#### Constraining neutrino models predictions

#### Szymon Zięba

#### University of Silesia in Katowice

#### Based on arXiv:2310.20681, submitted to PPNP

#### Phenomenology of Lepton Masses and Mixing with Discrete Flavor Symmetries

authors: Garv Chauhan, P. S. Bhupal Dev, levgen Dubovyk, Bartosz Dziewit, Wojciech Flieger, Krzysztof Grzanka, Janusz Gluza, Biswajit Karmakar, Szymon Zięba

XXX Cracow EPIPHANY Conference on Precision Physics at High Energy Colliders, Kraków, January 11, 2024



#### Neutrino mixing, $3\nu$ .

Neutrino flavor and mass eigenstates are related by

$$|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle = \mathrm{U}_{\alpha i} |\nu_{i}\rangle$$

Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata parametrization of mixing matrix





Szymon Zięba (UŚ)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text{Normal mass ordering (NO)} \\ \hline m_1 = m_0, \\ m_2 = \sqrt{m_0^2 + \Delta m_{21}^2}, \\ m_3 = \sqrt{m_0^2 + \Delta m_{31}^2}, \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} \text{Inverted mass ordering (IO)} \\ \hline m_1 = \sqrt{m_0^2 - \Delta m_{21}^2} - \Delta m_{32}^2, \\ m_2 = \sqrt{m_0^2 - \Delta m_{32}^2}, \\ m_3 = m_0, \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$



Taken from https://globalfit.astroparticles.es, updated arXiv:1806.11051, Figure 1

Szymon Zięba (UŚ)

#### Oscillation data, with SK.

Parameter	Ordering	NuFIT 5.2 (2022)		de Salas et al. (2021)		Capozzi et al. (2021)	
		$bf \pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range	$bf \pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range	$bf \pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range
$\sin^2 \theta_{12} / 10^{-1}$	NO, IO	$3.03^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	2.70 - 3.41	$3.18^{+0.16}_{-0.16}$	2.71 - 3.69	$3.03^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$	2.63 - 3.45
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}/10^{-1}$	NO	$4.51^{+0.19}_{-0.16}$	4.08 - 6.03	$5.74^{+0.14}_{-0.14}$	4.34 - 6.10	$4.55^{+0.18}_{-0.15}$	4.16 - 5.99
$\theta_{23}$ octant	IO	$5.69^{+0.16}_{-0.21}$	4.12 - 6.13	$5.78^{+0.10}_{-0.17}$	4.33 - 6.08	$5.69^{+0.12}_{-0.21}$	4.17 - 6.06
$\sin^2 \theta_{13} / 10^{-2}$	NO	$2.225^{+0.056}_{-0.059}$	2.052 - 2.398	$2.200^{+0.069}_{-0.062}$	2.000 - 2.405	$2.23^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$	2.04 - 2.44
<b>≠</b> 0	IO	2.223 <sup>+0.058</sup> -0.058	2.048 - 2.416	$2.225^{+0.064}_{-0.070}$	2.018 - 2.424	$2.23^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	2.03 - 2.45
$\delta_{CP}/\pi$	NÖ	$1.29^{+0.20}_{-0.14}$	0.80 - 1.94	$1.08^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$	0.71 - 1.99	$1.24^{+0.18}_{-0.13}$	0.77 - 1.97
can be 0?	IO	$1.53^{+0.12}_{-0.16}$	1.08 - 1.91	$1.58^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$	1.11 - 1.96	$1.52^{+0.15}_{-0.11}$	1.07 - 1.90
$\Delta m_{21}^2 / 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2$	NO, IO	$7.41^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	6.82 - 8.03	7.50 <sup>+0.22</sup> -0.20	6.94 - 8.14	$7.36^{+0.16}_{-0.15}$	6.93 - 7.93
$\Delta m_{atm}^2$ /10 <sup>-3</sup> eV <sup>2</sup>	NO	2.507+0.026	2.427 - 2.590	$2.55^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	2.47 - 2.63	2.485 <sup>+0.023</sup> -0.031	2.401 - 2.565
	IO	2.486 <sup>+0.028</sup> -0.025	2.406 - 2.570	$2.45^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	2.37 - 2.53	2.455 <sup>+0.030</sup> -0.025	2.376 - 2.541
$\Delta \chi^2$	10 - NO	6.4		6.4		6.5	



Figure taken form Biswajit Karmakar talk, link here. See also arXiv:2012.12893, Figure 1 and arXiv:2204.08668, Figure 2.1



Figure taken form Peter B. Denton talk, link here.

