A3D3 Postbac Update

Lauren Peterson

Overview

- Convex optimization with neural data
- Time series reconstruction using multiblock RNN autoencoders (ECOG-MRAE)

Convex Optimization

Adaptive Feature Selection

- Can we adapt to a BCI's user learning strategy in trying out different features?
 - Brain engages in **sparsification** of learning
 - Could we free up features for other tasks?
- Goal: develop an online adaptive feature selection scheme for neural interface learning
 - Relevance
 - Sparsity
 - Smoothness



Feature Selection Complications

Non-neural data:

- Assumes data is stationary
- Lots of data
- Trained once

Neural data:

- In general, not stationary
- Less data; requires smoothness
- Decoders need to be calibrated and refitted

Si Jia's innovations

- Incorporate multiobjective perspective
- Add smoothness objective

$$\min_{\theta_i} \quad -\log\det(C_i^T Q^{-1} \Theta_i C_i) + \lambda \theta_i^T \mathbf{1} - \mu \theta_i^T \left[\theta_{i-1} \quad \cdots \quad \theta_{i-k}\right] \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \vdots \\ \rho^k \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $0 < \theta_i < 1$

My goal: apply Si Jia's convex feature selection algorithm to data from a monkey

General Project Outline

- Train a kalman filter on recorded data
- Run convex optimization algorithm
- Remove "less useful" features
- Evaluate new kalman filter performance



Aside: Kalman Filter

- State-based prediction method: models data based on observed points and assumed hidden states



Convex Optimization

Input: neural data recorded from electrodes

H (observation transformation), $q \Box$ (observation covariance)

Output: binary value ranking the importance of each feature

To understand which features the algorithm is selecting, we can compare spatial distributions





4-8 Hz

60-150 Hz

and we can compare intra- and inter-band distributions



(separated by frequency bands)

Filter Evaluation

- Comparing predicted and actual cursor positions (separated by axis) with
 - Correlation
 - Root-mean-squared error
- How does filter performance change when we remove different numbers of features?



Correlation vs number of features removed

3.75 0.7 x-axis correlation 0.6 3.50 (cm) 3.20 3.25 3.00 0.5 0.4 0.3 2.75 0.2 2.50 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 0 0 3.75 0.7 all features all features y-axis correlation half features 3.50 half features 0.6 , 3.50 3.25 3.00 0.5 0.4 0.3 2.75 0.2 2.50 200 1000 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 400 600 800 1200 0 0 number of features removed number of features removed

In both metrics, we see a plateau of performance until around 600-700 features removed

RMS vs number of features removed

Future Directions

- Implement the random filter
 - Remove a random selection of features and compare performance
- Evaluate performance when changing sparsity and smoothness parameters
- Implement closed-loop system

ECOG-MRAE

Time Series Reconstruction

Aim: reconstruct non-linear time series data recorded from BCI

LFADS "model neural recordings as an observed projection from a latent dynamical system"



Current Work & Future Directions

- Working to replicate results and figures from the paper
- Later, evaluate model with other tests & other data

Extracurriculars





