Experimental techniques - TSC and DLTS

Daniel Hynds



Disclaimer

I am definitely not an expert in this topic!

Defect characterisation is tricky - there is a lot of solid-state physics involved, a lot of caveats to the measurements, and a whole ton of different defects that can occur inside silicon (especially when recombining with oxygen, carbon, boron, phosphorous, vacancies... etc.)

This lecture is meant to illustrate some typical solid-state techniques and how they can be used to investigate such defects, not to describe all of the radiation-induced defects that exist



Charge carrier production in semiconductors relates to transitions from the valence to conduction band

 In depleted silicon detectors, this is the mechanism by which interacting particles are observed Conduction band



Valence band



Charge carrier production in semiconductors relates to transitions from the valence to conduction band

In depleted silicon detectors, this is the lacksquaremechanism by which interacting particles are observed

Where this goes wrong after irradiation damage is the introduction of additional energy levels in the band gap Conduction band

Valence band



Charge carrier production in semiconductors relates to transitions from the valence to conduction band

 In depleted silicon detectors, this is the mechanism by which interacting particles are observed

Where this goes wrong after irradiation damage is the introduction of additional energy levels in the band gap

Mid-level defects will capture mobile carriers and reduce the observed signal



Valence band



Charge carrier production in semiconductors relates to transitions from the valence to conduction band

In depleted silicon detectors, this is the \bullet mechanism by which interacting particles are observed

Where this goes wrong after irradiation damage is the introduction of additional energy levels in the band gap

- Mid-level defects will capture mobile carriers and \bullet reduce the observed signal
- Bands close to the conduction or valence band can give rise to space charge build-up







Charge carrier production in semiconductors relates to transitions from the valence to conduction band

 In depleted silicon detectors, this is the mechanism by which interacting particles are observed

Where this goes wrong after irradiation damage is the introduction of additional energy levels in the band gap

- Mid-level defects will capture mobile carriers and reduce the observed signal
- Bands close to the conduction or valence band can give rise to space charge build-up





Valence band



Charge carrier production in semiconductors relates to transitions from the valence to conduction band

 In depleted silicon detectors, this is the mechanism by which interacting particles are observed

Where this goes wrong after irradiation damage is the introduction of additional energy levels in the band gap

- Mid-level defects will capture mobile carriers and reduce the observed signal
- Bands close to the conduction or valence band can give rise to space charge build-up



Valence band



The question is, how do we study the various defects and understand what they are, where they come from, and how to mitigate their effects?

Conduction band

Radiationinduced defects

Valence band



We will start with the easier concept first - Thermally

Stimulated Current (TSC)

- This technique is quite straightforward: ullet
 - Cool down your silicon detector (let's say 10 K) •

Conduction band

Valence band



We will start with the easier concept first - **Thermally**

Stimulated Current (TSC)

- This technique is quite straightforward: ullet
 - Cool down your silicon detector (let's say 10 K) ullet
 - Fill the traps with charge carriers ullet



Valence band

daniel.hynds@physics.ox.ac.uk

11

We will start with the easier concept first - **Thermally**

Stimulated Current (TSC)

- This technique is quite straightforward: \bullet
 - Cool down your silicon detector (let's say 10 K) ullet
 - Fill the traps with charge carriers ullet
 - Apply a reverse bias to the sample ullet



Valence band



We will start with the easier concept first - Thermally

Stimulated Current (TSC)

- This technique is quite straightforward:
 - Cool down your silicon detector (let's say 10 K)
 - Fill the traps with charge carriers
 - Apply a reverse bias to the sample
 - Increase the temperature at a fixed rate and look for current peaks





Valence band







Conduction band

Valence band



14

Something to note about TSC:

- We can measure the defect activation energy (roughly) \bullet and concentration, but we **do not know if they are** electron or hole traps; we only see the total current
- To investigate this we need more techniques (DLTS...) \bullet





Valence band





Filling the traps

How do we fill the traps at low energy?

Conduction band

?

Valence band



- One option is obviously to inject light on the sample \bullet
- Electron-hole pairs are generated along the path of the \bullet laser
- These charge carriers can be trapped by traps throughout \bullet the bulk (though some ambiguity if defects act as **both** donors and acceptors - filling will be by ratio of crosssections)





How do we fill the traps at low energy?

