Anomalous Higgs boson couplings and CP properties at CMS



Dermot Moran (CIEMAT) On behalf on the CMS Collaboration ICHEP 2024

17-24 July 2024 (Prague)



Why is the Higgs boson so light?

IT'S A UITLE TOO HOT FOR 125 GeV ...



BSM ideas to solve the Hierarchy problem :

A new symmetry protects the higgs mass : SUSY

• Higgs is a bound state of new strong interaction : Composite Higgs

Can significantly alter Higgs phenomenology

SM picture observed so far



SM picture observed so far



SM picture observed so far



Dermot Moran (CIEMAT)

July 18, 2024 5 / 27

Anomalous couplings (AC) approach

Framework for general study of the Higgs coupling structure



For a given **vertex**, consider **scattering amplitude** with multiple contributions (**tree-level**, **loops/BSM**)

Exploit full event kinematics to constrain contributions

(production + decay vertex)

Higgs to Electroweak vector bosons

HVV scattering amplitude :

$$\mathcal{A}(\text{HVV}) \sim \left[a_{1}^{\text{VV}} + \frac{\kappa_{1}^{\text{VV}}q_{1}^{2} + \kappa_{2}^{\text{VV}}q_{2}^{2}}{\left(\Lambda_{1}^{\text{VV}}\right)^{2}}\right] m_{\text{V1}}^{2} \epsilon_{\text{V1}}^{*} \epsilon_{\text{V2}}^{*} + a_{2}^{\text{VV}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} f^{*(2)\mu\nu} + a_{3}^{\text{VV}} f_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} \tilde{f}^{*(2)\mu\nu}$$



HVV couplings :

 a_1 : SM tree level coupling

 k/Λ^2 : **CP-Even AC**

- a₂ : CP-Even AC
- a₃ : CP-Odd AC

 \rightarrow Target VBF, VH production + HWW/HZZ decay

Higgs to gluons

Hgg scattering amplitude :

$$\mathcal{A}(\text{HVV}) \sim \left[a_1^{\text{VV}} + \frac{\kappa_1^{\text{VV}} q_1^2 + \kappa_2^{\text{VV}} q_2^2}{\left(\Lambda_1^{\text{VV}}\right)^2} \right] m_{\text{V1}}^2 \epsilon_{\text{V1}}^* \epsilon_{\text{V2}}^* + a_2^{\text{VV}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} f^{*(2)\mu\nu} + a_3^{\text{VV}} f_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} \tilde{f}^{*(2)\mu\nu}$$



Hgg couplings : a₂ : SM loop a₃ : CP-Odd AC

→ Target **ggH + 2 Jets** process (VBF-like events)

Higgs to gluons

Hgg scattering amplitude :

$$\mathcal{A}(\text{HVV}) \sim \left[a_1^{\text{VV}} + \frac{\kappa_1^{\text{VV}} q_1^2 + \kappa_2^{\text{VV}} q_2^2}{\left(\Lambda_1^{\text{VV}}\right)^2} \right] m_{\text{V1}}^2 \epsilon_{\text{V1}}^* \epsilon_{\text{V2}}^* + a_2^{\text{VV}} e_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} f^{*(2)\mu\nu} + a_3^{\text{VV}} f_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} \tilde{f}^{*(2)\mu\nu}$$

H $f_{ai} = \frac{a_i^2 \sigma_i}{\sum_j a_j^2 \sigma_j} \operatorname{sign} \left(\frac{a_i}{a_1}\right)$ Signal model includes signal strength μ and f_{ai}

Equivalent to SM EFT

Assuming **SU(2)**x**U(1)** relationship between a_i^{WW} and a_i^{ZZ}



EFT couplings (Higgs basis) map directly to amplitude couplings

Dedicated observables

built using Machine learning (ML) techniques and/or ME based discriminants (MELA)

With MELA can target :

Production mode (D_{VBF})

Pure AC contribution $\propto a_i^2$ (D_{0+}, D_{0-})

Interference AC contribution $\propto a_i$ (D_{Int}, D_{CP})



HVV AC

HVV studies in HWW, HZZ and $H\tau\tau$ decay channels

Example discriminant from **VBF HWW** using **MELA**:



HVV f_{ai} scans in HWW channel

arXiv:2403.00657 Accepted by EPJC

Assume **SU(2)**×**U(1)** relationship between a_i^{WW} and a_i^{ZZ}

3 *f*_{*ai*} analyzed simultaneously



 $\mathbf{f}_{ai} \sim \mathbf{0}$ consistent with SM Higgs boson

(per mille level constraints)

HVV f_{ai} scans in HZZ channel

Assume **SU(2)**x**U(1)** relationship between a_i^{WW} and a_i^{ZZ}

3 *f*_{ai} analyzed simultaneously



 $\textit{f}_{ai} \sim 0$ with constraints at the $10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ level

What about constraints in terms of EFT couplings?

