

The CMS Level-1 Trigger Data Scouting system for the HL-LHC upgrade

E. Meschi - CERN EP/CMD For the CMS Collaboration emilio.meschi@cern.ch

ICHEP 2024 - PRAGUE 19.07.2024

Outline

- The Phase-2 (HL-LHC) CMS trigger upgrade
- L1 Scouting: concept and motivation
- Baseline design
- Physics case
- The Run 3 demonstrator
- Summary

CMS L1 Trigger Phase-2 Upgrade

At HL-LHC: peak instantaneous **luminosity** up to **7.5x1034 cm-2s-1**, up to **200 Pile-Up** per crossing –entails a major detector upgrade, including both trigger stages

Goals of the L1 upgrade:

- Optimally **exploit HL-LHC data** and extend sensitivity to new physics
- **Maintain Run-3 thresholds** for all basic trigger objects in the presence of high pileup
- Improve capability to efficiently **select specific signatures**
- **Extend reach** for new physics searches

CMS L1 SCOUTING - ICHEP 2024 - PRAGUE, 19.07.2024

CMS L1 Trigger Phase-2 Upgrade

At HL-L1 upgrade: exploit tracks at L1, finer granularity calorimeter and refined muon primitives At HL-LHC: peak instantaneous luminosity 7.5x10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹, up to 200 Pile-Up per crossing

Extended muon **coverage** and granularity, displaced muons High(er) **granularity** calorimeter primitives

Tracking at L1 for pt>2 GeV, up to $|n|$ <2.4 – improves resolution of all objects

Particle Flow reconstruction Pileup subtraction using **PUPPI*** State-of-the-art FPGAs enabling

ML-based approach Sophisticated **multi-object, multi-**

BX selection and **NNs** in the

Global Trigger

(*) PUPPI: PileUp Per Particle Identification

Phase-2 L1: performance

Particle flow objects combine tracker and calorimeter information to attain best resolution

Kalman filter based standalone barrel muon trigger provides sustainable rate for displaced

Threshold [GeV]

What may be missed

- The Phase-2 L1 trigger will be a very capable system
	- **Tracking** at L1 enables reconstruction of **exclusive signatures**
	- In many cases **close-to-offline** resolution thanks to the inclusion of tracking and particle flow
	- Control over pileup
	- Access to **displaced** objects
- Possible additional ground to cover ?
	- Physics that does not fit into the **total accept rate** (dictated by the readout b/w of some detectors and offline storage and processing capacity)
	- Channels whose combinatorics or complexity exceed **latency** constraint, or **"computing" capacity** - (latency is dictated by length of readout pipelines, complexity limited by the [albeit very large] amount of logic available in FPGAs)
	- Exotic signatures with **orthogonal requirements** to "mainstream" physics.

L1 Data Scouting

- ^A**Level-1 Trigger Data Scouting** system collecting and analysing L1 objects produced for every bunch crossing:
	- Has **full access to physics** otherwise constrained by the L1 latency and maximum accept rate…
	- Potentially enables the exploration of additional **exotic signatures**
	- **A powerful tool** to study correlations over several bunch-crossings, for diagnostics and physics
- **Acquire and process** the trigger objects produced by the L1 processors **at the accelerator bunch-crossing rate of 40 MHz**
- Caution! trigger primitives
	- Trigger primitives are designed to provide **best and well-understood efficiency** for physics objects and to **control the accept rate**
	- This does not necessarily translate into objects that are **easy to use for physics**
		- For example: sharp turn-on at threshold != (always) best accuracy
		- **Features** could be introduced by limitations of the processing hardware / transport protocols and their bandwidth
		- Objects **calibration** may not be optimal for physics
	- **Detailed simulation studies needed** to explore the capabilities of a scouting system

Phase-2 L1DS: Principle

Baseline Possible extensions

Phase-2 L1DS Baseline: how

Phase-2 L1DS Baseline: what

- 1. All candidate objects feeding the final GT algorithms,
	- Calorimeter and muon objects from standalone processors
	- Tracker objects (PV, multi-track objects) from GTT
	- PUPPI objects (electrons, jets, etc.) from Correlator Layer 2 – and the final GT decision itself
- 2. Pileup-subtracted candidates and Particle-flow candidates (optionally) – prior to L2 processing

Extensive diagnostic and monitoring capabilities Physics with PUPPI objects without rate limitations

Do own jets, electrons, etc. – without latency limitations Full combinatorics with PUPPI candidates (for exclusives, etc.) Alternative pileup subtraction approaches

Physics Case I

- Soft hadronic final states
	- **1. Classic dijet resonance searches** in regions of phase-space inaccessible to standard L'1 (no rate limitation, PF-jet resolution)

Current low-mass searches use boosted jets and jet substructure

- **2. Multiple jet** final states in general, that can benefit from a cut-and-count approach less sensitive to L1 jet features
- 3. High multiplicity unclustered hadronic final states (from different models, including or not a "dark" sector)

More on 1. later

Physics Case II

• Standard Model rare decays 1. Exclusive **rare Higgs decay** channels $H \to J/\psi \gamma$, $H \to \phi \gamma$, $H \to \rho \gamma$,... Tiny BRs, can all be selected with single photon triggers, but mind efficiency **2. Radiative W decays** (such as $W \rightarrow \pi \gamma, D_s \gamma$) Currently (Run 3) using W from $\bar{t}t$

