Progress in constructing KKLT de Sitter vacua

Based on work in progress with N. Gendler, L. McAllister, J. Moritz, R. Nally





Andreas Schachner

String Phenomenology Conference 2023 Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon, South Korea July 6, 2023



시작이 반이다

Email: <u>as3475@cornell.edu</u>



Collaboration

Cornell University





Naomi Gendler (now at Harvard)



Jakob Moritz



Liam McAllister



Manki Kim (applying this year)



Richard Nally (applying this year)

MIT

LMU Munich



Sven Krippendorf



Julian Ebelt (Master)



Abhishek Dubey (Master)

JAXVacua - A framework for string vacua

Objective: Numerical framework to determine and evaluate EFT (e.g. scalar potential, Hessian etc.) with only minimal input by using auto-differentiation



Based on work with:

- A. Dubey, S. Krippendorf <u>2306.06160</u>
- J. Ebelt, S. Krippendorf (to appear next week)
- S. Krippendorf (to appear next week)

See talk of Sven Krippendorf.

EFT properties

 $V, \partial_I \partial_J V, M_{3/2}, \ldots$

LMU Munich



Sven Krippendorf



Julian Ebelt (Master)



Abhishek Dubey (Master)



KKLT proposal: de Sitter vacua in Type IIB String Theory

Kachru, Kallosh, Linde, Trivedi hep-th/0301240

Claim 1: Well-controlled SUSY AdS₄ exist in Type IIB flux compatifications with and non-perturbative D-brane instantons.

$$\langle W_{flux} \rangle \ll 1$$

Achieved explicitly in Demirtas et al. [2107.09064, 2107.09065]

KKLT proposal: de Sitter vacua in Type IIB String Theory

Kachru, Kallosh, Linde, Trivedi hep-th/0301240

Claim 1:

Well-controlled SUSY AdS₄ exist in Type IIB flux compatifications with

$$\langle W_{flux} \rangle \ll 1$$

and non-perturbative D-brane instantons.

Achieved explicitly in Demirtas et al. [2107.09064, 2107.09065]

Claim 2:

For such a SUSY AdS₄, provided one finds

- warped deformed conifold [Klebanov, Strassler hep-th/0007191]
- containing some anti-D3 branes [Kachru et al. hep-th/0112197]
- in a suitable parameter regime

there are metastable dS₄ vacua.



KKLT proposal: de Sitter vacua in Type IIB String Theory

Kachru, Kallosh, Linde, Trivedi hep-th/0301240

Claim 1:

Well-controlled SUSY AdS₄ exist in Type IIB flux compatifications with

$$\langle W_{flux} \rangle \ll 1$$

and non-perturbative D-brane instantons.

Achieved explicitly in Demirtas et al. [2107.09064, 2107.09065]

Do there exist geometries that realise this idea?

Yes, we found explicit configurations that satisfy all requirements for KKLT de Sitter vacua in the leading approximation. Important work in progress is checking effects of further corrections like:

- perturbative corrections to K\u00e4hler potential, beyond BBHL [hep-th/0204254], and

Gendler, McAllister, Moritz, Nally, Schachner 230X.XXXX

Claim 2:

For such a SUSY AdS₄, provided one finds

- warped deformed conifold [Klebanov, Strassler hep-th/0007191]
- containing some anti-D3 branes [Kachru et al. hep-th/0112197]
- in a suitable parameter regime

there are metastable dS_4 vacua.

• α' corrections to anti-D3-brane action [Hebecker, 2x(Schreyer, Venken) 2208.02826, 2212.07437].





