



### Beyond the SM searches: current status from the experiment side

Keti Kaadze (Kansas State University) on behalf of the ATLAS and CMS **Collaborations** 

CORFU2023 Workshop on Future Accelerators April 25, 2023



### Introduction

- The Large Hadron Collider is extremely powerful instrument to study fundamental processes at the energy frontier
- Improve precision of the SM processes
- Have an access to rare processes
- Discover new processes for the first time
- Very successful Run 1 and 2 at the LHC
	- $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ , Lumi = ~20/fb
	- $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV, Lumi = ~140/fb







### Multipurpose detectors with

- Central tracking<br>• Calorimeter
- Calorimeter<br>• Muon detect
- Muon detectors

Excellent performance even in high pileup environment with over 90% data-taking efficiency.



### **Standard Model Production Cross Section Measurements**

Status: February 2022





### What the Higgs does not tell us? at least, so far…

Why Higgs mass is what it is?

Higgs mass has calculable quantum corrections from highest mass scale in theory



- Are there other low/high mass Higgs particles?
- Why there are three generations of fermions?
- Can EM, weak, strong (& gravity) be unified?
- What happened to antimatter?





5

If the universe began from pure energy, we should have equal amounts of matter and antimatter. But we see no naturally occurring anti-matter.







ons **Bos** 



### And, also Dark Matter…











### With the Higgs discovery we have 'just' understood ~5% of our universe









### **ATLAS Long-lived Particle Searches\* - 95% CL Exclusion**

**ATLAS** Preliminary



## What we are going after?

Looking for Unknown



 $M_X$ 



Mass



### Limited by CME Limited by data Limited by detector acc.





### Focus

- I aim to present some of the latest results from ATLAS and CMS experiment focusing on
	- New methods and tools
	- Searches over broad mass and coupling range
	- Searches for long-lived particles
- Searches to explain flavour anomalies
- All these are collected with a theme of "interesting and exciting hints"...
- Complete sets of BSM results can be found at
	- **ATLAS** <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HDBSPublicResults> <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/SupersymmetryPublicResults> <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/ExoticsPublicResults>
	- CMS <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMS/B2G> <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMS/SUS> <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMS/EXOTICA>





# Data Scouting

• Huge amount of data from the LHC. Trigger selection is gives priority to high pT objects



 $0.1$ 

 $0.01$ 

Used for both searches for hadronic and multi-muon final states





 $m(X)$  [GeV]

1 KHz

 $1$  MB/ev

**IOO KHz** 

Huge reduction in rate. We might be losing good events

 $\overset{\scriptscriptstyle{W}^{\prime}}{\overbrace{\hspace{2.8cm}}^{W^{\prime}}}$ 

Heavy SM-like W' resonance

number of b jets jet<sub>top</sub> is a b-tagged jet jet<sub>W</sub>, is a b-tagged jet

 $n<sub>0</sub>$ 

- Decaying preferentially to the third generation particles
- Considering mass range 2 6 GeV, different width and chirality.
- Constraining neutrino  $p_T$  by m<sub>W</sub>; deciding a jet to be from top according to three body mass to be consistent to  $m_t$ , smallest ΔR between lepton and jet, picking lower pT jet.

Signal-enriched regions

 $no$ 



Largest excess around 3.8 TeV for 1% width and RH scenario



type of category (label) Control region (R0)

### *Keti Kaadze, Kansas State University*

 $\Omega$ 

### Looking for very high mass resonance Y → XH → qqbb

- 2HDM, Extra Dimensions, Heavy Vector Triplets
- $X$  and H could be boosted for specific  $Y(1.5 6 \text{ TeV})$  and X(65 - 3000 GeV) masses; Considering both resolved and boosted topologies
- Novel jet-level implementation anomaly detection based on unsupervised ML training is used to select boosted X particles incompatible with the SM background.







