Gravitational positivity bounds: implications for the swampland program

Junsei Tokuda (IBS, CTPU)

based on: [JHEP11(2020)054 <u>JT</u>, K. Aoki, S. Hirano] [PRL127,091602(2021), K. Aoki, T.Q. Loc. T. Noumi, <u>JT</u>] [PRD104,066022(2021) T. Noumi, <u>JT</u>] [arXiv: 2205.12835 T. Noumi, S. Sato, <u>JT</u>] [JHEP06(2023)032 T. Noumi, <u>JT</u>] [arXiv: 2305.10058 K. Aoki, T. Noumi, R. Saito, S. Sato, S. Shirai, <u>JT</u>, M. Yamazaki]

2023.07.04 String Pheno '23 @ IBS, Daejeon

Why Swampland?

Q. What is the quantum gravity theory?

- We need predictions which can be tested experimentally.
 - ✓ Very difficult. $M_{\rm pl} \sim 10^{18}$ GeV: Very high.

Why Swampland?

Q. What is the quantum gravity theory?

- We need predictions which can be tested experimentally.
 - ✓ Very difficult. $M_{\rm pl} \sim 10^{18}$ GeV: Very high.

 But, hidden predictions may exist.→ Swampland program: [C. Vafa ('05)]

"Not all consistent-looking EFTs are consistent with quantum gravity." * Inconsistent EFTs are said to be in the Swampland.

Hidden predictions exist! (without gravity)

Hidden predictions exist! (without gravity): Positivity bounds

[Adams – Arkani-Hamed – Dubovsky – Nicolis – Rattazzi ('06)] [Pham –Truong ('85)]

... based on S-matrix Unitarity + Analyticity etc.

e.g.) EFT for photon:
$$\mathcal{L} \sim -F^2 + \frac{c_2}{m_e^4}F^4 + \cdots$$
 $\sim c_2 > 0$

Hidden predictions exist! (without gravity)

Hidden predictions exist! (without gravity): Positivity bounds
 [Adams – Arkani-Hamed – Dubovsky – Nicolis – Rattazzi ('06)]

[Pham – Truong ('85)]

... based on S-matrix Unitarity + Analyticity etc.

e.g.) EFT for photon:
$$\mathcal{L} \sim -F^2 + \frac{c_2}{m_e^4}F^4 + \cdots$$
 $c_2 > 0$

- Can we extend positivity bound to the gravitational context?
- If so, does it give any interesting Swampland Conditions?

Hidden predictions exist! (without gravity)

Hidden predictions exist! (without gravity): Positivity bounds
 [Adams – Arkani-Hamed – Dubovsky – Nicolis – Rattazzi ('06)]

[Pham –Truong ('85)]

... based on S-matrix Unitarity + Analyticity etc.

e.g.) EFT for photon:
$$\mathcal{L} \sim -F^2 + \frac{c_2}{m_e^4}F^4 + \cdots$$
 $c_2 > 0$

- Can we extend positivity bound to the gravitational context?
- If so, does it give any interesting Swampland Conditions?
 - ➤ <u>Talk plan:</u>
 - **1. A review of** positivity bounds without gravity.
 - 2. Formulation of gravitational positivity bounds.
 - 3. Implications for the Standard Model.

• Let's consider $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ amplitude $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$. * $t \sim \begin{pmatrix} \text{momentum} \\ t \end{pmatrix}$

* $s \sim (CM \text{ energy})^2$

• UV complete theory: Local, Unitary, Lorentz invariant, Causal.

 $\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(s,t)$ behaves well at high energies.





$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_r} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{2\pi i} \frac{\mathcal{M}(s,0)}{s^3}$$



• We assume the analyticity of $\mathcal{M}(s,0)$ except for usual poles and cuts. We consider a complex integral of $\mathcal{M}(s,0)/s^3$:



 $= c_2$, EFT calculable

 $\mathcal{M}(s,0) \sim c_0 s^0 + \mathbf{c_2} s^2 + \cdots$









 "Positivity bounds" (without gravity)

$$\boldsymbol{c_2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{4m_e^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\mathrm{Im} \, \mathcal{M}(s, 0)}{s^3} > \boldsymbol{0}.$$

[Pham+('85), Adams+('06)]

 "Positivity bounds" (without gravity)

$$\boldsymbol{c_2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{4m_e^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\mathrm{Im} \, \mathcal{M}(s, 0)}{s^3} > \boldsymbol{0}.$$

[Pham+('85), Adams+('06)]

• Separate the EFT piece and high-energy piece:

$$c_2(\Lambda) \coloneqq c_2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{4m_e^2}^{\Lambda^2} \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\mathrm{Im} \, \mathcal{M}(s, 0)}{s^3}$$

* Λ = cutoff scale of EFT.