 $W(\tau, z^{a}, T_{A}) = W$ [Gukov et al. hep-th/9906070] $W_{flux}(\tau, z^{a}) = W_{pert}(\tau, z^{a}) + W_{inst}(\tau, z^{a}) + W_{cf}(\tau, z^{a})$

We work at large complex structure using mirror symmetry to compute W_{flux} . [Hosono et al. hep-th/9406055, Demirtas et al. 2303.00757]

[Gukov et al. hep-th/9906070] $W_{flux}(\tau, z^{a}) = W_{pert}(\tau, z^{a}) + W_{inst}(\tau, z^{a}) + W_{cf}(\tau, z^{a})$

We work at large complex structure using mirror symmetry to compute W_{flux} . [Hosono et al. hep-th/9406055, Demirtas et al. 2303.00757]

We study **special conifolds** arising from shrinking a GV-nilpotent curve in the mirror \tilde{X}_3 obtained from toric flops with GVs $(n_{cf}, 0, 0, ...), n_{cf} \in \mathbb{N}$



We work at large complex structure using mirror symmetry to compute W_{flux} . [Hosono et al. hep-th/9406055, Demirtas et al. 2303.00757]

We study **special conifolds** arising from shrinking a GV-nilpotent curve in the mirror \tilde{X}_3 obtained from toric flops with GVs $(n_{cf}, 0, 0, ...), n_{cf} \in \mathbb{N}$

We construct **perturbatively flat vacua (PFVs)** with $\langle W_{pert} \rangle = 0$ and

$$|W_0| = |\langle W_{inst} \rangle| \ll 1$$
 $z_{cf} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi K}{n_{cf}g_s M}\right)$

[Demirtas et al. 2009.03312, Álvarez-García et al. 2009.03325]



We work at large complex structure using mirror symmetry to compute W_{flux} . [Hosono et al. hep-th/9406055, Demirtas et al. 2303.00757]

We study **special conifolds** arising from shrinking a GV-nilpotent curve in the mirror \tilde{X}_3 obtained from toric flops with GVs $(n_{cf}, 0, 0, ...), n_{cf} \in \mathbb{N}$

We construct **perturbatively flat vacua (PFVs)** with $\langle W_{pert} \rangle = 0$ and

$$|W_0| = |\langle W_{inst} \rangle| \ll 1$$
 $z_{cf} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi K}{n_{cf}g_s M}\right)$

[Demirtas et al. 2009.03312, Álvarez-García et al. 2009.03325]

KKLT SUSY AdS_4 vacua with conifolds



We work at **large complex structure** using mirror symmetry to complex [Hosono et al. hep-th/9406055, Demirtas et al. 2303.00757]

We study **special conifolds** arising from shrinking a GV-nilpotent curve mirror \tilde{X}_3 obtained from toric flops with GVs $(n_{cf}, 0, 0, ...), n_{cf} \in \mathbb{N}$

We construct **perturbatively flat vacua (PFVs)** with $\langle W_{pert} \rangle = 0$ and

$$|W_0| = |\langle W_{inst} \rangle| \ll 1$$
 $z_{cf} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi K}{n_{cf}g_s M}\right)$

[Demirtas et al. 2009.03312, Álvarez-García et al. 2009.03325]

$$V_{flux}(\tau, z^{a}) + W_{np}(\tau, z^{a}, T_{A})$$
[Witten hep-th/9604030]

$$W_{np}(\tau, z^{a}, T_{A}) = \sum_{A} \mathscr{A}_{A}(\tau, z^{a}) e^{-2\pi T_{A}/c_{A}}$$
verified condition of
[Witten hep-th/9610234]

$$\mathscr{A}_{A}(\tau, z^{a}) = \text{const.}$$
Special thanks
Kim for explete
computation
ute W_{flux} .
We solve $D_{A}W = 0$ for the Kähler moduli such that
 $T_{A} \approx \frac{c_{A}}{2\pi} \log(|W_{0}|^{-1})$
This leads to SUSY AdS_{4} vacua with
 $g_{s} \sim \frac{2\pi}{\log(|W_{0}|^{-1})} \ll 1$
(see talk L. McAllister string pheno 2022 for details)

[Demirtas et al. 2107.09064, 2107.09065]