 $Z'(W')$ 

### High Mass Searches

- Heavy Vector Triplet (HVT), Spin-1 W' and Z', Spin-0 Radion
- Boosted topologies are used
- Two aK8 jets with or w/o two aK4 jets
- 3D observables:  $M_1(aK8):M_2(aK8):M_3(aK8_1,aK8_2)$
- Events categorised based on ML analysing substructure of large-radius jets from W, Z, and H





*Keti Kaadze, Kansas State University*

## High Mass Searches

- EW Singlet, 2HDM, MSSM predict di-H resonances
- Combining resonant Higgs pair-production searches.
	- bb $\gamma\gamma$  final observable m<sub> $\gamma\gamma$ </sub>. Sensitive at low mass region. Search region: 251 - 1000 GeV.
	- bb $\tau \tau$  ( $\ell \tau$ <sub>h</sub> and  $\tau$ <sub>h</sub> signatures) final observable MVA. Sensitive at intermediate mass region. Search region: 251 - 1600 GeV.
	- bbbb final observable m<sub>HH</sub>. Sensitive at high mass region. Considering both 300 200 resolved (search region 251-1500 GeV) and boosted (search region 900-3000 GeV) topologies.



### $q$  , 0000000000000  $q$ ,00000000000 **ATLAS** Preliminary  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV, 126 - 139 fb<sup>-1</sup> Spin-0 Observed limit (95% CL) Expected limit (95% CL) Comb. exp. limit  $\pm$  1 $\sigma$ Comb. exp. limit  $\pm 2\sigma$

1000

2000

3000

 $m_X$  [GeV]

 $\rightarrow$  HH) [fb]

 $10<sup>4</sup>$ 

 $\frac{8}{5}$  10<sup>3</sup>

 $10^{2}$ 

 $10<sup>7</sup>$ 

 $10<sup>0</sup>$ 

dddd  $b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ - bbvv

- Combined

500

[ATLAS-CONF-2021-052](https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CONFNOTES/ATLAS-CONF-2021-052/)

- Motivated from ED, NMSSM, Two-Real-Scalar-Singlet extension of SM (TRSM):  $X \rightarrow HH$  (bulk-R) or HY(NMSSM)
- Focusing on kinematic region where:  $m_Y < m_X$   $m_H$ ;  $-300 < m_X < 1$  TeV, 90  $< m_Y < 800$  GeV
- Using ML techniques to discriminate against major ttH and other non-peaking backgrounds Deviation from the bkg at







- High mass scalar H→WW decaying leptonically
- Using DNN for classification between signals and bkgs
- Using  $m<sub>T</sub>$  from DNN as final observable
- Interpretations: SM-like couplings/decays, 2HDM/MSSM
- Different width scenarios (0.1-10%); Interference with WW continuum and the SM H→WW is taken into account
- $f_{VBF}$  is used as free parameter



### [CMS-PAS-HIG-20-016](http://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/preliminary-results/HIG-20-016/index.html)



