



# The industrial production of Micro Pattern Gaseous Detector: experience from the ATLAS Micromegas

Paolo lengo - INFN -

On behalf of the ATLAS Muon Spectrometer System

Revisiting the talk given at the MPGD22 Conference



### Introduction



- NSW upgrade project now formally closed
- Micromegas healthy running in ATLAS
- More on performance in the next RD51 meeting



### End-of-project BBQ



#### 1.4% HV channels disconnected or disabled



# Introduction



- ATLAS Micromegas is the largest MPGD-based system ever conceived and built
- 1280 m<sup>2</sup> active surface
- 2.1 M readout channels
- 128 detectors / 4 types
  - o 4 layers
  - $\circ$  2 to 3 m<sup>2</sup> area
  - o Mechanically floating mesh (no bulk)







### The production challenge





- Mass production: 2800 boards / 32 different types
- Unprecedented size: up to 45 x 220 cm<sup>2</sup>
- Full production in industry
- Technology breakthrough
  → Direct move from R&D to mass production
- Two companies selected after tendering process and negotiations: ELVIA (FR), ELTOS (IT)





### Generalities







# Material Procurement



### Board components are procured centrally and delivered to manufacturers

- keep control of the procurement (avoid delays)
- o save money
- Base Cu-clad FR4 sheets, halogen free (0.5 mm thick, 2000x600 mm<sup>2</sup>)
  - o QC (thickness, uniformity, defects) and stabilisation (thermal treatment) at CERN
  - Full quantity procured at at the beginning, delivered to companies in batches
- Kapton (EN type) for resistive foils
- Coverlay (Dupont Pyralux PC1025, 600 mm wide, 128 µm thick)
- Resistive paste (RS12115 100 KΩ/square polymer resistor) for printing
- Ag paste for electrical contact



Limited shelf-life







### **Board Production**







### Quality Assurance / Quality Control



- QA/QC lab for foils at Kobe
- 1. QC ob PCB by companies
- 2. QC at the manufacturer premises
- 3. Dedicated lab set-up at CERN
  - o 7 test stations
  - Each board tested according to QC protocol
  - Online form interfaced with QC DB to guide through QC
- Repair facility in a separate room













# Selected list of production issues

Focus on some of the main issues encountered during the production, actions taken and adopted solutions





- Knowledge of the board dimension (strip position and deformation) crucial for precise tracking
  - Copper pattern precision  $<\pm30 \ \mu m$
  - $\circ$  Cutting and drilling precision <±200 µm
- FR4 subject to moisture uptake  $\rightarrow$  expansion
  - o Described by Fick's laws
  - Made our own study

- $\frac{L(t)}{L_{\infty}} = 1 \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{D'(2n+1)^2 \pi^2}{4l^2}t\right]$
- Expansion: ~400 µm/m at 21° and 50% RH to be accounted during production
  - Cu pattern (masks) scaled accordingly to have the final desired dimensions
    - Scale factors optimised for each company after dedicated studies
  - After the production, the boards are stored for 4 weeks in RH controlled room before being cut/drilled  $\rightarrow$  relaxation time to reach the final size





Panel deformation due to moisture absorption







### Resistive paste



- Single provider: ESL(D), then Ferro (UK)
- Final foil resistance driven by paste resistivity; curing conditions after printing; pressing conditions during board production

3 crisis related to resistive paste:

- 1. 2016: Resistivity 10x higher than expected, finally understood in a change of solvent.
- 2016: Reported from PCB company low adhesion of resistive strips during PCB manufacturing. Reason found in insufficient curing at foil printing company (Matsuda, JP).
   No information propagated to us →it took time to reconstruct the full story
- 3. 2019: Paste production was moved from Germany to UK (after Ferro acquired ESL). Delivery of the last batch postponed for months. Not able to produce usable paste.

Strong push from CERN to find a solution. Finally, the German expert was sent to UK to supervise the production. Costed months of delay and required tuning of the pressing condition at the PCB companies



Surface resistivity vs foil ID. Reduced resistivity correlated with the change of batch of resistive paste



#### Foil with resistive pattern partially removed





- Resistivity foils measured at Kobe after production
- Final resistivity of the boards measured at CERN
- Resistivity map measured for each board
- Comparison after/before board production
- Dedicated tool developed and used in both sites



#### Surface resistivity measurement tool



#### Surface resistivity map of a board



>98% of the foils used for production satisfy the acceptance criteria on resistivity We now know that the lower limit (set on prototype detectors) was set too low, contributing to the detector HV instability



### Pillar adhesion





- Second step forward: long pillars well attached (design improvement)
- Long pillars (1.2 mm x 0.2 mm) running parallel to the readout strips do not affect the detector performance, while ensuring larger attachment surface
- Tested on 10x10 cm<sup>2</sup> bulk-MM built at CERN





- Mesh sagitta from simulation:
  - o between pillars: 2µm @ 600V & 10 N/cm
  - o if single pillar missing: 8µm @ 600V & 10 N/cm
- ightarrow individual missing pillars still tolerable



# Pillar QC and repairs



- New adhesion checks implemented
  - $\circ$  ISO: removal with tape  $\rightarrow$  only on limited area
  - Use of window-cleaning tool ('raclette test')
- Acceptance criteria
  - single missing pillars < 8-10 (3.3k to 20k pillars on PCB)
  - $\circ \ge 2$  neighbouring pillars missing
- Reparation procedures developed to recover boards with few missing or badly attached pillars (out of specs):
  - At CERN: manual single pillar gluing with Araldite 2011
  - At companies: local cleaning, re-lamination, development (UV tool developed), curing



Single pillar gluing (manual)