 "Positivity bounds" (without gravity)

$$\boldsymbol{c_2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{4m_e^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\mathrm{Im} \, \mathcal{M}(s,0)}{s^3} > \boldsymbol{0}.$$

[Pham+('85), Adams+('06)]

• Separate the EFT piece and high-energy piece:

$$c_2(\Lambda) \coloneqq c_2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{4m_e^2}^{\Lambda^2} \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\mathrm{Im} \, \mathcal{M}(s, 0)}{s^3}$$

* Λ = cutoff scale of EFT.

$$c_{2}(\Lambda) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} ds \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s, 0)}{s^{3}} > 0. \quad \text{``Improved positivity bounds''}_{[Bellazzini('16), de Rham+('17)]}$$

Extension to Gravity?

- Quantum gravity S-matrix: not fully understood. c.f.) [Häring+('22)]
- Feature: t-channel graviton exchange grows as fast as s^2 ,



- Assume the mild UV behavior: $\lim_{|s|\to\infty} |\mathcal{M}(s,t)s^{-2}| = 0$ for t < 0c.f.) tree-level string: $\mathcal{M} \sim s^{2+\alpha' t} / M_{\text{pl}}^2 t$
- We can then derive a sum rule for $c_2(\Lambda)$.

* We ignore graviton loops and work up to $O(M_{\rm pl}^{-2})$.

• The sum rule for $c_2(\Lambda)$ contains graviton *t*-channel pole:

$$c_{2}(\Lambda) = \lim_{t \to 0^{-}} \left\{ \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\mathrm{Im} \, \mathcal{M}(s, t)}{s^{3}} + \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^{2} t} \right\} = "\infty - \infty" \stackrel{?}{>} \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathcal{M}(s, t) \sim (s, t, u \text{ poles}) + c_{2} \, s^{2} + \cdots \quad \ni \left[\frac{-1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^{2} t} + c_{2} \right] s^{2}$$

- One solution: consider sum rules away from t = 0 limit. [Caron-Huot+ ('21)]
 - ✓ Perform smearing in *t* to localize the sum rule in the domain $t \ge -4m_e^2$. Equivalent to work in impact parameter $b \le (2m_e)^{-1}$.
 - ✓ IR div in D=4: $c_2 > -25(2M_{\rm pl}m_e)^{-2}\log(0.6m_eb_{\rm IR})$.

• The sum rule for $c_2(\Lambda)$ contains graviton *t*-channel pole:

$$c_{2}(\Lambda) = \lim_{t \to 0^{-}} \left\{ \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} ds \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s, t)}{s^{3}} + \frac{1}{M_{\text{pl}}^{2} t} \right\} = "\infty - \infty" \stackrel{?}{>} \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathcal{M}(s, t) \sim (s, t, u \text{ poles}) + c_{2} s^{2} + \cdots \quad \ni \left[\frac{-1}{M_{\text{pl}}^{2} t} + c_{2} \right] s^{2}$$

- One solution: consider sum rules away from t = 0 limit. [Caron-Huot+ ('21)]
- Another solution: The graviton t^{-1} pole is canceled due to the Regge behavior $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M} \simeq f(t)s^{2+\alpha't+\alpha''t^2/2\cdots} @ s \gg \Lambda^2$. [JT-Aoki-Hirano ('20)]

• The sum rule for $c_2(\Lambda)$ contains graviton *t*-channel pole:

$$c_{2}(\Lambda) = \lim_{t \to 0^{-}} \left\{ \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} ds \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s, t)}{s^{3}} + \frac{1}{M_{\text{pl}}^{2} t} \right\} = "\infty - \infty" \stackrel{?}{>} 0$$
$$\int_{s_{*} \gg \Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} ds \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s, t)}{s^{3}} \sim f(t) \int_{s_{*} \gg \Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} ds \frac{s^{2 + \alpha' t + \cdots}}{s^{3}}$$

• Another solution: The graviton t^{-1} pole is canceled due to the Regge behavior $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M} \simeq f(t)s^{2+\alpha't+\alpha''t^2/2\cdots} @ s \gg \Lambda^2$. [JT-Aoki-Hirano ('20)]

• The sum rule for $c_2(\Lambda)$ contains graviton *t*-channel pole:

$$c_{2}(\Lambda) = \lim_{t \to 0^{-}} \left\{ \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \frac{\mathrm{Im} \,\mathcal{M}(s,t)}{s^{3}} + \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^{2} t} \right\} = "\infty - \infty" \stackrel{?}{>} \mathbf{0}$$
$$\int_{S_{*} \gg \Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \frac{\mathrm{Im} \,\mathcal{M}(s,t)}{s^{3}} \sim f(t) \int_{S_{*} \gg \Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \frac{s^{2+\alpha't+\cdots}}{s^{3}} = \frac{-f(\mathbf{0})s_{*}}{\alpha't} + \mathcal{O}(t^{0}).$$

