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## Outline:

1. The need for ultra-fast methods for cohomology computations in heterotic model building
2. Some results on line bundle cohomology for CY threefolds with Picard numbers 4 and 5

## First order objective of StringPheno

Explain the core structures in Particle Physics: interactions, spectrum and flavour parameters
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## First order objective of StringPheno

Explain the core structures in Particle Physics: interactions, spectrum and flavour parameters

Why three families of quarks and leptons?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Why a hierarchy of masses? } \\
m_{\mathrm{top}}=172,440 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{e}=0.511 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{\nu}<0.1 \cdot 10^{-6} \mathrm{MeV}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Problem 1

topology
+
geometry
$X, V, \tilde{V}$

particle spectrum
$+$ couplings
cohomology formulae: provide great simplifications to this map in heterotic string compactifications

## Spectrum and couplings

- correct spectrum: all SM multiplets (plus, possibly RH neutrinos) and no exotics; Required topological data: $h^{\bullet}\left(X, V^{\wedge n}\right)$
- correct couplings: all SM couplings and no dangerous operators, such as those inducing fast proton decay or rapid flavour-changing processes

Useful: additional symmetries inherited from the compactification, (e.g. extra U(1)s or discrete symmetries) to forbid (or at least suppress) dangerous operators, and dictate certain forms for the couplings, so as to explain the observed patterns for fermion masses and mixing angles

Example: $\mathrm{SU}(5)$ models from the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ heterotic string on smooth CY 3 with split bundles

$$
V=\bigoplus_{a=1}^{5} L_{a}
$$

$\mathrm{SU}(5)$ multiplets with $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{U}(1)^{5}\right)$ charges, $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{5}\right) \sim\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{5}\right)+(q, q, q, q, q)$ pattern of charges: $\mathbf{1 0}_{\mathbf{e}_{a}}, \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{\mathbf{e}_{a}+\mathbf{e}_{b}}, \mathbf{5}_{-\mathbf{e}_{a}-\mathbf{e}_{b}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{e}_{a}-\mathbf{e}_{b}}, H_{-\mathbf{e}_{a}-\mathbf{e}_{b}}^{u}, H_{\mathbf{e}_{a}+\mathbf{e}_{b}}^{d}$

Example: $\mathrm{SU}(5)$ models from the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ heterotic string on smooth CY 3 with split bundles

$$
V=\bigoplus_{a=1}^{5} L_{a}
$$

$\mathrm{SU}(5)$ multiplets with $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{U}(1)^{5}\right)$ charges, $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{5}\right) \sim\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{5}\right)+(q, q, q, q, q)$ pattern of charges: $\mathbf{1 0}_{\mathbf{e}_{a}}, \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{\mathbf{e}_{a}+\mathbf{e}_{b}}, \mathbf{5}_{-\mathbf{e}_{a}-\mathbf{e}_{b}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{e}_{a}-\mathbf{e}_{b}}, H_{-\mathbf{e}_{a}-\mathbf{e}_{b}}^{u}, H_{\mathbf{e}_{a}+\mathbf{e}_{b}}^{d}$

Spectrum: $\mathbf{1 0}_{1}, \mathbf{1 0}_{2}, \mathbf{1 0}_{3}, 3 \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2}, H_{-3,-4}^{u}, H_{3,4}^{d}, \mathbf{1}_{4,-3}, \mathbf{1}_{3,-2}, \mathbf{1}_{3,-1}, \mathbf{1}_{5,-4}$

Bottom-up example:
$\mu$-term: forbidden with any number of singlet insertions;
Proton decay: dim-4 op. $\overline{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{5} \mathbf{1 0}$ forbidden; dim-5 op. suppressed: $\mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2} \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \mathbf{1 0}_{3}+O\left(\mathbf{1}^{4}\right)$
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## Bottom-up

 example:$\mu$-term: forbidden with any number of singlet insertions;
Proton decay: dim-4 op. $\overline{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{5} \mathbf{1 0}$ forbidden; dim-5 op. suppressed: $\mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2} \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \mathbf{1 0}_{3}+O\left(\mathbf{1}^{4}\right)$
Up Yukawa matrix, $H^{u} \mathbf{1 0}^{(i)} \mathbf{1 0}^{(j)}$ :

$$
H_{-3,-4}^{u}\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 
& \mathbf{1 0}_{1} & \mathbf{1 0}_{2} & \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \\
\hline \mathbf{1 0}_{1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \\
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\mathbf{1 0}_{3} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example: $\mathrm{SU}(5)$ models from the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ heterotic string on smooth CY 3 with split bundles

