# Measurements of CLIC TD26 WFM with beam in CLEAR

K. Sjobak, H. Bursali, A. Gilardi, …
WFM mini-workshop, 14/06/2023

Port 2 TE & TM

Port 3 TE & TM

Port 1 TE & TM

Port 4 TE & TM

WFM TM pickup

WFM TE pickup

Data presented in this presentation

- Based on paper
  almost ready
  for submission

  – Will post
    preprint to arXiv

- Please do not reuse
  plots etc. without
  asking

CLIC Wake Field Monitor as a detuned Cavity Beam Position Monitor: Explanation of center offset between TE and TM channels in the TD26 structure

Kyrre Ness Sjobak,[1,2,*] Erik Adli,[1] Reidar Lillestøl,[1] Antonio Gillardi,[2,3] Hikmet Bursali,[2,4]
Wilfrid Farabolini,[2] Roberto Corsini,[2] Steffen Doebert,[2] and Nuria Catalan Lasheras[2]

[1] Department of Physics, University of Oslo, 0316 Oslo, Norway
[2] CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
[3] University of Naples Federico II, DIETI - IMPALab, Napoli, Italy
[4] Department of SBAI, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy
(Dated: June 13, 2023)

The Wake Field Monitor (WFM) system installed on the CLIC prototype accelerating structure in CERN Linear Accelerator for Research (CLEAR) has two channels for each horizontal/vertical plane, operating at different frequencies. When moving the beam relative to the aperture of the structure, a disagreement is observed between the center position of the structure as measured with the two channels in each plane. This is a challenge for the planned use of WFMs in the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC), where they will be used to measure the center offset between the accelerating structures and the beam. Through a mixture of simulations and measurements, we have discovered a potential mechanism for this, which is discussed along with implications for improving position resolution near the structure center, and the possibility determination of the sign of the beam offset.

Wake field monitors

- Experimental setup in CLEAR uses a set of 8 antennas on one structure
    - 2 channels per plane
    - 2 antennas per channel
- Signals from each channel is combined to Σ & Δ signals
- Acquisition using log(power) detectors
- Expect to measure position using Δ or Σ signal depending on mode and antenna location

CLIC TD 26 structure (model in CST)

WFM TM pickup

WFM TE pickup

Port 2 TE & TM

Port 3 TE & TM

Port 1 TE & TM

Port 4 TE & TM

DWG shortened for simulation speed

Electronics and data acquisition

- Combined signals brought up to gallery & filtered with band-pass filter

- Power measured with log-detectors & output voltage digitized and post-processed to find position

Electronics and data acquisition

- Combined signals brought up to gallery & filtered with band-pass filter

- Power measured with log-detectors & output voltage digitized and post-processed to find position

Signals and principle of signal generation

- Expected signal generation mechanism

  – Off-center beam excites TM- and TE-like dipole modes

  – These excitations are picked up by antennas

  – DWG filter out accelerating mode

- Hybrid + antennas select dipole mode

- Amplitude of detected signals proportional to beam offset from structure center & current

- Some cross between Σ/Δ expected if signal phase to hybrid not equal

TE-like modes

TM-like modes

Beam

TM pickup antenna

TE pickup antenna

TE pickup antenna

Note: TE pickup asymmetric for horizontal signals

TM pickup antenna

Installation in CLEAR tunnel (pre 2022)

Downstream screen

Upstream screens

Charge measurement

Charge measurement

Kickers

Focusing section

Charge measurement

Laser settings (charge, # bunches)

CLIC acc. Structure w/ WFMs on x/y mover with encoder

Also:
Radiation monitors (3),
Inductive BPM sum,
RF deflector

- Need to use a wide variety of available instruments and devices

- Strict demands for beam properties
  - Both control and measurement

Installation in CLEA[R]

Downstream screen

Upstream screens

In-Air test area
ICT 915

35.03 m 33.92 m 32.53 m 31.66 m
33.38 m 32.26 m 30.62 m 29.31 m
29.95 m

26.04 25.21 m 23.98 m 22.86 m

BTV 910
BTV930
BHB 900
QFD 880
QDD 870
DJ 840
BPM 820
BTV 810
BTV 805
BTV 800
PLG 800
BPC 790
DJ 780
QFD 770
QDD 765
QFD 760
BTV 730
BPC 720
DJ 710
BPC 690
BPC 680
BTV 920
BPC 610
BPR 600
BPM 595
WCM 550
DJ 540
BPM 530
QFD 520
QFD 510

