Energy-energy correlations in heavy-ion collisions

Carlota Andres (she/her)

CPHT, École polytechnique MPI@LHC, Manchester, November 20-24, 2023

CA, Dominguez, Elayavalli, Holguin, Marquet, Moult, <u>2209.11236</u>
CA, Dominguez, Holguin, Marquet, Moult, <u>2303.03413</u>
CA, Dominguez, Holguin, Marquet, I. Moult, <u>2307.15110</u>







Energy Correlators

• Fundamental objects that encode the dynamics of the underlying theory





• Correlators $\langle \mathscr{E}(\vec{n}_1)\mathscr{E}(\vec{n}_2)\cdots\mathscr{E}(\vec{n}_k)\rangle$ of the **energy flux**:

Sterman, Korchemsky, Nucl. Phys. B 555 (1999) 335

$$\mathscr{E}(\vec{n}) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int dt \, r^2 n^i \, T_{0i}(t, r\vec{n})$$

$$\mathscr{E}(\vec{n}) | X \rangle = \sum_{i} E_{i} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{n} - \vec{n}_{i}) | X \rangle$$

• 1-point correlator: $\langle X | \mathscr{E}(\vec{n}) | X \rangle \propto \sum E_i$

Total energy flux through an area element

MPI2023

Two-point correlator

• 2-point correlator (EEC):



<u>Inclusive</u> cross section to produce two particles *i* and *j*

process

• As function of the relative angle only:

$$\frac{d\Sigma^{(n)}}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i,j} \int dE_{i,j} \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta dE_i dE_j} \frac{E_i^n E_j^n}{Q^{2n}}$$

See also:
Barata, Milano, Sadofyev
2308.01294

 $\vec{n_1}$

 n_{2}

 $\Delta\Omega_2$

Hoffman, Maldacena, <u>0803.1467</u>

$$\frac{d\Sigma^{(1)}}{d\theta} \propto \frac{1}{\theta^{1-\gamma(3)}}$$

 $\gamma(3)$: twist-2 spin-3 QCD anomalous dimension

EEC in vacuum



• First measurements of the EEC in p-p collisions announced in HP2023



Clear separation between perturbative and non-perturbative

- p-p baseline under control (good agreement with pQCD predictions) Komiske, Moult, Thaler, Zhu <u>2201.07800</u>
 - Reduced sensitivity to soft

Carlota Andres

EEC in HICs

• EEC for a **heavy-ion** jet initiated by a **massless quark**:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma^{(n)}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{qg}} \int \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{qg}}{\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}\theta} \, z^n (1-z)^n + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu_s}{E}\right)$$

• We can always define $F_{\rm med}$ such as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{qg}}{\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}z} = \left(1 + F_{\mathrm{med}}(z,\theta)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{qg}^{\mathrm{vac}}}{\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}z}$$

Additional energy loss $(E_q + E_g \neq E)$ is subleading!

For energy loss effects see: Barata, Mehtar-Tani, <u>2307.08943</u>

 $F_{\text{med}}(z,\theta) \xrightarrow{\theta < \theta_L} 0$

 We do not expect medium modification at small angles, thus vacuum collinear resummation should still be valid



Carlota Andres

EEC in HICs

• EEC for a **heavy-ion** jet initiated by a **massless quark**:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma^{(n)}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{qg}} \int \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{qg}}{\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}\theta} \, z^n (1-z)^n + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu_s}{E}\right)$$

• We can always define $F_{\rm med}$ such as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{qg}}{\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}z} = \left(1 + F_{\mathrm{med}}(z,\theta)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{qg}^{\mathrm{vac}}}{\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}z}$$

Additional **energy loss** $(E_q + E_g \neq E)$ is **subleading!**

For energy loss effects see: Barata, Mehtar-Tani, <u>2307.08943</u>

 $F_{\text{med}}(z,\theta) \xrightarrow{\theta < \theta_L} 0$

 We do not expect medium modification at small angles, thus vacuum collinear resummation should still be valid



Our idealized models

- $E = \frac{2909992}{(1-z)E}$
- Two available approaches to compute the in-medium splitting:
 - 1 single scattering (GLV)

Ovanesyan, Vitev <u>1103.1074</u>, <u>1109.5619</u>

Tilted Wilson lines (multiple scatterings resummed):

- Assumes *semi-hard* splittings (*z* not too small)
- All partons propagate along straight line trajectories
- Neglects broadening
- <u>Static brick</u> with length L
- **Harmonic oscillator** (HO) approximation employed $n\sigma(r) \approx \hat{q}r^2/2$
- The strength of the interactions is encoded in the **jet quenching parameter** \hat{q} , which measures the average transverse momentum transferred per unit length