• Another solution: The graviton t^{-1} pole is canceled due to the Regge behavior $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M} \simeq f(t)s^{2+\alpha't+\alpha''t^2/2\cdots} @ s \gg \Lambda^2$. [JT-Aoki-Hirano ('20)]

(Related discussions: [Hamada+('18 '23)] [Herrero-Valea+('20)] [Bellazzini+('19)] [Alberte+('20,'21)])

• Gravitational positivity bound: [JT-Aoki-Hirano ('20)]

$$c_{2}(\Lambda) = \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{s_{*}} \mathrm{d}s \frac{\mathrm{Im} \mathcal{M}(s,0)}{s^{3}} + \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^{2}} \left[-\frac{2\partial_{t}f(t)|_{t=0}}{f(0)} + \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} \right] \ge \pm \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^{2}M^{2}}$$

> 0 (unitarity) < 0 (unitarity!)

(Related discussions: [Hamada+('18 '23)] [Herrero-Valea+('20)] [Bellazzini+('19)] [Alberte+('20,'21)])

• Gravitational positivity bound: [JT-Aoki-Hirano ('20)]

$$c_2(\Lambda) = \int_{\Lambda^2}^{s_*} \mathrm{d}s \frac{\mathrm{Im} \,\mathcal{M}(s,0)}{s^3} + \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^2} \left[-\frac{2\partial_t f(t)|_{t=0}}{f(0)} + \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} \right] \ge \pm \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^2 M^2}$$

> 0 (unitarity) < 0 (unitarity!)

- Scale of *M*: depends on details of the Regge behavior.
- $c_2(\Lambda) = 0$ is allowed. \checkmark Type-II superstring amplitude: $c_2 = 0$.

(Related discussions: [Hamada+('18 '23)] [Herrero-Valea+('20)] [Bellazzini+('19)] [Alberte+('20,'21)])

• Gravitational positivity bound: [JT-Aoki-Hirano ('20)]

$$c_2(\Lambda) = \int_{\Lambda^2}^{S_*} \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\mathrm{Im} \, \mathcal{M}(s, 0)}{s^3} + \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^2} \left[-\frac{2\partial_t f(t)|_{t=0}}{f(0)} + \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} \right] \ge \pm \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^2 M^2}$$

> 0 (unitarity) < 0 (unitarity!)

- Scale of *M*: depends on details of the Regge behavior.
- $c_2(\Lambda) = 0$ is allowed. \checkmark Type-II superstring amplitude: $c_2 = 0$.
- An explicit relation between EFT and quantum gravity!
 - > Violation of $c_2(\Lambda) \ge 0$ constrains UV properties of S-matrix (e.g. details of Regge behavior).
 - > Today, we discuss implications of $c_2(\Lambda) \ge 0$ for models.

Application: Standard Model + GR [Aoki-Loc-Noumi-JT ('21)]

• We focus on the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ process in the Standard Model + GR.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{M_{\rm pl}^2}{2}R + \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \cdots$$

* We ignore higher-derivative terms for simplicity.

* For earlier analysis in QED(-like)+GR, see [Cheung+('14), Andriolo+('18), Chen+('19), Alberte+('20)]

- We compute $c_2(\Lambda)$ and then discuss implications of $c_2(\Lambda) \ge 0$.
 - > We decompose $c_2(\Lambda)$ into two pieces:

 $c_2(\Lambda) = (\mathbf{nongrav}) + (\mathbf{grav})$

(nongrav): contributions from processes without graviton.

(grav): contributions from processes with graviton.

Evaluate (nongrav)

[Aoki-Loc-Noumi-JT ('21)]

• $\mathcal{M}_{nongrav}$ satisfies the twice-subtracted dispersion relation. Hence,

Evaluate (nongrav)

[Aoki-Loc-Noumi-<u>JT</u> ('21)]

- Since we consider physics at t = 0, IR physics can play a role.
- To calculate $\sigma_{tot}(s)$ at $s \gg \Lambda^2_{QCD}$, the Regge theory is useful.
- QCD gives dominant contributions via *t*-ch. Pomeron exchange:



* [Kłusek-Gawenda+ ('16)] evaluates QCD contributions using the vector meson dominance model. We extrapolated their results up to high energies.

$$\therefore (\text{nongrav}) \sim \frac{e^4}{\Lambda^4} + \frac{e^4}{\Lambda^2 m_{\text{W}}^2} + \frac{e^4}{\Lambda^2 \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2} \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2}\right)^{0.08}$$