Initial scan for vacua

We search for

- CY₃ from 4D reflexive polytopes with $3 \le h^{1,2} \le 8$ [Kreuzer, Skarke hep-th/0002240]
- orientifolds with $h_{+}^{1,2} = 0$ from \mathbb{Z}_2 -involutions $x \to -x$ following [Moritz 2305.06363]
- conifold points by shrinking toric flop curves
- flux vacua with $|W_0| \ll 1$ together with stabilisation near conifold loci
- $\geq h^{1,1}$ rigid divisors supporting D-instantons and having constant Pfaffian [Jefferson, Kim 2211.00210]

Computations performed with



http://cy.tools

Demirtas, Rios-Tascon, McAllister 2211.03823







From a scan over 36 polytopes and 300 CY geometries, we obtained 4,831,234 vacua with - 14 • $g_s < 0.5$ - 12 • $|W_0| < 0.3$ - 10 g_sM - 8 - 6 - 4 - 2







- 2

From a scan over 36 polytopes and 300 CY geometries, we obtained 4,831,234 vacua with - 14 • $g_s < 0.5$ - 12 • $|W_0| < 0.3$ - 10 g_sM - 8 In what follows, I will impose more and more cuts on this dataset to - 6 eventually satisfy all of the requirements in Claim 2 of KKLT! - 4

Claim 2 checklist:

- O warped throat
- **O** anti-D3 branes
- O suitable parameter regime



KKLT SUSY AdS_4 vacua with warped throats

Stabilisation near conifold point in moduli space leads to warped throat [GKP hep-th/0105097] with warp factor at the tip

$$e^{4A_{IR}} \approx e^{-8\pi K/3n_{cf}g_sM} \sim |z_{cf}|^{\frac{4}{3}} \qquad M,$$

For $e^{4A_{IR}} \ll 1$, we obtain long warped throats that for $g_s M \gg 1$ is well approximated by the KS solution [hep-th/0007191]

K fluxes threading the S^3 at the tip

Claim 2 checklist:

- O warped throat
- **O** anti-D3 branes
- O suitable parameter regime



KKLT SUSY AdS_4 vacua with warped throats

Stabilisation near conifold point in moduli space leads to warped throat [GKP hep-th/0105097] with warp factor at the tip

$$e^{4A_{IR}} \approx e^{-8\pi K/3n_{cf}g_sM} \sim |z_{cf}|^{\frac{4}{3}} \qquad M,$$



K fluxes threading the S^3 at the tip

For $e^{4A_{IR}} \ll 1$, we obtain long warped throats that for $g_{s}M \gg 1$ is well approximated by the KS solution [hep-th/0007191]

We find 3,801,168 vacua satisfying

- $g_s < 0.5$
- $|W_0| < 0.3$
- $g_{s}M > 1$

Claim 2 checklist:

- Swarped throat
- **O** anti-D3 branes
- O suitable parameter regime



Are there flux configurations that allow anti-D3 branes?



- warped throat
- O anti-D3 branes
- O suitable parameter regime



We consider flux choices allowing to add a single anti-D3 brane $N_{flux} = Q_{D3} + 1$. There are roughly $1\% \sim 10^4$ vacua of this type.

Related LVS construction [Crino et al. 2010.15903]

Claim 2 checklist: Swarped throat Stanti-D3 branes O suitable parameter regime





Claim 2 checklist: warped throat Santi-D3 branes **O** suitable parameter regime



Conditions on control:

- $M \gtrsim 12$: meta-stability of the throat configuration against brane-flux annihilation [KPV hep-th/0112197]
- $g_{s}M > 1$: small curvature at bottom of throat [Klebanov, Strassler hep-th/0007191]
- $z_{cf} \ll 1$: long throat
- $g_s < 0.5$ and $W_0 < 0.3$: perturbative control and Kähler moduli stabilisation

Claim 2 checklist: Swarped throat Santi-D3 branes Suitable parameter regime



We find 16 dS_4 vacua satisfying the aforementioned conditions!

