



Prof. Robin Erbacher University of California, Davis Hadron Collider Physics Summer School Fermilab - August 2016

Lecture Outline

- A little bit of history of discoveries
- About the top quark
- Top quark pair production
- Single top quark electroweak production?
- Top quark mass
- Top quark properties
- Beested top quarks

These are summer school lectures, not the latest plots, but useful for teaching!

Searches for new physics in top

Ancient Greeks: What is the world made of?



"By Convention there is color,

by convention sweetness,

by convention bitterness,

but in reality there are atoms and space."

-Democritus (c. 585 BC)



Atom = Mushy Ball (c. 1900)

1894-1897: JJ Thomson discovers the electron

Study of "cathode rays": electric current in tubes at very low gas pressure ("glow discharge") Measurement of the electron mass: $m_{\rm e} \approx M_{\rm H}/1836$

"Could anything at first sight seem more impractical than a body which is so small that its mass is an insignificant fraction of the mass of an atom of hydrogen?" (J.J. Thomson)



- Electrically charged sphere
- Radius ~ 10⁻⁸ cm
- Positive electric charge
- Electrons with negative electric charge embedded in the sphere









1906: "...in recognition of the great merits of his theoretical and experimental investigations on the conduction of electricity by gases."

Rutherford's scattering experiment



Experiments progressed: new types of matter!



Fermilab: Bubble Chamber Photo

more and more mystery particles...



Electron-Proton Scattering Test of the Quark Idea





The Stanford Linear Accelerator Center

EndStation A: Beam of Electrons onto Target





The Stanford two-mile electron linear accelerator (SLAC)

The modern version of Rutherford's original experiment: resolving power ≈ wavelength associated with 20 GeV electron ≈10-15 cm

Electron – proton scattering using a 20 GeV electron beam from the Stanford two – mile Linear Accelerator (1968 – 69).

Three magnetic spectrometers to detect the scattered electron:

- 20 GeV spectrometer (to study elastic scattering e⁻ + p → e⁻ + p)
- 8 GeV spectrometer (to study inelastic scattering e⁻ + p → e⁻ + hadrons)
- 1.6 GeV spectrometer (to study extremely inelastic collisions)



Inelastic electron – proton collisions



Quarks are found!



1990 Nobel Prize in Physics: Quarks Revealed!



Structure Inside Protons and Neutrons

Quarks are found!

'Three Quarks for Muster Mark!'





NOBEL 1990 Nobel Prize in Physics: Quarks Revealed!



Structure Inside Protons and Neutrons

R scattering ratio...



more quarks predicted...



b quark discovery...

• 1976: Discovery of Upsilon at Fermilab

Contains a 5th quark: the b-quark

→ Structure of quark families suggested existence of a 6th quark: the top



Quark discoveries

Quarks (u,d,s) were postulated in 1964
 by Gell-Mann and Zweig, discovered in 1968

• The charm quark c was discovered in 1974 by Brookhaven and SLAC

The bottom b quark was discovered
 In 1977 at Fermilab

The bottom quark needed a partner... => top!



search for the top was on!

- 1976: Discovery of Upsilon (Fermilab)
 - Contains a 5th quark the b-quark
 - From family structure of SM
 - Expect a 6th quark race to find it
- Petra (e+e-) at DESY, Hamburg, m_t > 23.3 GeV (1984)
- Tristan (e+e-) in Japan: m_t > 30.2 GeV (late 1980s)
- UA1@SPS at CERN: m_t > 44 GeV (1988)
- LEP (e+e-) at CERN: m_t > 45.8 GeV (1990)
- UA2@SPS: m_t > 69 GeV

Indirect constraints on top quark



CLIMBING THE WORLD'S 14 HIGHEST PEAKS NO SHORTCUTS TO THE TOP



ED VIESTURS WITH DAVID ROBERTS

search for the top was on!

- 1984/85: Tevatron collider commissioned and dedicated
- October 1985: First collisions recorded by CDF
 - DØ: still in construction
- 1987: CDF Run-0
- 1992: First collisions by DØ
- Run I (1.8 TeV): 1992-1996
 - 1995: Discovery of the top quark!
 - In total ~120pb⁻¹ per experiment
 - DØ: more focused on calorimetry
 - CDF: more focused on tracking



FERMILAB'S ACCELERATOR CHAIN





Fermilab's Tevatron



Eureka!