Evaluate (grav)& Results

• For gravitational piece, electron one-loop correction to $\gamma\gamma h$ couplings gives a dominant contribution, because electron is light:

• Now, $c_2(\Lambda) = (\text{nongrav}) + (\text{grav}) \ge 0$ reads

$$\frac{e^4}{\Lambda^4} + \frac{e^4}{\Lambda^2 m_{\rm W}^2} + \frac{e^4}{\Lambda^2 \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2} \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2}\right)^{0.08} - \frac{e^2}{M_{\rm pl}^2 m_e^2} \ge 0$$





Summary

• Gravitational positivity bounds reveal UV-IR correlations.

Approximate positivity: $c_2(\Lambda) \ge \pm 1/M_{\rm pl}^2 M^2$

* *M*: depends on details of UV theory (e.g. Regge behavior)

✓ Violation of $c_2(\Lambda) \ge 0$ constrains UV properties of S-matrix

- We discuss implications of $c_2(\Lambda) \ge 0$ for models.
 - The Standard Model \blacksquare Easily satisfies the bound \odot .
 - Nontrivial for generic models. Sota Sato's talk! (Next talk)
 e.g.) dark sector physics
- Further study of quantum gravity S-matrix will be important for phenomenology, and vice versa.

backup

The setup we consider

• We *assume* the Reggeization of graviton exchange at UV.



Basic properties of S-matrix

• How can we understand good properties of S-matrix?



> Analyticity of $\mathcal{M}(s, 0)$ in the *s*-plane \iff Causality

Analyticity & Causality c.f.) [Camanho-Edelstein-Maldacena-Zhiboedov+('14)]

- To get some intuition, let's consider a signal model.
- We have an initial signal $f_{in}(t)$ and an out-signal $f_{out}(t)$ with

$$f_{\text{out}}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' S(t - t') f_{\text{in}}(t').$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tilde{f}_{\text{out}}(\omega) = \tilde{S}(\omega) \tilde{f}_{\text{in}}(\omega), \quad S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \tilde{S}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t}.$$

- $\tilde{S}(\omega)$: S-matrix element.
- **Causality** implies: S(t) = 0 for t < 0.

 $\implies \tilde{S}(\omega) = \int_0^\infty dt \, S(t) e^{i\omega t} \qquad \text{Analytic in the upper half plane.}$

Mild behavior from Locality & Unitarity

• Key: polynomial boundedness (PB)

$$\mathcal{M}(s,t) < s^N$$
 as $s \to \infty, t$: fixed. $s^N \sim \partial^{\#} \sim \text{Locality}$

• Consider the partial-wave expansion

$$\mathcal{M} \sim \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) f_{\ell}(s) P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$$



▶ Partial-wave unitarity: $|f_{\ell}(s)| \le 1$

> Short-range force: $|f_{\ell}(s)| < s^N \exp(-m_e \ell/\sqrt{s})$ @ large ℓ

$$|\mathcal{M}(s,0)| < \sum_{\ell=0}^{\sqrt{s}\ln s} (2\ell+1) \sim s(\ln s)^2 \text{ as } s \to \infty.$$
 Froissart-
Martin bound.

Why " s^2 "- bound ? (Naïve)

- The following *may be* useful. (But, just my naïve guess)
- Suppose that we have an elementary particle with spin- \mathcal{J} in our Lagrangian. *t*-channel exchange of spin- \mathcal{J} particle:

$$\mathcal{M}(s,t) \sim \frac{s^{\mathcal{J}}}{m_{\mathcal{J}}^2 - t}.$$

- This violates the condition $\lim_{|s|\to\infty} \left| \frac{\mathcal{M}(s,0)}{s^2} \right| = 0$ when $\mathcal{J} \ge 2$.
- Usually renormalizable theories contain elementary particles
 with spin less than two.

```
c.f.) 4.3 of Gribov's textbook
```

V.N. GRIBOV

Angular Momenta

CAMBRIDGE MONOGRAPHS IN MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

Remark

• Recall that (nongrav) is evaluated as

$$(\mathbf{nongrav}) \simeq \int_{\Lambda^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \ \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{nongrav}}(s)}{s^2} > 0.$$

* $\sigma_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{nongrav}} \simeq \frac{1}{s} \mathrm{Im} \ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nongrav}}(s, 0)$: total cross section

• Typically, physics with the mass scale *M* gives

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{nongrav}}(s) \lesssim M^{-2} \quad (@ s \gtrsim M^2).$$

- If $\sigma_{tot}^{nongrav}(s)$ decays at $s \gg M^2$, its contribution to (nongrav) also decays.
- Light particles with non-decaying $\sigma_{tot}^{nongrav}$ are important.

