

Commission Matters

EC&CC meeting, October 4-6, 2023




Photo by Guowen Sun

Silvina Ponce Dawson

DF, FCEN-UBA & IFIBA, UBA-CONICET

President Designate, IUPAP



As you already know, we have done a self-evaluation of IUPAP's organization and activities. When writing the report I've noticed various things about Commissions, some general (or Commission specific) matters that I wanted to discuss with you + errors or outdated information on the website that I ask you to have it corrected.

As you already know, we have done a self-evaluation of IUPAP's organization and activities. When writing the report I've noticed various things about Commissions, some general (or Commission specific) matters that I wanted to discuss with you + errors or outdated information on the website that I ask you to have it corrected.

For the latter (updates to the website), please look at the comments I made on the report, check for any other changes/updates, etc and send email explaining what needs to be changed to Francesca at communication@iupap.org

As you already know, we have done a self-evaluation of IUPAP's organization and activities. When writing the report I've noticed various things about Commissions, some general (or Commission specific) matters that I wanted to discuss with you + errors or outdated information on the website that I ask you to have it corrected.

For the latter (updates to the website), please look at the comments I made on the report, check for any other changes/updates, etc and send email explaining what needs to be changed to Francesca at communication@iupap.org

The other (general, some Commission specific) issues prompted me to write the questions/reflections I sent you asking your opinion to be discussed at this meeting (I received written comments from Young, Chair of C8).

As you already know, we have done a self-evaluation of IUPAP's organization and activities. When writing the report I've noticed various things about Commissions, some general (or Commission specific) matters that I wanted to discuss with you + errors or outdated information on the website that I ask you to have it corrected.

For the latter (updates to the website), please look at the comments I made on the report, check for any other changes/updates, etc and send email explaining what needs to be changed to Francesca at communication@iupap.org

The other (general, some Commission specific) issues prompted me to write the questions/reflections I sent you asking your opinion to be discussed at this meeting (I received written comments from Young, Chair of C8).

-Commission flagship conferences: shouldn't all commissions have one?

As you already know, we have done a self-evaluation of IUPAP's organization and activities. When writing the report I've noticed various things about Commissions, some general (or Commission specific) matters that I wanted to discuss with you + errors or outdated information on the website that I ask you to have it corrected.

For the latter (updates to the website), please look at the comments I made on the report, check for any other changes/updates, etc and send email explaining what needs to be changed to Francesca at communication@iupap.org

The other (general, some Commission specific) issues prompted me to write the questions/reflections I sent you asking your opinion to be discussed at this meeting (I received written comments from Young, Chair of C8).

-Commission flagship conferences: shouldn't all commissions have one?

-Awards: what about medals/awards for career achievements (not early career)?
Conditions according to IUPAP rules + announcement via IUPAP (and other means)

As you already know, we have done a self-evaluation of IUPAP's organization and activities. When writing the report I've noticed various things about Commissions, some general (or Commission specific) matters that I wanted to discuss with you + errors or outdated information on the website that I ask you to have it corrected.

For the latter (updates to the website), please look at the comments I made on the report, check for any other changes/updates, etc and send email explaining what needs to be changed to Francesca at communication@iupap.org

The other (general, some Commission specific) issues prompted me to write the questions/reflections I sent you asking your opinion to be discussed at this meeting (I received written comments from Young, Chair of C8).

-Commission flagship conferences: shouldn't all commissions have one?

-Awards: what about medals/awards for career achievements (not early career)? Conditions according to IUPAP rules + announcement via IUPAP (and other means)

-Overlap with other commissions: when to create new commissions? (e.g. soft matter and QS&T). When (and how) to merge commissions? (See comment about C10 in report). Also, conflicts with duplicate awards (ECSP in particular).

As you already know, we have done a self-evaluation of IUPAP's organization and activities. When writing the report I've noticed various things about Commissions, some general (or Commission specific) matters that I wanted to discuss with you + errors or outdated information on the website that I ask you to have it corrected.

For the latter (updates to the website), please look at the comments I made on the report, check for any other changes/updates, etc and send email explaining what needs to be changed to Francesca at communication@iupap.org

The other (general, some Commission specific) issues prompted me to write the questions/reflections I sent you asking your opinion to be discussed at this meeting (I received written comments from Young, Chair of C8).

-Commission flagship conferences: shouldn't all commissions have one?

