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Many interesting string theory observables like scattering amplitudes contain contributions of order $e^{-1 / g_{s}}$ arising from D-instantons. [David, Shenker, Polchinski]

Many interesting string theory observables like scattering amplitudes contain contributions of order $e^{-1 / g_{s}}$ arising from D-instantons. [David, Shenker, Polchinski]

However, worldsheet perturbation theory around D-instantons is often ill-defined - the resulting answers contain undetermined constants that need to be fixed using certain assumptions, like duality. [Balthazar, Rodriguez, Yin]
[Kutasov, Okuyama, Park, Seiberg, Shih]
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These ideas were first developed in the context of two-dimensional string theory. [Balthazar, Rodriguez, Yin, Sen]

They have been generalized to tackle various nonperturbative effects in critical 10-d superstring theory and its compactifications, leading to highly nontrivial checks of superstring dualities. [Alexandrov, Firat, Kim, Sen, Stefanski, Agmon, Balthazar, Cho, Rodriguez, Yin]

Our setting will be minimal (super) string theory and we will show that the string field theory procedure produces instanton contributions that match perfectly with the dual matrix integrals.
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## Why study minimal string theory?

Simplifying toy models as much as possible is valuable.
In the context of D-instanton perturbation theory, we note that an apparent mismatch found between 2d string theory and matrix quantum mechanics in a closed-string annulus one-point function was resolved by first analyzing the analogous problem in the minimal string/matrix integral setting. [Eniceicu, RM, Maity, Murdia, Sen].
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## Introduction to the minimal string / matrix integral duality

$(3+1)$-dimensional gauge theories are hard, even in the large- $N$ limit. The fields are matrices $A_{i j}^{\mu}(t, \mathbf{x})$.

Yet, only the $i j$ indices are responsible for the topological expansion. The $\mu, t, \mathbf{x}$ are not important for the existence of a planar expansion.

## What is minimal string theory?

This motivates the study of $(0+0)$-dimensional "gauge theories", with no spacetime coordinates and indices at all. So the field is just $M_{i j}$.

## What is minimal string theory?

This motivates the study of $(0+0)$-dimensional "gauge theories", with no spacetime coordinates and indices at all. So the field is just $M_{i j}$.

The "path integral" in this setting is just an ordinary integral over one or more matrices and it strips down 't Hooft's large- $N$ idea down to its bare bones, to just the color indices.
[Brezin, Itzykson, Parisi, Zuber]

Research along these ideas in the 1980s and early 1990s led to a precise duality between non-critical string theory and (double-scaled) matrix integrals.

Research along these ideas in the 1980s and early 1990s led to a precise duality between non-critical string theory and (double-scaled) matrix integrals.

It is the earliest example of a duality between a gravitational and a non-gravitational system.
[Brezin, Kazakov, Kostov, Gross, Migdal, Douglas, Shenker, Moore, Seiberg, Staudacher, Knizhnik, Polyakov, Zamolodchikov, David, Distler, Kawai,...]
[Douglas, Klebanov, Kutasov, Maldacena, Martinec, McGreevy, Moore, Seiberg, Shih, Toumbas, Takayanagi, Verlinde,...]

Now I will introduce the two sides of this duality.

Now I will introduce the two sides of this duality.
Then I will describe the observable that we are computing.

## Matrix integral (by example)

The observable we will study is the "partition function", or the value of the integral itself.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z\left(N, t, g_{2}, g_{4}\right):= & \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{N^{2}} M}{\operatorname{vol}(U(N))} \exp \left(-\frac{N}{t} \operatorname{Tr} V(M)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{N!} \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x_{i}}{2 \pi} \Delta(x)^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{N}{t} \sum_{i} V\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \\
& V(x)=\frac{g_{2}}{2} x^{2}+\frac{g_{4}}{4} x^{4} \\
& \text { Take } g_{2}>0 \text { and } g_{4}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Double-scaling limit: $t=\frac{g_{2}^{2}}{-12 g_{4}}-\varepsilon^{2}, N \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}=\kappa$.