QED + GR

[Alberte-de Rham-Jaitly-Tolley. ('20)] (Earlier works: [Cheung+('14), Andriolo+('18), Chen+('19)]) (see also: [Hamada+('23)])

• We focus on the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ process.

$$c_2(\Lambda) = (\mathbf{nongrav}) + (\mathbf{grav}) > -\mathcal{O}\left(M_{\mathrm{pl}}^{-2}M_s^{-2}\right).$$



- Renormalizable couplings can be constrained!
- A cutoff is too low ? $m_e \rightarrow 0$ is prohibited?
- It is conjectured that $c_2(\Lambda) > -\mathcal{O}\left(M_{\text{pl}}^{-2}m_e^{-2}\right)$.

Setup: dark photon model [Noumi-Sato-JT ('22)]

• A hidden U(1) massive gauge field A' with kinetic mixing:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{M_{\text{pl}}^2}{2}R - \frac{1}{4}F^2 + eJ_{\text{SM}}^{\mu}A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}F'^2 - \frac{m_{A'}^2}{2}A'^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}FF'$$
* *F*': field strength of hidden U(1)

* For a while, we assume $\{X_i\}$'s are very heavy and negligible.

Setup: dark photon model [Noumi-Sato-JT ('22)]

• A hidden U(1) massive gauge field A' with kinetic mixing:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{M_{\text{pl}}^2}{2}R - \frac{1}{4}F^2 + eJ_{\text{SM}}^{\mu}A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}F'^2 - \frac{m_{A'}^2}{2}A'^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}FF'$$
* *F*': field strength of hidden U(1)

- * For a while, we assume $\{X_i\}$'s are very heavy and negligible.
- Diagonalizing the kinetic term,

$$C \supset \frac{M_{\rm pl}^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{4} F^2 - \frac{1}{4} F'^2 - \frac{m_{A'}^2}{2} A'^2 + e J_{\rm SM}^{\mu} (A_{\mu} - \epsilon A'_{\mu})$$

- The dark photon A' is coupled to the SM sector.
- We consider $\gamma \gamma' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma'$ process. We ignore QCD sector.

• For transverse modes:



[Noumi-Sato-JT ('22)]

• For transverse modes:



[Noumi-Sato-JT ('22)]

• For longitudinal modes:

$$c_2(\Lambda) = \frac{8\alpha^2 \epsilon^2}{m_w^2 \Lambda^2} \cdot \left(\frac{m_{A'}}{m_w}\right)^2 - \frac{11\alpha}{45m_e^2 M_{\rm pl}^2} \ge 0.$$

• For transverse modes:



[Noumi-Sato-JT ('22)]

[Noumi-Sato-<u>JT</u> ('22)]

Bound from

longitudinal

modes

Bound from

transverse

modes



- Testable LOWER bound on ϵ . Complementary to experimental search.
- Lower bound on $m_{A'}$ is suggested.
- New particles mediating $\gamma \gamma' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma'$ will change the results (next page).

Adding new particles

• We consider vector bosons V charged under both U(1)s.

 $c_2(\Lambda) = \frac{16\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{m_v^2\Lambda^2} \cdot \left(\frac{m_{A'}}{m_V}\right)^2 - \frac{11\alpha}{45m_e^2M_{\rm pl}^2} \ge 0.$

• For longitudinal modes:

 $\tilde{\alpha}$: fine structure const for dark U(1) m_V : mass of bi-charge vector bosons

* We set $\epsilon \ll 1$ so that we can ignore the contributions from kinetic mixing.

Adding new particles

- We consider vector bosons V charged under both U(1)s.
- For longitudinal modes:

 $\tilde{\alpha}$: fine structure const for dark U(1) m_V : mass of bi-charge vector bosons

* We set $\epsilon \ll 1$ so that we can ignore the contributions from kinetic mixing.

$$\Lambda \lesssim 10~{
m TeV} imes \sqrt{4\pi \widetilde{lpha}} \left(rac{m_{A'}}{1~{
m keV}}
ight) \left(rac{1~{
m TeV}}{m_V}
ight)$$

 $c_2(\Lambda) = \frac{16\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{m_V^2\Lambda^2} \cdot \left(\frac{m_{A'}}{m_V}\right)^2 - \frac{11\alpha}{45m_e^2M_{\rm pl}^2} \ge 0.$

• Again, very tiny mass $m_{A'}$ is disfavored. This is because, non-gravitational piece vanishes in the limit $m_{A'} \rightarrow 0$. (c.f. [Aoki-Noumi-Saito-Sato-Shirai-JT-Yamazaki ('23)])

Generalization

• Consider the GR+SM+dark sector model in 4D.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{M_{\rm pl}^2}{2}R + \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM}[A_{\mu}, \cdots] + \mathcal{L}_{\rm dark-sector}[X, \dots]$$

• Focus on the process $\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma X$. (We've discussed $X = \gamma'$ case.)