$$
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$$

$\mathrm{SU}(5)$ multiplets with $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{U}(1)^{5}\right)$ charges, $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{5}\right) \sim\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{5}\right)+(q, q, q, q, q)$

$$
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## Bottom-up
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Up Yukawa matrix, $H^{u} \mathbf{1 0}^{(i)} \mathbf{1 0}^{(j)}$ :

$$
H_{-3,-4}^{u}\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 
& \mathbf{1 0}_{1} & \mathbf{1 0}_{2} & \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \\
\hline \mathbf{1 0}_{1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \\
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\mathbf{1 0}_{3} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3}
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$$
H_{3,4}^{d}\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 
& \mathbf{1 0}_{1} & \mathbf{1 0}_{2} & \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \\
\hline \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \\
\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \\
\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example: $\mathrm{SU}(5)$ models from the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ heterotic string on smooth CY 3 with split bundles
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## Bottom-up

example:
$\mu$-term: forbidden with any number of singlet insertions;
Proton decay: dim-4 op. $\overline{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{5} \mathbf{1 0}$ forbidden; dim-5 op. suppressed: $\mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2} \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \mathbf{1 0}_{3}+O\left(\mathbf{1}^{4}\right)$
Up Yukawa matrix, $H^{u} \mathbf{1 0}^{(i)} \mathbf{1 0}^{(j)}$ : Down Yukawa matrix, $H^{d} \overline{\mathbf{5}}^{(i)} \mathbf{1 0}^{(j)}$ :

$$
H_{-3,-4}^{u}\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 
& \mathbf{1 0}_{1} & \mathbf{1 0}_{2} & \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \\
\hline \mathbf{1 0}_{1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \\
\mathbf{1 0}_{2} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} \\
\mathbf{1 0}_{3} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{4,-3}
\end{array}\right) \quad H_{3,4}^{d}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& \mathbf{1 0}_{1} & \mathbf{1 0}_{2} & \mathbf{1 0}_{3} \\
\hline \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \\
\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \\
\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{1,2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-1} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3} \mathbf{1}_{3,-2} & \mathbf{1}_{5,-4} \mathbf{1}_{4,-3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

WIP with Lucas Leung, Thomas Harvey, Andre Lukas:
identify viable patterns of charge assignments and their string realisations; see also Dudas\&Palti, $\underline{0912.0853}$

## Problem 2



## Line bundle cohomology

## formulae

based on 2306.03147 with Steve Abel, Thomas Harvey, Andre Lukas and Luca Nutricati also based on earlier work with Callum Brodie, James Gray, Andre Lukas, Fabian Ruehle (see 2112.12107 for a review)

Computational cost of line bundle cohomology (using spectral sequences):

$$
\sim O\left(\left(\rho(X)^{\operatorname{dim}(X)} \operatorname{deg}(L)^{\operatorname{dim}(X)}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

Example: for a line bundle of (multi)-degree 10 on a Calabi-Yau threefold with $h^{1,1}(X)=\rho(X)=4$ Kähler parameters, the estimate is
$\sim 10^{14}$ elementary operations
which reaches the limits of a standard machine

A Picard number 4 example: the tetraquadric manifold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{7862}^{(4,68)}=\underset{\mathbb{P}^{1}}{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{P}^{1}
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right] \\
& \text { Euler characteristic: } \\
& \left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}, J_{4}\right\} \text { : generators of the Kähler cone inherited from }\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{\times 4} \\
& L \rightarrow X \text { line bundle with } c_{1}(L)=k^{i} J_{i} \\
& \chi(X, L)=\int_{X} \operatorname{ch}(L) \cdot \operatorname{td}(X)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(k_{i}+\prod_{j \neq i} k_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## A Picard number 4 example: the tetraquadric manifold

$$
X_{7862}^{(4,68)}=\stackrel{\mathbb{P}^{1}}{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
\mathbb{P}^{1} \\
\mathbb{P}^{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}, J_{4}\right\} \text { : generators of the Kähler cone inherited from }\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{\times 4}
$$

$$
L \rightarrow X \text { line bundle with } c_{1}(L)=k^{i} J_{i}
$$

Euler characteristic:

$$
\chi(X, L)=\int_{X} \operatorname{ch}(L) \cdot \operatorname{td}(X)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(k_{i}+\prod_{j \neq i} k_{j}\right)
$$

$$
\text { Formula: } h^{0}(X, L)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { for } k^{i}=0 \\
\chi(X, L), \text { for } L \in \mathscr{K}(X) \\
\chi\left(X, L^{\prime}\right), \text { if } \exists c_{1}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=M_{i_{1}} M_{i_{2}} \ldots M_{i_{n}} c_{1}(L) \in \overline{\mathscr{K}(X)} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{X} \\
\left(1+k^{A}\right)\left(1+k^{B}\right), \text { if } k^{A}, k^{B} \geq 0 \text { and the other two integers vanish } M_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## A Picard number 4 example: the tetraquadric manifold

$$
X_{7862}^{(4,68)}=\stackrel{\mathbb{P}^{1}}{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}^{1} \\
& \mathbb{P}^{1}
\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Euler characteristic:

Formula: $h^{0}(X, L)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1, \text { for } k^{i}=0 \\ \chi(X, L), \text { for } L \in \mathscr{K}(X) \\ \chi\left(X, L^{\prime}\right), \text { if } \exists c_{1}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=M_{i_{1}} M_{i_{2}} \ldots M_{i_{n}} c_{1}(L) \in \overline{\mathscr{K}(X)} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{X} \\ \left(1+k^{A}\right)\left(1+k^{B}\right), \text { if } k^{A}, k^{B} \geq 0 \text { and the other two integers vanish } M_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), ~\end{array}, \begin{array}{l}M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right. \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$
Effective cone of $X$ : infinite number of simplicial cones, corresponding to the Kähler cones of isomorphic CY -threefolds obtained from $X$ through a sequence of flops, concretely by the action of an infinite group generated by $\left\{M_{i}\right\}$.

For flops of generic CICYs, see 2112.12106 C.Brodie, AC, A.Lukas and F.Ruehle.
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\chi(X, L)=\int_{X} \operatorname{ch}(L) \cdot \operatorname{td}(X)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(k_{i}+\prod_{j \neq i} k_{j}\right)
$$

$$
\text { Formula: } h^{0}(X, L)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
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\end{array}\right) \\
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\end{array}\right.
$$

## A Picard number 4 example: the tetraquadric manifold

$$
X_{7862}^{(4,68)}=\stackrel{\mathbb{P}^{1}}{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
\mathbb{P}^{1} \\
\mathbb{P}^{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}, J_{4}\right\}$ : generators of the Kähler cone inherited from $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{\times 4}$ $L \rightarrow X$ line bundle with $c_{1}(L)=k^{i} J_{i}$

Euler characteristic:

$$
\chi(X, L)=\int_{X} \operatorname{ch}(L) \cdot \operatorname{td}(X)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(k_{i}+\prod_{j \neq i} k_{j}\right)
$$


Higher cohomologies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{1}(X, L)-h^{2}(X, L)=h^{0}(X, L)-h^{3}(X, L)-\chi(X, L) \\
& h^{3}(X, L)=h^{0}\left(X, L^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On $X$ almost all line bundles satisfy either $h^{1}(X, L)=0$ or $h^{2}(X, L)=0$.
Exception: two zero entries, one entry $k^{A}<-1$ and one entry $k^{B}>1$. In this case, $h^{1}(X, L)+h^{2}(X, L)=-2\left(1+k_{A} k_{B}\right)$

## A Picard number 5 example: the split tetraquadric manifold



Very similar story: infinite number of flops through each of the five walls of the Kähler cone.

Simple formula for the zeroth cohomology in terms of the Euler characteristic and five matrices.

Formula for higher cohomologies follows the same pattern.

Cohomology formulae for smooth quotients of these manifolds by discrete group actions?
Partial results: yes - equivariant cohomology dimensions split as evenly as possible between the various reps.

## Summary and Outlook

- Heterotic line bundle models offer a rich phenomenology.
- Fast line bundle cohomology computations: an essential tool for model building, especially when coupled with heuristic methods of search.
- For model building purposes: cohomology formulae on CY3 with relatively large number of Kähler parameters ( $\geq 4$ ).


## Summary and Outlook

- Heterotic line bundle models offer a rich phenomenology.
- Fast line bundle cohomology computations: an essential tool for model building, especially when coupled with heuristic methods of search.
- For model building purposes: cohomology formulae on CY3 with relatively large number of Kähler parameters ( $\geq 4$ ).
- Line bundle cohomology dimensions capture a great deal of geometric information about the base manifold, such as threefold flops, rigid divisors, certain GW invariants.
- A better method of encoding the patterns arising in line bundle cohomology: generating functions.

Examples include surfaces, threefolds and higher dimensional manifolds of (almost) Fano, Calabi-Yau and general type.

