Smearing and Unsmearing KKLT AdS Vacua Part II

Dimitrios Toulikas

IPhT, CEA-Saclay Université Paris-Saclay



Based on arXiv:2212.05074 with Mariana Graña and Nicolas Kovensky



String Pheno '23 Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon July 4, 2023



Motivation

• KKLT is one of the leading ways to construct metastable de Sitter vacua in String Theory

[Kachru, Kallosh, Linde, Trivedi '03]

Motivation

• KKLT is one of the leading ways to construct metastable de Sitter vacua in String Theory

[Kachru, Kallosh, Linde, Trivedi '03]

- The first step is done at the ten-dimensional level, but for the second step we have to resort to an effective four-dimensional theory.
 - Can we understand it from a ten-dimensional viewpoint?

Supersymmetry conditions for type II SUSY 4d vacua

• The Generalized Complex Geometry conditions for type IIB flux compactifications to four-dimensional flat and AdS vacua preserving N=1 SUSY read:

[Graña, Minasian, Petrini, Tomasiello '05]

$$d_H \left(e^{3A - \phi} \Psi_- \right) = 2i\mu e^{2A - \phi} \operatorname{Im} \Psi_+$$

$$d_H \left(e^{2A - \phi} \operatorname{Im} \Psi_+ \right) = 0$$

$$d_H \left(e^{4A - \phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+ \right) = 3e^{3A - \phi} \operatorname{Re} \left[\bar{\mu} \Psi_- \right] + e^{4A} *_6 \alpha(F)$$

where
$$d_H = d + H \wedge$$
, $\tilde{F} = *_6 \alpha(F)$, $*_6 \alpha(\Psi_{\pm}) = i \Psi_{\pm}$, $\alpha(\omega_q) = (-1)^{\frac{q(q-1)}{2}} \omega_q$

• and the internal geometry is characterized by the polyforms Ψ_+ :

$$\Psi_{\pm} \equiv -\frac{8i}{||\eta||^2} \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p!} \eta_{\pm}^{2\dagger} \gamma_{m_1 \dots m_p} \eta_{+}^1 \, dy^{m_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{m_p}$$

Supersymmetry conditions for type II SUSY 4d vacua

• The Generalized Complex Geometry conditions for type IIB flux compactifications to four-dimensional flat and AdS vacua preserving N=1 SUSY read:

[Graña, Minasian, Petrini, Tomasiello '05]

$$d_H \left(e^{3A - \phi} \Psi_- \right) = 2i\mu e^{2A - \phi} \operatorname{Im} \Psi_+$$

$$d_H \left(e^{2A - \phi} \operatorname{Im} \Psi_+ \right) = 0$$

$$d_H \left(e^{4A - \phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+ \right) = 3e^{3A - \phi} \operatorname{Re} \left[\bar{\mu} \Psi_- \right] + e^{4A} *_6 \alpha(F)$$

• These can be also understood as D- and F-flatness conditions for

[Koerber, Martucci '07, '08]

$$W_{\text{GCG}} = \pi \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\bullet}} \langle Z, dT \rangle, \quad \langle A, B \rangle \equiv [A \wedge \alpha(B)]_{6} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \langle W_{\text{GCG}} \rangle = \mu \mathcal{N}$$

where the holomorphic fields are

$$Z = e^B e^{3A - \phi} \Psi_-, \qquad T = e^B (C + ie^{-\phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+)$$

and the Kähler potential is given by

$$\mathcal{K} = -3 \log \mathcal{N}, \quad \mathcal{N} = 4\pi \int_{M_6} e^{2A - 2\phi} \text{vol}_6$$

• We include a stack of space-time filling D7-branes wrapping an internal four-cycle Σ and undergoing gaugino condensation. The supersymmetry conditions are modified as follows

$$d_{H}\left(e^{3A-\phi}\Psi_{-}\right) = 2i\mu e^{2A-\phi}\operatorname{Im}\Psi_{+} - 2i\langle S\rangle\delta^{(2)}\left[\Sigma_{4}\right],$$

$$d_{H}\left(e^{2A-\phi}\operatorname{Im}\Psi_{+}\right) = 0,$$

$$d_{H}\left(e^{4A-\phi}\operatorname{Re}\Psi_{+}\right) = 3e^{3A-\phi}\operatorname{Re}\left[\bar{\mu}\Psi_{-}\right] + e^{4A} *_{6}\alpha(F) - e^{A}\delta^{(0)}\left[\Sigma_{4}\right]\operatorname{Re}\left[\langle\bar{S}\rangle\Psi_{-}\right]$$

