## *Nonrelatívístic* Conformal Field Theory and nuclear reactions

Dam Thanh Son (University of Chicago) 50 years of Quantum Chromodynamics, UCLA September 15, 2023

## Happy birthday QCD!

#### Cultural impact of QCD

• QCD is the first theory where

microscopic d.o.fs  $\neq$  low energy d.o.fs

- Immense impact on condensed matter physics
  - quasiparticles in fractional quantum Hall states: fractionally charged at  $\nu = 1/3$ , electrically neutral fermion ("composite fermions") at  $\nu = 1/2$
- "deconfinement", "partons": now standard notions in theoretical condensed matter physics

#### This talk

- about "chemistry of QCD" nuclei
  - simplest chemistry: He<sub>2</sub> molecule (10<sup>-7</sup> eV binding energy)
- processes involving very small energy scale, from
   0.1 MeV to a few MeV
- Accidental fine-tuning: neutron-neutron scattering length very large  $a_{nn} \approx -19$  fm
- Nontrivial effect on rates of reactions with several neutron in the final state





reaction with an unnucleus  $\mathcal U$  (represented by the shaded region) in the final  $\mathcal L$ 

are some initial particles, B is a particle and  $\mathcal{U}$  is the unnucleus. For me all particles involved in the reaction are nonrelativistic, though our equires that only  $\mathcal{U}$  is. We work in the center-of-mass frame. The al lable to final products is  $E \in (0, E_0)$ ,  $E_0 \approx 130 \text{ MeV}$ 

$$E_{\rm kin} = (M_{A_1} + M_{A_2} - M_B - M_U d\sigma + \frac{p_{A_1}^2}{M_E} + \frac{p_{A_2}^2}{M_E})^{\Delta - \frac{5}{2}}$$
(11)

cle, the energy spectrum of B is continuous. Let E and p be the energy  $E = p^2/2m_B$ . We are interested in the differential cross section  $d\sigma/dE$ . It a term in the energy Eagrangian

$$\overset{\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = g \mathcal{U}^{\dagger} R^{\dagger} A_{1} A_{2}}{\overset{+}{=} \Delta} \overset{+}{=} \frac{\text{h.c.}}{5/2} \text{ where } \Delta = 4.27^{\text{is the scaling}}$$

upling constant. The differential onsofeat boperated to ite a non-

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \sim |\mathcal{M}|^2 \bigvee_E \text{finic} \underbrace{C}_{\mathcal{U}} \underbrace{F}_{\text{kin}} \underbrace{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \underbrace{F}_{\text{kin}} \underbrace{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \underbrace{F}_{\text{kin}} \underbrace{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \underbrace{F}_{\text{kin}} \underbrace{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \underbrace{F}_{\text{kin}} \underbrace{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \underbrace{F}_{\text{kin}} \underbrace{F}_{\mathcal{U}} \underbrace{F}_{$$

(12)  $\mathcal{M} = g$ , but in principle  $\mathcal{M}$  can contain dependence on the momenta d outgoing particles. The statement of Eq. (13) is that the cross section



## Plan

- Nonrelativistic conformal symmetry
- Nonrelativistic CFTs
- Fermions at unitarity
- Neutrons and "UnNuclear Physics"

Refs.:Y. Nishida, DTS , PRD 76, 086004 (2007) H.-W. Hammer, DTS PNAS 118 (2021) e2108716118 S.D. Chowdhury, R. Mishra, DTS to appear

### Schrödinger group

• Symmetries of the free Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2m}\nabla^2\psi$$

- Phase rotation  $M = mN \quad \psi \to e^{i\alpha}\psi$
- space and time translations  $\mathbf{P}, H$ ; rotations  $J_{ij}$
- Galilean boosts  $\mathbf{K} \psi(t, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow e^{im\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{x} \frac{i}{2}mv^2t} \psi(t, \mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}t)$
- Dilatation  $D \psi(t, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \lambda^{3/2} \psi(\lambda^2 t, \lambda \mathbf{x})$

# "Proper conformal transformation"

$$C: \psi(t, \mathbf{x}) \to \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha t)^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \frac{m\alpha x^2}{1 + \alpha t}\right) \psi\left(\frac{t}{1 + \alpha t}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{1 + \alpha t}\right)$$

#### Schrödinger algebra

[X, Y]