- "Dark sector cannot be too dark."
- It would be interesting to consider various models! (e.g. axion)

Regge behavior: higher-spin states [Gribov's textbook]

• Regge behavior ~ Regge pole $f_{\ell}(t) \sim (\ell - \alpha(t))^{-1}$:

$$\mathcal{M}(s,t) \sim \sum_{\ell} f_{\ell}(t) P_{\ell}\left(1 + \frac{2s}{t - 4m^2}\right) \sim P_{\alpha(t)}\left(1 + \frac{2s}{t - 4m^2}\right) \sim s^{\alpha(t)}.$$

* Spin-*J* particle exchange (t-ch): $f_{\ell}(t) \sim \frac{\delta_{\ell J}}{t - M^2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(s, t) \sim s^J$.

• The Regge trajectory $\alpha(t)$ ~ higher-spin spectra.



Finite energy sum rules

[Noumi-<u>JT</u> ('22)]

- We consider the scattering of massless identical scalar.
- We have $\int_{C_++C_L} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{2\pi i} (s+t/2)^{2n+1} \mathcal{M}(s,t) = 0$ (n = 0,1,2,...).



• We assume $\int_{C_+} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{2\pi i} (\cdots) \mathcal{M}(s,t) \simeq \int_{C_+} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{2\pi i} (\cdots) \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{R}}(s,t),$ $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{R}} = \frac{-f(t) \left[e^{-i\pi\alpha(t)} + 1 \right]}{\sin\pi\alpha(t)} (s/s_*)^{\alpha(t)}, \quad \alpha(t) = 2 + \alpha' t + \alpha'' t^2/2 + \cdots.$

Finite energy sum rules

[Noumi-<u>JT</u> ('22)]

• Finite energy sum rules (FESRs) [for n = 0, 1, 2, ...]

$$\frac{f(t)}{\alpha(t)+2n+2} = \frac{1}{(s_*+t/2)^{2n+2}} \int_{M_s^2}^{s_*} ds \ (s+t/2)^{2n+1} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s,t) \,.$$
$$=: S_{2n+1}(t)$$

 FESRs directly connect Regge parameters with "infrared" physics s ≤ s_{*} !

✓ We can derive FESRs for f(t) and $\alpha(t)$. For instance,

$$f'(0) = \frac{2}{n-m} [(n+2)^2 S'_{2n+1}(0) - (m+2)^2 S'_{2m+1}(0)] \quad (n,m = 0,1,2,\dots).$$

FESR test: examples

[Noumi-<u>JT</u> ('22)]

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}_{\text{type II}}(s,t)|_{s \gg 4, t \sim 0} \simeq \frac{256}{[\Gamma(1+t/4)]^2} \left(\frac{s+t/2}{4}\right)^{2+t/2} .$$

$$f(t) = \frac{256}{[\Gamma(1+t/4)]^2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{t}{8}\right)^{\alpha(t)} \qquad \alpha(t) = 2+t/2 .$$

$$f'/f$$
2.0
$$f'$$

Key Idea

[Noumi-<u>JT</u> ('22)]

$$\frac{f(t)}{\alpha(t)+2n+2} = \frac{1}{(s_*+t/2)^{2n+2}} \int_{M_s^2}^{s_*} \mathrm{d}s \ (s+t/2)^{2n+1} \ \mathrm{Im} \ \mathcal{M}(s,t) \,.$$

- FESRs were useful in the context of strong interactions. [Igi (1962), Dolen+(1967,68), Ademollo+(1967,68)...]
 - e.g.) Experimental input for the RHS -> Constraints on LHS.
 - · Veneziano amplitude = solution of "FESR bootstrap" for $\pi\pi \to \pi\omega$.
- Our case: no experimental input for $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s, 0)$ with $s > M_s^2$.
- But, we have a theoretical input !! "Null constraints"
 ... implied by crossing symmetry.

[Arkani-Hamed+('19, '21), Bellazzini+ ('20), Tolley+ ('20), Caron-Huot+('20)]

Results

 We confirm that the Regge parameters *f*(*t*) and *α*(*t*) are governed by the scales of higher-spin tower M_s and α', ignoring loops of light particles.

$$f'/f < 9.1 \times 10^2 M_s^{-2} \qquad \alpha''/\alpha' > -2f'/f - 2.4 \times 10^5 M_s^{-4}/\alpha'$$
$$c_2 > -M_{\rm pl}^{-2} M_s^{-2} \left[3.7 \times 10^3 + 2.4 \times 10^5 (M_s^2 \alpha')^{-1} \right]$$

* We choose $s_* = 10M_s^2$ as a benchmark point in this talk.

