## ECFA Higgs Factories: 2nd Topical Meeting on Reconstruction

## Reconstruction Needs for LLP

Juliette Alimena, Rebeca González, Jan Hajer, Marcin Kucharczyk, Emma Torró, Sarah Louise Williams, Filip Zarnecki











## Introduction

- Standard Model (SM): very successful theory
- Precise predictions, verified by experiment with impressive agreement with theory across orders of magnitude
- Cannot be the ultimate theory
- Several open questions in HEP
- What is Dark Matter?
- Neutrinos have a mass  $\neq 0$
- Why is the Higgs so light? Hierarchy problem
- Matter and antimatter are not symmetric

. . .





## Introduction

Motivation

### New physics could have long lifetimes Signatures not visible in standard HEP searches!!

Naturalness

Dark Matter

Baryogenesis

Neutrino Masses

E. Torró ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023



Curtin et al, 1806.07396

**Top-down** Theory



## How can we look for LLPs in collider experiments?

### That depends on:

LLP lifetime

### Standard HEP detector structure





Figure by H. Russell

**ECFA Reconstruction WS** E. Torró July 2023 distance travelled







## How can we look for LLPs in collider experiments?

### That depends on:

LLP lifetime object identification LLP nature

- Is it charged?
  - Does it leave a standard track?
  - Is it highly ionising?
- Is it neutral?
  - which decay mode (hadronic, leptonic, photons, invisible)?

None of these signatures would be "seen" by a standard HEP search!

E. Torró ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023







## How can we look for LLPs in collider experiments?

[GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]

10-

That depends on:

LLP lifetime

LLP nature

object identification

Small or unusual backgrounds play a key role:



- Need very good background identification
- For most of them, no good simulations
  - All searches rely on data-driven methods

E. Torró ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023 **Background rejection** 

### SM particles with relatively long lifetime



### material interactions







LLP lifetime

LLP nature

object identification

## **Reconstruction studies on specific signatures**

E. Torró ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023

Background rejection



# **Tracks with large impact parameters**

LLP

dO

ΡV

DV

### **ATLAS Micro-displaced muons**

- Search for pairs of opposite charge muons with O(mm) impact parameter
- GMBS SUSY with nearly massless gravitino LSP and long-lived slepton ( $\tau$ , e,  $\mu$  NLSP) due to small coupling to the LSP
- Signal Regions defined with large transverse impact parameter |d0| > 0.6 mm
- Dominant SM background: semileptonic *B*-hadron decays,  $bb \rightarrow \mu \mu$ 
  - |d0| > 0.1 mm to reduce SM processes

E. Torró ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023

### ANA-SUSY-2020-09



## $\widetilde{\mu} \ \widetilde{\mu} \rightarrow \mu \ \widetilde{G} \ \mu \ \widetilde{G}$





## **Tracks with large impact parameters**

### **Studies at ILD**

- Default cuts in track reco: d0, z0 < 500 mm
  - Strongly suppresses reconstruction of LLPs in the inner tracker!
  - Removal (or loosening) of impact parameter cuts: great efficiency recovery

### • Default d0, z0 cuts



As a challenging case (small boost, low-pT final state) we considered:  $\rightarrow$  (tuned) Inert Doublet Model sample with small mass splitting,



Long-lived, with  $c\tau = 1 \,\mathrm{m}$  $m_A - m_H = 1, 2, 3, 5 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ 

No d0, z0 cuts



 $\Delta m_{AH} = 1 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ From Jan Klamka 9



LLP





## **Tracks with large impact parameters**

### **Studies at ILD**

- Inverted tracks:
- Tracks from very soft particles often reconstructed in the wrong direction
  - Reco as opposite charge particles!!