 $Mild$  excess in the mass range 400 - 1200 GeV for all  $f_{VBF}$  scenarios

17







Events  $200$ **ATLAS** Preliminary  $\bullet$  Data  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ Signal sstt $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ **ATLAS** Preliminary  $\bullet$  Data Signal ttc  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV, 139 fb Signal ttg Signal ttt  $100 - \sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, 139 fb<sup>-1</sup> Signal ttt Signal tttg าล∩่⊧ Search in final states with Signal tttt Signal tttq g2HDM q2HDM Signal tttt  $\blacksquare$  Four top Four top  $\blacksquare$ tł̃W  $160 2\ell$ SS - - CATttt  $3\ell$  + + CATttt  $\blacksquare$ tt̄W ĪtīН  $\overline{\mathsf{t}\mathsf{t}}$ t $\overline{\mathsf{t}}$ (Z/ $\gamma^*$ ) Post-Fit 80 - Post-Fit  $\mathsf{H}(Z/\mathsf{v}^*)$  $\overline{\Pi}$ tty<sup>\*</sup>(low mass)  $140$  $\overline{\mathsf{T}}$ tty<sup>\*</sup>(low mass) **Diboson** multi-leptons and b-jets  $\blacksquare$ HFµ  $n$ HFel  $\blacksquare$ HFu Mat Conv  $120$ **HFel** Mat Conv  $\Box$  QMisID 60  $\Box$  OMisID  $\Box$  Other □ Other ///Uncertainty  $100$ ---- Pre-Fit Bkad **I** Indertainty Pre-Fit Bkad 2HDM, heavy Higgs with FCNH couplings: 80 60  $\rho_{tt}$ ,  $\rho_{tc}$ ,  $\rho_{tu}$ , the former two can explain 40  $20$ baryon asymmetry. 20 Data / Pred. Data / Pred. **GOODOOOOOO GOODOOOOOO**  $H^{\perp}$ **COOOOOOOOO**  $0.1$  $0.2 \quad 0.3$  $0.4\quad 0.5$  $0.6$  $0.7\quad 0.8$  $0.9$  $0.1$  $0.2$  0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6  $0.7$  $0.8$  $\rho_{tq}$ **DNN**<sup>SB</sup> **DNN**<sup>SB</sup>  $\ell/\nu$ Improving indirect constraints set on  $\rho_{tt}$ ,  $\rho_{tc}$ ,  $\rho_{tu}$ Interpreted in R-Parity violating SUSY. from Higgs measurements, B physicsMotivated by flavour anomalies  $\rho_{tt}/\sum_i \rho_{ti} = 1$ Observed significance [o] **ATLAS** Preliminary Events categorised based on  $N_{\ell}$ , total charge, DNN<sup>cat</sup>  $m_{\text{H}} = 1000 \text{ GeV}$ pol RBxs  $2.5$ **ATLAS** Preliminary  $0.8$ Prediction **ATLAS** Preliminar Signal sst Signal tto  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV, 139 fb<sup>-1</sup> Observed limit  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV, 139 fb Signal tt  $\blacksquare$  Signal ttto Signal tttt  $10<sup>1</sup>$ q2HDN ...... Expected limit  $\Box$  Four top  $\blacksquare$ ttW ∃tīН 95% C.L. limits  $10^{\circ}$ Post-Fit  $\Box$ tt(Z/y\*)  $\Box$ tty\*(lov Expected limit  $\pm 1\sigma$  $\Box$ HFel a<sub>2</sub>HDM Expected limit  $\pm 2\sigma$  $\Box$  QMisID Fakes  $2.0 \sigma^2$ -- Pre-Fit Bkg ∠Uncertai  $10$  $1.5$  $10$  $2.5\sigma$  $2.75\,\sigma$  $\frac{1}{2.81}$   $\sigma$  $10^{-}$  $0.5$  $\rho_{\mu}$ =0.4,  $\rho_{\mu}$ =0.2,  $\rho_{\mu}$ =0.2 Data / Pred. 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000  $\rho_{tu}/\sum_i \rho_{ti} = 1$  $\rho_{tc}$   $\sum_{i} \rho_{ti} = 1$  $m_H$  [GeV] Chosen benchmark couplings could  $\rho_{tt} = 0.32$ ,  $\rho_{tc} = 0.05$ ,  $\rho_{tu} = 0.85$ explain high ttW and tttt yields observed by ATLAS



## Lepton Flavour Anomalies

- Longstanding hint  $(~3$  σ) of a deviation in lepton flavour universality test
- LFU not sufficiently tested in heavy quark decays



The ratio is sensitive to charged Higgs or LQ

$$
R(D^{(*)}) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^{(*)}\tau^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^{(*)}\mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu})}
$$

- Deviation wrt. the SM is at 3.2 σ;
- Perfect agreement between LHCb measurements







