EFT strings and emergence

Based on: – 2211.01409 with Fernando Marchesano – work in progress

Luca Melottí, Stríng Pheno, July 2023







Swampland Distance Conjecture:

Along geodesic paths of infinite distance there is an infinite tower of states which, asymptotically, becomes exponentially light



Ooguri & Vafa '06



 \mathcal{M}

with mine with stars with a set

Infinite

Distance Point

Along geodesic paths of infinite distance there is an infinite tower of states which, asymptotically, becomes exponentially light

The Emergence Proposal "reverses the logic" of the Swampland Distance Conjecture

Harlow '15 Grimm, Palti, Valenzuela '18 Heidenreich, Reece, Rudelius '18

Emergence Proposal

Kinetic terms in the IR emerge from integrating out towers of states down from the species scale $\Lambda_{sp} = M_P^{4d} / \sqrt{S}$

 \implies infinite distances and small gauge coupling limits in moduli space arise from integrating out the infinite towers of light states predicted by the SDC

We focus on gauge kinetic functions in 4d EFTs, which receive 1-loop corrections

 \implies infinite distances and small gauge coupling limits in moduli space arise from integrating out the infinite towers of light states predicted by the SDC

We focus on gauge kinetic functions in 4d EFTs, which receive 1-loop corrections



Setup

Goal: test the Emergence Proposal along EFT string limits

Setup: type IIA compactifications on CY₃, vector multiplet moduli space

Setup

Goal: test the Emergence Proposal along EFT string limits

gauge kinetic terms

 $I = V_X \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4g_0 \end{pmatrix}$

Setup: type IIA compactifications on CY₃, vector multiplet moduli space

• EFT string = NS5-brane on Nef divisor $D = e^a D_a$ • complex scalars $T^a = b^a + it^a$ • U(1) gauge fields F^0, F^a \downarrow Lanza, Marchesano, Martucci, Valenzuela '21

Setup

Goal: test the Emergence Proposal along EFT string limits

Setup: type IIA compactifications on CY₃, vector multiplet moduli space

- EFT string = NS5-brane on Nef divisor $D = e^a D_a$ • complex scalars $T^a = b^a + it^a$
- U(1) gauge fields F^0, F^a

↓

gauge kinetic terms

$$I = V_X \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4g_{ab} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $s^{i}(r)$ $r_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{\Lambda}$ Lanza, Marchesano, Martucci, Valenzuela '21

Large volume and strong 10d coupling

$$t^a = e^a \phi$$
 with $\phi \to \infty$
 $g_s(\phi) \sim \sqrt{V_X(\phi)} \to \infty$

Emergence in type II CY

Grimm, Palti, Valenzuela '18

One can classify infinite distance limits in terms of the scaling weight w (or equivalently $K \sim -n \log \phi$)

 $m_*^2 \sim M_P^2 \left(\frac{T_{\rm EFT}}{M_P^2}\right)^m$ w = 1,2,3 Lanza, Marchesano, Martucci, Valenzuela '21

and try to reproduce the gauge kinetic terms via the Emergence Proposal

$$I_{AB}^{\rm IR} = I_{AB}^{\rm UV} - \sum_{k=1}^{S} q_{k,A} q_{k,B} \log \frac{\Lambda_{\rm sp}}{m_k}$$

Emergence in type II CY

Grimm, Palti, Valenzuela '18

One can classify infinite distance limits in terms of the scaling weight w (or equivalently $K \sim -n \log \phi$)

 $m_*^2 \sim M_P^2 \left(\frac{T_{\rm EFT}}{M_P^2}\right)^n$ w = 1,2,3 Lanza, Marchesano, Martucci, Valenzuela '21

and try to reproduce the gauge kinetic terms via the Emergence Proposal

$$I_{AB}^{\rm IR} = I_{AB}^{\rm UV} - \sum_{k=1}^{S} q_{k,A} q_{k,B} \log \frac{\Lambda_{\rm sp}}{m_k}$$

Classification of limits:

