





## ATLAS searches for supersymmetry in Jets+MET channels

Michael Flowerdew (MPP Munich) On behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

### **Outline**

- Supersymmetry and ATLAS searches
- The ATLAS detector in 2010
- SUSY analyses, the 0-lepton channels
- Signal selection, statistical methods
- Background estimation
- Results
- Interpretation
- Summary and outlook

# Supersymmetry

- Extension to Standard Model, solving many problems
  - Higgs mass stability/fine tuning
  - Gauge coupling unification
  - Dark matter candidate
- R-parity conservation:
  - Sparticles created in pairs
  - Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP) is stable and non-interacting
- Typical signature in a hadron collider:
  - High  $p_{T}$  jets
  - Missing  $E_{T}$  (MET)
  - Leptons, depending on mass hierarchy
- Parameters unknown => model-independent, inclusive searches



# **SUSY searches in ATLAS**

- A collection of related "Missing E<sub>T</sub>-based" analyses performed on data in 2010
  - Jets + MET + lepton veto
  - 1 lepton + jets + MET
  - 2 leptons (OS/SS) + MET
  - 2 leptons (Flavour subtraction)
     + MET
  - 3+ leptons + jets + MET
- Plus more exclusive final states
  - MET + b-jet,  $\tau$ ,  $\gamma$ , ...
  - RPV scenarios
  - (Quasi-)stable long-lived signatures



# The ATLAS detector

- Inner detector: charged particle tracks and vertices, 2T solenoidal magnetic field
- Liquid argon and Tile calorimeters: electromagnetic and hadronic showers
- Muon spectrometer: muon tracks, toroidal magnetic field



- The largest LHC detector
- Commissioned using test beams and cosmic runs until 2009
- p-p measurements at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ , 2.36 and 7 TeV, and Pb-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV

# ATLAS 2010 data collection

- About 45 pb<sup>-1</sup> recorded pp collisions (48 pb<sup>-1</sup> delivered)
- High operating efficiency
  - Trigger/DAQ efficiency: 93.6%
  - Subdetector efficiency: >90%



Inner Tracking Detectors			Calorimeters			Muon Detectors				
Pixel	SCT	TRT	LAr EM	LAr HAD	LAr FWD	Tile	MDT	RPC	CSC	TGC
99.1	99.9	100	90.7	96.6	97.8	100	99.9	99.8	96.2	99.8

Luminosity weighted relative detector uptime and good quality data delivery during 2010 stable beams in pp collisions at Vs=7 TeV between March 30<sup>th</sup> and October 31<sup>st</sup> (in %). The inefficiencies in the LAr calorimeter will partially be recovered in the future.



# Jets + MET channels

- Possibility of direct squark/gluino decay to LSP gives pure jets + MET " Jets+MET arXiv:1102.5290 signature
  - Rejection power for complex cascades too
    - Non-leptonic cascades, lepton out of acceptance, ...
- Potentially large stop/sbottom mass splitting motivates search involving ۲ "b-jets+ME arXiv:1103.4344 b-jets
  - Strong mixing between L and R states  $\sim m_{r}$
  - Direct production or  $\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 b / \tilde{t}_1 t$
- Lepton veto ensures orthogonality with other searches
  - Leptons + MET: 10.10 am today
  - Leptons/ $\gamma$ \* + jets + MET: 11.40 am today
- However, jets+MET analysis does **not** veto b-jets

# **SUSY object selection**

Trigger:  $\varepsilon > 97\%$  in signal region Vertex: > 4 tracks

Jet selection: AntiKt jets, R=0.4 MC-based calibration  $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$  $|\eta| < 2.5$ 

b-jets:  $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$ Displaced vertex  $L > 5.72 \sigma(L)$   $\epsilon_{tag} = 50\%$  (b), 1% (u,d,s,g) Electron selection:  $p_T > 10/20$  GeV  $|\eta| < 2.47$ 

Muon selection:  $p_T > 10/20 \text{ GeV}$  |η| < 2.4 $\Sigma p_T (\Delta R < 0.2) < 1.8 \text{ GeV}$ 

MET: MET = "Simplified" calo MET (+ specific e/μ corrections) Luminosity (good data quality): 35 pb<sup>-1</sup>

Overlap removal: Jets with  $\Delta R < 0.2$  from electron removed Electrons/muons with  $\Delta R < 0.4$  from jet removed