Using a shorter wavelength laser with limited absorption • depth can also be a very useful technique





- Using a shorter wavelength laser with limited absorption lacksquaredepth can also be a very useful technique
- Minority/majority carriers are absorbed close to where \bullet they are generated, depending on if injection is at the top or bottom of the sensor





- Using a shorter wavelength laser with limited absorption \bullet depth can also be a very useful technique
- Minority/majority carriers are absorbed close to where \bullet they are generated, depending on if injection is at the top or bottom of the sensor
- Drifting carriers can then populate just the electron/hole \bullet traps





- Using a shorter wavelength laser with limited absorption \bullet depth can also be a very useful technique
- Minority/majority carriers are absorbed close to where \bullet they are generated, depending on if injection is at the top or bottom of the sensor
- Drifting carriers can then populate just the electron/hole traps
- Be aware that depending on the interaction length of the \bullet laser, you may be filling some of the opposing traps!





Filling the traps - zero bias

- We don't fill them at high energy! \bullet
- If the device has 0 applied field and is cooled down, then \bullet the traps will be filled with majority carriers





Filling the traps - forward bias

- Forward bias the device! ullet
- Carriers of both type will be injected through the sensor \bullet and occupy traps
- This has the same issue as penetrating laser illumination - \bullet defects that act as both donors and acceptors will be partially filled depending on their relative cross-sections for holes and electrons







TSC/DLTS in the flesh

What does the TSC setup look like in reality?

- "Simply" a controlled temperature chuck with contacts for connecting the sensor
- A hole for laser light injection (in the case of optical trap filling)
- Lots of low-noise electronics and isolation





TSC/DLTS in the flesh

What does the TSC setup look like in reality?

- "Simply" a controlled temperature chuck with contacts for connecting the sensor
- A hole for laser light injection (in the case of optical trap filling)
- Lots of low-noise electronics and isolation





Typical TSC measurement

Thesis from M.Moll is well worth reading (in general) huge amount of detail on radiation damage and measurements of different defects

- Plot on the right shows a typical TSC \bullet measurement on an irradiated diode
- Sample was cooled with no bias voltage \bullet applied, so only electron traps are probed (ntype bulk material)
- Shallow (phosphorous) donor has higher concentration than electron traps for this fluence => all traps are occupied







The peak temperature is not a terribly precise way to extract the activation energy of the traps - can instead perform measurements at different times and temperatures to extract true activation energy



TSC - delayed heating

The peak temperature is not a terribly precise way to extract the activation energy of the traps - can instead perform measurements at different times and temperatures to extract true activation energy

For a single filling temperature, perform lacksquaremeasurements after different delays







TSC - delayed heating

The peak temperature is not a terribly precise way to extract the activation energy of the traps - can instead perform measurements at different times and temperatures to extract true activation energy

- For a single filling temperature, perform \bullet measurements after different delays
- Extract the emission time \bullet







TSC - delayed heating

The peak temperature is not a terribly precise way to extract the activation energy of the traps - can instead perform measurements at different times and temperatures to extract true activation energy

- For a single filling temperature, perform \bullet measurements after different delays
- Extract the emission time
- Repeat the measurements at different filling temperatures in order to extract the activation energy and cross-section







Field emission effect

Depending on the charge and type of the defect (and bulk), the departure of a charge carrier may be affected by the resulting space charge

Eg. An electron emitted from a donor state in an n-type \bullet bulk leaves a positive charge

This energy barrier can be affected by the external bias: this is known as the **Poole-Frenkel effect**

Measurements taken with different reverse biases can help to deduce the defect type, and extract the activation energy dependence on bias







TSC - electron/hole capture cross-section

As mentioned earlier, for some filling techniques there is an injection of both electrons and holes

Eg. Forward-biasing the sensor \bullet

For different filling temperatures, the ratio of electrons captured to holes captured will vary as the activation energies are different

The peak height as a function of temperature gives \bullet an indication for the ratio between the electron and hole capture coefficient







These measurements are not easy to interpret

Can compare explicitly the difference in observed spectra for things like variation in the filling temperature, or the effect of cooling the sample with zero applied bias voltage

- On the left, the filling is via **forward** \bullet **biasing**; note that the C_iO_i dependence on temperature was shown on the previous slide
- On the right, traps were filled by cooling under zero bias (majority carriers, ntype bulk)

I[pA]



daniel.hynds@physics.ox.ac.uk





For TSC, all that we could observe on heating was a current

No indication of whether traps were acting on electrons or \bullet holes

A different technique for determining trap type and presence is

Deep Level Transient Spectroscopy (DLTS)



DLTS is based around transient measurements of occupied traps within the semiconductor

The transient part relates to the relaxation of the ulletsystem, which starts off under reverse bias

n++ р -HV



DLTS is based around transient measurements of occupied traps within the semiconductor

- The *transient* part relates to the relaxation of the lacksquaresystem, which starts off under reverse bias
- Traps are often filled by pulsing a voltage across the \bullet sensor - though they can be filled optically as for TSC which fills all of the traps with majority carriers (reduced depletion) or a mixture of minority and majority carriers (forward bias)





DLTS is based around transient measurements of occupied traps within the semiconductor