Corvilain, Grimm, Valenzuela '18 Lee, Lerche, Weigand '19

N=W	Description	Corvilain et al.	Lee et al.	
3	k≠0	IV _d	M-theory limit	$k = \kappa_{abc} e^a e^b e^c$
2	k=0, k _a ≠0	IIIc	J-Class A: T ²	$k_a = \kappa_{abc} e^b e^c$
1	k _a =0, k _{ab} ≠0	llb	J-Class B: T ⁴ or K3	$k_{ab} = \kappa_{abc} e^c$

Emergence in type II CY

Grimm, Palti, Valenzuela '18

One can classify infinite distance limits in terms of the scaling weight w (or equivalently $K \sim -n \log \phi$) $m_*^2 \sim M_P^2 \left(\frac{T_{\rm EFT}}{M_P^2}\right)^w \qquad w = 1,2,3 \qquad \text{Lanza, Marchesano, Martucci, Valenzuela '21}$

and try to reproduce the gauge kinetic terms via the Emergence Proposal

 $I_{AB}^{\rm IR} = I_{AB}^{\rm UV} - \sum_{k=1}^{S} q_{k,A} q_{k,B} \log \frac{\Lambda_{\rm sp}}{m_k}$

Result for some components:

Emergent String Conjecture

Lee, Lerche, Weigand '19

n=w	loo	l _{aa}	(I ₀₀) ^{EP}	(I _{aa}) ^{EP}	ESC	
3	ф ³	φ	ф ³	φ	KK-like tower	
2	Ф ²	Ф ²	Ф ²	Φ ^{2/3}	KK-like tower	
1	φ	φ	φ	φ	emergent (EFT) string	<pre>string modes dominate!</pre>

Towers in EFT string limits

Mass scales along the limits

n=w	MKK	$m^{*} = m_{D0}$	m _{D2}	$\Lambda_{\rm NS5} = T^{1/2}$	$\Lambda_{ m sp}$ (D0's)
3	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-3/2}	φ-1/2	Φ ^{-1/2}	ф ^{-1/2}
2	Φ ^{-1/2}	Ф-1	Ф-1	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ-1/3
1	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/6}

Only considering D0's!

- Lightest tower of states: DO-branes
- Further tower: D2/D0 bound states charged under U(1)a

Key point: one needs to take into account all the leading towers in order to properly compute the species scale

X = elliptic fibration over \mathbb{P}^2 , two moduli t^1, t^2

- $t^1 \equiv \phi \rightarrow \infty$, w = 3 emergence computation = effective theory

- $t^2 \equiv \phi \rightarrow \infty$, w = 2 emergence computation \neq effective theory $I_{11} \sim \phi^{2/3}$ $I_{11} \sim \phi^2$

light BPS towers of D2+D0 with fixed D2 charges and growing D0 charge Grimm, Li, Palti '18

X = elliptic fibration over \mathbb{P}^2 , two moduli t^1, t^2

- $t^1 \equiv \phi \rightarrow \infty$, w = 3 emergence computation = effective theory

- $t^2 \equiv \phi \rightarrow \infty$, w = 2 emergence computation \neq effective theory $I_{11} \sim \phi^{2/3}$ $I_{11} \sim \phi^2$

light BPS towers of D2+D0 with fixed D2 charges and growing D0 charge

Grimm, Li, Palti '18

Key difference between the two limits:

$$\begin{cases} w = 3 \rightarrow \text{only } m_{\text{D0}} \ll \Lambda_{\text{sp}} \\ w = 2 \rightarrow \text{both } m_{\text{D0}}, m_{\text{D2}} \ll \Lambda_{\text{sp}} \end{cases}$$

n=w	MKK	m _{D0}	m _{D2}	T 1/2	$\Lambda_{ m sp}$ (D0's)
3	Φ-1/2	Φ ^{-3/2}	Φ-1/2	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}
2	Φ-1/2	Ф-1	Ф-1	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/3}