Ρz Px Py 0.113 -0.339 0.061 MC: -0.103 0.344 -0.062 Reco:

Solution: if PZ does not point into Z coordinate of the first (last) hit, switch direction of the last (first) hit

Improves efficiency by ~ 10%

As a challenging case (small boost, low-pT final state) we considered:  $\rightarrow$  (tuned) Inert Doublet Model sample with small mass splitting,  $Z^* \rightarrow \mu \mu$ 





From Jan Klamka 10



### ATLAS

- Standard tracking in ATLAS optimized for prompt particles:
  - point back to the interaction point
  - tight requirements in number of silicon hits and impact parameter
  - would reject tracks from displaced decays

E. Torró ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023



### ATLAS

- Standard tracking in ATLAS optimized for prompt particles:
  - point back to the interaction point
  - tight requirements in number of silicon hits and impact parameter
  - would reject tracks from displaced decays
- Large radius tracking (LRT)
  - Re-run with hits not associated with existing tracks
  - Relax requirements in number of silicon hits and d0





### ATLAS

- Standard tracking in ATLAS optimized for prompt particles:
  - point back to the interaction point
  - tight requirements in number of silicon hits and impact parameter
  - would reject tracks from displaced decays
- Large radius tracking (LRT)
  - Re-run with hits not associated with existing tracks
  - Relax requirements in number of silicon hits and d0
  - targets tracks with displacements up to 300 mm

IDTR-2021-03







### **Studies at FCC-ee**

- Proposed detector designs for FCC-ee:
  - CLD design

- IDEA design
- Noble Liquid ECAL Base design
- Opportunities for new creative detectors, e.g. designed for LLP search such as HECATE (arXiv:2011.01005)
- The IDEA detector is used in this study:
  - Silicon pixel vertex detector
  - Ultralight drift chamber (DCH)
  - Dual readout (DR) calorimeter
- The detector simulation of IDEA is done in DELPHES with a fast parametric simulation







### **Studies at FCC-ee**

 Using current tools in the FCCAnalyses framework adapted to the ZHss model Extra constraints and functions inspired by ATLAS DV reconstruction

### Secondary vertex finder (arXiv:1506.08371)

- Designed for ILC/CLIC and primarily used for flavour-tagging jets
- Added track selection: non-primary, pT > 1 GeV and Id0I > 2 mm
- Added and tested vertex merging (in progress)

Details in talk at the ECFA WG1-SRCH meeting



FCCAnalyses: FCC-ee Simulation (Delphes)













### **Studies at ILD**

- Missing hits in TPC
- Single track can often be reconstructed as several tracks
- Becomes more important if we look for vertices far from the IP



This track was reconstructed as two separate ones, with very distante reference points

From Jan Klamka 16



### **Studies at ILD**

The opposite extreme case, (large boost, high-pT final state)  $\rightarrow$  (tuned) axion-like particle model sample

- Collinear tracks in TPC (ALPs)
- Impossible to distinguish the tracks close to the production vertex

- Tracking often assigns first hit of the second track far from the vertex (Small influence on reco. momentum)
- Need to carefully evaluate the hits distribution





 $c\tau = 1 \,\mathrm{m}$ 





From Jan Klamka 17





## Full analysis using Displaced vertices

### ATLAS

- Long-lived particles decaying into hadrons in the ATLAS inner detector
- SM (MSSM) *R*-parity-violating (RPV)
  - mean proper lifetimes  $\tau$  up to O(10) ns
- Using LRT in events with multiple energetic jets and a displaced vertex
- Three main sources of background:
  - hadronic interactions: detector material
  - accidental crossings: low-mass displaced vertices crossed by an unrelated track
  - merged vertices: close-by low-mass displaced vertices
- Reject them with DV selection:
  - DV at least 4 mm away from any collision vertex
  - DVs must satisfy a material map veto
  - DVs must have at least five tracks
  - *m*DV > 10 GeV
- Reach ~zero background analysis





x<sub>DV</sub> [mm]

## Full analysis using Displaced vertices

### **Studies at FCC-ee**

### • Preliminary vertex selection:

- Distance of DV from PV required to be
  - in the tracker volumen
  - outside the innermost region to exclude heavy-flavour decays
- Charged invariant mass at DV: to remove background DVs

