production mechanisms





- *Secondary NP production:*

- Axion-like particles (ALPs) and scalar ( $X = a, \phi$ ) production through Primakoff mechanism with a displaced decay to 2 hard photons

$$\mathcal{L}_{a,\phi} = \frac{a}{4\Lambda_a} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\phi}{4\Lambda_\phi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

- ALP mass up to  $\mathcal{O}(1)\text{GeV}$




Photons produced in the e-laser collisions are freely propagating to collide with the nuclei of the material of the dump



# NP production mechanisms

- *Primary NP production:*

- NP is directly produced at the e-laser interaction region
  - $X$  has couplings to electrons only :  $e_{\bar{\nu}} \rightarrow e_{\bar{\nu}} + X$
  - $X$  has couplings to photons only:  $e_{\bar{\nu}} \rightarrow e_{\bar{\nu}} + \gamma^* \rightarrow e_{\bar{\nu}} + \gamma + X$
- mCP pairs direct production:  $\gamma(\gamma^*) \rightarrow \psi^+ + \psi^-$
- New particle mass limited to  $m_{X,\psi} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(100)$  keV




# LUXE experimental setups




Unique  
in LUXE

# LUXE laser parameters




- active medium: Ti:Sa
- wavelength (energy): 800 nm (1.55 eV)
- crossing angle:  $17.2^\circ$
- pulse length: 30 fs
- spot size:  $\geq 3 \mu\text{m}$
- power:
  - phase-0: 40 TW (JETI40, Jena or new)
  - phase-1: upgrade to 350 TW

# LUXE experimental area




- LUXE uses high-quality 16.5 GeV EuXFEL e-beam before undulators
- #electrons/bunch:  $1.5 \cdot 10^9$
- Repetition rate: 10 Hz
- Location at the annex for future second EuXFEL fan (~2030's+)
  - LUXE can be built and operated before that
- Extract 1 bunch (out of 2700 bunches) per XFEL train for LUXE
  - No impact on photon science program




# LUXE-NPOD project

- **NPOD: New Physics searches with an Optical Dump**
- Collide a beam of 16.5 GeV electrons with the laser
- The laser behaves as a thick medium, leading to the production of a large flux of hard photons  $O(\text{GeV})$
- Photons see the laser as a transparent medium and can reach the physical dump
- Lower background from gamma photons







**e-laser setup  
(Not in scale)**



[Phys. Rev. D \*\*106\*\*, 115034](#)


# Signal efficiency

- ALPs production within the first mm of the dump
- Boosted  $c\tau_{a/\phi}$  randomly drawn from  $\exp(-L/L_{a/\phi})$  distribution
- ALP decay inside the decay volume
- $E_\gamma > 0.5$  GeV
- No photon separation requirement yet
- Shorter decay volumes require smaller detector surface






# Expected results in phase-1



- Ongoing signal efficiency simulation studies
- LUXE phase-1 competitive with FASER2 or NA62-dump




[Phys. Rev. D \*\*106\*\*, 115034](#)

# LUXE-NPOD background


## ■ Types of background:

- Fake photons: misidentified neutrons
  - Charged particles: electrons, muons, hadrons
  - Real photons: from EM/hadronic interactions at the end of the dump or from meson decays in the volume
- Background mostly neutrons and photons
  - Softer background effects in phase-0 compared to phase-1
  - The expected results are obtained assuming zero background



# LUXE-NPOD background estimation



- Background estimated using GEANT4 simulations for phase-1
- First studies done with a *dummy detector* configuration (Tungsten beam dump,  $L_D = 1$  m,  $L_V = 2.5$  m,  $R_V = 1$  m)
- Photons seem too soft to be a source of background
- Neutrons statistics in  $E > 0.5$  GeV is extremely low
- Simulated  $10^{10}$  photons ( $\sim 2$  BXs), need many more for proper estimate
  - Computationally challenging
  - Ongoing GEANT4 simulation studies for different dump-detector geometry to reduce background



# LUXE-NPOD background estimation



- Ongoing new GEANT4 simulations including full LUXE setup
- Presence of low-energy particles due to backscattering and particles escaping through the sides of the dump
  - not spotted by the previous simplified experimental model
- New dump-detector design under study

(work in progress)





# LUXE-NPOD background estimation

- High number of neutrons with energy  $>0.5$  GeV seen for dump made of only W or Pb
- Number of neutrons decrease for W( $R = 20$  cm) + Pb ( $R = 50$ cm) dump



# LUXE-NPOD background estimation



- Shorter dump lengths would enhance the signal acceptance but also introduce more background



(1BX,  $R_W = 20\text{ cm}$ ,  $R_{Pb} = 50\text{ cm}$ ,  $R_V = 1\text{ m}$ )

# LUXE-NPOD background estimation

- No significant background coming from charged particles above 0.5 GeV
- Background can be handled with a magnet




(2BXs,  $R_V=1\text{m}$ )

