



The HSF Conditions Database reference implementation

Andrea Formica¹, Lino Gerlach², Giacomo Govi³, Paul Laycock², Ruslan Mashinistov², Chris Pinkenburg²

¹Université Paris-Saclay (IRFU/CEA, FR) ²Brookhaven National Lab (US) ³Fermilab (US)

8-12 May 2023



Overview - Conditions data

National Laboratory

"Conditions data is any additional data needed to process event data"

Changes over time		High access rates		Heterogenous data	
 Repeat detector calibration with larger cosmic dataset Improve calibration algorithms 		 Distributed computing jobs access same conditions data simultaneously Access rates up to ~kHz 		 Granularity varies (time indexed, run-indexed, constant) Structure of payload varies (3D map, single number,) 	
Versioning & configuration		Fast DB queries & effective caching		Payload agnostic by design	
S Brookhaven	Similar challenges for various HEP experiments				

Conditions Data – HSF Recommendations

- The HSF Conditions Databases activity is a CERN-based forum for cross-experiment discussions with as broad an audience as possible: <u>https://hepsoftwarefoundation.org/activities/conditionsdb.html</u>
- Key recommendations for conditions data handling

National Laboratory

- Separation of payload queries from metadata queries
- General Conditions Database schema design (below) proposed by the White Paper
 <u>HSF-CWP-2017-03</u>



NoPayloadDB Deployment



- Postgres DB with persistent storage on nfs______
- pgBouncer DB pooler NoPayloadDB
- NoPayloadDB Django-application running under gunicorn (WSGI server). 5 Pods
- nginx web server. 5 Pods

 Fully automated deployment (Helmchart based) at the OKD (open source container application platform) makes NoPayloadDB an easily adoptable, scalable and attractive solution for HEP experiments



HSF Reference Implementation - Client

nopayloadclient: Client-side stand-alone C++ tool



NoPayloadDB DB schema



PayloadType allows to collect all of the calibrations of the same detector subsystem payload per each detector subsystem

- IOVs presented by two fields: major and minor IOVs
- Combined IOV integer part for major and fractional parts for minor IOV
- PayloadIOVs also has ending IOVs



ocked

GT workflows

• Online GT - global tag consequently growing at time and returning the latest conditions



While editing, the service will take care of removing unnecessary PIOVs



ORM vs SQL tests

Two reference read APIs with Django ORM were implemented with the following logics:

- Group Payloads by type. Then descending order by IOV and distinct per type Get maximal IOV per type and append to the final output

ookhaven

National Laboratory



Scenario **Global Tags Payload Types** Payload IOVs (per type) **Update Rate** 10 100 (10) tiny 1 tiny-moderate 10 2000 (200) 1 moderate 1 100 20000 (200) 1 day heavy-usage 1 100 500000 (5000) 1 hour worst-case 200 5200000 (26000) 10 minutes 1

PayloadIOV read API

Retrieving latest Payloads of each type for the given GlobalTag and IOVs SELECT pi.payload url, pi.major iov, pi.minor iov, pt.name, ... FROM "PayloadList" pl -----For each PayloadList (Type) JOIN "GlobalTag" gt ON pl.global tag id = gt.id AND gt.name = %(my gt)s JOIN LATERAL (Get Payloads descending ordered SELECT payload url, major iov, minor iov, ... by combined IOV - arranged from "PavloadIOV" pi FROM last to first WHERE pi.payload list id = pl.id AND pi.comb iov <= CAST(% (my major iov)s + CAST(%(my minor iov)s AS DECIMAL(19,0)) / 10E18 AS Limit return to 1 line - latest **DECIMAL**(38,19)) Payload for a given IOVs ORDER BY pi.comb iov DESC LIMIT 1 -) pi ON true JOIN "PayloadType" pt ON pl.payload type id = pt.id; And then append the results of each subquery Use raw SQL Diango Documentation on 'Database Access Optimization': to create the final output

Write your own custom SQL to retrieve data or populate models. Use

django.db.connection.queries to find out what Django is writing for you and start from there.

- LATERAL joining. Without LATERAL, each sub-SELECT is evaluated independently and so cannot cross-reference any other FROM item
- Covering index on Payload table including combined IOV and reference to the PayloadList



Scalability tests 1/2





Scalability tests 2/2



Brookhaven National Laboratory

- Query Payloads for the given GT and random IOV
 - Testing 3 scenarios for the used GTs
- Populating the DB by cloning "worst-case" GT
 - After 12 clones (13*5.2M = ~68M rows), postgres pod reached the limit for the persistent volume (20 GB)

Scenario	Global Tags	Payload Types	Payload IOVs (per type)	Update Rate
tiny	1	10	100 (10)	
tiny-moderate	1	10	2000 (200)	
moderate	1	100	20000 (200)	1 day
heavy-usage	1	100	500000 (5000)	1 hour
worst-case	1	200	5200000 (26000)	10 minutes

Summary

- NoPayloadDB is the first HSF reference implementation of conditions database
- Fully automated deployment at the OKD makes NoPayloadDB an easily adoptable, scalable and attractive solution for HEP experiments.
 - NoPayloadDB was chosen by sPHENIX experiment and was commissioned for production at the beginning of May 2023.
 - Moreover, other experiments, such as the protoDune and Belle2, express interest in the service



Backup



PostgreSQL HA cluster

We're considering using the **CloudNativePG**

Open source Kubernetes operator for HA PostgreSQL

CloudNativePG provides:

- Covers the full lifecycle of a HA PostgreSQL cluster Primary/standby architecture, using
- native streaming replication
- Native support for connection pooling with PgBouncer



