







Astroparticle constraints from high-z galaxies

Presented by Giovanni Gandolfi (SISSA, Trieste, Italy)

CORFU2023 - Workshop on Future Accelerators 28/4/2023

Image credits: CERN







Aim of the talk:

• Present a new way to constrain DM's APP with cutting-edge instruments & data

Outline:

- Introduction (origin of DM)
- DM (astro) state of the art
- High-z galaxy and DM
- Conclusions and future prospects

• Review the state of the art of astrophysical DM searches and current DM paradigms,





- **1930s**: Fritz Zwicky finds a discrepancy between motion vs light inferred mass of the Coma Cluster. Creates the term "dunkle materie"
- **1970s**: V. Rubin & K. Ford detect a discrepancy between the observed vs. theoretical rotation curve of the Andromeda Galaxy.

This missing mass is interpreted as **Dark Matter**!

Dark Matter - story of an idea:





State of the art

- Astro & cosmo probes constrained
 baryons to be ~ 15% of total matter in the Universe
- DM general characteristics: particle with **weak/negligible interaction** with baryons except with **gravity**
- DM is a crucial ingredient in **structure** formation











Cold Dark Matter (CDM)

DM interpretation in the concordance cosmological model (Λ CDM)

- "Cold" = **non-relativistic** at the epoch of decoupling
- Dissipationless, collisionless
- WIMPs (GeV), PBHs, axions

CDM strengths: cosmology

- Structure of the Cosmic Microwave Background
- The large scale structures in the distribution of galaxies
- Abundances of chemical in the **Big Bang Nucleosynthesis**
- Prediction of **Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations** \bullet
- Statistics of weak gravitational lensing

CDM weaknesses: galactic scales

- Discrepancies with **simulations** (core-cusp problem, missing satellites problem, angular momentum \bullet problem, too-big-to-fail problem)
- Interplay between **baryons** and **DM** inside galaxies
- Tension with unexpected **high-z massive galaxies** found by JWST?

Negligible free-streaming velocities, **hierarchical structure formation** w/ stochastic merging



Credits: Lacey and Cole MNRAS 262 627 1993

Warm Dark Matter (WDM) Thermal relics, $m_X \sim O(keV)$, non-negligible free streaming velocities

Fuzzy Dark Matter (ψDM) Bose-Einstein Condensate of ultralight particles with $m_X \sim O(10^{-22} \text{ eV})$

As a consequence of their characteristics (free-streaming, quantum effects, dark sector interactions): • DM power spectrum will be **suppressed** on small scales

- Reduced number of **sub-haloes**
- **Flatter** inner density profile

Self-Interacting Dark Matter (SIDM) $10 < m_X < 250$ MeV, $\sigma_{XX}/m_X \sim 0.1$ -1 cm²/g (cf. ETHOS), kinetic T_X at decoupling







Astrophysical probes of DM particle properties:

- Lyman-α forest (Viel+13, Irsic+17a,b, Villasenor+22)
- High-z galaxy counts (Pacucci+13, Menci+16, Shirasaki+21, Sabti+22)
- γ-ray bursts (De Souza+12, Lapi+17)
- Cosmic reionization (Barkana+01, Lapi+15, Dayal+17, Carucci+19, Lapi+22)
- Gravitational lensing (Vegetti+18, Ritondale+18)
- Integrated 21 cm data (Carucci+15, Boyarsky+19, Chatterjee+19, Rudakovskyi+20)
- γ-ray emission (Bringmann+17, Grand+22)
- Fossil records of the Local Group (Weisz+14, Weisz+17) • Dwarf galaxy profiles and scaling relations (Calabrese+16, Burkert 2020) • Milky Way satellite galaxies (Kennedy+14, Horiuchi+14, Lovell+16, Nadler+21, Newton+21)

Open Access Article

Astroparticle Constraints from the Cosmic Star Formation Rate Density at High Redshift: Current Status and Forecasts for JWST







Observing the invisible?