- fermions motivates searches in fine states with  $\tau$ , b-jets, top-quark decays..
- Combined search for pair, single, non-resonant production<br> $S_{\text{T}}^{\text{MET}} = p_{\text{T}}^{j1} + p_{\text{T}}^{e/\mu/\tau} + p_{\text{T}}^{\tau} + p_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$  3.4  $\sigma$  excess found at high





### $Di-\tau$  search over wide mass range

- Search in di- $\tau$  mass spectrum is motivated from additional Higgs in context of MSSM, non-resonant VLQ production
	- Interference with the SM  $\tau\tau$  continuum taken into account







### Vector-Like Leptons

 $\gamma/Z$ 

W

Motivated from flavour anomalies

- VLL decay via vector leptoquarks, which couple dominantly to the third generation
- Categorise by number of b-jets and  $\tau$ -leptons
- Using DNN to discriminate against QCD and tt backgrounds







## Vector-Like Quarks

[CMS arXiv:2201.02227](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.02227.pdf)

- VLQ could solve hierarchy problem
	- Searching in 0.6-1.8 TeV mass range; multiple width scenarios
	- Depending on T mass considering resolved and merged topologies







# Why Long-Lived Particles Searches?

- We already have some long-lived particles around us. Could there be more of those perfectly motivated
	- Small couplings
	- Suppressed decay phase space
- So far no evidence for new physics. Experiment perfectly agrees with the Standard Model. We need to look in all possible directions.







# How to Find LLPs?

- Signatures define search strategy
- Could be light or heavy
- Could travel fast or slow
- Could decay to quarks, gluons, or leptons, or even invisible particles (missing transverse momentum)
- Main handles: timing, displacement, and ionisation



Every sub-system important<br>distance travelled =  $\beta \gamma \times c\tau$ 



*Keti Kaadze, Kansas State University*

26

### [ATLAS arXiv:2205.06013](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2205.06013.pdf)

 $\rightarrow$   $\widetilde{g}$   $\widetilde{g}$  (R-hadron)  $\Delta m(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\chi}^0) = 30 \text{ GeV}$ 

## Long-Lived Particle Searches

- LLPs arise in models of SUSY with compressed spectra or weakly coupled RPV, Hidden Valley, DM, QCD Axions,…
- Search for massive, charged long-lived (>1ns) particles  $1600$ 1400 1200F  $1000$ Excess 1.1-2.8 TeV corresponding to 1.4 TeV mass Density [a.u.  $2.2$ 0.7±0.4 evt expected | 7 evt observed  $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm)$  [GeV] **ATLAS** Simulation  $2E$  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV  $m = 2.2$  TeV, Gluino 3.6 Z (3.3 Z) significance  $1.8$  $m = 1.3$  TeV, Chargino 1.6는  $m = 400$  GeV, Stau  $1.4^{\square}$  $10<sup>6</sup>$ GeV  $1.2E$ 1200 *ATLAS*  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV, 139 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $10<sup>5</sup>$ 1000F  $0.8$  $p_{-}^{trk}$  > 120 GeV,  $|\eta|$  < 1.8 **SR-Inclusive High**  $800$  $0.6 \varepsilon$  $10<sup>4</sup>$  $\rightarrow$  m( $\tilde{g}$ ) = 2.2 TeV, m( $\tilde{\chi}^0$ ) = 100 GeV, τ( $\tilde{g}$ ) = 10 ns  $04$  $\bullet$  Observed  $600$  $-\mathbf{r} \cdot m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}) = 1.3 \text{ TeV}, \tau(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}) = 10 \text{ ns}$  $-\frac{1}{r}$  - m(τ̃) = 400 GeV, τ(τ̄) = 10 ns  $400$ Expected  $10^{2}$ 2  $2.5$  $\overline{3.5}$  $1.5$ 3  $200<sup>F</sup>$





n(ĝ) [GeV

### [ATLAS arXiv:2303.13613](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.13613)

## Long-Lived Multi-Charged Particles

- Multi-charged particles (MCP): techno-baryons from TC, doubly charged Higgs from left-right symmetric model or from supersymmetric left-right model.
	- $Q = ze$ ,  $1 < |z| < 8$ , 500  $<$  mass  $<$  2000 GeV,  $\mu$ -like signatures
	- Higher mass and higher charge (high dE/dx in several subsystems) requires using additionally "late- $\mu$ " and MET trigger to catch signal ending between bunch crossings.