Physicists Discover Top Quark

News Release - March 2, 1995

PHYSICISTS DISCOVER TOP QUARK

Batavia, IL--Physicists at the Department of Energy's Fermi Natic subatomic particle called the top quark, the last undiscovered quas sought the top quark since the discovery of the bottom quark at Fe <u>of the structure of matter</u>.



CDF AND DØ RESULTS

HE RESULTS FROM THE TWO COLLABORATIONS were remarkably similar. CDF found 6 dilepton events with a background of 1.3; 21 single-lepton events in which 27 cases of a biguark tag by the vertex detector (with 6.7 background tags expected); and 22 single-lepton events with 23 cases of a b tag through leptonic decay (with 15.4 background tags expected). DØ found 3 dilepton events (0.65 background events); 8 single-lepton events with topological tagging (1.9 background events); and 6 single-lepton events with b-to-lepton tags (1.2 background events). A particularly striking example of a dilepton event with very energetic electron, muon, and missing E_{τ} (due to the neutrinos), plus two jets, is shown below from the DØ data. The plot shows the detector unfolded on to a plane, with the energy of the various objects indicated by the height of the bars. This event has a very low probability to be explained by any known background. The probability that background fluctuations could explain the observed signal was one-in-a-million for CDF and two-in-a-million for DØ-sufficiently solid that each experiment was able to claim the observation of the top independently.





by the need to identify the correct combination of jets with parent quarks in the decay and to accommodate the tendency of the strong interaction to generate additional jets. The two experiments obtained consistent results for this

March 2nd, 1995



Discovery is Exciting!









Periodic Table of the Particles

5 orders of magnitude!

 needed as isospin partner of bottom quark

 discovered in 1995 by CDF and DØ: m_{top} ~ gold atom

Top Quark is now standard!

- large coupling to Higgs boson ~ 1: important role in electroweak symmetry breaking?
- short lifetime: τ ~ 5 · 10⁻²⁵s ≪ Λ⁻¹_{QCD}:
 decays before fragmenting
 → observe "naked" quark

Tevatron became the only place to study top through Run I and most of Run 2...



Flagship program

Top Quarks are one of the most sexy things to study...









D0 Detector







Top Event Decays

W helicity (V-A)
Branching ratios
Top to charged higgs
Top sample (W+HF)
FCNC

Top Quark Production

Mechanism

w+

b

- Top Pair Cross Section
- Ewk Production (single top)
- Forward-backward asymmetry
- Resonances decaying to top
- stop or t' production

р

sample of many things to study!



h

M-

q

ā

D

- Charge of Top Quark
 - Mt Mtbar & CPT



PRL 74, 2632 (1995) PRL 74, 2626 (1995)

handful of events



1995, CDF and DØ experiments, Fermilab

Tevatron Run 2

1000s of events



Then in 2010... enter the LHC!






The Compact Muon Solenoid



Physics Object Reconstruction



Individual objects are followed through subdetectors: CMS Particle flow!
<u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/1194487/</u> <u>files/PFT-09-001-pas.pdf</u>





Tevatron complex shut down after 26 years of successful operation.

b. 10-13-85 d. 09-30-11



First 13 TeV Collisions!







~15%

How is Top Produced?



One top pair each 10^{10} inelastic collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

LHC (13 TeV): Top Pair Production



strong pair production



How is Top Produced?



One top pair each 10⁸ inelastic collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

How is Top Produced?

Actually things can get more complicated...











How else is top produced? New Resonance **Production?**



47





How does Top decay?



Dilepton Decay Mode





Event selection:

- •2 leptons (e,µ)
- •MET (2v)
- •b-jets

Dilepton Decay Mode



Main Backgrounds

- •Z + jets
- •single top
- dibosons
- •QCD "fakes"



Lepton+Jets Decays





Event selection:

- I lepton (e or μ)
- •MET (Iv)
- •b-jets
- •2 jets



<u>Main Backgrounds</u>

- •W + jets
- •single top
- dibosons
- •Z + jets
- •QCD "fakes"





g jet let

Main Backgrounds

•QCD: light quark jets

Pros and cons by final state channel:



- fairly good branching ratio
- decent S/B ratio
- one v so can fully reconstruct t-tbar system



- smallest branching ratio, but...
- highest S/B ratio
- $2v \rightarrow$ reconstruction of t-tbar system ambiguous



- highest branching ratio, but...
- lowest S/B ratio
- QCD backgrounds difficult but dominant
- combinatorics of t-tbar reconstruction complex



lepton plus jets

multi-variate b-tagging at LHC



- CSVv2 (top pair selection):
- neural network with inputs from "inclusive vertex finder"
- tight, med, loose working pts