$X \setminus Y$	$P_j$	$K_j$	D	С	Н
$P_i$	0	$-i\delta_{ij}M$	$-iP_i$	$-iK_i$	0
K <sub>i</sub>	$i\delta_{ij}M$	0	<i>iK</i> <sub>i</sub>	0	$iP_i$
D	$iP_j$	$-iK_j$	0	-2iC	2iH
С	$iK_j$	0	2iC	0	iD
Н	0	$-iP_j$	-2iH	-iD	0

[N, anything] = 0

#### Nonrelativistic CFTs

Y. Nishida, DTS, 2007

- QFTs with Schrödinger symmetry
- local operators  $O(\vec{x})$  characterized by charge (mass) and dimension  $[D, O(0)] = i\Delta_0 O(0), [M, O(0)] = iN_0 O(0)$ example:  $\psi N_{\psi} = 1, \Delta_{\psi} = \frac{3}{2}$ 
  - primary operators:  $[K_i, O(\vec{0})] = [C, O(\vec{0})] = 0$
- Constraints from conformal invariance:

$$\langle TO(t, \vec{x})O^{\dagger}(0,0) \rangle = \frac{c}{t^{\Delta_o}} \exp\left(\frac{im_O x^2}{2t}\right)$$
 [E] = 2  
[p] = 1

#### Example of NRCFTs

- Free particles
- nonrelativistic anyons (two spatial dimensions)
- Spin-1/2 fermions at unitarity
  - realized in cold atom experiments, but also approximately by neutrons

#### Unitarity fermions: QM

- Wave function of *m* spin-up and *n* spin-down fermions  $\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_m; \mathbf{y}_1, ..., \mathbf{y}_n)$
- $\psi$  antisymmetric under exchanging two x's or y's
- When one spin-up and one spin-down fermions approach each other:

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} + O(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) + \cdots$$
$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{a}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathbf{y}_{a}^{2}}$$

# What are the local operators?

- First example: annihilation operator in second quantized formulation of QM
- $\langle 0 | \hat{\psi}(\vec{x}) | \Psi_{1-\text{body}} \rangle = \Psi(\vec{x})$
- This is a charge-1 operator, dimension=3/2

#### Charge-2 local operator

• Second-quantized formulation of QM:

$$\langle 0 | \psi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{y}) | \Psi_{2-\text{body}} \rangle = \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

• Limit  $y \to x$  does not exist:

$$\langle 0 | \psi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}) | \Psi \rangle = \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = \infty$$

• but one can define  

$$O_2(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x}} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \psi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{y})$$

• then

$$\langle 0 | O_2(\mathbf{x}) | \Psi \rangle = \lim_{\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x}} | \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} | \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \text{finite}$$

#### Dimension of $O_2$

• 
$$O_2(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x}} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \psi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{y})$$

• 
$$\Delta[O_2] = 2\Delta[\psi] - 1 = 2$$

• cf free theory: 
$$\Delta[\psi\psi] = 3$$

## Charge-3 operator

- Need to know short distance behavior of  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{y})$
- 3-body problem solved by Efimov ~ 1970

$$\begin{split} \Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{y}) &\sim R^{-0.2273} f(\alpha, \hat{\rho}, \hat{r}) \\ R^2 &= |\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2|^2 + |\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{y}|^2 + |\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{y}|^2 \\ \alpha, \hat{\rho}, \hat{r} &= 5 \text{ hyperangles} \end{split}$$

• Charge-3 operator

$$O_3(\mathbf{x}) \sim \lim_{\mathbf{x}_2 \to \mathbf{x}} \lim_{\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x}} R^{0.2273} \psi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{y})$$

•  $\Delta[O_3] = 4.2727$  cf free theory:  $[\psi_{\downarrow}\psi_{\uparrow}\nabla\psi_{\uparrow}] = \frac{11}{2}$ 

#### Dimension of charge-3 operators

$$\Delta = \frac{5}{2} + s \quad \text{where } s \text{ solves an equation}$$

$$l = 0: \quad s\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}s\right) + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{6}s\right) = 0$$

$$\Delta = 4.666, \ 7.627, \ 9.614, \dots, 2n + \frac{7}{2}$$

$$l = 1: \quad (s^2 - 1)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}s) + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}s\cos(\frac{\pi}{6}s) - 4\sin(\frac{\pi}{6}s) = 0$$

 $\Delta = 4.273, 6.878, 8.216, \dots 2n + \frac{5}{2}$ 

### Charge-4 operator

- Dimension of operator with particle number N>3 can only be obtained numerically
- Nishida and DTS 2007: equal to ground state energy of N unitary fermions in a harmonic trap
- $\Delta[O_4] = 5.0 \pm 0.1$  (cf. free theory: 8)