• Here $\langle S \rangle$ is the VEV of the condensate superfield related to the gaugino bilinear by

$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \langle \lambda \lambda \rangle$$

• $\delta^{(2)}[\Sigma_4]$ is the localized 2-form Poincaré dual to the four-cycle wrapped by the branes and

$$\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \operatorname{vol}_6 = \langle \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+, \delta^{(2)}[\Sigma_4] \rangle$$

• We include a stack of space-time filling D7-branes wrapping an internal four-cycle Σ and undergoing gaugino condensation. The supersymmetry conditions are modified as follows

$$d_{H}\left(e^{3A-\phi}\Psi_{-}\right) = 2i\mu e^{2A-\phi}\operatorname{Im}\Psi_{+} - 2i\langle S\rangle\delta^{(2)}\left[\Sigma_{4}\right],$$

$$d_{H}\left(e^{2A-\phi}\operatorname{Im}\Psi_{+}\right) = 0,$$

$$d_{H}\left(e^{4A-\phi}\operatorname{Re}\Psi_{+}\right) = 3e^{3A-\phi}\operatorname{Re}\left[\bar{\mu}\Psi_{-}\right] + e^{4A} *_{6}\alpha(F) - e^{A}\delta^{(0)}\left[\Sigma_{4}\right]\operatorname{Re}\left[\langle\bar{S}\rangle\Psi_{-}\right]$$

• The on-shell value of the GCG superpotential is still

$$\langle W_{\rm GCG} \rangle = \mu \mathcal{N}$$

> It encodes all ingredients relevant to the effective action, including non-perturbative terms.

• The modified supersymmetry conditions imply that for localized sources a 10D description of the KKLT AdS vacuum can not have SU(3) structure.

- The modified supersymmetry conditions imply that for localized sources a 10D description of the KKLT AdS vacuum can not have SU(3) structure.
- For example: for SU(3) structure $\Psi_{-} = \Omega$, $\Psi_{+} = \exp(iJ)$, the first equation becomes

$$d_H\left(e^{3A-\phi}\Omega\right) = 2i\mu e^{2A-\phi}\left(J - \frac{1}{6}J \wedge J \wedge J\right) - 2i\langle S\rangle\delta^{(2)}\left[\Sigma_4\right]$$

- The modified supersymmetry conditions imply that for localized sources a 10D description of the KKLT AdS vacuum can not have SU(3) structure.
- For example: for SU(3) structure $\Psi_{-} = \Omega$, $\Psi_{+} = \exp(iJ)$, the first equation becomes

$$d_H\left(e^{3A-\phi}\Omega\right) = 2i\mu e^{2A-\phi}\left(J - \frac{1}{6}J \wedge J \wedge J\right) - 2i\langle S\rangle\delta^{(2)}\left[\Sigma_4\right]$$

- The two-form component cannot be satisfied.
 - \triangleright We need dynamic SU(2) structure.

- The modified supersymmetry conditions imply that for localized sources a 10D description of the KKLT AdS vacuum can not have SU(3) structure.
- For example: for SU(3) structure $\Psi_{-} = \Omega$, $\Psi_{+} = \exp(iJ)$, the first equation becomes

$$d_H\left(e^{3A-\phi}\Omega\right) = 2i\mu e^{2A-\phi}\left(J - \frac{1}{6}J \wedge J \wedge J\right) - 2i\langle S\rangle\delta^{(2)}\left[\Sigma_4\right]$$

- The two-form component cannot be satisfied.
 - \triangleright We need dynamic SU(2) structure.
- Hard to do in practice and so we can either:
 - Study small perturbations in the deviation from SU(3) structure on top of the flat solution
 - > Or simplify the problem by smearing the source