	$h^{1,2}$	$h^{1,1}$	g_s	W_0	M	$g_s M$	$g_s M^2$	$ z_{cf} $	\mathcal{V}_E	$Q/\mathcal{V}_E^{2/2}$
- 0.40	5	205	0.069	0.116	32	2.21	70.74	$7.61 \cdot 10^{-6}$	46464	0.080
	7	219	0.146	0.076	20	2.92	58.48	$3.43 \cdot 10^{-6}$	32803	0.101
- 0.35	7	219	0.168	0.079	16	2.69	43.07	$4.36 \cdot 10^{-6}$	20613	0.138
	7	219	0.149	0.134	16	2.38	38.11	$4.16 \cdot 10^{-6}$	10664	0.215
0.00	7	219	0.185	0.083	16	2.95	47.26	$3.83 \cdot 10^{-6}$	9009	0.240
- 0.30	8	198	0.125	0.001	16	2.00	32.08	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-8}$	10453	0.222
	8	198	0.163	0.008	16	2.61	41.77	$1.60 \cdot 10^{-7}$	9266	0.240
- 0.25 ති	8	198	0.191	0.048	16	3.06	48.92	$3.28 \cdot 10^{-6}$	75155	0.077
	8	198	0.325	0.251	16	5.21	83.33	$2.09\cdot 10^{-4}$	13751	0.185
- 0.20	8	198	0.185	0.341	16	2.97	47.45	$9.58\cdot10^{-5}$	33540	0.133
	8	202	0.320	0.238	16	5.12	82.00	$1.02 \cdot 10^{-8}$	21262	0.149
- 0.15	8	202	0.074	0.002	16	1.19	19.02	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-8}$	21025	0.150
	8	202	0.343	0.298	16	5.48	87.75	$1.65 \cdot 10^{-8}$	17226	0.171
	8	202	0.083	0.289	16	1.33	21.34	$1.29 \cdot 10^{-8}$	9402	0.238
-0.10	8	266	0.116	0.023	20	2.32	46.46	$2.10 \cdot 10^{-8}$	40986	0.089
	8	266	0.426	0.222	16	6.82	109.18	$6.35\cdot10^{-8}$	20641	0.152







We find 16 dS_4 vacua satisfying the aforementioned conditions!

	$h^{1,2}$	$h^{1,1}$	g_s	W_0	M	$g_s M$	$g_s M^2$	$ z_{cf} $	\mathcal{V}_E	$Q/\mathcal{V}_E^{2/2}$
- 0.40	5	205	0.069	0.116	32	2.21	70.74	$7.61 \cdot 10^{-6}$	46464	0.080
	7	219	0.146	0.076	20	2.92	58.48	$3.43 \cdot 10^{-6}$	32803	0.101
- 0.35	7	219	0.168	0.079	16	2.69	43.07	$4.36 \cdot 10^{-6}$	20613	0.138
	7	219	0.149	0.134	16	2.38	38.11	$4.16 \cdot 10^{-6}$	10664	0.215
- 0.30	7	219	0.185	0.083	16	2.95	47.26	$3.83 \cdot 10^{-6}$	9009	0.240
	8	198	0.125	0.001	16	2.00	32.08	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-8}$	10453	0.222
	8	198	0.163	0.008	16	2.61	41.77	$1.60 \cdot 10^{-7}$	9266	0.240
- 0.25 ති	8	198	0.191	0.048	16	3.06	48.92	$3.28 \cdot 10^{-6}$	75155	0.077
	8	198	0.325	0.251	16	5.21	83.33	$2.09\cdot 10^{-4}$	13751	0.185
- 0.20	8	198	0.185	0.341	16	2.97	47.45	$9.58\cdot10^{-5}$	33540	0.133
	8	202	0.320	0.238	16	5.12	82.00	$1.02 \cdot 10^{-8}$	21262	0.149
- 0.15	8	202	0.074	0.002	16	1.19	19.02	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-8}$	21025	0.150
	8	202	0.343	0.298	16	5.48	87.75	$1.65 \cdot 10^{-8}$	17226	0.171
-0.10	8	202	0.083	0.289	16	1.33	21.34	$1.29 \cdot 10^{-8}$	9402	0.238
	8	266	0.116	0.023	20	2.32	46.46	$2.10 \cdot 10^{-8}$	40986	0.089
	8	266	0.426	0.222	16	6.82	109.18	$6.35 \cdot 10^{-8}$	20641	0.152





Pass bounds of [Hebecker, Schreyer, Venken 2208.02826]



We find 16 dS_4 vacua satisfying the aforementioned conditions!