Plasma lens

CLIC test area

BI test area

Charge measurement

Kickers

Focusing section

CLIC acc. Structure w/ WFMs on x/y mover with encoder

Also:
Radiation monitors (3),
Inductive BPM sum,
RF deflector

- Need to use a wide variety of available instruments and devices

- Strict demands for beam properties
  - Both control and measurement

Structure transverse mover with position encoder

- New mover was installed during winter shutdown 2020/2021

  - "Quadrupole mover",
    same type as used for Plasma Lens

  - Replacing "whole girder" mover:
    Less flexible but easier to operate

- Arduino + Mitutoyo gauges with online readout system for true position

Amplitude from position

- Comparing TE and TM, do not find same center!

- Even Σ/Δ of same signal is disagreeing

- What is the reason for this?

- Which one is true?

$$\bar{V} = \frac{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} V(t)\, \mathrm{d}t}{t_2 - t_1}.$$

Simulated signals

- With CST, simulate wakefield and antenna voltages

- Make bunch trains, Σ & Δ signals, and frequency filtering in Python external post-processing

- Reproduce "V-plots" from perfectly combined signals

  – Notice a 65 μm offset of vertical TE signals

  – Explained by antenna positioning (a)symmetry

TM Vertical Δ
(+1 mm beam offset, 50 pC charge/bunch)

TE Vertical Σ
(+1 mm beam offset, 50 pC charge/bunch)

Simulated signals

- With CST, simulate wakefield and antenna voltages

- Make bunch trains, Σ & Δ signals, and frequency filtering in Python external post-processing

- Reproduce "V-plots" from perfectly combined signals

  - Notice a 65 μm offset of vertical TE signals

  - Explained by antenna positioning (a)symmetry

DFT of TM Vertical Δ
(+1 mm beam offset, 50 pC charge/bunch)

DFT of TE Vertical Σ
(+1 mm beam offset, 50 pC charge/bunch)

Simulated signals

- With CST, simulate wakefield and antenna voltages

- Make bunch trains, Σ & Δ signals, and frequency filtering in Python external post-processing

- Reproduce "V-plots" from perfectly combined signals

  - Notice a 65 µm offset of vertical TE signals

  - Explained by antenna positioning (a)symmetry

TE and TM Vertical,
50 pC charge/bunch 20 bunches

Single antenna signals: Simulation

- For TM:

  - Voltage increase as beam approaches antenna

  - Soft minimum at y = ±400 μm

- For TE:

  - Antennas have identical center

  - Beam-antenna distance independent of vertical position

  - If moving port 3 to top of DWG, the single-antenna center swaps sign

    → Then combined signal is centered

WFM single-port signals
(50 pC charge/bunch, 20 bunches)

Single antenna signals: Simulation

- For TM:
  - Voltage increase as beam approaches antenna
  - Soft minimum at y = ±400 μm

- For TE:
  - Antennas have identical center
  - Beam-antenna distance independent of vertical position
  - If moving port 3 to top of DWG, the single-antenna center swaps sign
    - → Then combined signal is centered

TE and TM Vertical, modified geometry, 50 pC charge/bunch 20 bunches

Imperfect connection to hybrid

- Signals will not enter hybrid with exactly same phase and amplitude

  - Cabling and connectors are not perfect

- If each antenna produces a (complex) voltage
  where *x* is the beam position and *c* is the position of the minimum…

$$\tilde{V}(x,t) = A\exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t)(x - c)$$

- … and the combined signals from the hybrid is on on the form

$$\tilde{V}_\Sigma = D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L}\tilde{V}_L(x,t) + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R}\tilde{V}_R(x,t)$$

$$\tilde{V}_\Delta = D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L}\tilde{V}_L(x,t) - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R}\tilde{V}_R(x,t)$$

  where *D* and *θ* represents the attenuation and phase shift of each arm

- Then with c_L = -c_R = c' (and A_L = A_R = 1) this gives:

$$\tilde{V}_\Sigma = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t)\left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c'\right]$$

$$\tilde{V}_\Delta = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t)\left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c'\right]$$

  - With *c'≠0* and $D_L \neq D_R$, **minimum is not at *x = 0***, and it gets "softer"

Imperfect connection to hybrid

- Then with $c_L = -c_R = c'$ (and $A_L = A_R = 1$) this gives:

$$\tilde{V}_\Sigma = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t) \left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c' \right]$$

$$\tilde{V}_\Delta = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t) \left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c' \right]$$

  - With $c' \neq 0$ and $D_L \neq D_R$, **minimum is not at $x = 0$**, and it gets "softer"

Imperfect conn...