Event veto: "Bad" jet quality  $(p_T^{jet} > 20 \text{ GeV})$ Reconstructed electron or muon

Yellow: differences for b-jet analysis

NB: 
$$\eta = -\ln\left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right), \ \Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$$
  
Michael Flowerdew

# Signal regions

Jets+MET:

		Α	В	С	D
ion	Number of required jets	≥ 2	≥ 2	≥ 3	≥ 3
lecti	Leading jet $p_T$ [GeV]	> 120	> 120	> 120	> 120
e-se	Other jet(s) $p_T$ [GeV]	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40
Pr	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	> 100	> 100	> 100	> 100
al selection	$\Delta \phi$ (jet, $\vec{P}_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ ) <sub>min</sub>	> 0.4	> 0.4	> 0.4	> 0.4
	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/M_{\rm eff}$	> 0.3	_	> 0.25	> 0.25
	$M_{\rm eff}$ [GeV]	> 500	_	> 500	> 1000
Fir	<i>m</i> <sub>T2</sub> [GeV]	_	> 300	_	_
Carget signature:		$ ilde{q} ilde{q}$	${ ilde q}{ ilde q}$	õ õ	$ ilde{g} ilde{q}$

$$m_{\mathrm{T2}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}, \, \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}, \, \mathbf{\not{p}}_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \equiv \min_{\mathbf{\not{q}}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)} + \mathbf{\not{q}}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)} = \vec{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}} \left\{ \max\left(m_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}, \, \mathbf{\not{q}}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}\right), \, m_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}, \, \mathbf{\not{q}}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}\right) \right\}$$

 $m_{\rm T2}$  sensitive to  $\tilde{q} + \tilde{\overline{q}} \rightarrow q + \overline{q} + 2 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 

#### b-jets+MET:

 $\geq$  3 jets ( $\geq$  1 *b*-tagged)  $p_{T}^{jet} > 120, 30, 30 \text{ GeV}$   $E_{T}^{miss} > 100 \text{ GeV}$   $\Delta \phi (jet, \vec{P}_{T}^{miss})_{min} > 0.4$   $E_{T}^{miss} / M_{eff} > 0.2$  $M_{eff} > 600 \text{ GeV}$ 

Target signature:  $\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 b \text{ or } b \overline{b} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 

$$M_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{jets} p_{\text{T}}^{i} + E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$$

12/04/11

**Michael Flowerdew** 

## **Profile likelihood method**

Sensitivity limits set using a profile likelihood method



10

### **QCD background estimation** (including heavy flavour b/c production)

- Fake MET includes misreconstruction and  $b/c \rightarrow vX$ 
  - MET associated with a jet
- Monte Carlo prediction normalised using  $\Delta \phi < 0.4$  control region
  - Large MC statistical uncertainties
  - $\pm \sim 100\%$  stat. + syst.
  - Alternative: MET/M<sub>eff</sub> cut inverted
- Data driven approach:
  - Gaussian and non-Gaussian jet response measured from low MET control sample
  - Events with low MET smeared by combined resolution function



# W, Z, top background

- Non-QCD background contributions:
  - $Z(\rightarrow \nu\nu) + jets$
  - W+jets or ttbar with τ (→hadrons) or misidentified e,µ
- Data-driven methods:
  - Remove leptons from  $Z \rightarrow ll$ ,  $W \rightarrow lv$ (=>  $Z \rightarrow vv$  and lost leptons)
  - Tau "replacement" from  $W \rightarrow \mu \nu$  seed events
- Statistical limitations => Use MC predictions
  - ALPGEN (W,Z)
    - NNLO normalisation (±5%)
  - MC@NLO (ttbar)
    - NLO+NLL normalisation (+6.5%-9.5%)
  - Uncertainty from control region checks





## Jets+MET results



Example mSUGRA point:  $m_0 = 200 \text{ GeV}$   $m_{1/2} = 190 \text{ GeV}$   $A_0 = 0, \tan \beta = 3, \mu > 0$  $\sigma_{\text{fid}} = \sigma \times \epsilon \times A$ 

# Main systematic uncertainties:

- Uncorrelated background uncertainties [u]
- Jet energy scale [j]
- Luminosity [L]