"Tagging" b-quark jets

cMVAv2 (top pair selection):

- boosted decision tree (BDT)
- jet probability and soft lep tags





Top Production Cross Section

What is a cross section?

differential cross section $d\sigma/d\Omega$: Probability of a scattered particle in a given quantum state per unit solid angle $d\Omega$



Geiger and Rutherford

integrated cross section: $\sigma = \int [d\sigma/d\Omega] d\Omega$



Cross section calculation



How do we measure the cross section?

$$\sigma(tt) = \frac{N_{events} - N_{background}}{\mathcal{L}uminosity * \epsilon}$$

Why measure the Top Pair Production Cross Section:

- As QCD predicts?
- Only SM top?
- By heavy particles?



counting experiment



t-tbar!



How do we measure the cross section?







- N_{loose} and N_{tight}: signal datasets
- Composition independent QCD multi-jet dataset (e.g. low MET sideband)
- Ewent from W+jets MC simulation, normalized to data
- Solve for N_{QCD} and $N_{W+ttbar}$
- Determine multi-jet QCD entirely from data!



nultijets

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 8.13^{+1.02}_{-0.90}$$
 (stat+syst+lumi) pb

n

Primary vts

Number of b-tagged jets

How do we measure the cross section?

Multivariate techniques using event topologies



How do we measure the cross section?

menu of uncertainties at the LHC

\sqrt{s}		$7\mathrm{TeV}$			8 TeV	
Uncertainty (inclusive $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$)	${\Delta \epsilon_{e\mu} / \epsilon_{e\mu} \over (\%)}$	${\Delta C_b/C_b \over (\%)}$	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}}\ (\%) \end{array}$	${\Delta \epsilon_{e\mu}/\epsilon_{e\mu} \over (\%)}$	${\Delta C_b/C_b \over (\%)}$	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta \sigma_{t ar{t}} / \sigma_{t ar{t}} \ (\%) \end{array}$
Data statistics			1.69			0.71
$t\bar{t}$ modelling	0.71	-0.72	1.43	0.65	-0.57	1.22
Parton distribution functions	1.03	-	1.04	1.12	-	1.13
QCD scale choice	0.30	-	0.30	0.30	-	0.30
Single-top modelling	-	-	0.34	-	-	0.42
Single-top/ $t\bar{t}$ interference	-	-	0.22	-	-	0.15
Single-top Wt cross-section	-	-	0.72	-	-	0.69
Diboson modelling	-	-	0.12	-	-	0.13
Diboson cross-sections	-	-	0.03	-	-	0.03
Z+jets extrapolation	-	-	0.05	-	-	0.02
Electron energy scale/resolution	0.19	-0.00	0.22	0.46	0.02	0.51
Electron identification	0.12	0.00	0.13	0.36	0.00	0.41
Muon momentum scale/resolution	0.12	0.00	0.14	0.01	0.01	0.02
Muon identification	0.27	0.00	0.30	0.38	0.00	0.42
Lepton isolation	0.74	-	0.74	0.37	-	0.37
Lepton trigger	0.15	-0.02	0.19	0.15	0.00	0.16
Jet energy scale	0.22	0.06	0.27	0.47	0.07	0.52
Jet energy resolution	-0.16	0.08	0.30	-0.36	0.05	0.51
Jet reconstruction/vertex fraction	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.03
b-tagging	-	0.18	0.41	-	0.14	0.40
Misidentified leptons	-	-	0.41	-	-	0.34
Analysis systematics $(\sigma_{t\bar{t}})$	1.56	0.75	2.27	1.66	0.59	2.26
Integrated luminosity	-	-	1.98	-	-	3.10
LHC beam energy	-	-	1.79	-	-	1.72
Total uncertainty $(\sigma_{t\bar{t}})$	1.56	0.75	3.89	1.66	0.59	4.27

All channels measured: look for the unexpected!

ATLAS & CMS 8TeV



ATLAS & CMS 13TeV



Measurement precision now comparable to theory

Four different energies (CMS)



Tevatron and LHC results consistent with NNLO+NNLL over a large range of CM energies





Differential Top Cross Sections: sensitive to new physics on the tails...

Another time...

Electroweak Single Top Production
















Combined up to 8 different analysis channels



● 上+jets selection:



80



Combined up to 12 different analysis channels



Single Top Discovered!



Single Top Discovered!







Full program of single top studies



W

CMS 13

TeV t-

channel



- 2015: s-channel "observed" at the Tevatron 5σ (t-channel a while ago)
 LHC: recently observed t-channel and
 - t-W, getting closer to s-channel!





Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 152003 (2015)

Down to smaller cross sections: tt+V



Down to smaller cross sections: tt+V



$TT \rightarrow tH+X$ and 4-top production

leading TT production diagram



ATLAS-CONF-2016-013

• Many final states: I I search channels!

4 tops (SM and BSM)



$TT \rightarrow tH + X$ and 4-top production

leading TT production diagram



ATLAS-CONF-2016-013

- Many final states: I I search channels!
- (a) (b) $Z^{(1,1)}$ (c)

4 tops (SM and BSM)

- Search for VLQ pair production TT decays to tH and bW, tH, tZ
- Final state also sensitive to 4-top production in the SM and BSM models
- Compositeness, RS extra dimensions, colored scalars, UED.



- Uses jet re-clustering for the first time in ATLAS exotics searches!
- Small-R (anti-kT 0.4) jets surviving overlap removal are input to large-R (anti-kT 1.0) jet re-clustering, which is then trimmed
- Large-R jets used for hadronic top and H→bb candidates: p_T >300 GeV, $|\eta|$ <2.0, and reclustered jet mass >100 GeV.

$TT \rightarrow tH+X$ and 4-top production



- No significant excesses compared to background found in any channel
- Left: observed limit on T quark mass in BR plane of tH, bW.
- Right: UED/RPP model cross section limits shown as a function of m_{KK} for the symmetric case (ξ =1) assuming Tier (1,1) production alone.

Top Mass Measurements














































Consistency of the Standard Model





Тор Quark Mass Stability of the EW vacuum is an important property of the SM • Measurements of the top mass and Higgs mass for the first time allow us to infer properties of the vacuum we live in! Will our universe end in a 'big slurp'? nbcnews.com



 $M_h > 129.6 \,\mathrm{GeV} + 2.0(M_t - 173.34 \,\mathrm{GeV}) - 0.5 \,\mathrm{GeV} \,\frac{\alpha_3(M_Z) - 0.1184}{0.0007} \pm 0.3 \,\mathrm{GeV}$

10

- A fine-tuned situation: vacuum on the verge of being either stable or unstable.
 ~I-2 GeV in either mass could tip the scales. (But new physics could possibly change this scenario.)
- What mass are we measuring?? Pole mass or MC mass?

Indirect constraints on top quark



Evolution of Top Mass Measurements



C.~Quigg, Phys. Today 50, 20 (May, 1997); extended version circulated as arXiv:hep-ph/9704332, and private communication.

- Important EWK parameter
- Key role in BSM physics models
- Constrains the Higgs mass
- Heavy: Unexpected role in EWSB?

Challenges: combinatorics, b-tagging efficiencies, jet energy scale.

Solutions: sophisticated analyses, in-situ W→jj calibration



What a theorist sees...





What an experimentalist sees

- p_T leptons
- E_T jets
- missing E_T
- b-tags

Template: measure most quantities in an event and reconstruct the mass



difficult combinatorics:



$$\begin{split} &\chi^{2} \ = \ \Sigma_{i=\ell,4jets} \frac{(p_{T}^{i,fit} - p_{T}^{i,meas})^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} + \Sigma_{j=x,y} \frac{(U_{j}^{fit} - U_{j}^{meas})^{2}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}} \\ &+ \ \frac{(M_{jj} - M_{W})^{2}}{\Gamma_{W}^{2}} + \frac{(M_{\ell\nu} - M_{W})^{2}}{\Gamma_{W}^{2}} + \frac{(M_{bjj} - m_{t}^{reco})^{2}}{\Gamma_{t}^{2}} + \frac{(M_{b\ell\nu} - m_{t}^{reco})^{2}}{\Gamma_{t}^{2}} \end{split}$$

early Tevatron

spring 2005

Template: measure most quantities in an event and reconstruct the mass

350

350

400



Better sensitivity by splitting in S/B bins, in this case, number of b-tags 112

Template: one of the largest systematic uncertainties: Jet energy scale (JES)



JES calibrations are complicated!

Quark/gluon produced from p-p (ppbar) interaction.

Fragmentation into hadrons.

Jet clustering algorithm (adds towers inside cone).

Fraction of energy is outside of cone.

Underlying event contributes to energy inside of cone.

 \Rightarrow Need to get original parton energy!

