





Reka Szilvasi

Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Institute of Nuclear Techniques **ARIEL School 2023**



Motivation

Physical background

- Neutron flux, reaction rate, activation
- Typical neutron spectrum of a thermal reactor
- Typical cross section of different reactions

Measurement

Determination of the neutron spectrum

- Spectrum unfolding
- Information about the correlation matrices

• **Damage** assessment of the vessel:

 High-energy neutrons may alter the structure of the steel vessel by the activation of Fe nuclei

• Experimental nuclear physics:

 Checking the validity of neutron transport codes inside the zone

MOTIVATION

Why is it essential to map the <u>neutron spectrum</u> in a nuclear reactor zone?





S: surface N: number of target nuclei

• **σ**: refers to the probability that a certain reaction takes place

$$\sigma = \frac{R}{\Phi N}$$

• with **R** being the number of reactions taking place per one second

PHYSICAL BACKGROUND

Neutron flux (Φ) Reaction cross section (σ) Reaction rate (R) Activation ($A_{T}(t)$)



 Φ_0

S,N

X

 $[1/cm^2s]$





<u>Neutron flux (Φ)</u> <u>Reaction cross section</u> (σ) Reaction rate (R) Activation $(A_{I}(t))$

BACKGROUND

 σ : refers to the probability that a **PHYSICAL** certain reaction takes place

$$\sigma = \frac{R}{\Phi N}$$

۲

 $\Phi(x)$

with **R** being the number of • reactions taking place per one second

The cross section depends on the energy of the incident neutron!

$$\sigma(E) = \frac{R}{\Phi(E)N}$$



S: surface N: number of target nuclei

The cross section depends on the energy of the incident neutron! \downarrow $\sigma(E) = \frac{R}{E + E}$

- the activity of the irradiated nuclei:
 - A_I(t) gets saturated rapidly when the half-life of the irradiated nucleus is too short A_I(t->∞) -> R (maximal)

PHYSICAL BACKGROUND

Neutron flux (Φ)Reaction cross section (σ)Reaction rate (R)Activation ($A_{I}(t)$)

WHAT DO WE MEASURE? -



Neutron **spectrum** in a VVER-440 reactor:



Neutron flux over unit of <u>lethargy</u> -> measure of neutron slowing

The reaction rate



WHAT DO WE MEASURE? -



Neutron **spectrum** in a VVER-440 reactor:



The reaction rate

$$dR = \frac{d\Phi(E)}{dE} N\sigma(E)dE$$

$$R = N \int_{E_1}^{E_2} \frac{d\Phi(E)}{dE} \sigma(E) dE$$

measure and calculate the reaction rate over an energy interval

Neutron flux over unit of <u>lethargy</u> -> measure of neutron slowing

Typical energy regions of the neutron flux spectrum in thermal reactors [2]



y

Neutron spectrum of a light-water reactor [3]



10

Cross sections are downloaded from:



Software Version of 2023-08-31

News & History

2023/08 Updated JENDL-5 Japanese evaluated nuclear data library (2021) Errata including update-13, August 10, 2023 [page] 2023/08 New library: INDEN-Aug2023 evaluations produced by International Nuclear Data Evaluators Network (coord. by the IAEA) [page] 2023/03 New software feature: plotting covariances of the average number of neutrons per fission MF31 [example] 2023/02 New software tool: EE-View - fast experimental-evaluated data viewer [about] → go to SIG:[eeview][eeview1]; DA:[eeview-da] 2022/10 New software feature: plotting covariances for angular distributions of secondary particles MF34 [example]

Core nuclear reaction database contain recommended, evaluated cross sections, spectra, angular distributions, fission product yields, photo-atomic and thermal scattering law data, with emphasis on neutron induced reactions. The data were analyzed by experienced nuclear physicists to produce recommended libraries for one of the national nuclear data projects (USA, Europe, Japan, Russia and China). All data are stored in the internationally-adopted ENDF-6 format maintained by CSEWG. See database summary [here].

Go to: Advanced Request; ENDF-Database Explorer; EE-View:CS,CS1,DA
Libraries: O All O Selected Check Reset How to plot
O
○ ¥ IAEA Project Libraries ○ ¥ Archival
○ ¥ Derived
Ontioner
Sort by: Reactions Evaluations
Clone Request: Feedback: EXFOR CINDA EXFOR Comments/Questions?

Energy-dependent cross section of the reaction: ${}^{56}Fe(n,\gamma){}^{56}Mn*$



Energy-dependent cross section of the reaction: ${}^{56}Fe(n,\gamma){}^{56}Mn*$



Energy-dependent cross section of the reaction: ¹¹⁵In(n,n')¹¹⁵In*



ARIEL School 2023

14-

Energy-dependent cross section of the reaction: ⁹³Nb(n,n')⁹³Nb*



15

Cross Section (barns

Energy-dependent cross section of the reaction: ¹⁹⁸Au(n,γ)¹⁹⁹Au



16

Energy-dependent cross section of the reaction: ${}^{55}Mn(n,\gamma){}^{56}Mn$



ARIEL School 2023

(17_

Energy-dependent cross section of the reaction: ¹¹⁵In(n,γ)¹¹⁵In*



18

Cross Section (barns)

Energy-dependent cross section of the reaction: ¹¹³Cd(n, γ)¹¹⁴Cd



Neutron spectrum of a light-water reactor [3]



Reactor core, reactor operating at 10 kW [3]