Modelindependent limits: A:  $\sigma_{fid} < 1.3 \text{ pb}$ B:  $\sigma_{fid} < 0.35 \text{ pb}$ C:  $\sigma_{fid} < 1.1 \text{ pb}$ D:  $\sigma_{fid} < 0.11 \text{ pb}$ 

12/04/11

Jets+MET

	Signal region A	Signal region B	Signal region C	Signal region D
QCD	7 <sup>+8</sup> <sub>-7</sub> [u]	$0.6 + 0.7_{-0.6}[u]$	$9^{+10}_{-9}$ [u]	$0.2 + 0.4_{-0.2}[u]$
W+jets	$50 \pm 11[u] {}^{+14}_{-10}[j] \pm 5[\mathcal{L}]$	$4.4 \pm 3.2[u] {}^{+1.5}_{-0.8}[j] \pm 0.5[\mathcal{L}]$	$35 \pm 9[u]^{+10}_{-8}[j] \pm 4[\mathcal{L}]$	$1.1 \pm 0.7[u] {}^{+0.2}_{-0.3}[j] \pm 0.1[\mathcal{L}]$
Z+jets	$52 \pm 21[u] + \frac{15}{-11}[j] \pm 6[\mathcal{L}]$	$4.1 \pm 2.9[u] + 2.1[j] \pm 0.5[\mathcal{L}]$	$27 \pm 12[u] {}^{+10}_{-6}[j] \pm 3[\mathcal{L}]$	$0.8 \pm 0.7 [u] + 0.6 [j] \pm 0.1 [\mathcal{L}]$
$t\bar{t}$ and $t$	$10 \pm 0[u] + \frac{3}{2}[j] \pm 1[\mathcal{L}]$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$ [u] $^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ [j] $\pm 0.1$ [ $\mathcal{L}$ ]	$17 \pm 1[u] + {}^{6}_{-4}[j] \pm 2[\mathcal{L}]$	$0.3 \pm 0.1[u] {}^{+0.2}_{-0.1}[j] \pm 0.0[\mathcal{L}]$
Total SM	$118 \pm 25[u] {}^{+32}_{-23}[j] \pm 12[\mathcal{L}]$	$10.0 \pm 4.3[u] {}^{+4.0}_{-1.9}[j] \pm 1.0[\mathcal{L}]$	$88 \pm 18[u] {}^{+26}_{-18}[j] \pm 9[\mathcal{L}]$	$2.5 \pm 1.0[u] {}^{+1.0}_{-0.4}[j] \pm 0.2[\mathcal{L}]$
Data	87	11	66	2



# **Exclusion limits: mSUGRA/CMSSM**



 Jets+MET: Combination of 4 signal regions – select one with best expected significance for each model point

- Benchmark plane with  $\tan \beta = 3$ (jets+MET) or 40 (b-jets+MET),  $A_0 = 0, \mu > 0$  @ unification scale
- Useful for comparison with LEP, Tevatron and CMS



# Exclusion limits: squark/gluino plane

- Used for more general, less assumption-heavy, limits
  - And analysis optimisation
- Equal masses for first and second generation squarks
- m(LSP) = 0, Bino couplings
- All other particles (except gluino) set to 5 TeV
- Gluino mass below 500 GeV excluded



Jets+MET

# Limits: sbottom production

 Simple hierarchy for direct or gluino-mediated sbottom production:

 $m_{\tilde{g}} > m_{\tilde{b}_{1}} > m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}$   $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} \text{ assumed 60 GeV}$   $BR(\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_{1}b) = 100\%$   $BR(\tilde{b}_{1} \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 100\%$ 



- Optimisation model for b-jets+MET analysis
- Selection efficiency ~7-50%, dependent on  $m_{\tilde{g}} m_{\tilde{b}}$
- Some dependence of limit on LSP mass if  $m_{\tilde{g}} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} < 250 300 \text{ GeV}$
- Weak dependence on  $m_{\tilde{q}_{1,2}}$ 
  - 20 GeV variation for  $2m_{\tilde{g}} < m_{\tilde{q}_{12}} < 3$  TeV

b-jets+MET

# SO(10) GUT model

SO(10) models: Baer et al, JHEP **1002** (2010) 055



Exclusion also calculated for SO(10) GUT model using b-jet+MET results

 $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} \sim 50 - 90$  GeV,  $m_{\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}} \sim 100 - 180$  GeV,  $m_{\tilde{g}} \sim 300 - 600$  GeV