Can we observe **DM's HMF?**

... no! But we can use another observable: the (UV) luminosity function of galaxies!



$$\int_{M_{\rm H}}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}M'_{\rm H} \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}M'_{\rm H}\mathrm{d}V} \left(M'_{\rm H}, z \mid X\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{M_{\rm UV}} \mathrm{d}M'_{\rm UV} \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}M'_{\rm UV}\mathrm{d}V} \left(M'_{\rm UV}, z\right)$$

Abundance matching: matching the cumulative number densities in galaxies and haloes

Aversa+15, Moster+18, Cristofari & Ostriker 2019, Behroozi+20

Muv - Mн relation at z=10 (for different X)

- UV luminosity function and the halo mass function mirror each other (Bouwens+21)
- Other models are similar, but the flattening is more abrupt (e.g. FDM, see HMF)

• WDM: flattening for lower m. For high m, the relation becomes indistinguishable from CDM • The relation barely depends on z (for z > 6) at a given m, because the cosmic evolution of the

Where to look?

How to constrain the **low mass end** of DM's power spectrum?

We need **faint galaxies** inhabiting small mass DM haloes.

The number of high mass DM haloes is always **depressed** at very high redshift (see z=10 DM's HMFs above).

We can **peer into the early Universe** in search of early, ultrafaint primordial galaxies with **brand new technologies.**

Credits: NASA/ESA

-

DARK AGES Ended 13.6 billion years ago

Credits: NASA

2

PRESENT

Ended 12.8 billion years ago

Peering into the early Universe

JWST is HST successor: 6x collecting area & larger FOV (15x) wrt HST, unmatched sensitivity & resolution in the (N+M)IR band!

Near-Infrared Camera (NIRCam): JWST's primary imager with a resolution of 0.07 arcsec $@2 \mu m$ (c.a. double of HST's WFC3) and covering longword wavelengths than HST's cutoff.

Since July 14th 2022, over 100 z > 11 galaxy candidates were revealed by JWST (up to z~16 [CEERS-93316] and z~17 [S5-z17-1]).

(Adams+23, Castellano+22, Donnan+22, Finkelstein+22a, Morishita & Stiavelli+22, *Naidu+22a, Atek+23, Yan+23,* Rodighiero+23)

Open Access

Article

Astroparticle Constraints from the Cosmic Star Formation Rate Density at High Redshift: Current Status and Forecasts for JWST

by 🙁 Giovanni Gandolfi 1,2,3,* 🖂 🕩, 🍘 Andrea Lapi 1,2,3,4 🕩, 🙁 Tommaso Ronconi 1,2 🕩 and 🙁 Luigi Danese 1,2 🕩

Cosmic star formation rate density

- modeling uncertainties.
- **M**^{obs}uv: faintest limit probed by observations (depends on the dataset considered)
- consider the SFR density to be negligible)

At magnitudes **fainter** than **M^{lim}uv**: the luminosity function can flatten/bend because:

• Galaxy formation processes becoming inefficient in small haloes (e.g. photo suppression by the UV bkg, ...) • The microscopic nature of DM generating a suppression of the power spectrum at small scales + underlying assumption of an IMF (Chabrier), does not affect such constraints (Lapi+22)

$\rho_{\rm SFR}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\min[M_{\rm UV}^{\rm obs}, M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim}]} dM_{\rm UV} \frac{dN}{dM_{\rm UV} dV} SFR$

• The cosmic SFR is a very basic astrophysical quantity that suffers less from observational, systematic and

Mlimuy: limit magnitude down to which the luminosity function is steeply increasing (i.e., after which we

 $\Theta = \{M_{\rm H}^{\rm GF}, X\}$

The analysis

Datasets: cosmic SFR density constrained by HST UV luminosity function data (Bouwens+21,+22); early JWST UV luminosity function (Harikane+22); GRB counts data from Fermi (Kistler+09) and (sub)mm luminosity function data from ALMA (Gruppioni+20)

We perform a **Bayesian MCMC fit** (flat priors + gaussian likelihood, 104 steps and 200 walkers) The $M_{obs}UV$ we consider the minimum observational magnitude limit in each dataset.

