

# Design of radiation hard thermal interface material for CMS Phase II Inner Tracker

Mentee: Brendan Ricketts (Tuskegee University) Mentor: Souvik Das (Purdue University)



#### **Overview**

- 1. LHC
- 2. CMS Detector
- 3. Phase II Pixel Detector Upgrade
- 4. Thermal Interface Material (TIM)
- 5. Progress already Done
- 6. Simulation of two-size mixture of spheres
- 7. SAND33 Materials
- 8. Making SAND33 samples
- 9. Experimental Apparatus at Purdue
- 10. Testing SAND33 and Collecting Data
- 11.Data Analysis of SAND33\_5 samples
- 12. Data Analysis of SAND33 samples



#### Large Hadron Collider

- Hadron subatomic particle
- Switzerland & France
- Protons collide with each other at 13.6 TeV
- 27 km long





#### **CMS** Detector





#### Phase II Pixel Detector Upgrade

Cross section of Inner tracker



The CMS Phase II Pixel Detector made up of silicon detectors will emit 60 kW of heat

## Thermal Interface Material (TIM)



Exploded Dee



Materials that heat encounters

TIM adhesive is an important step in heat extraction to Stay away from thermal Runaway. We Want to see if we can make it better with a bimodal distribution of diamond!



#### **Progress already Done**







- Loctite EA9396 also survives radiation according to CERN's maxrad database
- It has low viscosity and is used for aerospace applications

Material	Thermal Conductivity	Thermal Conductivity after 1.5 Grad		
Loctite EA9396	0.34 ± 0.02 W/mK			
Loctite EA9396 + 30% 20 um	0.44 ± 0.02 W/mK			
Loctite EA9396 + 50% 20 um	0.74 ± 0.02 W/mK			
Loctite EA9396 + 70% 20 um	1.28 ± 0.06 W/mK	1.14 ± 0.09 W/mK		



#### Simulation of two-size mixture of spheres



**REU student** 



2D random loose packing



3D random loose packing

	Radius(S)	Radius(L)	Num(S)	Num(L)	Density(S)	Density(L)	Density(T)	Change
1	0.05	0.05	48	523	0.0251327	0.273842	0.2989747	
0.9	0.045	0.05	78	523	0.0297729	0.273842	0.3036149	0.004640
0.8	0.04	0.05	282	523	0.0755993	0.273842	0.3494413	0.045826
0.7	0.035	0.05	531	523	0.0953646	0.273842	0.3692066	0.019765
0.6	0.03	0.05	1104	523	0.124859	0.273842	0.398701	0.029494
0.5	0.025	0.05	2269	523	0.148506	0.273842	0.422348	0.023647
0.4	0.02	0.05	5292	523	0.177337	0.273842	0.451179	0.028831
0.3	0.015	0.05	14067	523	0.198868	0.273842	0.47271	0.021531
0.25	0.0125	0.05	26052	523	0.213137	0.273842	0.486979	0.014269
0.2	0.01	0.05	53824	523	0.22545725	0.273842	0.49929925	0.012320
0.15	0.0075	0.05	134248	523	0.237236	0.273842	0.511078	0.011779
0.12	0.006	0.05	267171	523	0.241731	0.273842	0.515573	0.004495
0.1	0.005	0.05	460556	523	0.24114635	0.273842	0.51498835	-0.000585
0.07	0.0035	0.05	1319885	523	0.237044	0.273842	0.510886	-0.004102
0.05	0.0025	0.05	3547133	523	0.232159	0.273842	0.506001	-0.004885
0.03	0.0015	0.05	15133857	523	0.21395	0.273842	0.487792	-0.018209

#### Maximum Packing Fraction achieved at radius ratio of 12%

- Georgia Nissen and Souvik found through computer simulations that random loose packing of spheres maximizes when radius of small sphere is ~ 12% the radius of the large sphere
- Therefore, I began preparing such samples for experimental measurements of thermal conductivity





#### **SAND33** Materials





LOCTITE EA 9396 AERO Part A and B

Synthetic Diamond Powder Left 2.4 um right is 20 um



#### Making SAND33 samples Pt 1





Me mixing Part A and Part B of the Resin

Me Mixing the Resin with the 2.4 and 20 um Diamonds



#### Making SAND33 Samples Pt 2







### Result of Mixing the all of the Materials

Me putting the Newly made glue in between two sapphire dics to test the samples

The Finished Samples



#### **Experimental** Apparatus at Purdue

Spring clamp to ensure equal pressure at thermal interfaces

Resistive heating element

Six equidistant thermistors placed at the center of copper rods and sealed with thermal grease to create two fluxmeters

Test material

Hygrometer

Peltier element

Copper cooling block -

Circulating chiller water -

Silica gel \*

Humidity mitigating box to prevent condensation

How do we **mitigate convective and** radiative losses from the sample?

- Ensure heat flow entering sample is equal to heat flow exiting sample.
  Requires tweaking heater voltage and Peltier voltage with realtime estimation of the fluxes
  - Residual difference of ~ 5% is one of the dominant uncertainties

How do we eliminate contact conductance from our measurements?

- By carrying out four or more independent measurements with varying sample thicknesses
- By using the same amount of thermal grease between sample and fluxmeters across all measurements
- By using the same force across all measurements



#### **Testing SAND33** and Collecting Data





SAND33\_3 being tested in Apparatus

We are trying to get the slope of the hot and cold curves as even as possible



#### Data Analysis of SAND33\_5 samples Pt 1





#### Data Analysis of SAND33\_5 samples Pt 2



Gradient of temperature in hot flux meter

Gradient of temperature in cold flux meter

Heat flow through sample, I =  $9.6 \pm 0.3$  W Temperature difference across the sample,  $\Delta T = 5.0 \pm 0.1$  C Therefore, thermal resistance of the sample, R =  $\Delta T/I = 0.52 \pm 0.02$  K/W



#### Data Analysis of SAND33 samples



Inferred thermal conductivity  $k = 1.06 \pm 0.10 \text{ W/mK}$ 

No appreciable improvement detected. More careful studies may be needed!



#### Thank you for listening!!!