Reaction	Half	-life
164 Dy(n, γ) 165 Dy*	139.2	min
164 Dy(n, γ) 165 Dy*	139.2	min
$^{27}Al(n, p)^{27}Mg$	9.46	min
27 Al(n, α) 24 Na	15.03	h
45 Sc(n, γ) 46 Sc*	84.0	d
⁵⁶ Fe(n, p) ⁵⁶ Mn*	2.587	h
⁵⁸ Ni(n, p) ^{58m} Co	71.3	d
⁵⁸ Ni(n, p) ^{58m} Co	71.3	d
$^{115}In(n, \gamma)^{116m}In$	53.34	min
$^{115}In(n, \gamma)^{116m}In$	53.34	min
$^{55}Mn(n, \gamma)^{56}Mn$	155.2	min
$^{55}Mn(n, \gamma)^{56}Mn$	155.2	mir
197 Au(n, γ) 198 Au	2.695	d
¹⁹⁷ Au(n, y) ¹⁹⁸ Au	2.695	i d
$^{115}In(n, n')^{115m}In$	4.5	h
⁴⁷ Ti(n, p) ⁴⁷ Sc	80.4	h
⁴⁸ Ti(n, p) ⁴⁸ Sc	44.1	h
		Î

Typical monitor substances

The reaction products are β dacaying or γ -decaying isotopes

ABOUT THE MEASUREMENT

- HPGe semi-conductor detectors
- Sets of irradiated thin foils covered by Al or Cd (neutron monitors)
- Detecting γ-lines



Reactor core, reactor operating at 10 kW [3]

Reaction	Half-life	A _{sat} /target atom [Bq]
⁶⁴ Dy(n, γ) ¹⁶⁵ Dy*	139.2 min	6.35 E-10
⁶⁴ Dy(n, γ) ¹⁶⁵ Dy*	139.2 min	6.32 E-12
$^{27}Al(n, p)^{27}Mg$	9.46 min	9.01 E-16
27 Al(n, α) 24 Na	15.03 h	1.60 E-16
⁴⁵ Sc(n, γ) ⁴⁶ Sc*	84.0 d	3.45 E-12
⁵⁶ Fe(n, p) ⁵⁶ Mn*	2.587 h	1.35 E-17
⁵⁸ Ni(n, p) ^{58m} Co	71.3 d	2.47 E-14
⁵⁸ Ni(n, p) ^{58m} Co	71.3 d	2.48 E-14
¹⁵ In(n, y) ^{116m} In	53.34 min	1.75 E-11
15 In(n, γ) ^{116m} In	53.34 min	1.22 E-11
$^{55}Mn(n, \gamma)^{56}Mn$	155.2 min	5.73 E-12
${}^{55}Mn(n, \gamma){}^{56}Mn$	155.2 min	2.79 E-13
⁹⁷ Au(n, 7) ¹⁹⁸ Au	2.695 d	5.86 E-11
⁹⁷ Au(n,y) ¹⁹⁸ Au	2.695 d	3.22 E-11
¹⁵ In(n, n') ^{115m} In	4.5 h	4.56 E-14
⁴⁷ Ti(n, p) ⁴⁷ Sc	80.4 h	4.29 E-15
⁴⁸ Ti(n, p) ⁴⁸ Sc	44.1 h	3.92 E-17

Typical monitor substances (also Cu, Ag, Co)

A	BO	UT	T	IE	
YE	AS	UR	EM	EN	T

- HPGe semi-conductor detectors
- Sets of irradiated thin foils covered by Al or Cd (neutron monitors)
- Measure the activity values of the foil detectors
- The use of Cd enables us to extract the epithermic spectrum information

Spectrum unfolding with SAND II or SANDBP

Input

- Measure the activity of the neutron monitors (per target atom)
- Use the relevant cross section information from the IRDFF library
- Select an initial approximation (input) spectrum (guess)
- Estimate relative errors for the acitivities and cross sections (weighting with errors ->faster convergence)

 Iterative adjusments of the input spectrum in 640 energy intervals by fitting the calculated activities to the measured ones (with a given error limit, calculating DEV)

Output

 Best-fit neutron flux density spectrum + covariance and correlation matrices (with Monte Carlo runs)





saturation activity of the ith foil detector

The spectrum unfolding method



 $\mid W_{ij}^{k} = \frac{A_{ij}^{k}}{A_{i}^{k}} \left(\frac{1}{(\delta A_{i})^{u}} \times \frac{1}{(\delta \sigma_{ij})^{v}} \right)$

The solution spectrum obtained with

- error weighting (u,v)
- up to 3 iterations (k=3)
- 17 activation detectors (i) [3]

not covered by the response of the detector set!

 The correlation matrices for the flux density values in all the neutron energy regions [1]

2.

strong correlation is observed (most energy regions are highly covered by the responses) DETERMINATION OF THE NEUTRON SPECTRUM



 Correlation matrix with higher error weighting
 smoother



[1] Zsolnay Éva, Czifrus Szabolcs, Kis Dániel Péter: a paksi atomerm 2. számú reaktorblokkján a reaktortartály küls felületénél a 28. reaktorkampány során besugárzott neutronmonitorok válaszának kiértékelése (2013)

[2] https://www.nuclear-power.com/nuclear-power/reactor-physics/nuclear-engineering-fundamentals/neutron-nuclear-reactions/neutron-flux-spectra/

[3] É. M. Zsolnay and E. J. Szondi: Neutron spectrum determination by multiple foil activation method (1982)