#### Two point functions

 One can compute two-point functions by inserting a complete set of states

 $\langle 0 | O(t, \mathbf{x}) O^{\dagger}(0) | 0 \rangle = \sum_{n} \langle 0 | O(0) | n \rangle e^{-iE_{n}t + i\mathbf{P}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \langle n | O^{\dagger}(0) | 0 \rangle$ 

• Result

$$\langle O(t, \mathbf{x}) O^{\dagger}(0, \mathbf{0}) \rangle = \frac{C}{t^{\Delta_o}} \exp\left(\frac{iM_o x^2}{2t}\right)$$

In momentum space

$$\langle OO^{\dagger} \rangle(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \sim \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2M_O} - \omega\right)^{\Delta_O - 5/2}$$

#### NRCFT in real world: neutrons



- $a \approx -19$  fm,  $r_0 \approx 2.8$  fm
- NRCFT in energy range between  $\hbar^2/ma^2 \sim 0.1$  MeV and  $\hbar^2/mr_0^2 \sim 5$  MeV
- Consequence: power-law behavior in processes with final state neutrons
- "Unnuclear Physics" Hammer, DTS 2021 nonrelativistic version of Georgi's "unparticle physics"



G. 2. A nuclear reaction with three neutrons in the final state.

 $\begin{array}{l} P(A_1 + A_2 \rightarrow B + 3n) = P(A_1 + A_2 \rightarrow B + \mathcal{U}) P(\mathcal{U} \rightarrow 3n) \\ \text{world realizations of the reaction pictured in Fig. 1 are reactions with a few} \\ \text{hal state. A typical reaction with three final-state neutrons is schematically} \\ \text{. The differential cross section } d\sigma/dE \text{ considered above is now an inclusive} \\ \text{ere twhen energy escale of a primary exeaction also larger is than } \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}n \rightarrow 3n \\ \text{at in nuclear physics. Some examples are} \end{array}$ 

 $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U} =$ 

#### )Cesses

E.



$$E_{\text{tot}} = E + E_{\mathcal{U}}$$

do

eaction with an unnucleus  $\mathcal U$  (represented by the shaded region) in the final  ${\bf F}$ 

are some initial particles, B is a particle and  $\mathcal{U}$  is the unnucleus. For me all particles involved in the reaction are nonrelativistic, though our quires that only  $\mathcal{U}$  is. We work in the center-of-mass frame. The  $t \underbrace{\mathfrak{s}}_{2}$ able to final products is

$$E_{\text{kin}} = (M_{A_1} + M_{A_2} - M_B - M_U d\sigma + \frac{p_{A_1}^2}{M_U} + \frac{p_{A_2}^2}{M_U} j^{\Delta - \frac{5}{2}}, \quad \Delta = \text{dimension of } \mathcal{U}$$

cle, the energy spectrum of B is continuous. Let E and p be the energy

#### Nuclear reactions

• 
$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \sim (E_0 - E)^{\alpha}$$
  $\alpha = \Delta - \frac{5}{2}$ 

• 
$${}^{3}H + {}^{3}H \rightarrow {}^{4}He + 2n$$
  
•  $\pi^{-} + {}^{3}H \rightarrow \gamma + 3n$   
•  $\pi^{-} + {}^{3}H \rightarrow \gamma + 3n$   
4.27  
1.77  
 $\Delta \qquad \alpha$   
•  $\pi^{-}$ 

•  ${}^{4}\text{He} + {}^{8}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{8}\text{Be} + 4n \quad 5.0 \quad 2.5$ 

calculation (check) and the plane wave impulse approximation (squares). We

#### Comparison with "averagiment"



FIG. 4. Center- $f_{\mu}$  mass  $f_{\mu}$  spectrum  $f_{\mu}$  three neutrons in the reaction  ${}^{3}\mathrm{H}(\pi^{-}, \pi^{-})$ and  ${}^{3}\mathrm{H}(\mu^{-}, \nu_{\mu})3n$  (right panel). The circles/squares give the full/plane wave calculated and [23, 24]. Different fits **Getaplained Pin Che8**, **eg5**, **add** and **20** (the main text.