Type	Parameter	Value
Track Selection	Min $p_T$	1 GeV
	Min $ d_0 $	$2 \mathrm{mm}$
Vertex Reconstruction	$V^0$ rejection	True
	$\operatorname{Max} \chi^2$	9
	$Max \ M_{inv}$	40  GeV
	Max $\chi^2$ added track	5
	Vertex merging	False
Vertex Selection	Min $r_{DV-PV}$	4 mm
	Max $r_{DV-PV}$	2000 m
	Min $M_{charged}$	$1 \mathrm{GeV}$



### FCCAnalyses: FCC-ee Simulation (Delphes)

### avour decays und DVs



Visible/charged invariant mass at the DVs

From Magdalena Vande Voorde, Giulia Ripellino

nm



19

## Full analysis using Displaced vertices

### **Studies at FCC-ee**

### • First steps for a sensitivity study

- Z Pre-selection: 2 SFOS leptons with invariant mass 70 < mll < 110 GeV</li>
- At least 2 reconstructed DV
- Given zero-background, signal points with at least 3 expected events can be excluded to 95% CL
- Potential sensitivity for all signal samples except for the shortest and longest lifetime samples!



### FCCAnalyses: FCC-ee Simulation (Delphes)

√s = 240.0 GeV  $10^2 = L = 5 ab^{-1}$  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z h, Z \rightarrow l^+l^-, h \rightarrow ss \rightarrow b \ \overline{b} b \ \overline{b}$ Before selection - m<sub>s</sub> = 20 GeV, sin θ = 1e-5  $10^{-1}$ 100 110 80 90 120 140 150 Invariant mass of reconstructed  $\mu$ -  $\mu$ + [GeV]  $\sim 0$ 







### **Conclusions** $L = 5 ab^{-1}$

- $\sqrt{s} = 240 \; GeV$
- LLPs might<sup>eb</sup> the key for finding BSM physic<sup>+</sup> and they are gaining interest!
- Great effort at the LHC experiments to search for LLPs... BUT!
- Lots of effort ongoing, a lot more to come

### $b \overline{a} r b^{\overline{s}} \rightarrow b \overline{b} b \overline{b}$







E. Torró ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023





## CIRCULAR IDEA detector layout

Beam pipe: R~1.5 cm	[m]
Vertex:	5
5 MAPS layers	
R = 1.7-34 cm	4
Drift Chamber: 112 layers	4
4 m long, R = 35-200 cm	3
<b>Outer Silicon wrapper</b> :	3
Si strips	2
Superconducting solenoid coil:	2
<b>2</b> T, R ~ 2.1-2.4 m	
0.74 X <sub>0</sub> , 0.16 λ @ 90°	1.
<b>Preshower</b> : $\sim 1 X_0$	1.
Dual-Readout Calorimeter: $2m / 7 \lambda_{int}$	0.
Yoke + Muon chambers	







## LLPs @ FCC-hh, FCC-ee

**HECATE:** HErmetic CAvern TrackER. A long-lived particle detector concept for FCC-ee or CEPC

- For FCC-hh / FCC-ee, main detector will be relatively smaller than the cavern
- Cover detector cavern walls with scintillator plates or RPCs
  - >= 2 layers of 1 m<sup>2</sup> separated by a sizeable distance timing
  - >= 4 layers for good tracking
  - $4\pi$  coverage LLP detector
- FCC main detector as active veto
- Sensitive to a unique area of phase space

• Example: HNLs

 $U^2$  $10^{-8}$  $10^{-9}$  THUNDERDOME: Totally Hyper-UNrealistic  $10^{-10}$ DEtectoR in a huge DOME (maximum distance from IP=100m for comparison)  $10^{-11}$ 

 $10^{-5}$ 

 $10^{-6}$ 

 $10^{-7}$ 

### Proposal: <u>2011.01005</u>





- Cavern size: r~15 m and z~50 m
- Main detector size =(10m)





### **Different inner tracker layouts: ILD and CLICdp** In real and conformal space



DESY.