- IR finite grav. positivity bounds in D=4 dimensions!
- New bounds on gravitational Regge parameters.
- An extension to higher-D is straightforward.

Comparisons

• Our bounds are easily satisfied by string amplitude.

$$\frac{f'}{f} < \frac{10^2}{M_s^2} \times \{3.0, 2.2, 1.8, 1.5, 1.4, 1.3, 1.2\} \qquad (D = 4, 5, 6, \dots, 10)$$
$$\frac{f'}{f} \Big|_{\text{type-II}} \simeq \frac{5.86}{M_s^2}$$

- In general, we expect $c_2 > \frac{-O(1)}{M_{pl}^2 M_s^2}$ from power counting. This is proven in [Caron-Huot+('21)] in higher dimensions D > 4.
- However, the finite bound on c_2 in D = 4 was not known.
- We expect the presence of theoretical bound on c_2 which is much stronger than ours: *future work*.

Application 1: 4D scalar QFT + GR

• We consider 4D scalar QFT +GR.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{M_{\rm pl}^2}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi) + (\text{counterterms}) \qquad V(\phi) = \frac{m^2\phi^2}{2} + \frac{g\phi^3}{3!} + \frac{\lambda\phi^4}{4!}$$

• We compute $c_2(\Lambda)$ and then discuss implications of $c_2(\Lambda) > \frac{-O(1)}{M_{pl}^2 M_s^2}$.

*
$$c_2(\Lambda)$$
: calculable within EFT
 $c_2(\Lambda) \coloneqq c_2 - \int_{4m^2}^{\Lambda^2} ds \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s, 0)}{(s - 2m^2)^3}$
* Λ : EFT cutoff
* $c_2 \sim "s^2$ coefficient"
 $\mathcal{M}(s,t) \sim (s,t,u \text{ poles}) + c_2(s - 2m^2)^2 + \cdots$

In this setup, loop diagrams give leading contributions to c₂. Then, we also need to compute Im M(s, 0) to get c₂(Λ). Actually, the "improvement procedure" is practically important. [Alberte, de Rham, Jaitly, Tolley ('20,'21)]

Results (1/2)

$$\sum_{h_{\mu\nu}} \sum_{h_{\mu\nu}} \sum_{h_{\mu\nu}} \sum_{non-grav} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\lambda^2}{16\pi^2 \Lambda^4} - \frac{\lambda g^2}{6\pi^2 \Lambda^6} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \right) - \frac{1}{6} \right] + \frac{g^4}{12\pi^2 m^2 \Lambda^6} \right\} > 0$$

$$c_{grav} = -\frac{1}{M_{pl}^2} \left(\frac{45 - 8\pi\sqrt{3}}{1296\pi^2} \frac{g^2}{m^4} + \frac{10 - \pi^2}{4608\pi^4} \frac{\lambda^2}{m^2} \right) < 0$$

✓ This inequality is nontrivial when the negative c_{grav} dominates over " – $O\left(M_{\text{pl}}^{-2}M_{\text{s}}^{-2}\right)$ " on the RHS.

✓ In the limit $m \to 0$ while keeping $(\lambda, g/m)$ fixed, $c_{\text{grav}} \to -\infty$, leading to the violation of inequality.

$V(\phi)$ cannot be arbitrarily flat.

[T. Noumi, <u>JT</u> ('21)]

• When having a scaling $g^2 \leq |\lambda| m^2$, the bound reads

$$m \gtrsim \frac{\Lambda_{\rm tot}^2}{M_{\rm pl}} \left[\frac{1.8 \times 10^{-2} \ (g/m)^2}{\lambda^2} + 4.6 \times 10^{-5} \right]^{1/2}$$

• In $\lambda \phi^4$ theory, the bound becomes a lower bound on m^2



- Our bound provides quantitative swampland criteria.
- Typically, tiny mass $m \ll \Lambda$ is disfavored.



$$V^{\mu\nu}(k_1,k_3)|_{k_1^2=k_3^2=-m^2} \ni R(q^2)(k_1-k_3)^{\mu}(k_1-k_3)^{\nu}, \qquad R_{\text{tree}}(q^2)=1/2.$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(s,t) \Big|_{\text{grav}} \sim \frac{4R^2(-t)su}{M_{\text{pl}}^2 t} \sim \frac{4R'(0)}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} s^2$$

$$c_{\text{grav}} \simeq \frac{8R'(0)}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} \simeq -\frac{1}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} \left(\frac{45 - 8\pi\sqrt{3}}{1296\pi^2} \frac{g^2}{m^4} + \frac{10 - \pi^2}{4608\pi^4} \frac{\lambda^2}{m^2} \right) < 0.$$
 Negative!!

