# Long-lived Particle Triggering with the CMS Hadron Calorimeter

#### Gillian Kopp<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

<sup>1</sup>Princeton University, USA

ICHEP 2024: Operation, Performance, and Upgrades Prague, Czech Republic 19 July 2024 gkopp@princeton.edu



# An Avenue to New Physics: Exotic Higgs Decays

- Compelling direction for new particle searches
- Probe exotic decays of the Higgs boson
  - Newest discovery in Standard Model
  - Higgs can couple to any massive particle potential avenue to physics beyond the Standard Model
  - BR(H  $\rightarrow$  undetected)  $\leq 16\% [\underline{1}]$



AAAS





#### Long-Lived Particle (LLP) Searches at the LHC

Compelling model:  $H \rightarrow SS \rightarrow b\overline{b}b\overline{b}$ 

- Exotic decay of the Higgs boson
- Less well covered when S is long-lived





Benchmark model:  $H \rightarrow SS \rightarrow \overline{b}b\overline{b}b$ 

Event  $H_{\text{T}}$ 

#### Often leads to high energy-based searches to reduce backgrounds





### Calorimeter Based LLP Reconstruction

Segmented calorimeters are a powerful handle in LLP identification



Phase 1 HCAL upgrade segmentation + timing = excellent opportunity for LLP triggering



Depth

### Phase-1 Upgrade of the Hadron Calorimeter

- Hadron Calorimeter (HCAL) upgrade for Run 3:
  - Replaced HPD with SiPMs and upgraded front-end ASIC
  - **Depth segmentation** available due to more readout channels
  - Custom QIE 11 ASIC reports **time of pulse** rising edge with high resolution

DocDB







## HCAL LLP Trigger for Run 3 (2022)



- Two handles for triggering on LLPs:
  - Depth segmentation identify displaced jets, resulting from LLPs decaying inside the HCAL

Signatures: energy deposited in **deep calorimeter layers** 





# HCAL LLP Trigger for Run 3 (2022)



Signatures: energy deposited in **deep calorimeter layers delayed time of arrival** of hits in calorimeter

- Two handles for triggering on LLPs:
  - Depth segmentation identify displaced jets, resulting from LLPs decaying inside the HCAL
  - Timing information (TDC) identify delayed jets, resulting from the decay of massive LLPs
- Define LLP-flagged L1 jets in a hardwarebased LLP trigger [2, 3]





#### **Displaced Decay Sensitivity**



LLPs decaying in HCAL depths 3 and 4 are identified with high efficiency

[5] CMS DP-2024/058





### Provides Sensitivity to Lower Energy Events



New hardware trigger saves **low energy events** that are often inaccessible with purely energy-based seeds! Previous choice for many displaced jet paths was L1 H<sub>T</sub> 360 GeV seed, reaching 80% efficiency at H<sub>T</sub> 400 GeV [<u>4</u>].





#### Provides Sensitivity to Lower Energy Jets

#### High sensitivity to displaced jets

resulting from LLPs decaying within the calorimeter volume



Comparison: Lowest single jet energy-based trigger (180 GeV) reaches 80% efficiency at offline jet p<sub>T</sub> of 200 GeV [<u>4</u>]. [5] CMS DP-2024/058





#### Phase Scan for Artificially "Delayed" Signals



During collisions, scan HCAL clock to selectively adjust the timing of incoming jets Enables calibration and evaluation of LLP trigger in data





#### Trigger Rates Sensitive to Delayed Jets







# LLP Flagged TP Performance with Timing Scan

#### High sensitivity to pulse timing:

• Delayed towers (> 6 ns arrival time) are identified with high efficiency









# LLP L1 Jet Performance with Timing Scan



#### Delayed L1 LLP-flagged jets are identified

- Fraction reaches 1 as phase delay is increased
- Implicit requirement for a jet to have two cells with  $E_T > 4$  GeV sculpts the distribution with respect to L1 jet  $E_T$





#### Conclusions

- Hardware (L1) trigger uses both the new depth and timing capabilities of HCAL for LLP identification
  - Expands LLP phase space sensitivity into otherwise difficult regions
  - First L1 trigger use of the HCAL segmentation
- Triggers enable increased LLP acceptance
  - Up to a factor of 4 for  $m_H = 125$  GeV,  $m_S = 50$  GeV,  $c\tau = 3$  m [6]
  - Triggers and calorimeter segmentation utilized in ongoing Run-3 LLP analysis!





### Thank you!

#### Questions?







#### References

[1] CMS Collaboration, A portrait of the Higgs boson by the CMS experiment ten years after the discovery, Nature **607** (2022), no. 1, 60–68. <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-04892-x</u>

[2] Gillian Kopp, Christopher Tully, Kiley Elizabeth Kennedy, Wonyong Paul Chung, Svitlana Hoienko, Jeremiah Michael Mans, Michael David Krohn, Bryan James Crossman, Joshua Hiltbrand, Andris Skuja, and Long Wang, *A Novel Timing Trigger with the CMS Hadron Calorimeter*, CERN Document Server (2023). <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/2891496?ln=en</u>.

[3] Gillian Kopp, Chris Tully, Owen Long, and Georgia Karapostoli, *Specifications for HCAL uHTR Firmware*, CMS Public DocDB, August 2021, Available at <u>https://cms-docdb.cern.ch/cgi-bin/PublicDocDB/RetrieveFile?docid=12306&filename=LLPbits\_uhtr\_spec.pdf&version=22</u>.