Higgs basis EFT couplings

4 couplings analyzed simultaneously



Some of the tightest constraints on these couplings to date

HVV f_{ai} scans in $H\tau\tau$ channel

Target **VBF** production (assuming $a_i^{WW} = a_i^{ZZ}$)

f_{ai} analyzed independently

Combination with HZZ channel



 $f_{ai} \sim 0$ with constraints at the $10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ level

Hgg AC

Hgg studies in HWW, HZZ and $H\tau\tau$ decay channels

Example discriminant from ggH + 2 jets $H\tau\tau$: Neural Networks (separate $H\tau\tau$ from bkg) + MELA (target a_i)



Hgg f_{ai} scans





Most stringent limits on CP violation in ggH to date

Higgs yukawa couplings

Hff scattering amplitude :

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{Hff}) = -\frac{m_f}{v} \bar{\psi}_f \left(\kappa_f + \left(\widetilde{\kappa}_f \gamma_5 \right) \psi_f \right).$$



Hff yukawa modifiers : κ_f : CP-Even (SM) $\tilde{\kappa_f}$: CP-Odd

 \rightarrow Target **ttH/tH** production and **H** $au\tau$ decay

Higgs top yukawa

ttH/tH studies in HWW, H $\tau\tau$, HZZ, H $\gamma\gamma$ and Hbb decay channels

Example from **ttH Multilepton (HWW/H** $\tau\tau$) : **Neural Networks** (separate ttH from bkg) + **BDT** (target CP)



Higgs top yukawa

ttH/tH results in Multilepton+HZZ+H $\gamma\gamma$ and Hbb channels



Pure CP-Odd coupling excluded at 3.7 σ Constraint on Fractional CP-Odd contribution: $|f_{CP}^{Htt}| < 0.55$

Higgs τ yukawa

 $H\tau\tau$ decay : study angle ϕ_{CP} between τ decay planes + MVA discriminants to separate signal from background

Measure mixing angle $\alpha^{H\tau\tau}$ between $\tilde{\kappa_{\tau}}, \kappa_{\tau} [\tan(\alpha^{H\tau\tau}) = \frac{\tilde{\kappa_{\tau}}}{\kappa_{\tau}}]$ $\alpha^{H\tau\tau} = 0(90)^{\circ}$ corresponds to CP-Even(CP-Odd) state



Pure CP-Odd coupling excluded at 3 σ

Ø. ...

Conclusions

Measurement of Higgs boson coupling structure a crucial test of SM

Recent dedicated studies in multiple channels with full Run 2 data presented

Covering Higgs to electroweak vector bosons, gluons and fermion couplings

To date measurements consistent with SM Higgs boson

Many of these analyses are statistically limited \rightarrow A lot to gain in the future so watch this space



Currently statistically limited



 \rightarrow A lot to gain in the future..

$SU(2) \times U(1)$ and Higgs basis relationships

$$\begin{split} a_1^{\text{WW}} &= a_1^{\text{ZZ}}, \\ a_2^{\text{WW}} &= c_w^2 a_2^{\text{ZZ}}, \\ a_3^{\text{WW}} &= c_w^2 a_3^{\text{ZZ}}, \\ \vdots &\vdots \\ \frac{\kappa_1^{\text{WW}}}{(\Lambda_1^{\text{WW}})^2} &= \frac{1}{c_w^2 - s_w^2} \left(\frac{\kappa_1^{\text{ZZ}}}{(\Lambda_1^{\text{ZZ}})^2} - 2s_w^2 \frac{a_2^{\text{ZZ}}}{m_Z^2} \right), \\ \frac{\kappa_2^{\text{Z}\gamma}}{(\Lambda_1^{\text{Z}\gamma})^2} &= \frac{2s_w c_w}{c_w^2 - s_w^2} \left(\frac{\kappa_1^{\text{ZZ}}}{(\Lambda_1^{\text{ZZ}})^2} - \frac{a_2^{\text{ZZ}}}{m_Z^2} \right). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta c_{z} &= \frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{ZZ} - 1, \\ c_{zz} &= -\frac{2s_{w}^{2}c_{w}^{2}}{e^{2}} a_{2}^{ZZ}, \\ \tilde{c}_{zz} &= -\frac{2s_{w}^{2}c_{w}^{2}}{e^{2}} a_{3}^{ZZ}, \\ c_{z\Box} &= \frac{m_{Z}^{2}s_{w}^{2}}{e^{2}} \frac{\kappa_{1}^{ZZ}}{(\Lambda_{1}^{2Z})^{2}}. \end{split}$$

ttH Multilepton categorizaion strategy