• We solve the modified supersymmetry equations for type IIB AdS4 compactifications with gaugino condensates on smeared D7-branes. The smearing procedure amounts to replacing

$$\delta^{(2)}[\Sigma_4] \to \gamma e^{2A-\phi} J$$
, $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \to 3\gamma e^{2A-\phi}$

where γ is fixed by requiring

$$\sigma_4 = \int_{M_6} \langle e^{-\phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+, -\delta^{(2)} [\Sigma_4] \rangle = -\int_{M_6} \langle e^{-\phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+, \gamma e^{2A-\phi} J \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma = -\frac{4\pi\sigma_4}{3\mathcal{N}}$$

• We solve the modified supersymmetry equations for type IIB AdS4 compactifications with gaugino condensates on smeared D7-branes. The smearing procedure amounts to replacing

$$\delta^{(2)}[\Sigma_4] \to \gamma e^{2A-\phi} J$$
, $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \to 3\gamma e^{2A-\phi}$

where γ is fixed by requiring

$$\sigma_4 = \int_{M_6} \langle e^{-\phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+, -\delta^{(2)} [\Sigma_4] \rangle = -\int_{M_6} \langle e^{-\phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+, \gamma e^{2A-\phi} J \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma = -\frac{4\pi\sigma_4}{3\mathcal{N}}$$

• The problematic two-form component of the 1st SUSY condition now reads

$$d(e^{3A-\phi}\Psi_{-}|_{1}) = 2i(\mu - \gamma\langle S\rangle)e^{2A-\phi}J$$

• We solve the modified supersymmetry equations for type IIB AdS4 compactifications with gaugino condensates on smeared D7-branes. The smearing procedure amounts to replacing

$$\delta^{(2)}[\Sigma_4] \to \gamma e^{2A-\phi} J$$
, $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \to 3\gamma e^{2A-\phi}$

where γ is fixed by requiring

$$\sigma_4 = \int_{M_6} \langle e^{-\phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+, -\delta^{(2)} [\Sigma_4] \rangle = -\int_{M_6} \langle e^{-\phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+, \gamma e^{2A-\phi} J \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma = -\frac{4\pi\sigma_4}{3\mathcal{N}}$$

• The problematic two-form component of the 1st SUSY condition now reads

$$d(e^{3A-\phi}\Psi_{-}|_{1}) = 2i(\mu - \gamma\langle S\rangle)e^{2A-\phi}J$$

 \triangleright We can have an SU(3) structure solution with $\mu = \gamma \langle S \rangle$.

- The rest of the supersymmetry conditions give
 - First SUSY equation

$$d_H\left(e^{3A-\phi}\Omega\right) = 2\mu e^{2A-\phi}\left(J - \frac{1}{6}J \wedge J \wedge J\right) - 2i\gamma\langle S\rangle e^{2A-\phi}J \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} d\left(e^{3A-\phi}\Omega\right) & = 0 \\ H \wedge \Omega & = -\frac{\mu}{4}e^{-A}\bar{\Omega} \wedge \Omega \end{array} \right.$$

Second SUSY equation

$$d_H\left(e^{2A-\phi}(J-\frac{1}{6}J\wedge J\wedge J)\right)=0 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} d\left(e^{2A-\phi}J\right) & = 0\\ H\wedge J & = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

• Third SUSY equation

$$d_H\left(e^{4A-\phi}\operatorname{Re}\Psi_+\right) = 3e^{3A-\phi}\operatorname{Re}\left[\bar{\mu}\Psi_-\right] + e^{4A} *_6\alpha(F) - e^A\delta^{(0)}\left[\Sigma_4\right]\operatorname{Re}\left[\langle\bar{S}\rangle\Psi_-\right] \longrightarrow e^{-\phi}H = F_3$$

• The internal manifold is a conformal CY_3 .

• The internal manifold is a conformal CY_3 .

• The G_3 flux is still ISD, but now develops a (0,3) component

$$G_{(0,3)} = -\frac{i}{2}e^{-\phi - A}\mu\bar{\Omega}$$

- The internal manifold is a conformal CY_3 .
- The G_3 flux is still ISD, but now develops a (0,3) component

$$G_{(0,3)} = -\frac{i}{2}e^{-\phi - A}\mu\bar{\Omega}$$

• The KKLT relation between the total and the non-perturbative superpotentials is precisely reproduced

$$\langle W \rangle = \mu \mathcal{N} = \gamma \mathcal{N} \langle S \rangle = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \sigma_4 \langle S \rangle = -\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\right) \sigma_4 \langle W_{NP} \rangle$$

- The internal manifold is a conformal CY_3 .
- The G_3 flux is still ISD, but now develops a (0,3) component

$$G_{(0,3)} = -\frac{i}{2}e^{-\phi - A}\mu\bar{\Omega}$$

• The KKLT relation between the total and the non-perturbative superpotentials is precisely reproduced

$$\langle W \rangle = \mu \mathcal{N} = \gamma \mathcal{N} \langle S \rangle = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \sigma_4 \langle S \rangle = -\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\right) \sigma_4 \langle W_{NP} \rangle$$

• There is no obstruction to scale separation if small (0,3) flux is possible.