3. All-hadronic SM boson decays

 $H \to \phi \phi$, $W \to \pi \pi \pi$,

potentially challenging computationally and latency-wise due to large combinatorics

Bold = ongoing studies with L1 scouting

Physics Case III

Bold = ongoing studies with L1 scouting

- Flavor anomalies, τ physics
	- 1. Single and multiple τ final states
	- can benefit from scouting because of notorious difficulties in controlling trigger rate
	- 2. The $B_s \rightarrow \tau \tau$ decay (requiring high efficiency τ selection at low-p_t) e.g. $\tau \rightarrow 3 \tau + X$
	- 3. The decay $\tau \to 3 \mu$, where acceptance for low-pt muons (not necessarily fully reconstructed) is key
- Dark sector
- LLP and vLLP, displaced muons and jets
	- 1. Bridge the gap between small displacement (tracks) and large displacement (standalone objects), for example by **relaxing muon-track matching**
	- 2. Look for **multi-BX correlation** as a signature of slow charged particles
- **Anomaly Detection** using all available L1 information at the BX rate

A L1DS demonstrator for Run 3

Collect global muon candidates, calorimetric candidates (Jets,e/ γ , τ ,energy sums), barrel muon stubs, GT bits

Demonstrate the complete chain $L1 \rightarrow DAQ \rightarrow$ online processing \rightarrow storage "Orbit" building Online processing using standard CMS reco/analysis software Produce standard datasets **for offline analysis**

Uses two VCU128 board ≈ one **DAQ800 board**

Processing+Characterization

CMS L1 SCOUTING - ICHEP 2024 - PRAGUE, 19.07.2024

See poster by

Run 3 example: soft jet physics

Level-1 trigger scouting 2024 (260 pb⁻¹, 13.6 TeV)

Jet E_T [GeV]

Summary

- L1 Trigger Data Scouting promises to complement the "standard" CMS HL-LHC physics program
- A well-established baseline design using technology and approach mediated in part from Phase-2 DAQ upgrade
- A wealth of physics cases to study in detail
- Run-3 demonstrator successfully operating since 2023 an important testing ground
	- Stay tuned for physics results

See also

176. New CMS trigger strategies for the Run 3 of the LHC (earlier in this parallel session)

175. Overview of the HL-LHC Upgrade for the CMS Level-1 Trigger (in session 14...now)

754. Performance of CMS Level-1 Trigger Data Scouting during LHC Ru (poster session)

Backup

CMS L1 Trigger Phase-2 Upgrade

At HL-Goal of the L1 upgrade: To optimally exploit HL-LHC data and extend sensitivity to new physics At HL-LHC: peak instantaneous luminosity 7.5x10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹, up to 200 Pile-Up per crossing

Maintain Run-3 thresholds for all trigger objects in the presence of high pileup

• Use particle-flow approach

Improve capability to efficiently select specific signature e.g.:

- Global VBF, rare b-meson decays
	- exclusive decays
	- displaced objects

Expand reach for NP searches e.g.

- low-mass jet resonances
- Anomaly detection

Be ready to trigger the unexpected, e.g.:

- Appearing/disappearing tracks
- Soft unclustered energy
- Slow charged particles

CMS already pioneered alternative data handling strategies

- Data-**parking** exploits additional DAQ b/w and offline storage to collect samples with delayed processing [O(5 kHz) today]
- \cdot HLT scouting exploits the availability of full-resolution data at HLT to perform online reconstruction, storing only high-level event information ready for analysis, at rates O(30 kHz) today, enabling specific physics studies requiring high rates but compatible with less-than-perfect calibration and reconstruction

CMS already pioneered alternative data handling strategies

- What with physics that is hampered or severely limited by the **L1 accept budget** or the bias the L1 selection introduces ?
- Level-1 Scouting aims at **removing the last limitation** by giving access to the L1 primitives at the **full bunch-crossing rate**

"40 MHz Scouting" concept

Formats and datasets

OrbitCollections repacked and stored as "standard" CMS data **(one orbit = one CMSSW event)**

GMT

Phase-2 L1DS Baseline: what

Link count based based on current design of different L1 processors – estimates on

- Links from GT boards
- Links from all sub-systems feeding GT (same number of links go to scouting as to GT): GTT, GCT, GMT, and Correlator Layer 2
- Enough links to collect all PUPPI candidates from Correlator Layer 1 (non zero-suppressed)
- Total of 296 links at 25 Gb/s (nominal input throughput of 7.4 Tb/s)
- Link count is (should be) based on maximum multiplicity (i.e. most fixed-size frames will not be full)
- With some zero suppression in the upstream systems, can capture more: PF, tracks

Available links with seven DAQ800: 224 full links (no ZS possible) 336 maximum (30% compression required)

[*] rough estimate, more study needed. PF |η| > 3 would need to come from GCT

Run 3: DT stubs from BMTF

Collect input stubs for barrel muon Drift Tubes (DT) from Barrel Muon Track Finder (BMTF) Extract **muon parameters** from stubs **using regression** Use to sharpen unconstrained p_T , impact parameter resolution E.g. "soft" displaced muon pairs

http://arxiv.org/pdf/1603.08926v1.pdf

Combine DT stubs **across subsequent BXs** look into reconstructing "**slow charged objects**" traversing the muon chambers **Multi-BX**