TE Vertical, port 1 and port 3
50 pC charge/bunch 20 bunches

CST

c' = 0

compare

- Then with $c_L = -c_R = c'$ (and $A_L = A_R$...

$$\tilde{V}_\Sigma = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t)\left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c'\right]$$

$$\tilde{V}_\Delta = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t)\left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c'\right]$$

- With $c'\neq 0$ and $D_L \neq D_R$, **minimum is not at $x = 0$**, and it gets "softer"

Imperfect connection to hybrid

- Then with $c_L = -c_R = c'$ (and $A_L = A_R = 1$) this gives:

$$\tilde{V}_\Sigma = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t)\left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c'\right]$$

$$\tilde{V}_\Delta = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t)\left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c'\right]$$

  – With $c' \neq 0$ and $D_L \neq D_R$, **minimum is not at $x = 0$**, and it gets "softer"

TM Vertical, port 2 and port 4
50 pC charge/bunch 20 bunches

CST

compare

$c' = 0.5$

, this gives:

$$\tilde{V}_\Sigma = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t)\left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c'\right]$$

$$\tilde{V}_\Delta = \exp(\mathbf{i}\omega t)\left[(D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L} - D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_L})x - (D_L e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R} + D_R e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_R})c'\right]$$

– With $c' \neq 0$ and $D_L \neq D_R$, **minimum is not at $x = 0$**, and it gets "softer"

Single antenna signals from experiment

- Bypassed alternatingly TM- or TE hybrids

- Needed to take access to switch – beam center not the same.

  – Plots centered on expected "reference" un-bypassed channel (TMΔ and TEΔ)

  – The $x = 0$ in the plots is effectively arbitrary,
    but we only want to compare TE/TM within same scan

- When bypassing:

  – TM splits apart to ±400µm

  – TE signals stay together

- Agrees with simulation

Effect of beam charge

- Amplitude grows approximately linearly with charge

Effect of beam charge

- Amplitude grows approximately linearly with charge
- Difference between TE and TM also increase?

Effect of beam ~~charge~~ **quality**?

Beam size clearly grows
when increasing charge!

Also noticed:
Bunches get spread-out,
"shotgun" effect

View on upstream screen (magnified beam)

Effect of beam ~~charge~~ **quality**?

Perfect Bunch Train | **Distorted Bunch Train**

20 bunches

20 bunches

1.5 GHz spacing

1.5 GHz spacing

+2Δμm

+Δμm

...

0

-Δμm

Let's test in simulation!

-2Δμm

Raw data
Combined

Δ [μm]

100

80

60

View on upstream screen (magnified beam)

Sampling bunch trains

$\sigma = 0 \ \mu m$

sigma = 0 = 0.0 [μm], N=300

- See that TM V bottom is rounded off
- Transverse shift is mostly due to average shift from sampling

Sampling bunch trains

$\sigma = 50 \ \mu m$

- See that TM V bottom is rounded off
- Transverse shift is mostly due to average shift from sampling

Sampling bunch trains

$\sigma = 150 \ \mu m$

sigma = 3 = 150.0 [µm], N=300

- See that TM V bottom is rounded off

- Transverse shift is mostly due to average shift from sampling

Spread-out bunch trains

Error in position estimate grows ~ linearly:

≈ 3 µm / 100 µm

Extrapolated:
30 µm / 1 mm
similar to data?

Other measurements

Raw data

- Wake Field kick should give an absolute position reference to structure center

  – Scan beam position for many charges

  – Technically very difficult to do at CLEAR due to BPM system performance

We did not see any effect of beam angle on WFM minima positions

Other measurements

- Wake Field kick should give an absolute position reference to structure center
  - Scan beam position for many charges
  - Technically very difficult to do at CLEAR due to BPM system performance

We did not see any effect of beam angle on WFM minima positions

Raw data

Experimental data

Conclusions from WFM signal split

- Hypothesis of $c_L \neq c_R$ seems well supported,
  explains difference in minima positions
  - Fundamental effect of structure design, unrelated to manufacturing etc.
- Hard to "get away from" the effect, requires $D_L = D_R$ everywhere in CLIC
  - Per-structure calibration seems unwieldly
- Accuracy degrades with beam quality
- Soft-bottom of signal "V"s near center
  => Reduced resolution where we really need it
- Use TE mode? It's symmetric, but…
  - Constant center shift
  - Softer bottom
  - Higher frequency, and smaller signal amplitudes
- Difficult to estimate resolution without good reference or low-jitter beam
  - But: Beam center difference stable to ~10 μm or better between similar scans
  -

Opportunity in signal "split"?