From Shaojun Lu, Frank Gaede: gaede ILD tracking performance.pdf



### **Studies at ILD**

### Missing hits in TPC

- Particles travelling alongside the boundaries generate no hits
- Long distance between first hit and true vertex leads to wrong track parameters!

Virtual volumes in the TPC





### **TPC SimTrackerHits**



### From Jan Klamka Z

## Exotic Higgs decays to LLPs at FCC-ee

- The Higgs boson can have sizeable couplings to new particles  $\rightarrow$  exotic Higgs decays
- Our considered model: SM + scalar (<u>arXiv:1312.4992</u>, <u>arXiv:1412.0018</u>)
- The SM Higgs boson (h) and the scalar (s) mix, governed by the mixing angle sin  $\theta$ 
  - For sufficiently small mixing, the scalar can be long-lived,  $c\tau \sim$  meters if  $\theta < 1e-6$
- Higgs produced at ZH-stage of FCC-ee with  $\sqrt{s} = 240~GeV$
- For plots in these slides  $L = 5 ab^{-1}$  (total integrated luminosity considering the old baseline of 2 IPs)
- boson reconstructed from the lepton pair



- Considered model parameters:
  - $m_s = 20 \text{ GeV}$  and  $m_s = 60 \text{ GeV}$
  - $\sin \theta = 1e-5, 1e-6, 1e-7,$ corresponding to mean proper lifetimes  $c\tau$  of O(1 mm – 10 m)

• Signal process:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$  with  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$  or  $\mu^+\mu^-$  and  $h \rightarrow ss \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ , probed in events with 2 displaced vertices (DVs) and Z-



## The Future Circular Collider (FCC)

- A proposed future accelerator at CERN
- Operate in two stages with physics complementarity:
  - Precision with FCC-ee:  $e^+e^-$  collisions at four energy stages, i.e an EW, Higgs and top factory at high luminosities
  - Discovery with **FCC-hh**: an energy frontier with  $\bullet$ hadron collisions at  $\geq$  100 TeV
- FCC-ee also offers good opportunities for LLP searches!
  - Clean experimental signatures
  - No trigger limitations
  - High luminosity



LHC/LEP: 27 km 91-209 GeV ( $e^+e^-$  collisions) 14 TeV (pp collisions)

FCC: 90-100 km 91-365 GeV ( $e^+e^-$  collisions) 100 TeV (pp collisions)

From Magdalena Vande Voorde, Giulia Ripellino







8

## Exotic Higgs decays to LLPs at FCC-ee

- The Higgs boson can have sizeable couplings to new particles  $\rightarrow$  exotic Higgs decays
- Our considered model: SM + scalar (<u>arXiv:1312.4992</u>, <u>arXiv:1412.0018</u>)
- There are 3 important free parameters determining the phenomenology:
  - The Higgs-scalar coupling κ, determining the branching ratio of the scalar pair production
  - The mass of the scalar  $m_{S'}$  determining the possible final states of the scalar
  - The mixing angle sin  $\theta$ , from mixing between the Higgs boson and the scalar
    - For sufficiently small mixing, the scalar can be long-lived
    - $c\tau \sim meters if \theta < 1e-6 \rightarrow LLP signature$
- Higgs produced at ZH-stage of FCC-ee with  $\sqrt{s} = 240~Ge$
- Signal process:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z h$  with  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$  or  $\mu^+\mu^-$

к: the Higgs-scalar coupling

E. Torró ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM} \ni \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \mu_S^2 S^2 - \frac{1}{4!} \lambda_s S^4}_{\text{scalar potential}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \kappa S^2 |H|^2}_{\text{portal term}} + \underbrace{\mu^2 |H|^2 - \lambda |H|^4}_{\text{Higgs potential}}$$

$$eV$$

$$\text{and } h \rightarrow ss \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$$