- m(scalar) > TeV
- Chargino-neutralino or gluino pair production with  $\tilde{g} \rightarrow b \, \bar{b} \, \tilde{\chi}_{12}^0$
- Selection efficiency ~7-20%

```
Higgs Splitting

(HS) model

m_{H_{u,d}}^2 = m_{10}^2 \mp 2M_D^2

m_{\tilde{g}} > 420 \text{ GeV} D-term splitting

(DR3) model

Mass splitting in Higgs and scalars

+v_R Yukawa couplings

+3^{rd} generation mass splitting

m_{\tilde{g}} > 500 \text{ GeV}
```



Michael Flowerdew

# Other models

- We search for SUSY, not mSUGRA
  - But we can't test everything
- So, you have some other model:
- Validate your local setup
  - Acceptance \* efficiency provided for each point
  - Les Houches format files too, on hepdata
- 2 Test your model!



cf: SUSY Recast workshop report (2pm today)

# Summary and outlook

Supersymmetry may be found as an excess of events with jets + MET

- ... but not yet
- Two analyses: inclusive and  $\geq 1$  b-jet
  - m(gluino) > 500 GeV in phenomenological gluino-squark-LSP model
  - m(gluino) > 590 GeV with b-jets if  $m_{\tilde{g}} > m_{\tilde{b}_1} > m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$
  - Strong mSUGRA and SO(10) model limits
  - Possible to extend interpretations to arbitrary theoretical models
- Bright prospects for 2011
  - Vastly more luminosity => "factory-mode" analysis
  - Refined experimental techniques (eg data-driven background estimation)
  - Experience and feedback from 2010
  - Sensitivity beyond  $m \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$



Michael Flowerdew

# Monte Carlo samples

- QCD jets (Jn): PYTHIA v6.4.21
  - Binned in  $p_{T}$
  - ALPGEN for systematics
- Top (single and double): MC@NLO v3.41, CTEQ6.6
  - $m_t = 172.5 \text{ GeV}$
  - POWHEG and ACERMC for systematic uncertainties
- W/Z+jets (incl. b jets): ALPGEN v2.13
- W/Z+γ: MADGRAPH 4
- Dibosons: HERWIG or ALPGEN
- Drell-Yan: PYTHIA or ALPGEN
- LO pdf: MRST2007LO\*
- MC09 parameter tune + GEANT4

- SUSY signal models:
  - HERWIG++ v2.4.2
  - Jets+MET MSSM grids: HERWIG
- SUSY cross sections: NLO PROSPINO v2.1
- Mass spectra and decay modes
  - ISASUSY (from ISAJET) v7.80
  - SUSYHIT v1.3 (b-jet+MET MSSM scenarios)
- NLO pdf: CTEQ6.6M
  - Scale = mean sparticle mass
- Showering: HERWIG v6.510
- UE: JIMMY v4.31

What is 
$$m_{T_2}$$
?

It is a generalisation of the transverse mass, used for W physics

$$m_{\mathrm{T}}^2\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(i)},\,\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(i)}\right) \equiv 2|\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(i)}||\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(i)}| - 2\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(i)}\cdot\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(i)},$$

• With two jets plus MET,  $m_{T_2}$  is calculated like this:

$$m_{\mathrm{T2}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}, \, \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}, \, \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \equiv \min_{\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)} = \vec{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}} \left\{ \max\left(m_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}, \, \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}\right), \, m_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}, \, \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}\right) \right\}$$

It is most sensitive to processes like this:

$$\tilde{q} + \tilde{\bar{q}} \rightarrow q + \bar{q} + 2\chi_1^0$$

• It is a continuous variable, displaying a kinematic endpoint at  $m_{T2} \simeq m_{\tilde{q}}$ 

# Hypothesis testing

- Signal and background hypotheses test statistics
  - $P_{\chi^2}$  = one-sided p-value for a given  $\chi^2$
  - Models with p-values < 0.05 are excluded at 95% CL</p>

$$q_{\mu} \equiv \begin{cases} P_{\chi 2}(\Lambda(\hat{\mu})) & \hat{\mu} \ge \mu \\ 1 - P_{\chi 2}(\Lambda(\hat{\mu})) & \hat{\mu} < \mu. \end{cases} \qquad q_{0} \equiv \begin{cases} P_{\chi 2}(\Lambda(\hat{\mu})) & \hat{\mu} < 0 \\ 1 - P_{\chi 2}(\Lambda(\hat{\mu})) & \hat{\mu} \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