T1/2

Φ^{-1/2}

Φ^{-1/2}

 \Rightarrow in w = 2 double tower of states with arbitrary D2 and D0 charges

 m_{D2}

Φ^{-1/2}

Φ-1

 m_{D0}

Φ^{-3/2}

Φ-1

D2 wrapping multiple times an elliptic fibre

Limit

5d M-theory

6d F-theory

\Rightarrow	correct	the	species	scale	
---------------	---------	-----	---------	-------	--

MKK

Φ^{-1/2}

Φ-1/2

n=w

З

2

No other tower below $\Lambda_{\rm sp}!$

 $\Phi^{-1/3} \rightarrow \Phi^{-1/2}$

 Λ_{sp}

Φ-1/2

 \Rightarrow in w = 2 double tower of states with arbitrary D2 and D0 charges

 \Rightarrow correct the species scale

D2 wrapping multiple times an elliptic fibre

n=w	т _{кк}	m _{D0}	m _{D2}	T1/2	$\Lambda_{ m sp}$	Limit
3	Φ ^{-1/2}	Ф ^{-3/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	5d M-theory
2	Φ ^{-1/2}	ф-1	Ф-1	Ф ^{-1/2}	$\Phi^{-1/3} \to \Phi^{-1/2}$	6d F-theory

No other tower below $\Lambda_{sp}!$

Considering the double tower of D2-D0 states in the w = 2 limit lowers the species scale but increases the number of species

 \Rightarrow there is a compensating effect

$$I_{00} \sim I_{11} \sim \sum_{j,k=-S_{\text{D}p}}^{S_{\text{D}p}} k^2 \log \frac{\Lambda_{\text{sp}}}{m_{(j,k)}} \sim S_{\text{D}p} \cdot S_{\text{D}p}^3 \sim \phi^2 \longrightarrow \text{ matches with the EFT scaling!}$$

sum over both D2 and D0 charges!

w=1 limits

Plenty of leading towers, including that of the EFT string oscillations

n=w	Мкк	$m^* = m_{D0}$	m _{D2}	MD4,fibre	T1/2
1	Φ ^{-1/2}				

The leading contribution to $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$ depends on whether the EFT string is:

- non-critical \rightarrow D4/D2/D0 bound states dominate and set $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$
- critical \rightarrow string modes dominate and set $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$

w=1 limits

Plenty of leading towers, including that of the EFT string oscillations

n=w	Мкк	$m^* = m_{D0}$	M _{D2}	MD4,fibre	T 1/2
1	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ-1/2	Φ ^{-1/2}

The leading contribution to $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$ depends on whether the EFT string is:

– non-critical \rightarrow D4/D2/D0 bound states dominate and set $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$

– critical
$$\rightarrow$$
 string modes dominate and set $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$

- $m_N^2 = TN$, exponential degeneracy in N

Castellano, Herraez, Ibañez '22

- spectrum populated by charged states with $|\mathbf{q}|_{\max}^2 \sim N$ (saturate the BPS bound)

motivated by anomaly inflow on axionic strings Heidenreich, Reece, Rudelius '21



Remarks

- It is crucial to sum over all the towers below the species scale
- The towers that set $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$ must enter the 1-loop corrections \Rightarrow charged states

• In w = 1 limits we recover the correct scaling for both critical and non-critical EFT strings

Geometry of the scaling weight

- Focus on $w = 2,3 \longrightarrow$ decompactification limits
- Space-time $M_D = M_4 \times X_d$ with metric $ds_D^2 = e^{2A} ds_4^2 + M_D^2 ds_X^2$
- X characterized by length scales $L_I \longrightarrow V(X) = V_X^0 \prod_I L_I^{d_I}$, $d = \sum_I d_I$ associated to KK towers

- EFT string = higher dim brane wrapping $\Sigma \subset X$

$$\checkmark \quad V(\Sigma) = V_{\Sigma}^{0} \prod_{I} L_{I}^{\hat{d}_{I}}, \quad \hat{d} = \sum_{I} \hat{d}_{I}$$

 $V(\Sigma)$ controls the EFT string tension

Geometry of the scaling weight

- Restricting to single scale $L_0 \longrightarrow$ scaling weight $w = \frac{2-d}{d-\hat{d}}$
- Restrict possible decompactifications:

W	â	d
	0	1
3	2	4
	4	7
	0	2
2	1	4
	2	6

- Generalized version for many scales L_I
- Tested in examples (type IIA, M-theory,...)