## Simulation of the signal

- Generated signal samples:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z h, Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$  or  $\mu^+\mu^-, h \rightarrow ss \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ 
  - Privately produced using MadGraph v3.4.1 + Pythia8 + DELPHES (fast simulation)
  - With the MadGraph5 HAHM (<u>arXiv:1312.4992</u>, <u>arXiv:1412.0018</u>) and the spring2021 IDEA DELPHES card
- Parameters:
  - $\sqrt{s} = 240 \ GeV$  and  $L = 5 \ ab^{-1}$  (total integrated luminosity considering the old baseline of 2 Interaction Points)
  - $m_s = 20 \text{ GeV}$  and  $m_s = 60 \text{ GeV}$
  - $\sin \theta = 1e-5$ , 1e-6, 1e-7, corresponding to mean proper lifetimes  $c\tau$  of O(1 mm 10 m)

• *κ* = 1e-3



E. Torró **ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023** 





## **Displaced Vertex reconstruction**

- for flavour-tagging jets (see more in <u>backup</u>)
- - ( $\sigma$  = error of vertex position) or 1 mm
  - combine and rerun the vertexfitter







## Invariant mass at the DVs

FCCAnalyses: FCC-ee Simulation (Delphes)



- Usually a good discriminating variable between a DV from an LLP and a fake vertex
- fragmentation  $\rightarrow$  expected peak around half of the particle's mass
- More of a structure around higher masses for the merged vertices but no clear peaks  $\bullet$
- ullet

### FCCAnalyses: FCC-ee Simulation (Delphes)



Invariant mass at vertex calculated assuming all tracks to come from pions, this only captures the charged component of the jet

Tradeoff between goodness-of-fit and invariant mass  $\rightarrow$  no vertex merging at this stage, more truth studies needed! 15





## Distance from PV to the DVs

- Usually a good discriminating variable between signal and SM background
- The reconstructed quantity nicely follows the generated quantity
- $m_s = 60 \text{ GeV}$ , sin  $\theta = 1e-5$  is too short lived to be properly reconstructed with the DV algorithm
- $m_s = 20 \text{ GeV}$ , sin  $\theta = 1e-7$  might be too long-lived to have enough DVs within DCH (the tracker volume)



**ECFA Reconstruction WS** E. Torró July 2023

```
• m_s = 20 GeV, sin \theta = 1e-5, m_s = 20 GeV, sin \theta = 1e-6, m_s = 60 GeV, sin \theta = 1e-7 and m_s = 60 GeV, sin \theta = 1e-6 good for the analysis!
```



FCCAnalyses: FCC-ee Simulation (Delphes)

16



## Vertex reconstruction, further reading

- More details in thesis: <u>DiVA</u>
- LCFIPlus: A Framework for Jet Analysis in Linear Collider Studies: arXiv:1506.08371
- FCCAnalyses framework vertex reconstruction: <u>GitHub</u>

17



## Charged LLPs Large dE/dx

- Pair production of several different long-lived sparticles of charge |q| = 1
  - isolated tracks with high transverse momenta (pT) and anomalously large specific ionisation losses (dE/dx)
  - particles are expected to move significantly slower than the speed of light
  - Use MET triggers
  - Fully data-driven background estimation!

E. Torró ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023



### <u>SUSY-2018-42</u> <u>2205.06013</u>





High pT track with large dE/dx











## Charged LLPs Large dE/dx

- Pair production of several different long-lived sparticles of charge |q| = 1
  - isolated tracks with high transverse momenta (pT) and anomalously large specific ionisation losses (dE/dx)
  - particles are expected to move significantly slower than the speed of light
  - Use MET triggers
  - Fully data-driven background estimation!

