Neutron EDM Searches

Chen-Yu Liu University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

GPMFC Workshop on "Precision Measurements in the US Long-Range Plan for Nuclear Physics" 4/14/2023

Many slides from B. Fillippone (FSNN Townhall 2022); B. Plaster, Jeff Martin (PSI2022); N. Ayres (nEDM2022)



Why neutron EDM?

- Neutral particle (no net force in E-field)
 - Particle motion not affected by E field
 - No Schiff's shielding
- Long measurement/coherence times possible
 - 200 1000 s \rightarrow limited by neutron lifetime
- Because it's the simplest Z=0 "nucleus"
 - Lattice QCD calculations can connect measured EDM to fundamental hadronic CP violation
- Directly addressing the 'Strong CP problem'

Adapted from B. Filippone (FSNN Townhall, 2022)

Electric Dipole Moment (EDM) of the Neutron

Purcell and Ramsey, Phys. Rev. 78, 807 (1950)

• Neutron EDM (d_E) : Permanent, net charge separation within the neutron volume





- First experiment (1957): $d_E < 5 \ge 10^{-20} \text{ e-cm}$
- Current limit [1]:
 d_F < 1.8 x 10⁻²⁶ e-cm

<u>Charge separation for an earth-size neutron</u> Current limit: $\Delta x < 1.8 \times 10^{-13} r_E (2 \,\mu\text{m})$ Goal sensitivity: $\Delta x < 1.8 \times 10^{-15} r_E (20 \,\text{nm})$

Slide credit: J. Long

[1] PRL **124** 081823 (2020)

Principle of nEDM experiments



- For B \sim 10 mG, v = 30 Hz
- For E = 10 kV/cm and d_n = 3 x 10⁻²⁷ e·cm, $\delta v = 30 \text{ nHz}$

One part per billion precision!



A particular arrangement that is more advantageous in many cases is one in which the oscillating field is confined to a small region at the beginning of the space in which the energy levels are being studies and to another small region at the end, there being no oscillating field in between.

-- N. Ramsey (1950)

Smith, Purcell, Ramsey, Phys. Rev. 108, 120 (1957)



UCN Bottle nEDM experiments

Problem: $v \times E$ motional field Mitigation: UCN in a bottle, $\langle v \rangle = 0_{12}$ $2\pi f = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar}B \pm \frac{2d}{\hbar}E$ $f(\uparrow\uparrow) - f(\uparrow\downarrow) = \frac{4}{2\pi\hbar}dE$

Double-cell configuration:

In one load, the EDM is extracted by the frequency difference between the two cells. \rightarrow Less sensitive to *temporal drifts* of the background B fields.

nEDM sensitivity:

The principle is to measure frequency of spin precession, but in practice we are still <u>counting</u> neutrons.





α: fringe visibility
E: Electric field
T: Free precession time
N: number of neutrons counted
M: number of repeats

Highlight: PSI published a new nEDM limit (2020)



$$\sigma(d_n) \ge \frac{\hbar}{2\alpha ET\sqrt{N}\sqrt{M}}$$
$$\alpha = 0.76$$
$$E = 11 \text{ kV/cm}$$
$$T = 180 \text{ s}$$
$$N = 11,400$$

M = 288 cycles/day

2005-2015: improving OILL apparatus @ PSI 2015-2016: physics data taking 2017: field mapping

- Previous Measurement: $(-0.2 \pm 1.5_{stat} \pm 1.0_{sys}) \times 10^{-26}$ e cm
 - Infer $|d_n| < 3 \times 10^{-26}$ e cm (90% CL)
 - J. M. Pendlebury *et al.* Phys. Rev. D **92**, 092003 (2015)
- New Measurement: $(0.0 \pm 1.1_{stat} \pm 0.2_{sys}) \times 10^{-26}$ e cm
 - Infer $|d_n| < 1.8 \times 10^{-26}$ e cm (90% CL)
 - C. Abel et al. PRL 124, 081803 (2020)