-Awards: what about medals/awards for career achievements (not early career)? Conditions according to IUPAP rules + announcement via IUPAP (and other means)

-Overlap with other commissions: when to create new commissions? (e.g. soft matter and QS&T). When (and how) to merge commissions? (See comment about C10 in report). Also, conflicts with duplicate awards (ECSP in particular).

-Activities to liaise better with other commissions (Interdisciplinary award?; conference attached to GA; IUPAP prize?: think, e.g., of IMU and Fields medals)

As you already know, we have done a self-evaluation of IUPAP's organization and activities. When writing the report I've noticed various things about Commissions, some general (or Commission specific) matters that I wanted to discuss with you + errors or outdated information on the website that I ask you to have it corrected.

For the latter (updates to the website), please look at the comments I made on the report, check for any other changes/updates, etc and send email explaining what needs to be changed to Francesca at communication@iupap.org

The other (general, some Commission specific) issues prompted me to write the questions/reflections I sent you asking your opinion to be discussed at this meeting (I received written comments from Young, Chair of C8).

-Commission flagship conferences: shouldn't all commissions have one?

-Awards: what about medals/awards for career achievements (not early career)? Conditions according to IUPAP rules + announcement via IUPAP (and other means)

-Overlap with other commissions: when to create new commissions? (e.g. soft matter and QS&T). When (and how) to merge commissions? (See comment about C10 in report). Also, conflicts with duplicate awards (ECSP in particular).

-Activities to liaise better with other commissions (Interdisciplinary award?; conference attached to GA; IUPAP prize?: think, e.g., of IMU and Fields medals)

-Transversal issues: development, inclusion, industry, to some extent education (which is "two ways"). How could Commissions contribute to them?

Something else about flagship conferences: Commission chairs should check that the request for sponsorship is submitted by the deadline.

Something else about flagship conferences: Commission chairs should check that the request for sponsorship is submitted by the deadline.

Something else about awards: please check the diversity of the pool of candidates and extend the deadline if this is not satisfied to a certain extent

Something else about flagship conferences: Commission chairs should check that the request for sponsorship is submitted by the deadline.

Something else about awards: please check the diversity of the pool of candidates and extend the deadline if this is not satisfied to a certain extent

How can we prevent duplicate nominations (that yield duplicate awards to the same person)?

Something else about flagship conferences: Commission chairs should check that the request for sponsorship is submitted by the deadline.

Something else about awards: please check the diversity of the pool of candidates and extend the deadline if this is not satisfied to a certain extent

How can we prevent duplicate nominations (that yield duplicate awards to the same person)?

Follow up of interdisciplinary ECSP: what next?

Something else about flagship conferences: Commission chairs should check that the request for sponsorship is submitted by the deadline.

Something else about awards: please check the diversity of the pool of candidates and extend the deadline if this is not satisfied to a certain extent

How can we prevent duplicate nominations (that yield duplicate awards to the same person)?

Follow up of interdisciplinary ECSP: what next?

How should we proceed with the WGs on Soft Matter and QST?

Something else about flagship conferences: Commission chairs should check that the request for sponsorship is submitted by the deadline.

Something else about awards: please check the diversity of the pool of candidates and extend the deadline if this is not satisfied to a certain extent

How can we prevent duplicate nominations (that yield duplicate awards to the same person)?

Follow up of interdisciplinary ECSP: what next?

How should we proceed with the WGs on Soft Matter and QST?

Any other comments, suggestions?

Something else about flagship conferences: Commission chairs should check that the request for sponsorship is submitted by the deadline.

Something else about awards: please check the diversity of the pool of candidates and extend the deadline if this is not satisfied to a certain extent

How can we prevent duplicate nominations (that yield duplicate awards to the same person)?

Follow up of interdisciplinary ECSP: what next?

How should we proceed with the WGs on Soft Matter and QST?

Any other comments, suggestions?

Commission news and newsletters. Nobel Prize in Physics.

Something else about flagship conferences: Commission chairs should check that the request for sponsorship is submitted by the deadline.

Something else about awards: please check the diversity of the pool of candidates and extend the deadline if this is not satisfied to a certain extent

How can we prevent duplicate nominations (that yield duplicate awards to the same person)?

Follow up of interdisciplinary ECSP: what next?

How should we proceed with the WGs on Soft Matter and QST?

Any other comments, suggestions?

Commission news and newsletters. Nobel Prize in Physics.

Thank you!