- For a solution to the supersymmetry conditions with localized sources:
 - we have to leave the realm of SU(3)-structure compactifications
 - consider IASD fluxes as well

- For a solution to the supersymmetry conditions with localized sources:
 - we have to leave the realm of SU(3)-structure compactifications
 - consider IASD fluxes as well
- Possible issue: the evaluation of the on-shell action can contain divergent terms $\sim \left(\delta^{(2)}\right)^2$ [Dymarsky, Martucci '11]
 - E.g.: $G_3^{(0,3)} \sim \langle S \rangle \delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow \int_{M_6} G_3 \wedge \star_6 \bar{G}_3 \sim \int_{M_6} |S|^2 \left(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \right)^2 \operatorname{vol}_6 + \cdots$

- For a solution to the supersymmetry conditions with localized sources:
 - we have to leave the realm of SU(3)-structure compactifications
 - consider IASD fluxes as well
- Possible issue: the evaluation of the on-shell action can contain divergent terms $\sim \left(\delta^{(2)}\right)^2$ [Dymarsky, Martucci '11]
 - $E.g.: G_3^{(0,3)} \sim \langle S \rangle \delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow \int_{M_6} G_3 \wedge \star_6 \bar{G}_3 \sim \int_{M_6} |S|^2 \left(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \right)^2 \operatorname{vol}_6 + \cdots$
- The issue has been studied without reaching a common conclusion.

[Kachru, Kim, McAllister, Zimet '19]

[Hamada, Hebecker, Shiu, Soler '18, '19, '21]

- For a solution to the supersymmetry conditions with localized sources:
 - we have to leave the realm of SU(3)-structure compactifications
 - consider IASD fluxes as well
- Possible issue: the evaluation of the on-shell action can contain divergent terms $\sim \left(\delta^{(2)}\right)^2$ [Dymarsky, Martucci '11]
 - E.g. :

$$G_3^{(0,3)} \sim \langle S \rangle \delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow \int_{M_6} G_3 \wedge \star_6 \bar{G}_3 \sim \int_{M_6} |S|^2 \left(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \right)^2 \operatorname{vol}_6 + \cdots$$

• The issue has been studied without reaching a common conclusion.

[Kachru, Kim, McAllister, Zimet '19]
[Hamada, Hebecker, Shiu, Soler '18, '19, '21]

• One can evaluate the action without knowing the solution:

[Lüst, Marchesano, Martucci, Tsimpis '08]

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int_{X_4} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N} R_4 - 2\pi V_{\text{eff}} \right)$$

• The effective potential is given by

$$V_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M_6} \text{vol}_6 e^{4A} [\tilde{F} - e^{-4A} d_H (e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_+)]^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{M_6} \text{vol}_6 [d_H (e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_+)]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{M_6} \text{vol}_6 e^{-2A} |d_H (e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_-)|^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} \int_{M_6} e^{-2A} \left(\frac{|\langle \Psi_+, d_H (e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_-) \rangle|^2}{\text{vol}_6} + \frac{|\langle \bar{\Psi}_+, d_H (e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_-) \rangle|^2}{\text{vol}_6} \right)$$

• The different contributions to the on-shell action in the absence of the gaugino condensate

	$ \mu ^2 e^{2A-2\phi} \text{vol}_6$
1st	18
2nd	8
3rd	-32
Total	-6

• The effective potential is given by

$$V_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M_6} \text{vol}_6 e^{4A} [\tilde{F} - e^{-4A} d_H (e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \, \Psi_+)]^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{M_6} \text{vol}_6 [d_H (e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \, \Psi_+)]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{M_6} \text{vol}_6 e^{-2A} |d_H (e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_-)|^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} \int_{M_6} e^{-2A} \left(\frac{|\langle \Psi_+, d_H (e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_-) \rangle|^2}{\text{vol}_6} + \frac{|\langle \bar{\Psi}_+, d_H (e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_-) \rangle|^2}{\text{vol}_6} \right)$$