Template: one of the largest systematic uncertainties: Jet energy scale (JES)

Creative solution: fit for the JES using known W mass peak



in-situ JES calibration with $W \rightarrow jj$



same data: same JES, reduced systematics



ATLAS 3D in-situ calibration:



- 3D template fit in l+jets
- Reconstruct the top pairs using kinematic likelihood fit to select combination of assignments that best fits ttbar hypothesis

fit $W \rightarrow jj$ JES and ratio b/q JES



LHC JEC/JES Uncertainties



Tevatron combination

<4% relative

uncertainty

	July 2014	(* pr	(* preliminary)	
CDF-I dilepton	•	167.40 ±11.41	(±10.30 ± 4.90)	
DØ-I dilepton	•	168.40 ±12.82	2 (±12.30 ± 3.60)	
CDF-II dilepton *		170.80 ±3.26	(±1.83 ± 2.69)	
DØ-II dilepton		174.00 ±2.80	(±2.36 ± 1.49)	
CDF-I lepton+jets		176.10 ±7.36	(±5.10 ± 5.30)	
DØ-I lepton+jets		180.10 ±5.31	(±3.90 ± 3.60)	
CDF-II lepton+jets	•••	172.85 ±1.12	(±0.52 ± 0.98)	
DØ-II lepton+jets	•	174.98 ±0.76	(±0.41± 0.63)	
CDF-I alljets	-	186.00 ±11.51	(±10.00 ± 5.70)	
CDF-II alljets *		175.07 ±1.95	(±1.19 ± 1.55)	
CDF-II track		166.90 ±9.43	(±9.00 ± 2.82)	
CDF-II MET+Jets		173.93 ±1.85	(±1.26 ± 1.36)	
Tevatron combination '	•	174.34 ±0.64	(±0.37 ± 0.52) (± stat ± syst)	
		χ²/dof = 10	.8/11 (46%)	
150 160	170 1 M _t (GeV/c	80 190 c ²)	200	

Mass of the Top Quark

arXiv: 1608.01881

Tevatron combination

<4% relative

uncertainty

Mass of the Top Quark **July 2014** (* preliminary) CDF-I dilepton $167.40 \pm 11.41 (\pm 10.30 \pm 4.90)$ DØ-I dilepton $168.40 \pm 12.82 (\pm 12.30 \pm 3.60)$ **CDF-II** dilepton * $170.80 \pm 3.26 (\pm 1.83 \pm 2.69)$ DØ-II dilepton 174.00 ±2.80 (±2.36 ± 1.49) CDF-I lepton+jets $176.10 \pm 7.36 (\pm 5.10 \pm 5.30)$ DØ-I lepton+jets $180.10 \pm 5.31 (\pm 3.90 \pm 3.60)$ CDF-II lepton+jets $172.85 \pm 1.12 (\pm 0.52 \pm 0.98)$ DØ-II lepton+jets $174.98 \pm 0.76 (\pm 0.41 \pm 0.63)$ **CDF-I** alljets 186.00 ±11.51 (±10.00 ± 5.70) CDF-II alljets * 175.07 ± 1.95 (±1.19 ± 1.55) CDF-II track $166.90 \pm 9.43 (\pm 9.00 \pm 2.82)$ **CDF-II MET+Jets** 173.93 ± 1.85 (±1.26 ± 1.36) Tevatron combination * 174.34 ±0.64 (±0.37 ± 0.52) $(\pm stat \pm syst)$ χ^2 /dof = 10.8/11 (46%) 150 160 170 180 190 200 M_{t} (GeV/c²)

goal was <1 GeV

Tevatron combination



<4% relative uncertainty

ATLAS and CMS combined: direct measurements

> <3% relative uncertainty



LHC and Tevatron results with nearly comparable precision of 3-4 permille (0.5 GeV) LHC top mass systematically limited: MC modelling, (b)JES Template/Matrix element methods \rightarrow Monte Carlo top mass parameter

Since LHC is a top quark factory, it's all about controlling systematics



new approaches with complementary systematics can constrain combined systematics

121



New Ideas: b-lifetime



$$L_{xy} = \gamma_b \beta_B \tau_B \approx 0.4 \cdot \frac{m_t}{m_B} \beta_B \tau_B$$

First used in CDF, systematics complementary (no jets). L_{xy} distribution gives M_{top} .

Vacuum Stability



150 MeV $\delta(M_H) \sim 100$ MeV $\delta(M_t)$

Are we measuring the pole mass?



Compare precise σ_{tt} for different m_t to NNLO prediction ($\alpha_{s(PDG)}$).

What Mt do we measure?