 $\mu = signal$ strength (0 ≤  $\mu \le 1$ )

Signal and background control region expectation values:

$$\lambda_{S}(\mu, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mu \cdot c_{s,SR}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot s + \sum_{j} c_{j,SR}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot b_{j},$$
  
$$\lambda_{i}(\mu, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mu \cdot c_{s,i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot s + \sum_{j} c_{j,i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot b_{j}.$$

- **Background fit:**  $\mu = 0$ , signal region excluded, nuisance parameters for signal strength and theoretical extrapolation uncertainties turned off
- **Discovery fit:**  $\mu = 1$ , signal contamination in control regions = 0, nuisance parameters for signal strength turned off
- **Exclusion fit:**  $\mu$  fitted, all features turned on

### b-jets+MET W, Z, top background estimation

Process	MC stat	JES	b-tagging	Lum.	Theor.	Pileup	other	Total
							(lepton, trigger)	
W	$\pm 15\%$	$\pm 24\%$	$\pm 24\%$	$\pm 11\%$	$\pm 27\%$	$\pm 5\%$	$\pm 3.5\%$	$\pm 43\%$
Z	$\pm 27\%$	$\pm 20\%$	$\pm 25\%$	$\pm 11\%$	$\pm 27\%$	$\pm 5\%$	$\pm 3.5\%$	$\pm 45\%$
Top	$\pm 2.5\%$	$^{+30\%}_{-23\%}$	$^{+12\%}_{-15\%}$	$\pm 11\%$	$^{+20\%}_{-27\%}$	$\pm 5\%$	$\pm 3.5\%$	$\pm 40\%$



**Michael Flowerdew** 

# Jet energy scale

ATLAS-CONF-2010-056



- Energy scale factors determined using Monte Carlo
  - Non-compensation, leakage, inefficiencies etc
- Tested with different physics and detector models, and relative response measurements in data
- Uncertainty < 10% for jets with  $p_{T} > 20$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.8$
- Already improved for 2011 analyses

# **b-jet reconstruction**

#### ATLAS-CONF-2010-099

- SV0 algorithm: a secondary vertex is reconstructed, and the decay length L computed
  - Vertices consistent with material interactions are vetoed
- Cut at  $L = 5.72 \alpha(L)$  gives 50% ۲ efficiency and 1% fake rate on MC (ttbar)
  - Varies with jet  $p_{T}$  and  $\eta$ ٠
  - Data/MC scale factors consistent with 1





## Effect of b-jet selection

#### BEFORE

#### AFTER



- W, Z + jets dominates background before b-jet selection
- Top dominates after it



# $MSUGRA with A_{o} = -500 \text{ GeV}$



12/0<u>4/11</u>

# CMS new results

CMS-PAS-SUS-10-005



- New CMS analysis, different discriminating variables
  - "MHT", ie MET only from jets, rather than  $\alpha_{T}$  ("QCD killer")
- Reach similar to ATLAS overall, different in the details

## 0+1 lepton combination



**Michael Flowerdew** 

# SUSY object preselection (rel 15)

Jet cleaning: Reject events with "bad" jet of  $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ 

Vertex: > 4 tracks

Jet selection: AntiKt4TopoJets, calibrated with EMJES  $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$  $|\eta| < 2.5$ 

MET: MET = "Simplified" RefFinal (+ specific e/μ corrections) Electron selection: ElectronMedium AuthorElectron  $p_T > 10/20 \text{ GeV}$  $|\eta_{s2}| < 2.47$ Dead OTX removed

Muon selection: Combined or segmenttagged staco muons MCP recommended cuts  $p_T > 10/20 \text{ GeV}$   $|\eta| < 2.4$  $p_T$  cone 20 < 1.8 GeV Overlap removal: Jets with  $\Delta R < 0.2$  from medium electron removed Electrons/muons with  $\Delta R < 0.4$  from jet removed

Crack veto: Remove events with electron in crack  $(1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$ , no iso cut)

b-jets+MET