#### BM, TB, GR, HG, $\theta_{13} = 0$ (early 2010s).

$$U_{\rm PMNS} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{33} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\theta_{13} = 0^{\circ} \qquad \Downarrow \qquad \theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$$
$$U_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -\frac{s_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{c_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{s_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{c_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

∜

Golden Ratio Mixing: tg  $\theta_{12}=1/\varphi$  ,  $~\varphi=(1+\sqrt{5})/2$  being the golden ratio.

Based on Biswajit Karmakar talk, link here.

### $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ , Daya Bay, RENO (2012).

BM, TB, GR, HG disfavored by non-zero  $\theta_{13}$ .



Szymon Zięba (UŚ)

# Non-zero $\theta_{13}$ : Successors of tribimaximal mixing, $TM_1$ , $TM_2$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{TBM}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{PMNS}} \simeq \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0.15\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{TM}_1} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{s_\theta}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\gamma} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{s_\theta}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\gamma} & -\frac{s_\theta}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-i\gamma} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{s_\theta}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\gamma} & -\frac{s_\theta}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-i\gamma} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{s_\theta}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\gamma} & -\frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{TM}_2} &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{2s_\theta}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\gamma} & -\frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{6}} & +\frac{s_\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\gamma} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{s_\theta}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-i\gamma} & -\frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{6}} & +\frac{s_\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\gamma} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{s_\theta}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-i\gamma} & -\frac{c_\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \end{bmatrix}, \\ |\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{TM}_1}| &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & * & * \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & * & * \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & * & * \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & * & * \end{bmatrix}, \qquad |\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{TM}_2}| = \begin{bmatrix} * & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & * \\ * & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & * \\ * & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & * \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & * \\ \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Based on Biswajit Karmakar talk, link here.

#### $TM_1$ oscillation parameters predictions.

$$\mathbf{U}_{\rm PMNS} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{53} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{TM}_{1}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{s_{\theta}}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-i\gamma} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\gamma} & -\frac{s_{\theta}}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-i\gamma} - \frac{c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\gamma} & -\frac{s_{\theta}}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-i\gamma} + \frac{c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Comparing the corresponding elements of the first column of  $\rm U_{PMNS}$  and  $\rm U_{TM_{1}}.$ 

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{U}_{e1}|^2 &= c_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 = 2/3 \quad : \quad s_{12}^2 = \frac{1 - 3s_{13}^2}{3 - 3s_{13}^2}, \\ |\mathbf{U}_{\mu 1}|^2 &= |\mathbf{U}_{\tau 1}|^2 = 1/6 \quad : \quad \cos \delta_{\mathrm{CP}} = \frac{(1 - 5s_{13}^2)(2s_{23}^2 - 1)}{4s_{13}s_{23}\sqrt{2(1 - 3s_{13}^2)(1 - s_{23}^2)}}. \end{split}$$

Based on arXiv:1212.3247

#### $TM_2$ oscillation parameters predictions.

$$U_{\rm PMNS} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{s3} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$U_{\rm TM_2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{2s_{\theta}}{\sqrt{6}}e^{-i\gamma} \\ -\frac{c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\gamma} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{s_{\theta}}{\sqrt{3}}e^{-i\gamma} - \frac{c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\gamma} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{s_{\theta}}{\sqrt{3}}e^{-i\gamma} + \frac{c_{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Comparing the corresponding elements of the second column of  $U_{PMNS}$  and  $U_{TM_2}$ .

