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## Motivation

Accelerated expanding universes from controlled dS vacua in string theory?
$\Rightarrow$ Important player: anti-D3-brane uplift:

- $\overline{D 3}$ at tip of KS throat to avoid runaway
- $M$ units of $F_{3}$ ( $K$ units of $H_{3}$ ) flux on A-cycle (B-cycle)



## Motivation

Accelerated expanding universes from controlled dS vacua in string theory?
$\Rightarrow$ Important player: anti-D3-brane uplift:

- $\overline{D 3}$ at tip of KS throat to avoid runaway
- $M$ units of $F_{3}\left(K\right.$ units of $\left.H_{3}\right)$ flux on A-cycle (B-cycle)
- At tip: A-cycle topologically $S^{3}$
- Small positive vacuum energy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|V_{\text {Ads }, \text { Lvs }}\right| \sim \mathcal{V}^{-3} \stackrel{!}{\approx} V_{\text {up }} \sim \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-N / g s M^{2}}}{\mathcal{V}^{4 / 3}} \\
& \Rightarrow N M>g_{s} M^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Motivation (continued)

Problem: Classical decay channel to SUSY minimum [kevoon

- $p \overline{D 3}$ s puff up into fluxed NS5 wrapping $S^{2}$ inside $S^{3}$
- NS5 can annihilate with flux to form SUSY minimum
- $R_{S^{3}} \sim \sqrt{g_{s} M \alpha^{\prime}}$


Figure: Normalized NS5-brane potential for different $p / M$.
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Problem: Classical decay channel to SUSY minimum [kevoon

- $p \overline{D 3}$ s puff up into fluxed NS5 wrapping $S^{2}$ inside $S^{3}$
- NS5 can annihilate with flux to form SUSY minimum
- $R_{S^{3}} \sim \sqrt{g_{s} M \alpha^{\prime}}$
- $p / M<0.08$ for metastability
- KPV performed leading order in $\alpha^{\prime}$ analysis


Figure: Normalized NS5-brane potential for different $p / M$.

## Why consider $\alpha^{\prime 2}$ corrections on NS5?

- Metastability per se $\Rightarrow \alpha^{\prime}$ corrected version of KPV bound $p / M<0.08$
- Lower bound on $g_{s} M^{2}$ important for LVS pheno:
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- Metastability per se $\Rightarrow \alpha^{\prime}$ corrected version of KPV bound $p / M<0.08$
- Lower bound on $g_{s} M^{2}$ important for LVS pheno:
- Remember: require $N \gg g_{s} M^{2}$ to uplift to dS
- Problem with tadpole cancellation: Negative contribution to tadpole limited
- KPV: $p / M<0.08, g_{s} M>1$ (control $\alpha^{\prime}$ corrections) and $p=1 \Rightarrow$ $g_{s} M^{2}>12$
- Problem: $R^{2}$ curvature corrections suppressed by $R_{S^{3}}^{4} \sim\left(g_{s} M\right)^{2}$ $\Rightarrow \alpha^{\prime}$ corrections important in pheno relevant, smallish $g_{s} M^{2}$ regime
$\Rightarrow$ Quantify control over $\alpha^{\prime}$ corrections by including them into KPV analysis
- Many (not all!) $\alpha^{\prime 2}$ correction to $\mathrm{D} p$-branes known [Bachas, Bini, Green 99, Garousit Jalali, Kaimit Babaei veni, Mir, Mashhadi iog22, Robbins, Wang ${ }^{\prime} 44$, we dualized them to corrections on NS5


## $\overline{\alpha^{\prime 2}}$ corrections on NS5-branes

- Many (not all!) $\alpha^{\prime 2}$ correction to $\mathrm{D} p$-branes known EBachas, Bain, Green 99, Garousi + Jalali, Kaimit Babaei veni, Mir, Mashihadi 19.22 , Robobins, Wang ${ }^{9} 44$, we dualized them to corrections on NS5
- Schematically, they are of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\mathrm{DBI}, \mathrm{NS} 5} \supset \frac{\mu_{5}}{g_{s}^{2}} \alpha^{\prime 2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{6}} \mathrm{~d}^{6} x \sqrt{-\left(g+2 \pi \alpha^{\prime} g_{s} \mathcal{F}_{2}\right)}\left[\left(-g_{s} F_{3}\right)^{4}+\left(-g_{s} F_{3}\right)^{2} R\right. \\
\left.+\Omega^{4}\left(2 \pi \alpha^{\prime} g_{s} \mathcal{F}_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \pi \alpha^{\prime} g_{s} \mathcal{F}_{2}\right) \Omega^{2} \nabla\left(-g_{s} F_{3}\right)\right] \\
S_{\mathrm{CS}, \mathrm{NS} 5} \supset \frac{\mu_{5}}{g_{s}^{2}} \alpha^{\prime 2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{6}} \mathrm{~d}^{6} x\left[-\epsilon_{(6)}\left(g_{s} \mathcal{F}_{2}\right) R \nabla\left(g_{s} \tilde{F}_{5}\right)\right. \\
\\
\left.+\epsilon_{(6)}\left(g_{s} \mathcal{F}_{2}\right) \nabla\left(-g_{s} F_{3}\right) \nabla\left(g_{s}^{2} H_{7}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## $\overline{\alpha^{\prime 2}}$ corrected KPV potential