• The different contributions to the on-shell action in the absence of the gaugino condensate

	$ \mu ^2 e^{2A-2\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	
1st	18	
2nd	8	
3rd	-32	
Total	-6	

$$V_{\text{eff}} = -6|\mu|^2 \int_{M_6} e^{2A - 2\phi} \text{vol}_6 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\pi V_{\text{eff}} = \Lambda \mathcal{N}$$

• The effective potential is given by

$$V_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M_6} \text{vol}_6 e^{4A} [\tilde{F} - e^{-4A} d_H (e^{4A - \Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_+)]^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{M_6} \text{vol}_6 [d_H (e^{2A - \Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_+)]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{M_6} \text{vol}_6 e^{-2A} |d_H (e^{3A - \Phi} \Psi_-)|^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} \int_{M_6} e^{-2A} \left(\frac{|\langle \Psi_+, d_H (e^{3A - \Phi} \Psi_-) \rangle|^2}{\text{vol}_6} + \frac{|\langle \bar{\Psi}_+, d_H (e^{3A - \Phi} \Psi_-) \rangle|^2}{\text{vol}_6} \right)$$

	$ \mu ^2 e^{2A-2\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] \operatorname{Re} \left[\bar{\mu} S \right] e^{-\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\left(\delta^{(0)}\left[\Sigma_4\right]\right)^2 S ^2 e^{-2A} \operatorname{vol}_6$
1st	18	-12	2
2nd	8	-4	2/3
3rd	-32	16	-2
Total	-6	0	2/3

• The terms proportional to $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4]$ cancel out, while the terms proportional to $(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4])^2$ don't.

	$ \mu ^2 e^{2A-2\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] \operatorname{Re} \left[\bar{\mu} S \right] e^{-\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\left(\delta^{(0)}\left[\Sigma_4\right]\right)^2 S ^2 e^{-2A} \operatorname{vol}_6$
1st	18	-12	2
2nd	8	-4	2/3
3rd	-32	16	-2
Total	-6	0	2/3

- The terms proportional to $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4]$ cancel out, while the terms proportional to $(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4])^2$ don't.
- We should also consider the brane action, which however does not contain terms with a square of delta functions.

 [Graña, Kovensky, Retolaza '20]

$$S_{\lambda\lambda} = \int d^4x \left(\frac{i}{2} f \,\bar{\lambda}_+ \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \lambda_+ + \frac{1}{2} m_\lambda \,\bar{\lambda}_- \lambda_+ + c.c. \right), \quad m_\lambda = -\frac{i}{8\pi} \int_{M_6} \delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4] \, e^A \langle \Psi_-, F + i \, d_H \left(e^{-\phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_+ \right) \rangle$$

	$ \mu ^2 e^{2A-2\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] \operatorname{Re} \left[\bar{\mu} S \right] e^{-\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\left(\delta^{(0)}\left[\Sigma_4\right]\right)^2 S ^2 e^{-2A} \operatorname{vol}_6$
1st	18	-12	2
2nd	8	-4	2/3
3rd	-32	16	-2
Total	-6	0	2/3

• The terms proportional to $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4]$ cancel out, while the terms proportional to $(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4])^2$ don't.

• Gaugino mass contribution: $V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda\lambda} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(m_{\lambda} \bar{\lambda}_{-} \lambda_{+} + c.c. \right) = -4 \int_{M_{6}} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_{4} \right] e^{-\phi} \text{Re} \left[\mu \langle \bar{S} \rangle \right] \text{vol}_{6}$

	$ \mu ^2 e^{2A-2\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] \operatorname{Re} \left[\bar{\mu} S \right] e^{-\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\left(\delta^{(0)}\left[\Sigma_4\right]\right)^2 S ^2 e^{-2A} \operatorname{vol}_6$
1st	18	-12	2
2nd	8	-4	2/3
3rd	-32	16	-2
Total	-6	0	2/3

• The terms proportional to $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4]$ cancel out, while the terms proportional to $(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4])^2$ don't.