(-z)E

00000

Isaksen, Tywoniuk 2107.02542

Time and angular scales (HO)

- For a static medium of length *L* within the HO one can read off the relevant scales directly from the formulas:
 - 2 competing angular scales: θ_L and θ_c
 - (Vacuum) formation time:

$$t_f = \frac{2}{z(1-z)E\theta^2} \qquad \xrightarrow{t_f \leq L} \qquad \theta_L \sim (EL)^{-1/2} \quad \text{Below } \theta_L \text{ all emissions have a formation time larger than } L$$

• Decoherence time:

$$S_{12}(\tau) = e^{-\frac{1}{12}\hat{q}(1+z^2)\theta^2\tau^3} \qquad t_d \sim (\hat{q}\theta^2)^{-1/3} \xrightarrow{t_d \leq L} \theta_c \sim (\hat{q}L^3)^{-1/2}$$

Below θ_c splittings do not color decohere and the medium does not resolve them



E



If $\theta_L > \theta_c$: θ_c becomes irrelevant

Time and angular scales (HO)

Can be extended to include a more **realistic interactions or expanding media**, but then we would not know the scales directly from the equations







• No medium-induced enhancement at small angles



• Onset angle seems to be independent of \hat{q}



- Onset angle seems to be independent of \hat{q}
- Varying \hat{q} has different effects in the two regimes

Interpretation

 $\theta_L \gg \theta_c \quad (E \ll \hat{q}L^2)$



For
$$\theta \gg \theta_L \Rightarrow \theta \gg \theta_c$$

The medium resolves the emission



 $\theta_L \ll \theta_c \ (E \gg \hat{q} L^2)$



For $\theta_c \gg \theta \gg \theta_L$:

The medium does NOT resolve the emission



MPI2023

Coherence transition



- Extracted the peak angle θ_{peak} for 332 sets of parameters with $E \in [50,700]$ GeV, $L \in [0.2,10]$ fm, $\hat{q} \in [1,3]$ GeV²/fm
- Performed separate fits in the two different regions for the scaling behavior of the peak angle with respect to the 3 parameters

Results GLV $\theta_L \gg \theta_c$





GLV calculation from: Ovanesyan, Vitev, <u>1109.5619</u>



Heavy-flavor jets

CA, Dominguez, Holguin, Marquet, I. Moult, <u>2307.15110</u>



HF jets: filling the dead-cone



Armesto, Salgado, Wiedemann, arXiv: hep-ph/0312106

EEC sensitive to the **dead-cone and its medium modifications**

CA, Dominguez, Holguin, Marquet, I. Moult, 2307.15110

MPI2023

HF jets: filling the dead-cone



Conclusions

- Energy Correlators have great potential for jet substructure studies in HICs
 - Experimentally accessible
 - No need of de-clustering
 - Expected to be **less sensitive to soft physics** than traditional jet substructure observables: hadronization, and background are usually subleading
 - In vacuum: they can be computed perturbatively at very high accuracy
- Characteristic features of the calculation of the in-medium splittings are clearly imprinted in these observables
- Many new developments to come!

Thank you!

Results from JEWEL

• An analysis on JEWEL is on the way



Features in the curves seem resilient against a hadron cut $p_T \gtrsim 2 \,\text{GeV}$



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{k}d\Omega_{q}} = \frac{g^{2}}{z(1-z)E^{2}}P_{a\to bc}(z) 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\boldsymbol{p}_{0}\boldsymbol{p}_{1}\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_{2}\boldsymbol{l}_{1}\boldsymbol{l}_{2}\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} dt_{1} \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} dt_{2}(\boldsymbol{l}_{1}\cdot\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{2}) \\ \times \mathcal{S}^{(4)}((1-z)\boldsymbol{k}-z\boldsymbol{q},L;\boldsymbol{l}_{2},\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{2},t_{2};\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{q}-\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_{2},z) \\ \times \mathcal{K}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{l}_{2},t_{2};\boldsymbol{l}_{1},t_{1};\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_{2}-\boldsymbol{p}_{1},z) \mathcal{P}_{R_{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{0};t_{1},t_{0}) \frac{d\sigma_{hard}}{d\Omega_{p_{0}}}$$

Blaizot, Iancu, FD, Mehtar-Tani <u>1209.4585</u> Apolinario, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado <u>1407.0599</u>