$$
\begin{aligned}
V= & \frac{4 \pi \mu_{5} M}{g_{s}} \sqrt{b_{0}^{4} \sin ^{4}(\psi)+\left(p \frac{\pi}{M}-\psi+\frac{1}{2} \sin (2 \psi)\right)^{2}} \times\left[1+\frac{1}{\left(g_{s} M\right)^{2}}\left(c_{3}-c_{1}\right.\right. \\
& +\left(c_{4}-2 c_{2}\right) \cot ^{2} \psi-c_{2} \cot ^{4} \psi+\frac{c_{5} \cot ^{4} \psi}{\sin ^{4} \psi}\left(\frac{\pi p}{M}-\left(\psi-\frac{\sin (2 \psi)}{2}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{c_{6} \cot ^{3} \psi}{\sin ^{2} \psi}\left(\frac{\pi p}{M}-\left(\psi-\frac{\sin (2 \psi)}{2}\right)\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\left[\frac{4 \pi^{2} p \mu_{5}}{g_{s}}-\frac{4 \pi \mu_{5} M}{g_{s}}\left(\psi-\frac{\sin (2 \psi)}{2}\right)\right]\left(1+\frac{c_{7}}{\left(g_{s} M\right)^{2}}+\frac{c_{8} \cot \psi}{\left(g_{s} M\right)^{2} \sin \psi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{8}$ numerical constants, explicitly calculated
- Potential enjoys expansion in $g_{s} M$ and $p / M$


## $\overline{\text { Plot for fixed } g_{s}} M^{2}=20$



Figure: $\alpha^{\prime 2}$ corrected KPV potential for $g_{s} M=20$.


Figure: Tree level KPV potential.

- Divergences at $\psi=0, \pi$ should be cured by summing over all $\alpha^{\prime}$ corrections


## Scan $\left(g_{s} M, p / M\right)$ parameter space



## Scan $\left(g_{s} M, p / M\right)$ parameter space

- Minimal bound on $g_{s} M$ :
$g_{s} M>3.6, p=1 \Rightarrow g_{s} M^{2}>144$ (compare to $g_{s} M^{2}>12$ from KPV!) $\Rightarrow$ need much more flux in throat for consistent uplift
- Minimal negative contribution in LVS: $\left|Q_{3, \text { min }}\right| \sim \mathcal{O}\left(10^{3}\right)$ using PTC of ${ }_{\text {Gaoo, Hebecter }}$

Schreyer, Venken '22]

- Very constraining: currently highest constructed $\left|Q_{3, \min }\right|=\mathcal{O}(3000)$ [crini, Quevedo,



## Uplifting without exponentially large warping

- Observation: Tuning $\alpha^{\prime}$ corrections by $g_{s} M, p / M$ tunes value of potential at metastable minimum!
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- No large flux and large $\left|Q_{3, \text { min }}\right|$ needed, e.g. $N=40$
- Not only applicable in LVS



## Uplifting without exponentially large warping

- Observation: Tuning $\alpha^{\prime}$ corrections by $g_{s} M, p / M$ tunes value of potential at metastable minimum!
- No need to warp down $T_{\mathrm{D} 3}$, as itself tunable to exponentially small value!
- No large flux and large $\left|Q_{3, \text { min }}\right|$ needed, e.g. $N=40$
- Not only applicable in LVS
- Problem: works only at boundary of control as $R_{\text {NS5 }}^{2}\left(\psi_{\text {min }}\right) \approx 1$


## Summary

- $\alpha^{\prime}$ corrections worsen the control issue in LVS with standard $\overline{D 3}$-uplift by order of magnitude $\Rightarrow$ need models with tadpole of $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{3}\right)$ which require much more work to prove existence of controlled dS vacua
- Proposed new uplifting mechanism circumventing constraints, but works at very boundary of control
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- Calculate higher order $\alpha^{\prime}$ effects
- Nonabelian $\overline{D 3}$-stack and holographic perspective (reliable when our NS5-brane perspective breaks down)
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## Thank you!