6											
		$h^{1,2}$	$h^{1,1}$	g_s	W_0	M	$g_s M$	$g_s M^2$	$ z_{cf} $	\mathcal{V}_E	$Q/\mathcal{V}_E^{2/2}$
	- 0.40	5	205	0.069	0.116	32	2.21	70.74	$7.61 \cdot 10^{-6}$	46464	0.080
		7	219	0.146	0.076	20	2.92	58.48	$3.43 \cdot 10^{-6}$	32803	0.101
	- 0.35	7	219	0.168	0.079	16	2.69	43.07	$4.36\cdot 10^{-6}$	20613	0.138
		7	219	0.149	0.134	16	2.38	38.11	$4.16 \cdot 10^{-6}$	10664	0.215
	0.00	7	219	0.185	0.083	16	2.95	47.26	$3.83\cdot10^{-6}$	9009	0.240
	- 0.30	8	198	0.125	0.001	16	2.00	32.08	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-8}$	10453	0.222
		8	198	0.163	0.008	16	2.61	41.77	$1.60 \cdot 10^{-7}$	9266	0.240
	– 0.25 చ్	8	198	0.191	0.048	16	3.06	48.92	$3.28\cdot10^{-6}$	75155	0.077
		8	198	0.325	0.251	16	5.21	83.33	$2.09\cdot 10^{-4}$	13751	0.185
	- 0.20	8	198	0.185	0.341	16	2.97	47.45	$9.58\cdot10^{-5}$	33540	0.133
		8	202	0.320	0.238	16	5.12	82.00	$1.02 \cdot 10^{-8}$	21262	0.149
	0.15	8	202	0.074	0.002	16	1.19	19.02	$1.00 \cdot 10^{-8}$	21025	0.150
	- 0.15	8	202	0.343	0.298	16	5.48	87.75	$1.65 \cdot 10^{-8}$	17226	0.171
		8	202	0.083	0.289	16	1.33	21.34	$1.29 \cdot 10^{-8}$	9402	0.238
	-0.10	8	266	0.116	0.023	20	2.32	46.46	$2.10\cdot 10^{-8}$	40986	0.089
		8	266	0.426	0.222	16	6.82	109.18	$6.35 \cdot 10^{-8}$	20641	0.152





Control issues and further challenges

So far, we have been working in the **leading approximation**. More work is required to understand the role of

- in our examples, cf. [Demirtas et al. 2107.09064] and also [Carta, Moritz 2101.05281]
- α' corrections to KPV such as computed by [Hebecker, 2x(Schreyer, Venken) 2208.02826, 2212.07437]

• loop corrections to the Kähler potential, see [Kim 2301.03602, 2302.12117, 2305.08263] for recent progress • the singular bulk problem [Gao, Hebecker, Junghans 2009.03914], but note that it is already rather well-controlled



Coming back to the full dataset...

1/M



Surviving solutions have $N_{flux} \leq Q_{D3}$ requiring additional D3-branes which is potentially interesting for realising inflation (work in progress)

Contours from flux dependent α' corrections to the KPV potential as obtained by [Schreyer, Venken 2212.07437]

Conclusions

We have exhibited explicit examples of CY orientifold flux compactifications with

- small W_0
- small g_{s}
- all moduli explicitly stabilised
- including a single anti-D3-brane in an explicit KS throat

for which the KPV computation of the anti-brane energy predicts uplift to a KKLT de Sitter vacuum.

We think this is tremendously exciting, but we are not declaring the job done:

- This appears to us to be a matter of statistics, and will take more compute time.

• We are still working to find examples in which the KS throat has large enough $g_s M$ so that upon including (the known) corrections to the KPV computation, one remains in de Sitter.



明日本朝日



Contours from curvature corrections to the KPV potential as obtained by [Hebecker, Schreyer, Venken 2208.02826]