Conclusions

- EFT strings are a useful tool to explore infinite distance limits of 4d EFTs. They allow to classify such limits in terms of the scaling weight w, which carries information about the mass of the leading tower m_* .
- In type IIA CY₃ compactifications EFT strings are given by NS5-branes wrapping Nef divisors. The other relevant towers are bound states of Dp-branes wrapping internal cycles.
- We tested the Emergence Proposal in this context, focusing on the vector multiplet moduli space and the gauge kinetic function. In w = 2 limits the relevant tower is a 2-dim D2-D0 bound states tower. In general the leading tower must dominate both the computation of the species scale and the 1-loop corrections.
- w = 1 limits contain emergent EFT string limits, in which the oscillations of the critical string dominate the spectrum and should be charged. It would be important to look at explicit EFT string spectra to test these assumptions.
- In decompactification limits the scaling weight w encodes geometrical information about the decompactification.

Thank you!

Backup slides

EFT strings

Lanza et al. '21

In a 4d EFT coupled to gravity, in an asymptotic region of the moduli space with a perturbative axionic shift symmetry, an EFT string is:

- a fundamental string, $\Lambda^2 < T < 2\pi M_{\rm P}^2$
- an axionic string, $a^i \rightarrow a^i + e^i$, $e^i \in \mathbb{Z}$ around its core





 approaching its core all the non-perturbative effects that break the shift symmetry are suppressed



EFT string flows

Lanza et al. '21

Backreaction on the moduli:

- axion monodromy $a^i \rightarrow a^i + e^i$ for $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\pi$
- saxions $s^i \rightarrow e^i \cdot \infty$ for $r \rightarrow 0$

$$\downarrow s^{i} \sim e^{i} \phi \quad \text{for} \quad \phi \to \infty$$
EFT string flow



EFT string becomes tensionless, but there can be lighter towers

Integral Scaling Conjecture

In an asymptotic limit specified by an EFT string flow, the leading tower mass m_* scales as $m_*^2 \sim M_P^2 \left(\frac{T}{M_P^2}\right)^w \qquad w = 1,2,3$

X = elliptic fibration over \mathbb{P}^2 , two moduli t^1, t^2

$$\mathbf{q} = (0,0,0,9,3, -9k) \longrightarrow I_{00} \sim \phi^{3}, \quad I_{ab} \sim \phi$$

$$- t^{2} \equiv \phi \rightarrow \infty, \quad w = 2$$

$$\mathbf{q} = (0,0,0,1,0, -k) \longrightarrow I_{00} \sim \phi^{2}, \quad I_{11} \sim \phi^{2/3}, \quad I_{12}, I_{22} \text{ const.}$$

$$\text{light D2/D0 towers } \mathbf{q} = (D6, D4_{1}, D4_{2}, D2_{1}, D2_{2}, D0) \quad \text{Grimm, Li, Palti '18}$$

n=w	т _{кк}	m _{D0}	m _{D2}	T1/2	$\Lambda_{ m sp}$ (D0's)
3	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-3/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}
2	φ-1/2	Ф-1	ф-1	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/3}

Key difference between the two limits:

$$w = 3 \rightarrow \text{only } m_{\text{D0}} \ll \Lambda_{\text{sp}}$$

 $w = 2 \rightarrow \text{both } m_{\text{D0}}, m_{\text{D2}} \ll \Lambda_{\text{sp}}$

 $\implies \text{ in } w = 2 \text{ double tower of states: } \mathbf{q} = (0,0,0,1,0,-k) \rightarrow \mathbf{q} = (0,0,0,j,0,-k)$ D2 wrapping j times an elliptic fibre

n=w	MKK	m _{D0}	m _{D2}	T1/2	$\Lambda_{ m sp}$
3	Φ ^{-1/2}	Ф ^{-3/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}
2	Φ ^{-1/2}	Ф-1	Ф-1	Φ ^{-1/2}	$\Phi^{-1/3} \rightarrow \Phi^{-1/2}$