 $S(dE/dx) = \frac{dE/dx - \langle dE/dx \rangle_{\mu}}{\sigma (dE/dx)_{\mu}}$ 

Some candidate events from dE/dx search were not selected by this search due to low ionisation loss in tracker and moun chambers.









## Search with 3L + MET

- SUSY chargino-neutralino pair-production
- Simplified SUSY models with WZ and Wh mediated productions with final state of  $3\ell$  + missing transverse momentum
- Considering different signal scenarios depending on mass spectra
- Several aspects of the analysis are improved: selection, particle reconstruction, lepton id/isolation, as well as using MVA techniques
- On-shell WZ, off-shell WZ, on-shell Wh are optimised separately taking into account lepton flavour, (in-)consistency with Z boson mass, etc..





 $3.5$ 

[ATLAS arXiv:2106.01676](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.01676)



NN score

*Keti Kc* 

NN score



### So far, collected only about 5% of data — x20 more data to come! And before all those, Run 3 has 'just' started!

More data:

- Explore processes with smaller cross-sections
- Explore unusual signatures, smaller couplings
- Improve precision of the SM measurements and modelling

## Major Detector Upgrades

All-silicon tracking detector 5 pixel+4 strip layers to  $|\eta|$ <4

**Calorimeters New readout electronics** compatible with L0 1 MHz rate **High granularity timing** 

ATLAS Trigger and DAQ

- L0 (Calo+ $\mu$ ): 1 MHz
- L1 (Calo+ $\mu$ +Itk): 400 kHz

• HLT: 10 kHz

Muon systems - New DT/CSC BE/FE electronics GEM/RPC coverage in 1.5<|n|<2.4 - Muon-tagging in  $2.4|\eta| < 3.0$ -

### **MIP Timing Detector**

Muon systems

- New readout and trigger • electronics
- Additional chambers for inner •

### CMS Trigger & DAQ

- Track-trigger @L1
- $L1$  rate  $\sim$ 750kHz
- HLT output ~7.5kHz

**TRACKER** 

- radiation tolerant, high granularity, low material budget
- coverage up to  $|\eta|$ =3.8

•

•

- track trigger at l1

Barrel calorimeters

- **New BE/FE electronics** -
- **ECAL: lower temperature**
- HCAL: partially new scintillator - Endcap calorimeters
- high granularity calorimeter -
- **Radiation tolerant scintillator**

## Supersymmetry





## Leptoquarks

- LQ could be searched in different final states
- Most of the current constraints assume  $Br(LQ \rightarrow \ell q) = 100\%$ . Large dataset will enable probing smaller Br.

### CMS FTR 18-008





# Heavy Resonances

• Continue looking for a heavy resonance using powerful search techniques









## Non-prompt Searches

### [CMS-PAS-EXO-14-007](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2206863/files/EXO-14-007-pas.pdf)

- Large dataset is essential for LLPs given their very small cross section
- dE/dx is a powerful handle in these searches
- Search for dark photons

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Summary

- The LHC has been a tremendous success and the ATLAS and CMS detectors have performed remarkably well
- Discovery of the Higgs boson and detailed study of its properties
- Measurement of the SM processes at the highest possible precision
- Observation of rare processes
- Yet, no discovery of the physics beyond the standard model
- But… The Run 2 data have shown a few excesses. Some of those even line up interestingly..
- And... The Run 3 is already ongoing. All those 'hints' will be checked with Run 3 (and combined) datasets
- High-Luminosity LHC is around the corner— will enable significantly extend probes for BSM using larger dataset and more sophisticated methods. Stay tuned!

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

# BACKUP

## LQ Searches

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)