Normally, "MC" mass (uncertain hadronic activity)

Тор

Quark

Mass



Idea: "Endpoints" of transverse distributions:

- Can fit to shapes independent of MC/theory
- Very sensitive to M_{top}
- CMS: fit to M_{T2} , M_{WT} , $M_{b\ell}$

Pole mass vs Monte-Carlo mass measurements





Direct top mass measurements:

- Monte-Carlo mass mt^{MC}
- precision 0.5 GeV

Expect mt MC - mtpole ~ 1 GeV

- → Calibrate m_t ^{MC}
- → Indirect measurements of m_t^{pole}: compatible with measured m_t ^{MC} within precision of ±2 GeV



What's next? Precision! (HL-LHC, ILC)





Linear collider threshold scans



Snowmass top ILC white paper



Analytical theory predictions. Expected precision < 100 MeV.

New or Anomalous Top Production

- Looking for anomalies in top properties or signs of new physics in the sample:
 - Top production asymmetry A_{fb}
 - $X \rightarrow tt$, most recently in all-hadronic!



BOOSTED TOPQUARKS and searches for new physics at 13 TeV





Little Hierarchy Problem: Naturalness



Little Hierarchy Problem: Naturalness



Little Hierarchy Problem: Naturalness

BSM?

Ζ

m_{Higgs} 126 GeV

If fine tuning <=10%: Restrictions: $\Lambda_{quarks} \sim < 2 \text{ TeV}$ $\Lambda_{gauge} \sim < 5 \text{ TeV}$




M. Luty, Snowmass BNL energy frontier workshop 2013



Searches with Top



Searches with Top

~5 orders of magnitude!

Searches with Top



~5 orders of magnitude!



- Top quark is only fermion with a mass of order the EWK scale.
- Large mass suggests it may couple to physics beyond the SM.



- Top BSM searches span many groups and categories.
- [B2G = Beyond 2nd Generation (Physics Analysis Group)]

Top Quark Reconstruction



Traditionally, decay products from the top quark are clearly separated due to the large mass of the top quark and W boson...

Challenge of Boosted Tops

However, these heavy masses are trivial under ~TeV scale boost





 $\Delta R: separation in \eta-\phi$ $\Delta R \sim 2m/p_T$



 Searches at different mass ranges need different strategies (eg: X→ttbar)



- Searches at different mass ranges need different strategies (eg: X→ttbar)
- Low-mass searches (<~ITeV)
- Decay products well-separated



- Searches at different mass ranges need different strategies (eg: X→ttbar)
- Low-mass searches (<~ITeV)
 - Decay products well-separated
 - Standard top reco used
- High-mass searches (>~2TeV)
 - Boosted tops, collimated decays



- Searches at different mass ranges need different strategies (eg: X→ttbar)
- Low-mass searches (<~ITeV)
 - Decay products well-separated
 - Standard top reco used
- High-mass searches (>~2TeV)
 - Boosted tops, collimated decays
 - Special reco algorithms needed:
 - Jet substructure!



- Intermediate mass range
 - Partially merged, mix of techniques

"Fat" Jets

- Choose large jet size for reconstruction to catch all decay products.
- ATLAS & CMS have studied R=0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.5.
- Use specific algorithms to identify the collimated decay products within this large-R jet. (C-A jets)



"Fat" Jets

- Choose large jet size for reconstruction to catch all decay products.
- ATLAS & CMS have studied R=0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.5.
- Use specific algorithms to identify the collimated decay products within this large-R jet. (C-A jets)



A large amount of work is ongoing in ATLAS and CMS on "tagging" boosted tops, W/Z ("V-tags"), boosted Higgs. Stay tuned....

"Fat" Jets

- Choose large jet size for reconstruction to catch all decay products.
- ATLAS & CMS have studied R=0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.5.
- Use specific algorithms to identify the collimated decay products within this large-R jet. (C-A jets)



A large amount of work is ongoing in ATLAS and CMS on "tagging" boosted tops, W/Z ("V-tags"), boosted Higgs. Stay tuned....

Boosted top references: ATLAS-CONF-2012-065 ATLAS-CONF-2013-084

CMS-PAS-JME-10-013 <u>CMS-PAS-JME-13-007</u> <u>CMS-PAS-JME-15-002</u>



 lepton and b-jet from boosted top highly collimated: lose isolation efficiency.



 lepton and b-jet from boosted top highly collimated: lose isolation efficiency.