• Gaugino mass contribution:
$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda\lambda} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(m_{\lambda} \bar{\lambda}_{-} \lambda_{+} + c.c. \right) = -4 \int_{M_{6}} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_{4} \right] e^{-\phi} \text{Re} \left[\mu \langle \bar{S} \rangle \right] \text{vol}_{6}$$

• Four fermion terms should add up to: $V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda^4 + \text{c.t.}} = \frac{\gamma}{64\pi^4} \int_{M_6} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] e^{-\phi} |\lambda\lambda|^2 \text{vol}_6$

	$ \mu ^2 e^{2A-2\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] \operatorname{Re} \left[\bar{\mu} S \right] e^{-\phi} \operatorname{vol}_6$	$\left(\delta^{(0)}\left[\Sigma_4\right]\right)^2 S ^2 e^{-2A} \operatorname{vol}_6$
1st	18	-12	2
2nd	8	-4	2/3
3rd	-32	16	-2
Total	-6	0	2/3

- The terms proportional to $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4]$ cancel out, while the terms proportional to $(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4])^2$ don't.
- Gaugino mass contribution: $V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda\lambda} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(m_{\lambda} \bar{\lambda}_{-} \lambda_{+} + c.c. \right) = -4 \int_{M_{6}} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_{4} \right] e^{-\phi} \text{Re} \left[\mu \langle \bar{S} \rangle \right] \text{vol}_{6}$
- Four fermion terms should add up to: $V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda^4+\text{c.t.}} = \frac{\gamma}{64\pi^4} \int_{M_6} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4\right] e^{-\phi} |\lambda\lambda|^2 \text{vol}_6$
- Putting everything together, the effective potential should be:

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}} + V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{\gamma}{64\pi^4} \int_{M_6} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] e^{-\phi} |\lambda\lambda|^2 \text{vol}_6 - \frac{2}{3} \int_{M_6} e^{-2A} |\langle S \rangle|^2 (\delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right])^2 \text{vol}_6$$

- The terms proportional to $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4]$ cancel out, while the terms proportional to $(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4])^2$ don't.
- Gaugino mass contribution: $V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda\lambda} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(m_{\lambda} \bar{\lambda}_{-} \lambda_{+} + c.c. \right) = -4 \int_{M_{6}} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_{4} \right] e^{-\phi} \text{Re} \left[\mu \langle \bar{S} \rangle \right] \text{vol}_{6}$
- Four fermion terms should add up to: $V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda^4 + \text{c.t.}} = \frac{\gamma}{64\pi^4} \int_{M_6} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] e^{-\phi} |\lambda\lambda|^2 \text{vol}_6$
- Putting everything together, the effective potential should be:

[Hamada, Hebecker, Shiu, Soler '21]

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}} + V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{\gamma}{64\pi^4} \int_{M_6} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] e^{-\phi} |\lambda\lambda|^2 \text{vol}_6 - \frac{2}{3} \int_{M_6} e^{-2A} |\langle S \rangle|^2 (\delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right])^2 \text{vol}_6$$

- The terms proportional to $\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4]$ cancel out, while the terms proportional to $(\delta^{(0)}[\Sigma_4])^2$ don't.
- Gaugino mass contribution: $V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda\lambda} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(m_{\lambda} \bar{\lambda}_{-} \lambda_{+} + c.c. \right) = -4 \int_{M_{6}} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_{4} \right] e^{-\phi} \text{Re} \left[\mu \langle \bar{S} \rangle \right] \text{vol}_{6}$
- Four fermion terms should add up to: $V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda^4 + \text{c.t.}} = \frac{\gamma}{64\pi^4} \int_{M_6} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] e^{-\phi} |\lambda\lambda|^2 \text{vol}_6$

• Putting everything together, the effective potential should be:

[Hamada, Hebecker, Shiu, Soler '21]

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}} + V_{\text{eff}}^{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{\gamma}{64\pi^4} \int_{M_6} \delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right] e^{-\phi} |\lambda\lambda|^2 \text{vol}_6 - \frac{2}{3} \int_{M_6} e^{-2A} |\langle S \rangle|^2 (\delta^{(0)} \left[\Sigma_4 \right])^2 \text{vol}_6$$

➤ No perfect square structure arises.

Thank you!