$\theta = \{M_{\rm H}^{\rm GF}, X\} \qquad M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim} \begin{cases} M_{\rm H}^{\rm GF} \in [6, 11] \\ 1/V \in [0, 10] \end{cases}$ $1/X \in [0,10]$

Compute the **cosmic SFR density** integrating the UV lum. functions down to a magnitude limit $M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim}(M_{\rm H}^{\rm GF}, z | X)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}(\theta) &\equiv -\sum_{i} \chi_{i}^{2}(\theta)/2 \qquad \mathscr{P}(\theta) \propto \mathscr{L}(\theta)\pi(\theta) \\ \chi_{i}^{2} &= \sum_{j} \left[\mathscr{M}\left(z_{j},\theta\right) - \mathscr{D}\left(z_{j}\right) \right]^{2} / \sigma_{\mathscr{D}}^{2}\left(z_{j}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Cosmic star formation rate density (results)

.

- Best fit VS observed cosmic SFR density (with 95% credible interval)
- DM scenarios are consistent with each other within **2 sigma**
- **JWST data** (9 < z < 12, crosses)

around the same values of HST ones but referring to UV luminosities integrated to -17 VS -13.

> What if the JWST data are confirmed and extended to ultra-faint magnitudes?

•

Cosmic star formation rate density (results)

The **higher SFR density** predicted by JWST data goes **in tension** with the suppression of small scales of the power spectrum by alternative DM scenarios

Take home message:

via JWST as a direct probe for The astrophysics of galaxy formation at small scales a) The microscopic nature of DM b)

- New **JWST data** are coming as new high-z galaxies / local ultra-faint objects are discovered!
- New technology to study the dark sector (e.g. **Euclid**, scheduled for launch in **July** 2023

Our analysis highlights the relevance of upcoming ultra-faint galaxy surveys in the (pre)reionization era

Backup Slides

Bouwens+21

HST data (> 24.000 sources!).

It uses all of the non-clusters extragalactic legacy fields including: • Hubble Ultra Deep Field (**HUDF**)

- Hubble Frontier parallel fields
- All five **CANDLES** fields (total survey of 1136 arcmin²)
- ERS WFC3/UVIS observations (150 arcmin² area in the GOODS North/South regions)

Bouwens+22

behind the HFF clusters (> 2500 galaxies) reaching extremely low luminosities (> -14). Faint end slope results are fully consistent (z=2-9) with blank field studies (Bouwens+21)

Most comprehensive estimation of the rest-frame UV luminosity function (from z=2 to z=9) with

Determination of the rest-frame UV luminosity function (z=2-9) with lensed galaxies found

(Sub)mm ALMA data

Gruppioni+20: sample of **56 sources** serendipitously detected in ALMA band 7 as part of the **ALPINE** program. These sources were used to derive an estimate for the total infrared luminosity function and to estimate the cosmic star formation rate density up to z=6.

GRB counts

Kistler+09: with GRBs we are witnessing the **death of massive, short-lived stars**. Given their intrinsic intensity, it is possible to infer the star formation rate to very early times (not unbiased tracers of cosmic SFR!).

Warm Dark Matter

• CDM: $\log M_{\rm H}^{GF} \left[M_{\odot} \right] \approx 9.4^{+0.2(+0.4)}_{-0.1(-0.4)}$ $M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim} \approx -14.7$ (see Finkelstein+19)

(Close to the photo-suppression mass expected by the intense UV bkg during reionization)

• WDM: degeneracy between particle mass and halo mass.

$$\log M_{\rm H}^{GF} \left[M_{\odot} \right] \approx 7.6^{+2.2(+2.3)}_{-0.9(-3.3)}$$
$$m_X \approx 1.2^{+0.3(11.3)}_{-0.4(-0.5)} \text{ keV}$$
$$M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim} \approx -13.3$$

Posterior peaks at **keV scale**, which solves issues of CDM (missing satellites, cusp-core) but beware of the posterior **tail**!.

Fuzzy Dark Matter & Self-Interacting Dark Matter