# BSM detector requirements

- Signal efficiency
  - Photons shower separation ( $\sim 1$  cm)
- ALP invariant mass reconstruction
  - Good energy and vertex resolution
- Background suppression (photons and neutrons)
  - Vertex resolution (non-resonant photons)
  - Shower shape determination (neutrons)
  - Good time resolution ( $< 1$  ns) (neutrons)
- Ideal candidate: tracking calorimeter



[10.1016/j.cpc.2010.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.02.004)






# BSM detector proposal

- H1 lead/scintillating-fiber calorimeter:
  - One module currently tested at KIT
- Tile calorimeter:
  - e.g. Calice detector prototype
  - Currently studied at Mainz as a candidate for the SHADOWS calorimeter
- ALPIDE:
  - Silicon tracker
  - Same technology as the LUXE tracking detector or ALICE forward calorimeter



# Summary

- LUXE will explore QED in uncharted regime
- LUXE-NPOD acts as an optical dump allowing the production of light ALPs with large couplings
- LUXE phase-1 competitive with FASER2 or NA62-dump
- Data taking foreseen for 2026
- Exciting windows of opportunities and challenges ahead



[LUXE webpage](#)

[LUXE CDR](#)

[LUXE TDR](#)

[LUXE-NPOD](#)


Thanks!

# Backup

# DESY: CRITICAL DECISION (CD) PROCESS


CD-Level	Voraussetzungen	Voraussetzungen werden festgestellt durch
<b>CD 0:</b> <b>Mission Need</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The plan is presented in sufficient detail so that the scientific and strategic purpose as well as the resource requirements can be assessed</li> <li>It is clear how it relates to the DESY strategy</li> <li>In case there are constructions costs, they were estimated by BAU</li> <li>No decision yet about the allocation of resources</li> </ul>	Decision by Direkorate – after discussion in Klausur.
<b>CD 1:</b> <b>DESY-Roadmap</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project, time and resource planning available (specifically financial, personnel and space/rooms required) =&gt; resource loaded schedule</li> <li>Risk assessment available</li> <li>Future costs clear</li> <li>Vetting of resource estimates by relevant DESY technical groups (BAU, MKK, MVS, safety, IT, ...) available</li> <li>Project is generally recommended for inclusion in the overall resource planning (potentially to the disadvantage of other projects)</li> <li>Possibly external evaluation</li> </ul>	Decision by directorate after discussion in Klausur
<b>CD 2:</b> <b>Resource planning</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identification/Verification of Resources</li> </ul>	Decision of directorate following proposal for financing by strategic controlling and financial controlling
<b>CD 3:</b> <b>Approval of oversight committees</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Endorsement by Stiftungsrat and Wissenschaftlicher Rat</li> </ul>	Decision by SR/WR.
<b>CD 4:</b> <b>Project launch</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Release of Direkorate</li> </ul>	Strategic Controlling

# ALPs production photons spectra



phase-0	phase-1
$\tau_{pulse} = 25 fs$	$\tau_{pulse} = 120 fs$
$w_0 = 6.5 \mu m$	$w_0 = 10 \mu m$
$\xi = 2.4$	$\xi = 3.4$

For phase-1, photons per incoming electrons:  
 $\sim 3.5$  ( $E_\gamma > 0$  GeV)  
 $\sim 1.7$  ( $E_\gamma > 1$  GeV)




[arXiv:2107.13554](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.13554)

# Photons separations at detector surface


$m_X$ [MeV]	$\Lambda_X$ [GeV <sup>-1</sup> ]	< 20 mm [%]	< 40 mm [%]	< 50 mm [%]
50	$10^{-4}$	13	30	38
100	$10^{-5}$	8.4	17	22
150	$6 \times 10^{-6}$	5.2	11	13
200	$4 \times 10^{-6}$	3.8	7.6	9.7

# BSM detector proposal


- Detector requirements:
  - Good energy and vertex resolution
  - ALPs invariant mass reconstruction
  - Background suppression (photons and neutrons)
    - Neutron rejection with calorimeter shower and time of arrival
- phase-0:
  - reuse existing calorimeter, e.g. spaghetti calorimeter (SpaCal) from H1
    - lead/fiber(2.3:1)
    - 4x4x25cm<sup>3</sup> cells
    - energy resolution 7.5%/√E+2%
    - time resolution < 1ns
- phase-1:
  - Preshower and vetoes
  - Tracking calorimeter, e.g. high granularity calorimeter (HGCal)



[10.1016/j.cpc.2010.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.02.004)



from  
SpaCal





# Additional links

- SHADOWS Summary 2022:  
[https://indico.cern.ch/event/1137723/contributions/4773516/attachments/2404601/4152201/shadows\\_SP\\_SC\\_April2022\\_Lanfranchi.pdf](https://indico.cern.ch/event/1137723/contributions/4773516/attachments/2404601/4152201/shadows_SP_SC_April2022_Lanfranchi.pdf)
- ALPIDE detector at LUXE:  
[https://indico.cern.ch/event/882870/contributions/3720001/attachments/1974963/3286730/The\\_LUXE\\_G\\_Seminar.pdf](https://indico.cern.ch/event/882870/contributions/3720001/attachments/1974963/3286730/The_LUXE_G_Seminar.pdf)