- The *transient* part relates to the relaxation of the \bullet system, which starts off under reverse bias
- Traps are often filled by pulsing a voltage across the \bullet sensor - though they can be filled optically as for TSC which fills all of the traps with minority carriers (reduced depletion) or a mixture of minority and majority carriers (forward bias)
- The sensor is returned to full depletion and the change \bullet in capacitance measured





DLTS is based around transient measurements of occupied traps within the semiconductor

- The *transient* part relates to the relaxation of the \bullet system, which starts off under reverse bias
- Traps are often filled by pulsing a voltage across the sensor - though they can be filled optically as for TSC which fills all of the traps with minority carriers (reduced depletion) or a mixture of minority and majority carriers (forward bias)
- The sensor is returned to full depletion and the change \bullet in capacitance measured - the capacitance change tells us if the defects were hole or electron traps



DLTS analysis - double boxcar

Analysing the data from DLTS can be done in several ways

- One of the simplest/most straightforward is to basically compare the capacitance shortly after the end of the voltage pulse with the steady-state capacitance some time later
- This is usually called the *double boxcar* approach
- Comparing this value versus temperature gives similar peak formation as TSC, but with information on the trapped carrier type

DLTS analysis - DL(Fourier)TS

An alternative to the double boxcar method is effectively Fourier analysis of the measured transient capacitance

- Correlator functions defined for the time window $T_{\rm W}$ of the measurement

DLTS analysis - DL(Fourier)TS

An alternative to the double boxcar method is effectively Fourier analysis of the measured transient capacitance

- Correlator functions defined for the time \bullet window T_W of the measurement
- This gives (in the case on the right) 18 pairs ulletof Temperature and emission rate for each time window, allowing an Arrhenius plot to be produced to extract the activation energy

41

DLTS analysis - DL(Fourier)TS

An alternative to the double boxcar method is effectively Fourier analysis of the measured transient capacitance

- Correlator functions defined for the time window T_W of the measurement
- This gives (in the case on the right) 18 pairs
 of Temperature and emission rate for each
 time window, allowing an Arrhenius plot to
 be produced to extract the activation
 energy

Typical DLTS plots

Remember, just looking at the spectrum isn't enough

- It is important to understand the bulk material, the injection method, the detector state during cooling, the analysis...
- All of this is before we start trying to analyse \bullet which defects are produced by radiation, how they develop with annealing/passivation/ whatever else we can think to do to them!

· 0.5 · 0.1 0.0

The same effect as was observed for TSC can be seen in DLTS - influence of the electric field on the energy barrier to escape from a trap

Defect bi-stability

To make things more complicated, some defects exhibit quasi-stable behaviour

- CiCs defect has more than one role can \bullet be an acceptor **or** a donor
- Two spectra shown on the right: minority \bullet carrier DLTS for an n-type bulk material (optical trap filling)
- Difference between the curves is the \bullet presence of reverse bias during cooling

Radiation damage is complicated...

CC-DLTS, I-DLTS

DLTS is limited in the defect concentration that it can detect

This must be lower than the effective doping of the unirradiated substrate

To counter this there are additional DLTS flavours, where the voltage applied to the junction is varied to keep the capacitance constant (CC-DLTS)

The voltage pulse is then analysed to determine the defect concentration \bullet

A hybrid of TSC and DLTS also exists: current DLTS (I-DLTS)

- The DLTS method is followed, but it is the current transient that is measured \bullet
- \bullet

This has the advantage that it can be applied to insulators and to samples with high defect concentrations

FT Infrared spectroscopy

Infrared spectroscopy has long been used in chemistry to identify different interatomic bonds - can be similarly useful for defects in silicon

- Excitation of bonds by infrared illumination need thin samples to do transmission measurements
- Measurements more easy to disentangle at low temperature
- Sensitive to defect concentrations from 10^{13} 10^{15} cm⁻³

Electron Paramagnetic Resonance

EPR is analogous to Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) but acts on electrons

- Effective energy splitting due to presence of an \bullet external magnetic field - cf. Zeeman effect
- Can be used to study the presence of \bullet paramagnetic defects

M.Moll, RD50 Workshop, IP2I Lyon, 2021

Conduction band

Valence band

Electron Paramagnetic Resonance

Summary

Solid-state physics is messy and difficult...

energy levels within the band structure of semiconductors

- TSC fills traps once, and heats at a constant rate to observe carriers escaping \bullet
- DLTS looks for transient observables at every temperature \bullet

There many other techniques which can be exploited, but interpretation is challenging!

It is always important to understand the details of how the measurement was performed and how (and when) \bullet traps were filled, and by which carriers

TSC and DLTS (with many variations) form part of a myriad of probing techniques that can be used to investigate