Target	Mass	egion bin							
mass	window	SR-Inclusive_High							
[GeV]	[GeV]	Exp.	Obs.	<b>p</b> 0	Zlocal	S <sup>95</sup> <sub>exp.</sub>	$S_{obs.}^{95}$		
ifetime									
200	[120, 225]	$5.6 \pm 0.7$	7	$2.65\times10^{-1}$	0.6	$6.3^{+2.5}_{-1.7}$	7.8		
300	[200, 350]	$9.2 \pm 0.8$	14	$7.11\times10^{-2}$	1.5	$7.6^{+3.0}_{-2.1}$	12.5		
400	[300, 500]	$5.8 \pm 0.4$	6	$4.39 \times 10^{-1}$	0.1	$6.1^{+2.5}_{-1.8}$	6.5		
450	[350, 600]	$5.1 \pm 0.4$	3	$5.00 \times 10^{-1}$	0.0	$6.0^{+2.2}_{-1.6}$	4.6		
500	[400, 700]	$4.3 \pm 0.4$	4	$5.00 \times 10^{-1}$	0.0	$5.4^{+2.2}_{-1.3}$	5.2		
550	[400, 800]	$4.8 \pm 0.4$	4	$5.00 \times 10^{-1}$	0.0	$5.8^{+2.5}_{-1.8}$	5.4		
600	[450, 900]	$3.91 \pm 0.31$	2	$5.00 \times 10^{-1}$	0.0	$5.5^{+2.2}_{-1.6}$	4.0		
650	[500, 1000]	$3.22 \pm 0.31$	2	$5.00 \times 10^{-1}$	0.0	$5.2^{+1.9}_{-1.6}$	4.4		
700	[550, 1100]	$2.64 \pm 0.31$	2	$5.00 \times 10^{-1}$	0.0	$4.7^{+1.9}_{-1.0}$	4.3		
800	[600, 1200]	$2.22 \pm 0.24$	3	$2.86\times10^{-1}$	0.6	$4.5^{+1.8}_{-1.0}$	5.5		
900	[650, 1400]	$2.0 \pm 0.3$	4	$9.74\times10^{-2}$	1.3	$4.3^{+1.6}_{-0.9}$	6.8		
1000	[700, 1850]	$1.9 \pm 0.5$	4	$9.01 \times 10^{-2}$	1.3	$4.1^{+1.9}_{-0.7}$	7.0		
1200	[800, 2400]	$1.5 \pm 0.7$	6	$9.10 \times 10^{-3}$	2.4	$4.0^{+1.6}_{-0.8}$	10.0		
1400	[900, 2900]	$1.1 \pm 0.7$	7	$2.08\times10^{-3}$	2.9	$4.0^{+1.4}_{-0.7}$	11.5		
1600	[1000, 3450]	$0.9 \pm 0.5$	7	$6.03 \times 10^{-4}$	3.2	$3.6^{+1.5}_{-0.5}$	11.8		
1800	[1100, 4000]	$0.8 \pm 0.6$	7	$8.87\times10^{-4}$	3.1	$3.5^{+1.1}_{-0.2}$	11.9		
2000	[1200, 4600]	$0.6 \pm 0.5$	5	$4.92 \times 10^{-3}$	2.6	$3.1^{+1.1}_{-0.1}$	9.4		
ECFA Reconstruction WS July 2023									



E. Torró



### $3.6 \sigma$ excess!!

Is this New Physics??? Maybe, though... from the TOF of these events indicate that none of the candidate tracks are from charged particles moving significantly slower than the speed of light 😕

CMS doing a similar analysis Analysis will be repeated in Run 3!





## **Multicharged particles**

- Search for heavy long-lived multi-charged particles (MCP) with high ionization (higher electric charges and lower velocities)
  - mass range from 500 to 2000 GeV with electric charges from |q| = 2e to |q| = 7e
  - live long enough to traverse the entire ATLAS detector

- Triggers: Muon, MET, late-muon trigger
- Select high-*p*T muon-like tracks with high dE/dx values in several subdetector systems: pixel ID, TRT, MDT
  - significance: comparing dE/dxwith the average value for a highly relativistic muon





/N dN/dS(pixel dE/dx)

Data/MC

10<sup>-</sup>

 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{-3}$ 

10

10<sup>-5</sup>

10

 $10^{-7}$ 

4 E

2







## **Multicharged particles**

- Background mainly consists of:
  - high-pT muon reconstructed from several muons losing their energy in the same detector elements
  - sporadic-noise
- All background estimated by using a data-driven technique.



E. Torró **ECFA Reconstruction WS** July 2023



 $\sigma$  [pb]

39