• Negative term arises as a result of expanding $R(q^2)$ around $q^2 = 0$.

Sign of *c*_{grav} and superluminality

Consider scalar theory. $c_{\text{grav}} = -$



$$\mathcal{L} = M_{\rm pl}^2 R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - V(\phi) + \alpha R_{\mu\nu} (\partial^{\mu} \phi) (\partial^{\nu} \phi) \qquad \alpha < 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow c_{\rm grav} < 0$$

Effective metric for ϕ : $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - 2\alpha R_{\mu\nu}$

e.g.) FLRW metric with $\dot{H} < 0$ Dispersion relation: $\omega^2 \simeq (1 + 4\alpha \dot{H})k^2 > k^2$ Superluminal relative to the speed of GW!

 $c_{\rm grav} < 0 \sim$ Superluminal propagation in b.g. satisfying null-E condition.

Analyticity and Causality (4/5)

• Let us assume the analyticity and poly. boundedness of S(E)

$$\int_{0}^{C} \frac{|E'|}{|E'|} S(E) = \frac{g(E)}{2\pi i} \oint_{C} \frac{dE'}{g(E')} \frac{S(E')}{E' - E - i\epsilon}$$

where $g(E)$ ensures $\lim_{|E| \to \infty} \left| \frac{S_{\beta\alpha}(E)}{g(E)} \right| = 0.$

$$S(E) = \frac{g(E)}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE'}{g(E')} \frac{-iS(E')}{E' - E - i\epsilon} \qquad g(E): \text{ polynomial}$$

 $S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{2\pi} S(E) e^{-iEt} = \cdots \text{ (next page)} \cdots$

Analyticity and Causality (5/5)

• Analyticity of $S_{\beta\alpha}(E)$ gives rise to

$$S(t) = g(i\partial_t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE'}{2\pi} \frac{iS_{\beta\alpha}(E')}{g(E')} e^{-iE't} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-i(E-E')t}}{E-E'+i\epsilon}$$
$$= g(i\partial_t) [\Theta(t)f(t)]$$
where
$$f(t) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE'}{2\pi} \frac{iS(E')}{g(E')} e^{-iE't}$$
$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE'}{2\pi} \frac{iS(E')}{g(E')} e^{-iE't}$$

Polynomial boundedness of S(E)

 $f(i\partial_t) \text{ contains finite number of derivatives}$ S(t) = 0 for t < 0 : micro-causality.

• For transverse modes:

$$c_2(\Lambda) \simeq \frac{32\alpha^2 \epsilon^2}{m_w^2 \Lambda^2} - \frac{11\alpha}{m_e^2 M_{\rm pl}^2} > -O\left(M_{\rm pl}^{-2} M_s^{-2}\right).$$
$$\epsilon \ge \sqrt{\frac{11}{5760\pi\alpha}} \frac{m_w \Lambda}{m_e M_{\rm pl}} \simeq 1.9 \times 10^{-11} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{1\,{\rm TeV}}\right).$$

 α : fine structure const $M_{\rm pl}$: Planck mass m_w : mass of W-boson m_e : mass of electron

[T. Noumi, <u>JT</u> ('21)]

• For longitudinal modes:

$$c_{2}(\Lambda) = \frac{8\alpha^{2}\epsilon^{2}}{m_{w}^{2}\Lambda^{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{m_{A'}}{m_{w}}\right)^{2} - \frac{11\alpha}{m_{e}^{2}M_{\text{pl}}^{2}} > -O\left(M_{\text{pl}}^{-2}M_{S}^{-2}\right).$$
$$\epsilon \geq \sqrt{\frac{11}{1440\pi\alpha}} \frac{m_{w}\Lambda}{m_{e}M_{\text{pl}}} \cdot \left(\frac{m_{w}}{m_{A'}}\right) \approx 3.0 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{1\,\text{TeV}}\right) \left(\frac{1\,\text{keV}}{m_{A'}}\right)$$

Example of positivity violation

• e.g) type-II superstring amplitude of identical massless boson

$$\mathcal{M}(s,t) = -A\left(s^{2}u^{2} + t^{2}u^{2} + s^{2}t^{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha's}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha't}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha'u}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha's}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha't}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha'u}{4}\right)} \quad (A > 0)$$

Higher-spin tower states Reggeize the amplitude.

Strict positivity is violated, due to the exact cancellation:
 (Regge states) – (graviton t-pole) = 0