Additional Systematics

| | Effect | Shift (x10-28 | e cm) Error (x10 ⁻²⁸ e cm) | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Included in Crossing Lines Fit | Error on $\langle z \rangle$ | | 7 | Dedicated mapping | |
| | Higher-order gradients \hat{G} | 69 | 10 | measurements | |
| | Transverse field correction $\langle B_T^2 \rangle$ | 0 | 5 | | |
| | Hg EDM [8] | -0.1 | 0.1 | Constrained with | |
| | Local dipole fields | | 4 | Berlin | |
| | $v \times E$ UCN net motion | | 2 | | |
| | Quadratic $v \times E$ | | 0.1 | - Cs Magnetometers | |
| | Uncompensated G drift | | 7.5 | C3 Magnetometers | |
| | Mercury light shift | | 0.4 | | |
| | Inc. scattering ¹⁹⁹ Hg | | 7 | Not anticipated at | |
| | TOTAL | 69 | 18 | design, bear in mind for next time | |

Total systematic error **0.18 x 10⁻²⁶ e cm** Factor 5 improvement on previous measurement Only 20% of statistical error

From N. Ayres, nEDM2022



ETH zürich

Leading Systematic: False EDMs and Gravitational Shift



Conspiracy between vertical gradient and motional magnetic field from Lorentz transform of *E* into Hg atom frame causes *E*-correlated frequency shift

$$d_{n \leftarrow Hg}^{\text{false}} = \frac{G_{1,0}}{1 \text{ pT/cm}} * 4.4 * 10^{-27} \text{e cm}$$



Slow UCN hang at the bottom of the chamber Shifts \mathcal{R} shift proportional to vertical gradient

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_0 \left(1 + G_{1,0} \ \frac{\Delta h}{B_0} \right) \qquad \Delta h \approx 0.35 \text{ cm}$$

To first order these are proportional, but more complicated fields can cause a "phantom" effect

_PRA **99**, 042112 (2019)

Study the Systematic Effects below 1e-26 e-cm



- Low-frequency field drift
 - Cancelled by Hg signal
- Leakage current
- Geometric Phase Effects
 - The field gradient coupled to the motion of UCN
- Gravitational induced frequency shift
 - Different velocity of UCN and Hg
- Earth rotation
 - Frequency shift in an accelerating frame.
- Pseudo magnetic field
 - Spin-dependent scattering between UCN & Hg

•

Techniques for measuring $\Delta \omega$

- **Classic Ramsey Separated Oscillatory Fields**
 - Precess for long time Δt accumulating $\omega_{FDM} \Delta t$ phase
 - Accumulated phase leads to different final polarization
- Comagnetometers
 - Optically pumped ¹⁹⁹Hg vapors (Room temperature exp'ts)
 - Free Precession with n-³He capture (cryogenic exp'ts)
- **Critical Spin Dressing**
 - Golub & Lamoreaux, Phys. Rep. 237, 1 (1994)
 - Additional AC B-field matches n&³He precession frequency for E=0
 - d_n \neq 0 changes capture rate

Adapted from B. Filippone FSNN Townhall, 2022)





"Spin up'

How to Improve Sensitivity

Figure of Merit
$$\sim \frac{1}{|\vec{E}|\tau\sqrt{N}}$$

- New sources of Ultra-Cold Neutrons providing more N
 - Solid Deuterium, Superfluid Lhe

- New technologies for higher $|\vec{E}|$, τ
 - Vacuum \rightarrow Superfluid Lhe

Neutron EDM Experiments Underway



Beyond 1e-26 e-cm...