- lepton and b-jet from boosted top highly collimated: lose isolation efficiency.
- b-jet lepton neutrino Boost ΔR ~ 2m / p efficiency [%] **ATLAS** Simulation Electron channel ∖s = 7 TeV Muon channel 25 20 15 2 10 5 0^L 1000 1500 500 2000 Z' mass [GeV]
- But even boosted, leptons from tops have larger separation than those from light quark jets.

- lepton and b-jet from boosted top highly collimated: lose isolation efficiency.
- But even boosted, leptons from tops have larger separation than those from light quark jets.



- lepton and b-jet from boosted top highly collimated: lose isolation efficiency.
- b-jet lepton neutrino Boost ΔR ~ 2m / p efficiency [%] **ATLAS** Simulation Electron channe ∖s = 7 TeV Muon channe 25 20 15 10 0^L 1000 1500 500 2000 Z' mass [GeV] b-jet
- But even boosted, leptons from tops have larger separation than those from light quark jets.
- Loss in efficiency can be recovered in part: variable p_T-dependent cone size, "mini isolation", ...







Candidate Top Quark Jets



Candidate Top Quark Jets



Substructure is everywhere



[¢]model-independent

Substructure is everywhere



Almost all CMS B2G searches utilizing substructure tools

Boosted Object Reconstruction



Many new pieces have been added to our boost and substructure chest, and we are beginning to really see bigger solutions.

Boosted differential top pair xs

Anything different at high pT?

- pT>300, trimmed large-R (1.0) jets
- m_{jet} > 100 GeV, substructure selection
- largest jet is hadronic top candidate



ATLAS: Phys. Rev. D 93, 032009 (2016)

CMS: arXiv:1605.00116

see talks: M. Nagrini, L. Skinnari



- 13-29% uncertainty, large-R JES dominates
- parton-level result relies on MC: larger systematics
- same trend as resolved analysis:

ATLAS-CONF-2015-065

Boosted top pair charge asymmetry







 $A_{c} = \frac{N(\Delta|y|>0) - N(\Delta|y|<0)}{N(\Delta|y|>0) + N(\Delta|y|<0)}$ $\Delta|y| = |y_{t}| - |y_{\bar{t}}|$

- tt production gives charge asymmetry at NLO due to interference: qq v. gg
- LHC Tevatron: complementary for searches for new physics





Physics Letters B (2016), Vol. 756, pp. 52-71

Boosted top pair charge asymmetry



ATLAS+CMS Preliminary LHC <i>top</i> WG	Vs = 8 TeV Sept 2015
tī asymmetry	
ATLAS I+jets H-= H arXiv:1509.02358	$0.009 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.005$
CMS I+jets template	$0.003 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.003$
CMS I+jets H • H arXiv:1507.03119	$0.001 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.004$
Theory (NLO+EW) PRD 66, 034026 (2012)	0.0111 ± 0.0004
ATLAS I+jets boosted	$0.043 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.026$
ATLAS-CONF-2015-048	
Theory (NLO+EW) JHEP 1201 (2012) 063	0.0160 ± 0.0004
-0.05 0	0.05
June 2016 A _C	

- differential distributions sensitive to new physics, such as axi-gluons, especially at high mtt
- boosted: m_{tt} >0.75 TeV



Physics Letters B (2016), Vol. 756, pp. 52-71

Massive resonances decaying to boosted top pairs

Resonances decaying to boosted top



<u>top-tagged jet</u>
soft drop jet mass [110, 210] GeV

• Nsubjettiness $\tau_{32} < 0.69$





Resonances decaying to boosted top



vector-like quarks

Is there a 4th Generation?



Is there a 4th Generation?



• A very compelling SM extension, but simplest models have been excluded directly or indirectly (eg- Higgs cross section).


- A very compelling SM extension, but simplest models have been excluded directly or indirectly (eg- Higgs cross section).
- Elaborate models are still alive and are popular topics:



- A very compelling SM extension, but simplest models have been excluded directly or indirectly (eg- Higgs cross section).
- Elaborate models are still alive and are popular topics:
- 2 Higgs doublet models, some predicting heavy top partners



- A very compelling SM extension, but simplest models have been excluded directly or indirectly (eg- Higgs cross section).
- Elaborate models are still alive and are popular topics:
- 2 Higgs doublet models, some predicting heavy top partners
- vector-like top and bottom quark partners or exotic top partners with different charge



- A very compelling SM extension, but simplest models have been excluded directly or indirectly (eg- Higgs cross section).
- Elaborate models are still alive and are popular topics:
- 2 Higgs doublet models, some predicting heavy top partners
- vector-like top and bottom quark partners or exotic top partners with different charge
- non-SM 4th gen can enhance CP violation, and heavy v is DM candidate