Double-scaling limit: $t=\frac{g_{2}^{2}}{-12 g_{4}}-\varepsilon^{2}, N \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}=\kappa$.
In this limit, Feynman diagrams with large number of vertices give the dominant contribution to $Z$, and a continuum limit can be taken so that the 2 d surfaces become smooth. Now $\kappa$ plays the role the genus counting parameter.
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## The worldsheet CFT

The worldsheet theory in this family of models consists of three sectors, coupled only by the Virasoro constraints:

1. The $(2, p)$ minimal model, which is a (generally non-unitary) two-dimensional CFT. This can be thought of as the matter sector and has $c=1-\frac{6(2-p)^{2}}{2 \cdot p}<1$.
2. The Liouville CFT, which is a remnant of the conformal mode of the worldsheet metric. The Liouville sector has $c=1+\frac{6(2+p)^{2}}{2 \cdot p}>25$.
3. The $b c$-ghost CFT with $c=-26$.

## Perturbation expansion of the partition function

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\exp \left(c_{0} \kappa^{2}+c_{1}+c_{2} \kappa^{-2}+\ldots\right) & & \text { Matrix Integral } \\
& =\exp (\text { sphere }+ \text { torus }+ \text { genus two }+\ldots) & & \text { String Theory }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the string theory calculation, we sum over all closed Riemann surfaces, with no external vertex operators. At each genus, we need to do a moduli space integral.

## Non-perturbative contributions to the partition function
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Non-perturbative contributions to the partition function

$$
Z^{(0)}=\exp \left(c_{0} \kappa^{2}+c_{1}+c_{2} \kappa^{-2}+\ldots\right)
$$

Matrix Integral

$$
=\exp (\text { sphere }+ \text { torus }+ \text { genus two }+\ldots)
$$

String Theory

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
Z & =Z^{(0)}+Z^{(1)}+\ldots \\
\frac{Z^{(1)}}{Z^{(0)}} & =\exp \left(d_{0} \kappa+d_{1}+d_{1}^{\prime} \log \kappa+\ldots\right) & & \text { Matrix Integral } \\
& =\exp (\text { disk }+ \text { annulus }+\ldots) & & \text { String Theory }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{Z^{(1)}}{Z^{(0)}} & =\exp (\text { disk }+ \text { annulus }+\ldots) \\
& =e^{-1 / g_{s}} \mathcal{N}\left(1+O\left(g_{s}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to compute the normalization prefactor $\mathcal{N}$, with the precise order one constant.

$$
\mathcal{N}=\exp (\text { annulus })=\sqrt{g_{s}} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{8 \pi}} \frac{\cot (\pi / p)}{\sqrt{p^{2}-4}}
$$

In this equation, the normalization of $g_{s}$ is chosen so that the action or the tension of the instanton equals $g_{s}^{-1}$.
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## The string theory computation

The disk and annulus are worldsheets with boundary, so one needs to specify conformal boundary conditions for all component CFTs in the worldsheet theory.

Most importantly, for the Liouville CFT, the relevant boundary conditions are the discrete family of ZZ boundary conditions.

To explain the basic puzzle and its resolution, we focus on the $(1,1) \mathrm{ZZ}$ brane.

## The annulus diagram

We want to compute the annulus with both boundaries on a $(1,1)$ ZZ brane:

$$
A=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{2 t} \operatorname{Tr}_{\text {open }}\left(e^{-2 \pi t L_{0}} b_{0} c_{0}\right)
$$

## The annulus diagram

We want to compute the annulus with both boundaries on a $(1,1)$ ZZ brane:

$$
A=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{2 t} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{open}}\left(e^{-2 \pi t L_{0}} b_{0} c_{0}\right)
$$

Put the known bootstrap answers for minimal model, Liouville and $b c$ ghosts together [Zamolodchikov ${ }^{2}$, Cardy, Rocha-Caridi, Martinec].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}_{\text {open }}\left(e^{-2 \pi t L_{0}} b_{0} c_{0}\right)= \\
& =\left(e^{2 \pi t}-1\right) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(e^{-2 \pi t k(2 p k+p-2)}-e^{-2 \pi t(p k+1)(2 k+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
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## The problem with $A$

The problem is that $A$ is ill-defined.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}_{\text {open }}\left(e^{-2 \pi t L_{0}} b_{0} c_{0}\right)= \\
& =\left(e^{2 \pi t}-1\right) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(e^{-2 \pi t k(2 p k+p-2)}-e^{-2 \pi t(p k+1)(2 k+1)}\right) \\
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We will see now that $e^{A}$ is a better quantity to consider, and it is possible to make it well-defined.