#### Deformations of NRCFT

• Dimensional counting

$$S = \int \underbrace{dt}_{-2} \underbrace{d^3x}_{-3} \left( \mathscr{L}_{CFT} + \text{deformations} \right)$$

- operators with dim < 5: relevant; dim > 5: irrelevant
- one relevant deformation:  $[O_2^{\dagger}O_2] = 4$
- Leading Galilean-invariant irrelevant deformation:

$$O_2^{\dagger} \left( \partial_t + \frac{\nabla^2}{4} \right) O_2 \qquad \text{dim} = 6$$

#### Away from conformality

• Finite scattering length and effective range can be treated as perturbation away from NRCFT

$$L = L_{\text{CFT}} + \frac{1}{a}O_2^{\dagger}O_2 - r_0O_2^{\dagger}\left(i\partial_t + \frac{1}{4}\nabla^2\right)O_2$$

• Contribution to  $\langle O_3 O_3^{\dagger} \rangle$  can be computed using conformal perturbation theory S.D. Chowdhury, R. Mishra, DTS to be published

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \sim \omega^{\Delta-5/2} \left( 1 + \frac{c_1}{a_0\sqrt{m\omega}} + c_2 r_0 \sqrt{m\omega} \right)$$

#### Conformal perturbation theory

$$\langle O_{3}(x)O_{3}^{\dagger}(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathscr{D}\psi O_{3}(x)O_{3}^{\dagger}(0)e^{iS_{\text{CFT}} + \frac{i}{a}\int_{y}O_{2}^{\dagger}(y)O_{2}(y)}$$
$$= \langle O_{3}(x)O_{3}^{\dagger}(0)\rangle_{0} + \frac{1}{a}\int dy \langle O_{3}(x)O_{2}^{\dagger}(y)O_{2}(y)O_{3}^{\dagger}(0)\rangle_{0}$$
$$\int \int \int dy \langle I| = |3\rangle\langle 3|$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \sim \omega^{\Delta - 5/2} \left( 1 + \frac{c_1}{a\sqrt{m\omega}} \right) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \Delta = 4.273 \\ c_1 = 2.642 \end{array}$$

#### Effective-range correction

• Effective range correction proportional to

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} + s - 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(3 - \frac{d}{2}\right)\Gamma(d - 3)} = 0$$

- Vanishes at physical dimension d = 3, but not in fractional spatial dimension
  - we do not understand this completely

### Open questions

- Can one resum all 1/a correction and determine the correlator along the whole RG flow?
- Can be done for  $O_2$

$$\langle O_2 O_2^{\dagger} \rangle = \left( \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{4} - p_0} - \frac{1}{a} \right)^{-1}$$

but needs to be down for charge-3 operators

• Charge-4 operators and higher?

#### Four-neutron production

<sup>8</sup>He(p,p<sup>4</sup>He)4n



(Duer et al Nature 2022)

#### Four-neutron production

<sup>8</sup>He(p,p<sup>4</sup>He)4n



(Duer et al Nature 2022)

#### Conclusion

- QCD has a large cultural impact on CMP
- NR conformal field theories have Schrödinger symmetry
- Example: fermions at unitarity
- Approximately realized by neutrons in nuclear physics
- Leads to a power-law behavior of differential cross sections of certain processes near threshold
- Nonrelativistic conformal perturbation theory
- the full power of NRCFT still to be explored

# Width of hypothetical multi-neutron resonances

- Suppose we have a multi-neutron resonance with  $\hbar^2/ma^2 \ll \text{Re} E \ll \hbar^2/mr_0^2$
- Then the width will scale as

$$\Gamma \sim E^{\Delta - 5/2} = \begin{cases} E^{1.77} & N = 3\\ E^{2.5} & N = 4 \end{cases}$$

DTS, M.A. Stephanov, H.-U. Yee, PRA 106(2022) L050801

#### New approach to twoneutron halo nuclei

- Borromean two-neutron halo nuclei (Ann),  $A = {}^{4}\text{He}, {}^{9}\text{Li}, {}^{20}\text{C}, \dots$
- Small two-neutron separation energy

 $B(^{6}\text{He}) = 0.975 \text{ MeV}$  $B(^{11}\text{Li}) = 0.369 \text{ MeV}$  $B(^{22}\text{C}) < 0.18 \text{ MeV}$ ? Hammer Ji Phillips 2017

 EFT based on smallness of B and neutron virtual energy

#### EFT of weakly-bound twoneutron halo nuclei

- Add two fields to the NRCFT of neutron: core  $\phi$ , halo nucleus h
- Interaction:  $h^{\dagger}O_2\phi + O_2^{\dagger}\phi^{\dagger}h$

• dimension: 
$$\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + 2 = 5$$
: marginal

- leading-order EFT renormalizable;
- Universal result for (charge radius)/(matter radius), E1 dipole strength function Hongo and DTS, PRL 2022