- A very compelling SM extension, but simplest models have been excluded directly or indirectly (eg- Higgs cross section).
- Elaborate models are still alive and are popular topics:
- 2 Higgs doublet models, some predicting heavy top partners
- vector-like top and bottom quark partners or exotic top partners with different charge
- non-SM 4th gen can enhance CP violation, and heavy v is DM candidate
- if CKM is diagonal, $t' \rightarrow Wb$ and $b' \rightarrow tW$ due to GIM mechanism

- Not your uncle's 4th generation! L- and R-handed components transform identically under SU(2) weak isospin gauge symmetry
 - don't enhance Higgs prod, unlike 4th gen.
 - appear in Little Higgs & Extra Dimensions
 - cancel quadratic divergences from loops



- Not your uncle's 4th generation! L- and R-handed components transform identically under SU(2) weak isospin gauge symmetry
 - don't enhance Higgs prod, unlike 4th gen.
 - appear in Little Higgs & Extra Dimensions
 - cancel quadratic divergences from loops



- Not your uncle's 4th generation! L- and R-handed components transform identically under SU(2) weak isospin gauge symmetry
 - don't enhance Higgs prod, unlike 4th gen.
 - appear in Little Higgs & Extra Dimensions
 - cancel quadratic divergences from loops
- Can have same charge as b, t (B,T) or exotic charge (X_{5/3} or Y_{-4/3}).
- Can be isospin singlet, doublet, triplet
- Interact with 3rd gen (naturalness): mixing proportional to SM quark mass. Light quark coupling sometimes enhanced.



- Not your uncle's 4th generation! L- and R-handed components transform identically under SU(2) weak isospin gauge symmetry
 - don't enhance Higgs prod, unlike 4th gen.
 - appear in Little Higgs & Extra Dimensions
 - cancel quadratic divergences from loops
- Can have same charge as b, t (B,T) or exotic charge (X_{5/3} or Y_{-4/3}).
- Can be isospin singlet, doublet, triplet
- Interact with 3rd gen (naturalness): mixing proportional to SM quark mass. Light quark coupling sometimes enhanced.
- Charged and neutral decay, branching depends on mass and model.
- Pair production is mediated by the strong interaction
- Single production can be more pronounced at high masses



VLQs can have CC and NC decays: the branching ratios are constrained by the relation:

BR(Wb)+BR(tZ)+BR(tH)=I





3 varying branching ratios describe the triangle

Partner Quark Topologies

- Many distinct event topologies to consider:
- $B' \rightarrow tW, bZ, bH$
- $T' \rightarrow bW, tZ, tH$



- Leptons, b-jets, (boosted) top, (boosted) W/Z, boosted H are all possible final states.
- Use standard (threshold) identification, and use boosted b-tag and V-tag algorithms as well.
- Set limits at 100% BR and also scan over all possible fractions.

VLQ example: Partial compositeness



single VLQ Searches (CMS)

Vector-like quark single production



... with more coming soon

single VLQ Searches (CMS)

CMS is searching for single production of VLQs for the first time in Run 2 in many channels...



Vector-like quark single production

... with more coming soon

single VLQ Searches (CMS) New: Search for T, B in final states with Z boson



- B \rightarrow 2 μ + Ib-jet
- $B \rightarrow 2e + Ib$ -jet

2.32 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) CMS Preliminary stung Events Background estimation Observed Tb \rightarrow tZb (M=1TeV, LH) 2lep + 1W-jet + 1b-jet 10 10 2 3 (1.1 2.0 3 (1.1 2.0 2.0 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 m_{Z.ton} [GeV] **CMS** Preliminary 2.32 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) (Ma) 1.8 1.4 1.2 0.8 $T_{I,H}b \rightarrow tZb, BR(tZ)=0.25$ 0.6 0.4 Observed ± 1σ Expected 0.2 Expected ± 2 σ Expected

interpretations: singlet T, doublet T, singlet B, $Z' \rightarrow tT$ production



- I didn't have time to do justice to the many and varied topics in top physics. Many "Top"-ics not covered!
- Measurements of top properties are becoming precise: top spin correlations, W helicity, t-tbar mass difference.
- If there is no new physics found at 13 TeV, top quark studies will be one of the ways to access new physics at higher scales: FCNC, precision top and EWK measurements, top mass.
- Boosted top tagging will be increasingly important in new physics searches and top quark measurements as well as we are moving to higher mass scales.

Welcome to the top!