$$\sigma(d_n) \ge \frac{\hbar}{2\alpha ET\sqrt{N}\sqrt{M}}$$

Present 90% limit: 1.8 x 10⁻²⁶ e-cm *Abel et al., PRL*. 2020; 124(8): 081803

| Experime nt | Facility | α | E (kV/cm) | T (s) | Ν | neutron density (1/c.c.) | Chamber D (cm) or volume | Coating | σ(d) per day (e-cm) | σ(d) (e-cm) |
|----------------|------------|------|--------------|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| OILL | PSI-sD2 | 0.76 | 11 | 180 | 11,400 | 2 | 47 | DLC + dPS | 11e-26 | 1.5e-26 |
| n2EDM | PSI-sD2 | 0.8 | 15 | 180 | 121,000 | 2 | 80 | DLC + dPS | 2.6e-26 | |
| LANL | LANL-sD2 | 0.8 | 12 | 180 | 80,000 | 15 | 47 | dPS | 4 e-26 | 3.4e-27 (1y) |
| TUCAN | TRIUMF-IHe | | | | 600,000- 2,000,000 | 200-400 | 30,000 c.c. | dPS | | 1e-27 (400d) |
| PanEDM | ILL—IHe | | | | | 3.9 40 | | dPE | 3.8e-26 (I) 7.9e-27 (II) | 3.8e-27 (100d) 7.9e-28 (100d) |
| PNPI | PIK—lHe | | 12→27 | | | 200 | | | 1.5e-26 | 1e-27 (1y) |
| SNS | SNS—IHe | | 75 | 500 | 380,000 | 120 | 3,000 c.c. | dTPB-dPS | | 3e-28 (3y) |
| BeamEDM | ILL/ESS | | 40 | 4e-2 | | | FP=50m | | 5e-26 | |



nEDM sensitivity is still limited by the UCN counting statistics ightarrow continuing efforts to make more intense UCN sources

| Facility | | Current | Power | UCN converter | Production volume (L) | UCN rate (1/s) | Storage (s) | Temper ature (K) | Heat load in target (W) | Neutron density (1/c.c.) |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| PSI | 590 MeV p | 2.4 mA (1%) | 1.4 MW | sD2 | 30 | | | 5 | | 2 |
| LANL | 800 MeV p | 9 μΑ | 7.2 kW | sD2 | 2 | | 40 | 5 | | 15 |
| TRIUMF | 480 MeV p | 40 μΑ | 20 kW | lHe | 27 | 1.4- 1.6e+7 | 30 | 1.1 | 8.1+1.5 | 200-400 |
| ILL | 9A n flux | | | lHe | 12 | | | 0.6 | | 200 |
| PNPI—PIK reactor | 9A n flux | 5e+8 (/cm^2-s-A) | | lHe | | | | 1.15 | 3.85 | 350 |
| SNS | 9A n flux | 5e+8 /s | | lHe | 3 | 0.31/c.c. | | 0.5 | | |



The PanEDM Experiment @ ILL



- Double chamber Ramsey experiment at room temperature
- ¹⁹⁹Hg magnetometers with few fT resolution
- Cs magnetometers also at HV
- Magnetic shield with SF 6.10⁶ at 1 mHz
- Simultaneous spin detection
- SuperSUN UCN source at ILL Two stages –
 - 1: unpolarized UCN with 80 neV peak
 - 2: polarized UCN, magnetic storage

ILL/PNPI

nEDM @ ILL/PNPI





Spectrometer used

- 1985 1996 at PNPI
- 2008 2013 at ILL
- Plan to move to PNPI (Gatchina) to a new He-II UCN source

From Jeff Martin PSI2022 Adapted from A. Serebrov



TUCAN @ TRIUMF

- Timeline:
 - 2024 UCN production with new TUCAN source
 - 2025 Readiness for nEDM data taking
- Initial goal to demonstrate 10⁻²⁷ *e*-cm capability



Helium cryostat

UCN source

LANL nEDM



LANL nEDM experiment



- Successfully upgraded LANL UCN source has demonstrated the UCN density required for an nEDM experiment with $\delta d_n \sim O(10^{-27}) e$ -cm
- Venue for the US nEDM community to obtain physics results, albeit less sensitive, in a shorter time scale with much less cost while development for the SNS nEDM experiment continues.
- Based on the measured stored polarized UCN density, we expect to achieve a statistical sensitivity of 2x10⁻²⁷ e-cm in one live-year of running.