No other tower below $\Lambda_{sp}!$

Correct species scale:

$$\longrightarrow \Lambda_{\rm sp} \sim \frac{M_{\rm P}}{\sqrt{S_{\rm D0}S_{\rm D2}}} \sim \frac{M_{\rm P}}{\sqrt{S_{\rm D0}^2}}$$

n=w	т _{кк}	m _{D0}	m _{D2}	T1/2	$\Lambda_{ m sp}$ (D0's)
3	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-3/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}
2	Φ-1/2	Ф-1	Ф-1	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/3}

Key difference between the two limits:

$$w = 3 \rightarrow \text{only } m_{\text{D0}} \ll \Lambda_{\text{sp}}$$

 $w = 2 \rightarrow \text{both } m_{\text{D0}}, m_{\text{D2}} \ll \Lambda_{\text{sp}}$

 $\implies \text{ in } w = 2 \text{ double tower of states: } \mathbf{q} = (0,0,0,1,0,-k) \rightarrow \mathbf{q} = (0,0,0,j,0,-k)$ D2 wrapping j times an elliptic fibre

n=w	MKK	m _{D0}	m _{D2}	T1/2	$\Lambda_{\rm sp}$	Limit
3	Φ ^{-1/2}	Ф ^{-3/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	5d M-theory
2	Φ ^{-1/2}	Ф-1	Ф-1	Φ ^{-1/2}	Φ ^{-1/2}	6d F-theory

Considering the double tower of D2-D0 states in the w = 2 limit lowers the species scale and changes the number of species:



But there is a compensating effect due to the charge degeneracy:

$$\mathbf{q} = (0,0,0,j,0,-k) \rightarrow I_{00} \sim \sum_{j,k=-S_{\mathrm{D}p}}^{S_{\mathrm{D}p}} k^2 \log \frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sp}}}{m_{(j,k)}} \sim S_{\mathrm{D}p} \cdot S_{\mathrm{D}p}^3 \sim \phi^2 \qquad \text{(same for } I_{11}\text{)}$$

This effect also occurs for multi-towers of higher dimension, but only if the leading multi-tower is made up of charged states

X = elliptic fibration over \mathbb{P}^2 , two moduli t^1, t^2

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \mbox{Effective theory} \\ -t^1 \equiv \phi \rightarrow \infty, & w = 3 \\ -t^2 \equiv \phi \rightarrow \infty, & w = 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{ll} I_{00} \sim \phi^3, & I_{ab} \sim \phi \\ I_{00} \sim \phi^2, & I_{11} \sim \phi^2, & I_{12}, I_{22} \mbox{ const.} \end{array} \end{array}$$

Emergence computation

-
$$t^1 \equiv \phi \rightarrow \infty$$
, $w = 3$
 $\mathbf{q} = (0,0,0,9,3, -9k) \longrightarrow I_{00} \sim \phi^3$, $I_{ab} \sim \phi$

- $t^2 \equiv \phi \to \infty$, w = 2**q** = (0,0,0,*j*,0, - *k*) $\longrightarrow I_{00} \sim \phi^2$, $I_{11} \sim \phi^2$, I_{12} , I_{22} const.

--- Generalize for w = 2 (III₀ or J-class A) limits using elliptic fibration

w=1 limits

II_b or J-class B limits, $X \to K3$ or T^4 fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 EFT string = NS5-brane on the fibre

Plenty of leading towers, including that of the EFT string oscillations

n=w	Мкк	$m^* = m_{D0}$	m _{D2}	MD4,fibre	T 1/2
1	Φ ^{-1/2}				

The species scale computation should include all the leading towers

$$\Lambda_{\rm sp} = \frac{M_P^2}{\sqrt{S_{\rm KK} + S_{\rm Dp}{}^r + S_{\rm str}}}$$