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{U}_{e2}|^2 &= \mathbf{s}_{12}^2 \mathbf{c}_{13}^2 = 1/3 \quad : \quad \mathbf{s}_{12}^2 = \frac{1}{3 - 3\mathbf{s}_{13}^2}, \\ |\mathbf{U}_{\mu 2}|^2 &= |\mathbf{U}_{\tau 2}|^2 = 1/3 \quad : \quad \cos \delta_{\mathrm{CP}} = -\frac{(2 - 4\mathbf{s}_{13}^2)(2\mathbf{s}_{23}^2 - 1)}{4\mathbf{s}_{13}\mathbf{s}_{23}\sqrt{(2 - 3\mathbf{s}_{13}^2)(1 - \mathbf{s}_{23}^2)}}. \end{split}$$

#### $TM_1$ and $TM_2$ , $\delta_{CP}$ vs. $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ .



Szymon Zięba (UŚ)

g neutrino models predictions

# $\overline{TM}_1$ and $\overline{TM}_2$ , $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ vs. $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ .



$$\mathrm{TM}_1: \quad \mathrm{s}_{12}^2 = \frac{1 - 3 \mathrm{s}_{13}^2}{3 - 3 \mathrm{s}_{13}^2}, \quad \mathrm{TM}_2: \quad \mathrm{s}_{12}^2 = \frac{1}{3 - 3 \mathrm{s}_{13}^2}$$

# NuFIT 5.2 (2022).



NuFIT 5.2 (2022)

Taken from http://www.nu-fit.org, updated arXiv:2007.14792, Table 3.

## $TM_1$ and $TM_2$ , $\delta_{CP}$ vs. $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ , constrained, $3\sigma$ .



Darker shaded regions = constrained with correlations.

## $TM_1$ and $TM_2$ , $\delta_{CP}$ vs. $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ , constrained, $2\sigma$ .



Darker shaded regions = constrained with correlations.

### $TM_1$ and $TM_2$ , $\delta_{CP}$ vs. $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , constrained, $3\sigma$ .



$$\mathrm{TM}_{1}: \quad \cos \delta_{\mathrm{CP}} = \frac{(1 - 5 s_{13}^{-})(2 s_{23}^{-} - 1)}{4 s_{13} s_{23} \sqrt{2(1 - 3 s_{13}^{-})(1 - s_{23}^{-})}}, \quad \mathrm{TM}_{2}: \quad \cos \delta_{\mathrm{CP}} = -\frac{(2 - 4 s_{13}^{-})(2 s_{23}^{-} - 1)}{4 s_{13} s_{23} \sqrt{(2 - 3 s_{13}^{-})(1 - s_{23}^{-})}}.$$

Darker shaded regions = constrained with correlations.

## $TM_1$ and $TM_2$ , $\delta_{CP}$ vs. $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , constrained, $2\sigma$ .



$$\mathrm{TM}_{1}: \quad \cos \delta_{\mathrm{CP}} = \frac{(1 - 5 \mathrm{s}_{13}^{2})(2 \mathrm{s}_{23}^{2} - 1)}{4 \mathrm{s}_{13} \mathrm{s}_{23} \sqrt{2(1 - 3 \mathrm{s}_{13}^{2})(1 - \mathrm{s}_{23}^{2})}, \quad \mathrm{TM}_{2}: \quad \cos \delta_{\mathrm{CP}} = -\frac{(2 - 4 \mathrm{s}_{13}^{2})(2 \mathrm{s}_{23}^{2} - 1)}{4 \mathrm{s}_{13} \mathrm{s}_{23} \sqrt{(2 - 3 \mathrm{s}_{13}^{2})(1 - \mathrm{s}_{23}^{2})}.$$

Darker shaded regions = constrained with correlations.

Improvements in precise determination neutrino oscillation parameters triggered construction and tests of discrete symmetry neutrino flavor models.

- disfavored by oscillation parameters (history)
  - Bimaximal, Tribimaximal, Golden Ratio, Hexagonal Mixings disfavored by non-zero  $\theta_{13}$ ;
- Successors of Tribimaximal Mixings (current work)
  - $TM_1$  and  $TM_2$  predictions are significantly constrained by oscillation parameters correlations;
  - $TM_2$  is not applicable at  $2\sigma$  or less;
- conclusion
  - taking into account correlations between oscillation parameters is a significant step forward in testing and constraining the predictions of discrete symmetry neutrino flavor models;

# Thank you for your attention.

Neutrino oscillation parameters data files.



Valencia neutrino global fit, link here.



Szymon Zięba (UŚ)