[4] CMS Collaboration, *Performance of L1 Jets and MET Trigger in early Run 3*, 19 August 2023. CMS DP-2023/054. https://cds.cern.ch/record/2868796/files/DP2023\_054.pdf

[5] CMS Collaboration, Level 1 Trigger Algorithm for Long-lived Particle Jets in Run 3, July 2024. CMS DP-2024/058. https://cds.cern.ch/record/2904694?ln=en

[6] CMS Collaboration, *Performance of long-lived particle triggers in Run 3*, July 2023. CMS DP-2023/043. https://cds.cern.ch/record/2865844?ln=en

[7] CMS Collaboration, Search for low-mass long-lived particles decaying to displaced jets in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$  TeV. CMS-PAS-EXO-23-013. <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/2893044</u>





#### Backup





# Long-lived Particle Motivations

- Small couplings
  - b quark
- Suppressed decay phase space
  - $n \rightarrow p^+ + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$
  - Limited decay phase space due to the small mass difference between n and p
- Scale suppression (heavy mediator)
  - $\pi^{\pm}$  have longer lifetimes than  $\pi^{0}$  due to weak interaction mediator contribution to small matrix element







### LLP Trigger Pathway: HCAL through L1



#### HCAL TDC in 6:2 bits

HCAL IGLOO2 LUT defines 3 timing ranges 00 = Prompt01 = Delay 110 = Delay 2Set per  $i\eta$ , depth

#### HCAL uHTR sends 6 bits to L1 6:1

6 fine grain bits from uHTR are set based on TDC and energy measurements Calo L1 applies 6:1 LUT, requiring either depth or timing flag set (with prompt veto) and forwards to Calo L2 jet algorithm

#### LLP jet flag set if jet contains $\geq 2$ LLP towers in 9x9 jet region

L1 Accept after jet and HT energy requirements applied 5 L1 pathways (single, double jet) 15 HLTs seeded with L1 LLPs

Depth OR Timing = bit0 || (!bit1 && (bit2 || bit3))





418.5 pb<sup>-1</sup> (13.6 TeV)

### HCAL Alignment with Phase Scan

Four TDC codes throughout timing scan:

- 00: Prompt (TDC  $\leq$  6 ns)
- 01: Slight delay (6 < TDC  $\leq$  7 ns)
- 10: Delayed (TDC > 7 ns)
- 11: No valid TDC (set in another bunch crossing)

The prompt timing distribution (blue) is maximized at the optimal time alignment (0 ns)

As pulses are moved later (increasing phase offset), more delayed (green and orange) TDC codes are seen

New TDC-based HCAL alignment improves over previous methods, which are biased by pulse shape differences across  $i\eta$  and depths.

#### June 2023 TDC-based alignment Consistent pulse arrival time across detector





CMS Preliminary





[6] CMS DP-2023/043

21





#### HCAL Interaction Lengths



• Layer 0: 9 mm scintillator, 61mm stainless steel.

Note that this layer has a larger scintillator directly behind ECAL to capture low energy showering particles from support material between HCAL and ECAL. In addition, there is no absorber before the scintillator (no dead material), but a significant shower can develop from the  $\approx 1$  interaction length provided by ECAL. HCAL depth 1 is unique because it also contains a neutral density filter.

- Layer 1-8: 3.7 mm scintillator, 50.5 mm brass
- Layer 9-14: 3.7 mm scintillator, 56.5 mm brass
- Layer 15+16: 3.7 mm scintillator, 75 mm stainless steel, 9mm scintillator

|                             | Depth 1 | Depth 2 | Depth 3 | Depth 4 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| $\lambda_I \text{ (brass)}$ | _       | 1.23    | 1.57    | 1.72    |
| $\lambda_I \text{ (steel)}$ | 0.58    | -       | -       | 0.72    |
| $\lambda_I \ (	ext{total})$ | 0.58    | 1.23    | 1.57    | 2.44    |

Approximation of interaction lengths per depth, based on brass and steel absorbers.





#### Existing Limits on $H \rightarrow SS$





#### CMS-EXO-23-005

#### [7] CMS-PAS-EXO-23-013





# Trigger Efficiency Selections (MC, Slides 8-10)

In all plots, an LLP is matched to an offline jet, with the following cuts:

- LLP with  $|\eta| \le 1.26$  must match with  $\Delta R \le 0.4$  to an offline AK4 jet in HB, satisfying jet  $|\eta| \le 1.26$ 
  - If the LLP decays within the HCAL, require that  $\Delta R \leq 0.4$  between LLP and jet center
  - If the LLP decays before the HCAL, require that  $\Delta R \leq 0.4$  between LLP decay product and jet center

To demonstrate the trigger performance on the efficiency plateau as a function of each variable, cuts are made on the LLP decay position, jet  $p_T$ , and event  $H_T$ :

- LLP decay R plot: additionally require event  $H_T > 250$  GeV and matched jet  $p_T > 100$  GeV
- Event  $H_T$  plot: additionally require that at least one of the leading 6 jets has jet  $p_T > 40$  GeV and is matched to an LLP with a decay radius of  $214.2 \le R < 295$  cm (decay occurring in HCAL depth 3 or 4, motivated by sensitivity of the depth-based trigger)
- Jet  $p_T$  plot: additionally require that the jet is matched to an LLP with a decay radius of  $214.2 \le R < 295$  cm

The numerator requires the same LLP – offline jet matching, while also requiring that any of the HCAL-based L1 LLP triggers are passed.