MPI2023



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_k d\Omega_q} = \frac{g^2}{z(1-z)E^2} P_{a \to bc}(z) 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\boldsymbol{p}_0 \boldsymbol{p}_1 \bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_2 \boldsymbol{l}_1 \boldsymbol{l}_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_2} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{\infty} dt_2(\boldsymbol{l}_1 \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_2) \times \mathcal{S}^{(4)}((1-z)\boldsymbol{k} - z\boldsymbol{q}, L; \boldsymbol{l}_2, \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_2, t_2; \boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{q} - \bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_2, z) \times \mathcal{K}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{l}_2, t_2; \boldsymbol{l}_1, t_1; \bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_2 - \boldsymbol{p}_1, z) \mathcal{P}_{R_a}(\boldsymbol{p}_1 - \boldsymbol{p}_0; t_1, t_0) \frac{d\sigma_{hard}}{d\Omega_{p_0}} \langle \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} \rangle$$

Blaizot, Iancu, FD, Mehtar-Tani <u>1209.4585</u> Apolinario, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado <u>1407.0599</u>

MPI2023



Apolinario, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado 1407.0599

MPI2023



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{k}d\Omega_{q}} = \frac{g^{2}}{z(1-z)E^{2}}P_{a\rightarrow bc}(z) 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\boldsymbol{p}_{0}\boldsymbol{p}_{1}\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_{2}\boldsymbol{l}_{1}\boldsymbol{l}_{2}\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} dt_{1} \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} dt_{2}(\boldsymbol{l}_{1}\cdot\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{2})$$

$$\times \mathcal{S}^{(4)}((1-z)\boldsymbol{k}-z\boldsymbol{q},L;\boldsymbol{l}_{2},\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{2},t_{2};\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{q}-\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_{2},z)$$

$$\times \mathcal{K}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{l}_{2},t_{2};\boldsymbol{l}_{1},t_{1};\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_{2}-\boldsymbol{p}_{1},z)\mathcal{P}_{R_{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{0};t_{1},t_{0})\frac{d\sigma_{hard}}{d\Omega_{p_{0}}}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}^{\dagger}\rangle$$
Blaizot, lancu, FD, Mehtar-Tani 1209.4585

Carlota Andres

Apolinario, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado 1407.0599

MPI2023

Soft limit





Angle of emission depends only on transverse momentum q^{1-z} of the soft particle

Soft limit $z \to 0$ with $\omega = zE$ finite p_0 $l = (1-z)k - zq \approx k$

Angle of emission depends only on transverse momentum q^{1-z} of the soft particle



k, *z*

Soft limit $z \to 0$ with $\omega = zE$ finite p_0 $l = (1-z)k - zq \approx k$ q,1

Angle of emission depends only on transverse momentum q^{1-z} of the soft particle



Soft limit $z \to 0$ with $\omega = zE$ finite p_0 $l = (1-z)k - zq \approx k$

Angle of emission depends only on transverse momentum q^{1-z} of the soft particle



Initial and final broadening of the hard particle cancels out

k, z







scatterings and realistic interactions

Andres, Dominguez, Gonzalez Martinez <u>2011.0652</u>

Medium-induced gluon spectrum

• For a soft emitted gluon ($z \ll 1$)

$$\omega \frac{dI}{d\omega d^2 \boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{2\alpha_s C_R}{(2\pi)^2 \omega^2} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty dt' \int_0^{t'} dt \int_{\boldsymbol{pq}} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \ \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(t', \boldsymbol{q}; t, \boldsymbol{p}) \mathcal{P}(\infty, \boldsymbol{k}; t', \boldsymbol{q})$$

BDMPS-Z

MPI2023

 $\mathbf{\omega} = zE, \mathbf{k}$

E

000000

00000



Medium-induced gluon spectrum

 $\mathbf{s} \omega = zE, \mathbf{k}$

MPI2023

000000

00000

• For a soft emitted gluon ($z \ll 1$)



Medium-induced gluon spectrum

• For a soft emitted gluon ($z \ll 1$)



Carlota Andres

 $\mathbf{\mathbf{\omega}} = zE, \mathbf{k}$

000000

00000

Semi-hard approximation

Dominguez, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila <u>1907.03653</u> Isaksen, Tywoniuk 2107.02542

 Use high-energy limit of propagators: vacuum propagator times a Wilson line in the classical trajectory

 $\mathcal{G}_R(t_2, \boldsymbol{p}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{p}_1; \omega) \to (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{p}_2 - \boldsymbol{p}_1) e^{-i\frac{p_2^2}{2\omega}(t_2 - t_1)} V_R(t_2, t_1; [\boldsymbol{n}t])$

• Calculate averages of Wilson lines in the large- N_c limit (calculations also available for finite N_c). All averages can be expressed in terms of fundamental dipoles and quadrupoles