- Problems with signal-combination system:
  - The combined system cannot tell the sign of the displacement
  - Combined center is difficult to determine
  - Bottom of V is "soft"

- Proposal (making lemons to lemonade)
  - Acquire power from both TM antennas separately
  - Use combined information to determine beam position
  - Measures sign of beam displacement – can tell left from right
  - Need to calibrate sensitivity, not offset.
    Requires sweeping a beam with known charge and bunch length over structure
  - Antennas are not "soft" in the same location
  - **BUT:** Requires 2x filters, log-detectors, and fast DAC channels.
    Must process nonlinear output to determine beam position in one structure

WFM single-port signals
(50 pC charge/bunch, 20 bunches)

BACKUP

Purpose of CLIC WFMs

- Align accelerating wrt. beam
  => Reduce wake field kick

- For CLIC luminosity target:
  Need alignment better than 3.5 µm

- Wake Field Monitors (WFM) provides
  local relative position measurement

- Must show that this is feasible
  and develop hardware and algorithms
  - Demonstration in CLEAR

Move girder with
accel. structures

Signal generation in acc. structure

16.9 GHz TM-like
27.3 GHz TE-like

- Each bunch excites multiple modes

  - These then ring down quickly
    (Q ~ 10 due to damping)

  - Antennas pickup the field

- Can describe signal as sum of signals from each mode, generated by each bunch (or slice of a bunch):

$$V(t) = \sum_{modes\,i} \Re \left[\sum_{bunches\,j} Q_j * A_i \exp \left(i\, 2\pi f_i (t - t_j) - \frac{2\pi f_i (t - t_j)}{2Q_j} \right) \right]$$

- In CLEAR, bunches arrive at 1/(1.5 GHz) intervals

Log. power detector

- Converts freq. filtered power signal to voltage
  - Calibration needed
  - Fit linear curve P(V)

- Wide dynamic range

- Low cross-talk (~20 dB)

- Based on Analog Devices HMC662LPE

From V_{out} [V] → P(t) [dBm] → V_{in} [V_{rms} (50Ω)]

- Need to turn the V(t) from the log detector into a position signal

- Currently done by averaging in window

- Done separately for each signal

- Need to deal with reflections, varying train lengths, etc.

Calibration polynomial

Average & Fit V

(zoom)

From V_{out} [V] → P(t) [dBm] → V_{in} [V_{rms} (50Ω)]

- Need to turn the V(t) from the log detector into a position signal

- Currently done by averaging in window

- Done separately for each signal

- Need to deal with reflections, varying train lengths, etc.


Calibration polynomial

Average & Fit V

Method: Transverse scan

- Scan beam position vs. structure,
  observe WFM signal & kick as function of beam transposition

- While structure is equipped with a mover,
  this has not been reliable to operate

- Rather use the two upstream kickers to transpose the beam

  – Magnet strengths were carefully measured with beam;
    parallelism and calibration confirmed with low-charge beam on screen 2 & 3

# Bunch harmonics toy model

- ## Single bunch:

- ## 20 bunches:

Center comparison between WFM and kicks

- Purpose of WFMs: Center the structure to minimize the kick

- Need relationship between WFM center and kick center (same or constant offset?)

- Find this by comparing WFMs and kicks

PLOTS: "IDEAL WORLD" TOY MODEL

Estimation and correction for initial trajectory params

- In reality:

  - Screens are misaligned

  - Initial beam position & angle changes when changing charge

  - Screens have finite resolution

- Need to be able to correct for this

- Found correction scheme that works in simulation:

  - Measure initial beam angle and offset with first 2 screens

 - Correct for shift on last screen and in structure

Estimation and correction for initial trajectory params

- In reality:

  - Screens are misaligned

  - Initial beam position & angle changes when changing charge

  - Screens have finite resolution

- Need to be able to correct for this

- Found correction scheme that works in simulation:

  - Measure initial beam angle and offset with first 2 screens

 - Correct for shift on last screen and in structure

Effect of screen resolution

- Method relies on good estimate of initial trajectory

- This requires good measurements upstream of structure

- Not yet working well

Data…

Effect of beam angle

- Can use bump to create an angle on top of displacement

- If center discrepancy caused by frequencies traveling down the structure, would expect to see an effect

- **No effect observed**

Effect of bunch train charge jitter