## Exponentiating the annulus
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}_{\text {open }}\left(e^{-2 \pi t L_{0}} b_{0} c_{0}\right)=: \sum_{b} e^{-2 \pi h_{b} t}-\sum_{f} e^{-2 \pi \hat{h}_{f} t} \\
& A=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{2 t} \operatorname{Tr}_{\text {open }}\left(e^{-2 \pi t L_{0}} b_{0} c_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\prod_{f} \hat{h}_{f}}{\prod_{b} h_{b}} .
\end{aligned}
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## Exponentiating the annulus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Tr}_{\text {open }}\left(e^{-2 \pi t L_{0}} b_{0} c_{0}\right)=: \sum_{b} e^{-2 \pi h_{b} t}-\sum_{f} e^{-2 \pi \hat{h}_{f} t} \\
A=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{2 t} \operatorname{Tr}_{\text {open }}\left(e^{-2 \pi t L_{0}} b_{0} c_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\prod_{f} \hat{h}_{f}}{\prod_{b} h_{b}} . \\
\mathcal{N}:=e^{A}=\left(\frac{\prod_{f} \hat{h}_{f}}{\prod_{b} h_{b}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{\prod_{f}^{\prime} \hat{h}_{f}}{\prod_{b} h_{b}^{1 / 2}} \\
=\int \prod_{b} \frac{d \phi_{b}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \prod_{f}^{\prime} d p_{f} d q_{f} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b} h_{b} \phi_{b}^{2}-\sum_{f}^{\prime} \hat{h}_{f} p_{f} q_{f}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Exponentiating the annulus

The annulus diagram in the open string channel is a sum over single-string states in Siegel gauge (because of the $b_{0} c_{0}$ factor in the trace).

When we exponentiate the annulus, the exponentiated quantity is the Gaussian approximation to the path integral of the D-brane worldvolume field theory. There is one field for each single-string state appearing in $A$.

That is the meaning of the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}=e^{A} & =\int \prod_{b} \frac{d \phi_{b}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \prod_{f}^{\prime} d p_{f} d q_{f} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b} h_{b} \phi_{b}^{2}-\sum_{f}^{\prime} \hat{h}_{f} p_{f} q_{f}\right) \\
& =\text { path integral of the D-instanton worldvolume theory } \\
& =\text { path integral of the open string field theory }
\end{aligned}
$$
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The tachyon field $T$ is the coefficient of the $L_{0}=-1$ component of the string field $T c_{1}|0\rangle$. So the worldvolume path integral contains the following integral over $T$
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\int_{C} \frac{\mathrm{~d} T}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{+\frac{1}{2} T^{2}}
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Before doing this integral, we need to choose a multiple of steepest descent contour along the imaginary-axis (typically 0 , $\pm 1$, or $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ ).
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## Dealing with the tachyon

The tachyon field $T$ is the coefficient of the $L_{0}=-1$ component of the string field $T c_{1}|0\rangle$. So the worldvolume path integral contains the following integral over $T$

$$
\int_{C} \frac{\mathrm{~d} T}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{+\frac{1}{2} T^{2}}
$$

Before doing this integral, we need to choose a multiple of steepest descent contour along the imaginary-axis (typically 0 , $\pm 1$, or $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ ).

As we will explain below, there is a one to one correspondence between this set of choices, and an analogous set of choices in the matrix integral.