The leading contribution depends on whether the EFT string is:

- critical \rightarrow string modes dominate and set $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$
- non-critical \rightarrow D4/D2/D0 bound states dominate and set $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$

Critical case

String oscillation modes dominate the spectrum and give the leading contribution to the species scale

Mass spectrum:
$$m_N^2 = TN \longrightarrow TN_{\text{max}} \sim \Lambda_{\text{sp}}^2 \sim \frac{M_{\text{P}}^2}{S_{\text{crit}}}$$

Degeneracy ansatz:
Castellano, Herraez, Ibañez '22
$$S_{\rm crit} \sim \sum_{N=0}^{N_{\rm max}} e^{N^{\alpha}} N^{\gamma} \sim e^{N_{\rm max}^{\alpha}} N_{\rm max}^{\gamma+1-\alpha}$$
 $\alpha > 0$
 $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{split} N_{\max} &\sim \left[\log \frac{M_P^2}{T}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sim (\log \phi)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ \Lambda_{\text{sp}} &\sim \sqrt{T} \left[\log \frac{M_P^2}{T}\right]^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \sim \phi^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log \phi)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{String scale times} \\ \log \text{ corrections} \end{array} \\ S_{\text{crit}} &\sim \frac{M_P^2}{T} \left[\log \frac{M_P^2}{T}\right]^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sim \phi (\log \phi)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \end{split}$$

Critical case

Ansatz for the charge spectrum:

- at each mass level N there are $f_N(\mathbf{q})$ states, with charges

 $\mathbf{q} = \left(0, q_{\mathrm{D4}}e^{a}, \mathbf{k}_{ab}w^{b}, q_{\mathrm{D0}}\right) \cdot Q^{t-1} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Motivated by anomaly inflow} \\ \text{on axionic strings} \\ \text{Heidenreich, Reece, Rudelius '21} \end{array}$



- charged states populate the light spectrum

$$\sum_{\mathbf{q}} f_N(q) \sim e^{N^{\alpha}} N^{\gamma} \sim S_{\text{crit}}^{(N)}$$

Critical case

1-loop corrections to the gauge kinetic function (case with one charge):

The scaling is recovered independently of α and γ !

Non-critical case

Bound states of D4/D2/D0-branes dominate the spectrum and give the leading contribution to the species scale

 $b = \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{k_{ab}}$ determines the dimension of the lattice of charges of BPS particles $\rightarrow r = 2 + b$

The lattice is generated by a D4-brane wrapping the $K3/T^4$ fibre, with generic worldvolume fluxes

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Lambda_{\rm sp} \sim \frac{M_{\rm P}}{\sqrt{S_{{\rm D}p}^{\ r}}} \\ S_{{\rm D}p} \sim \frac{\Lambda_{\rm sp}}{m_{{\rm D}p}} \end{array} \right\} \implies \Lambda_{\rm sp} \sim \phi^{-\frac{r}{2(2+r)}}, \ S_{{\rm D}p} \sim \phi^{\frac{1}{2+r}} \end{array}$$

Non-critical case

The 1-loop corrections to the gauge kinetic function give

$$I_{00} \sim \sum_{\mathbf{q}, |q_i| \leq S_{\mathrm{D}p}} q_{\mathrm{D}0}^2 \log \frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sp}}}{m_{\mathbf{q}}} \sim S_{\mathrm{D}p}^{r-1} \cdot S_{\mathrm{D}p}^3 \sim \phi$$

$$\int \mathrm{sum \ over \ } q_{\mathrm{D}4}, \ \overrightarrow{q}_{\mathrm{D}2}$$

Remarks

- The precise scaling of $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$ and the number of species does not matter, but it is important that we sum over all the relevant towers
- The tower that sets $\Lambda_{\rm sp}$ must enter the 1-loop corrections
- In w=1 limits we recover the correct scaling for both critical and non-critical EFT strings
- We did not compute the corrections to the moduli space metric, one expects things to work if the spectrum is dominated by BPS states. This could pose further constraints on $f_N(\mathbf{q})$