ISO9000 protocol: tape test

Windows squeegee cleaning (raclette) test





### Pillar height measurement



- Pillar height map measured for each board
- Precision of the tool:  $\pm 0.5 \ \mu m$
- Systematic difference (2 µm) between the two companies
- RMS~ 2  $\mu$ m; L/R asymmetry ~ 2  $\mu$ m
- Average value: 120 μm (expected 128 μm)

#### Pillar height measurement tool











### Bumps & enclosures



#### Module0 boards: 2015





First production batch: 2016

#### Great improvement thanks to:

- Technology transfer
- Procedure and work-flow optimization
- Cleanliness during gluing



### Repair techniques & dedicate tools developed





Polishing of resistive surface



Passivation of bumps with coverlay disk

Tool to measure height of bumps & enclosures





### HV connection & edge cutting



### Silver line HV connection





CERN expert showing the HV connection technique to techs from production company

Edge cutting



### Module0 boards: 2015



#### Great improvement: <sup>5</sup> - Procedure and work-flow optimization

- Design improvement: removed 1 strip to allow for larger safety margin





# Strip etching



- Unexpected problem observed at the pre-series boards Affecting some boards of both companies: Cu lines cut at the edge of the kapton foils (excess of micro-etching during Ag plating)
   → problem understood and solved
- Capacitance between neighboring strips or strip and HV line is a good indicator for interruptions and cuts
- Automated tool developed for capacitance measurement



Cu lines cut at the Kapton edge



Strip capacity automatic measurement setup













### Main criticalities

- Move from R&D to mass production. No time for real TT to the companies BEFORE the production (limitation also from the tendering procedure). Pre-production helped but most of the TT and process optimisation was done during the production
- Although most of the production steps were ~standard for specialised companies.
   Both manufacturer assumed the ATLAS production as an R&D expecting a yield <80%</li>
- Time pressure and long production (>3 years): hard to keep expert manpower at CERN and at the companies
  - Both companies replaced their production supervisor between the Mod0 and the series production
- Some unforeseen technical issues emerged during the production (e.g., strip etching)
- Problems in material procurement from single supplier (reproducibility among batches)





### Lessons learned - 2



- Main strong points
  - Good choice to go to 2 companies: split production + continuous feedback from independent sources
  - Establish good communication with both companies, especially with the technical personnel doing the work
  - Good choice procure the material centrally by the collaboration. Some problem would have been spotted too late otherwise
  - Huge effort to constantly follow the production at both companies from the same team. Yield went from 64% at the pre-production to >90% for the last batches, demonstrating the feasibility of the project
  - Thorough and complete QA/QC on each single board
  - Set-up of a repair facility to accept board with small, repairable defects





# Off topic / Hot topic







### Conclusions



- The industrial production of the first large-scale MPGD system has been successfully completed for ATLAS
- Production span over 3.5 years 2017-2020
- Many issues had to be addressed during the production phase, requiring close and constant follow-up

With the Micromegas for ATLAS the MPGD technology has entered the new era of industrial mass production





### Acknowledgements



- R. De Oliveira, O. Pizzirusso and the whole CERN MPT workshop team
- Previous members of ATLAS Muon System & CERN team:
  - o J. Wotshack
  - o J. Bortfeldt
  - o O. Sidiropoulou
  - o F. Kuger
- CERN DT team
- CERN FI Dept. for help in the tendering process
- The many, many colleagues contributing to the construction of the ATLAS Micromegas









### Additional Material



### Boards QA/QC



- Dedicated lab set-up in 188
  - o 7 test stations
  - Each board tested according to QC protocol
  - Shift work (2 FTE) + experts
  - Online form interfaced with QC DB to guide shifters through QC
  - o Repair facility in a separate room





0.	shelf & table	
	unwrapping	

- 1. computer table logistics
- 2. tool chest
- 3. top light table
  - visual inspection, electrical tests, repairs
- 4. back light table agreement btw. holes & Cu pattern, edge precision & straightness, pillar pattern
- 5. rasmask granite table absolute dimensions & shape O(30µm)
- 6. granite table pillar height measurement
- 7. table resistivity mapping
- 8. table
- strip capacitance measurement
- 9. self
- storage of boards when QC has finished





## Board QC: resistivity



- Resistivity foils measured in Japan after production
- Final resistivity of the boards measured at CERN
- Resistivity map measured for each board
- Comparison after/before board production







BoardType



# Board QC: dimensions



- Knowledge of the board dimension (i.e. strip position and deformation) crucial for precise tracking
- Boards are subject to expansion for moisture uptake
  - Study of the expansion (result: ~400um/m)
  - o Rescaling of the dimension for the board production
  - Waiting period of 4w at the company to let the boards expand before final cutting/drilling
  - o Dimension measurement on all the boards (contact CCD tool)















- Technology breakthrough
  → Direct move from R&D to mass production
- Two companies selected after tendering process and negotiations: ELVIA (FR), ELTOS (IT)



- Mass production: 2800 boards / 32 different types
- Unprecedented size: up to 45 x 220 cm<sup>2</sup>
- Full production in industry





### Anode Board Design





- 1022 strips/board
- Readout strips: 300 µm width, 425 or 450µm pitch
- Screen-printed resistive strip pattern with same pitch
- Resistive strips interconnected; pattern interrupted in the center → two HV sections per board
- HV supply via silver line from the side
- Elongated pillars: 1200µm x 200µm
- Readout strips routed to pads for elastomeric connectors (Zebra)





### **Board Production**





possible to standard processes in industry

waiting for board expansion (>20 days)

Kapton® foil with

resistive pattern

25µm glue

(Krempel Akaflex® CDF 25)

Polymer Silver conduct (ESL 1901 SD)

> 2x64µm coverlay (Pvralux® PC1025)



### Logistic & organization