So for now we just do

$$
\int_{-\mathrm{i} \infty}^{\mathrm{i} \infty} \frac{\mathrm{~d} T}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{+\frac{1}{2} T^{2}}=\mathrm{i}
$$
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It is a gauge theory containing a gauge field $A_{\mu}(k)$, so it needs Faddeev-Popov $B C$ ghosts for the perturbation theory to be well-defined.

Fields in the worldvolume gauge theory path integral before doing any Faddeev-Popov procedure are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\mu}(k) c_{1} \alpha_{-1}^{\mu} e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle+ \\
& \psi(k) c_{0} e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle \\
& \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The worldvolume gauge transformation is inferred from calculating $Q_{\mathrm{BRST}} \cdot \theta(k) e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle$. This results in the following transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\mu}(k) & \rightarrow A_{\mu}(k)+k_{\mu} \theta(k) \quad \mathrm{AND} \\
\psi(k) & \rightarrow \psi(k)+k^{2} \theta(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

The worldvolume gauge transformation is inferred from calculating $Q_{\text {BRST }} \cdot \theta(k) e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle$. This results in the following transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\mu}(k) & \rightarrow A_{\mu}(k)+k_{\mu} \theta(k) \quad \mathrm{AND} \\
\psi(k) & \rightarrow \psi(k)+k^{2} \theta(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can use this gauge freedom to set $\psi(k)=0$. This introduces Fadeev-Popov ghost fields $C(k)$ and $B(k)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C(k) e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle+ \\
& B(k) c_{1} c_{-1} e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The Fock space states appearing above satisfy the Siegel gauge condition $b_{0}|\cdot\rangle=0$.
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Fadeev-Popov fields $C(k)$ and $B(k)$ from the previous slide

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C(k) e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle+ \\
& B(k) c_{1} c_{-1} e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

For D-instantons, the worldvolume is $(0+0)$-dimensional, so there just is no momentum $k$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C|0\rangle+ \\
& B c_{1} c_{-1}|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that

$$
\left.\operatorname{Tr}_{\text {open }}\left(e^{-2 \pi t L_{0}} b_{0} c_{0}\right)\right) \text { worldsheet CFT } e^{2 \pi t}-2+O\left(e^{-2 \pi t}\right)
$$

The -2 comes from the $C$ and $B$ fields.

The expression for $e^{A}$ contains the two-dimensional Grassmann integral (recall that $h_{f}=0$ for these states)

$$
\int \mathrm{d} B \mathrm{~d} C e^{0 \cdot B C}=0
$$
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On a D-instanton, there is no worldvolume gauge field $A_{\mu}(k) c_{1} \alpha_{-1}^{\mu} e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle$ since there is no $\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}$.

Further, recall the field that got set to zero for $p \geqslant 0$ and its gauge transformation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi(k) c_{0} e^{\mathrm{i} k \cdot X}|0\rangle \\
& \psi(k) \rightarrow \psi(k)+k^{2} \theta(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the D-instanton case, there is no momentum available, and we have instead:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi c_{0}|0\rangle \\
\psi \rightarrow \psi
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\psi$ is a gauge invariant state and there is no way to set it zero.
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So $\psi$ is a gauge invariant state and there is no way to set it zero.
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So the remedy is to just "un Faddeev-Popov" the path integral
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\int \mathrm{d} B \mathrm{~d} C e^{0 \cdot B C} \longrightarrow \frac{\int d \psi e^{-\psi^{2}}}{\int d \theta}=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \pi / g_{o}}
$$

So $\psi$ is a gauge invariant state and there is no way to set it zero.

In other words, the Faddeev-Popov procedure that led to setting $\psi=0$ and the introduction of $B$ and $C$ is illegal for the case of a D-instanton.