LANL nEDM

LANL UCN Experimental Hall

New nEDM experiment

- MSR has been installed. It has been shown to meet the specs on both the shielding factor (10⁵ @ 0.01 Hz) and the residual field (≤ 0.5 nT).
- Assembled the precession chambers and UCN valves.
- Started engineering run w/ UCN in CY2022.
- Integrating Hg comagnetometer system in CY2023



nEDM@SNS Spallation Neutron Source at ORNL



Concept: R. Golub & S. K. Lamoreaux, Phys. Rep. 237, 1 (1994)

- High trapped neutron densities
 Superfluid Helium moderator
- LHe as HV insulator
 > 70 kV/cm vs 10 kV/cm
- Use of a ³He co-magnetometer and superconducting shield Control of systematics
- Variation of LHe temperature to study v x E systematics Monitor of systematics
- Precession frequency measurement via two techniques Cross-check of non-zero signal
- Unprecedented sensitivity reach $d_n < 3 \ge 10^{-28}$ e-cm (in 3 calendar yrs)

Slide credit: B. Filippone (FSNN Townhall, 2022)



All unique to nEDM@SNS

"Most ambitious nEDM experiment that is currently underway" nEDM@SNS Review Committee

nEDM@SNS Experimental Design



Slide credit: B. Filippone (FSNN Townhall, 2022)

Details: M.W. Ahmed *et al* 2019 *JINST* **14** P11017

Experiment uses ³He as detector

R. Golub and S. K. Lamoreaux, Phys. Rep. 237 (1994) 1

- UCN too dilute to detect with magnetometer (SQUID)
- Inject small concentration (~ 10⁻¹¹) of polarized ³He
- Look for reaction: $n + {}^{3}He \rightarrow t + p + 764 \text{ keV}$
 - t, p scintillate in ⁴He
 - Pipe through light guides and detect with PMT

To measure spin precession:

```
• n + {}^{3}\text{He} \rightarrow t + p:
```

 σ (³He, n: ↑↓singlet) ~ 10⁷ b σ (³He, n: ↑↑ triplet) < 10⁴ b

• $\mu_{\rm He}/\mu_{\rm n}$ = 1.11

³He spins will rotate ahead of n spins in same B

Scintillation light according to $\Phi = \Phi_0 \sin(\omega_{He} - \omega_n) t \sim 1 - P_n P_3 \cos(\omega_{He} - \omega_n) t$

• Independent monitor of ³He spins with SQUIDs



Major R&D Progress: Pre-2021

1/5-Scale High Voltage System Achieved 85 kV/cm with PMMA Electrodes with Copper Implantation



High Voltage Studies Revealed Key (Area) Scaling Laws



1/3-Scale Magnet System Demonstrated Field Gradient Requirements

B, Coll measurement 157.545

Measured 1800 s UCN Wall Loss Time in Cryogenic Environment



³He System Constructed Full-Scale Non-Magnetic Dilution Refrigerator





Light Collection Prototype Extrapolates to 17 PE in Final Apparatus

From Brad Plaster PSI2022



Recent Hardware Progress

- Full-scale Cryogenic Magnet System being assembled at SNS
 - Preparing for polarized neutron beam through system to test for polarization loss and transmission issues
- New HV scaling studies indicate low-breakdown probability
- Potential additional electrode material recently identified
- Spin-dressing studies beginning at NCSU PULSTAR Systematics and Operational Studies (SOS) apparatus
- Recently completed large 4x4x7m³ 2-layer Magnetic Shield Enclosure meets specs

Training Manual for nEDM measurements:



'Dr. Suess in Cat in the Hat'

Look at me! Look at me! Look at me NOW! It is fun to have fun but you have to know how.

I can hold up the cup and the milk and the cake! I can hold up these books! and the fish on a rake! I can hold the toy ship and a little toy man! And look! With my tail I can hold a red fan! I can fan with the fan As I hop on the ball! but that is not all. Oh, no That is not all...

NEDM2021, "INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON SEARCHES FOR A NEUTRON ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT" LES HOUCHES SCHOOL OF PHYSICS,

or in plain English,

It is an Odyssey....



nEDM2023 will be this November at Santa Fe, NM, USA

Summary

- EDMs provide impressive reach for BSM physics via new CP-violation
 - nEDM offers a particularly strong constraint when new physics couples to quarks/gluons.
- Neutron EDM measurements are underway to extend sensitivity up to 2 orders-of-magnitude
 - Next generation of experiments aims at 10⁻²⁷ e-cm uncertainty, order of magnitude improvement mostly arising from UCN source increase.
 - Experiments developing innovative techniques to achieve 10⁻²⁸ e-cm.

- Multiple International efforts (PSI, ILL, TRIUMF) are underway
- Two competitive/world-leading efforts are underway to bring neutron EDM measurements back to the US