So the remedy is to just "un Faddeev-Popov" the path integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} B \mathrm{~d} C e^{0 \cdot B C} \longrightarrow \frac{\int d \psi e^{-\psi^{2}}}{\int d \theta}=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \pi / g_{o}} \tag{Sen}
\end{equation*}
$$

Convert $g_{o}$ to the tension of the brane using $T=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} g_{o}^{2}}$ [Sen]

## The final string theory result
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\mathcal{N}=(T \text { integral }) \times \frac{(\psi \text { integral })}{2 \pi / g_{o}} \times \text { integrals over all other fields }
$$

$$
\mathcal{N}=\exp (\text { annulus })=\sqrt{g_{s}} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{8 \pi}} \frac{\cot (\pi / p)}{\sqrt{p^{2}-4}}
$$

where the normalization of $g_{s}$ is chosen so that $T=g_{s}^{-1}$.

## Summary of string calculation

Somehow, the worldsheet theory is producing an answer for the annulus that is in a bad gauge.

## Summary of string calculation

Somehow, the worldsheet theory is producing an answer for the annulus that is in a bad gauge.

String field theory helps us identify the culprit modes, and the new worldvolume path integral, with the $\psi c_{0}|0\rangle$ field produces a finite, unambiguous answer.

## Comment

In string field theory, Feynman diagrams with internal lines arise from limits in moduli space where the Riemann surface degenerates.

## Comment

In string field theory, Feynman diagrams with internal lines arise from limits in moduli space where the Riemann surface degenerates.

The worldvolume path integral now includes the $\psi$ field. So it will appear in Feynman diagrams on internal lines.

## The matrix integral computation

## The matrix computation

The matrix computation was worked out in the early 90 s. The effects come from one-eigenvalue instantons, which are extrema of the effective potential felt by one eigenvalue

$$
V_{\text {eff }}\left(x, t, g_{2}, g_{4}\right):=V(x)-2 t \int_{-b}^{b} \mathrm{~d} y \rho(y) \log (y-x)
$$

[David, Shenker, Ginsparg, Zinn-Justin; Marino, Schiappa, Weiss]

## The effective potential

The double-scaled matrix integral dual to (the conformal background of ) the $(2, p)$ minimal string has a specific form. [Moore, Seiberg, Staudacher]

For $p=7$ it looks as follows
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We just need to compute the on-shell action and the one-loop Gaussian integral around the extrema shown on the previous graph.
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I will not present the details, but the answer matches with the string theory computation.
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## Comment about contours

The duality between the matrix integral and string theory holds for perturbation theory in $\kappa^{-1}$ around each saddle point.

We need to specify a defining contour for the eigenvalues of the matrix integral that will pick out a particular linear combination of Lefshetz thimbles to sum over.

String theory also needs a corresponding defining contour in the complex plane of the open-string tachyon.
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## Generalizations

1. Two-matrix integrals that are dual to the $\left(p^{\prime}, p\right)$ minimal string [2206.13531]
2. An arbitrary multi-instanton configuration [2206.13531]
3. Virasoro minimal string [Collier, Eberhardt, Mühlmann, Rodriguez]
4. Type 0B $(2,4 k)$ minimal superstring [To appear soon] [Klebanov, Maldacena, Seiberg, Chakravarty, Sen]

- Gapped, two-cut phase
- Edge-less phase (the leading nonperturbative effect is from two instantons)

The culprit states are the following NS sector states

$$
\beta_{-\frac{1}{2}} c_{1} e^{-\phi}|0\rangle, \quad \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}} c_{1} e^{-\phi}|0\rangle
$$

## Type 0B minimal superstring - Two-cut phase



## Type 0B minimal superstring - Edgeless phase

区
"Wrong-sheet instantons" or "ghost instantons" [Marino, Schiappa, Schwick] are crucial in this phase [Eniceicu, RM, Murdia, 2308.06320].

## Some future questions

1. A deeper understanding of the role of "ghost instantons"? [Marino, Schiappa, Schwick]
2. A deeper understanding of string theory description of hole states in $c=1$ matrix quantum mechanics?

## Summary

1. Worldsheet description of string observables by itself is inadequate in the presence of D-instantons.
2. We discussed in this talk how insights from string field theory help us compute a finite, unambiguous answer for the normalization of ZZ instanton amplitudes in the minimal string. The answers match perfectly with the dual matrix integrals.

Chitraang Murdia, Postdoc @ UPenn
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