Experimental

of Glasgow

University ATLAS ITk Production Database usage

Author: Kenneth Wraight (University of Glasgow),



3500

on behalf of the ATLAS ITk Collaboration **Particle Physics ATLAS ITk Upgrade** 1400 ATLAS Simulation Preliminary ITk Layout: 23-00-03 The ATLAS experiment will undergo major upgrades for the high luminosity LHC 1200 η = **1.0** The high pile-up interaction environment (up to 200 interactions per 40MHz bunch 1000 crossing) requires a new radiation-hard tracking detector with a fast readout 800 The Inner Tracker (ITk) upgrade is an international effort to meet the challenge 600 µ> |ŋ| #modules #channels Closest to beam pipe: A 400 • 2x10<sup>16</sup> n<sub>eq</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup> fluence 100M ID 400fb<sup>-1</sup> 38 2.5 4000 63m<sup>2</sup> 200 10MGy TID ITk 4000fb<sup>-1</sup> 200 4 9500+18000 180m<sup>2</sup> 5000M z [mm] 0<sup>®</sup> 1500 2000 2500 3000 500 1000 **Production Monitoring** Database Specification The manufacture of the different detector components follows a global production flow Each detector part (component) To maintain the tight production schedule, continuous · Part locations and production states oversight of collaboration activities is essential Part Quality Assurance & Control tests Location of parts • Project evolution: production and yield rates Monitoring production quality and yields Monitoring production rates CERN For this purpose, a custom database was This is a **common resource** for ITk sub-projects: developed by a dedicated database team Cloud based mongoDB backend Maintained until end of ITk operation: >10 years Database Content **PDB Interactions** The PDB is required to store production history per component including mechanical, thermal & electrical tests. application or API (provided & maintained by Unicorn Component information: University, Czech Republic). Essential: e.g. type, manufacturer, creation date & origin Common interactions: Contingent: e.g. location, stage, defects Registration: component, test, batch, cluster, user, ٠ Related objects: parents, children, tests institution Test information: • Additional: attachments & updates Essential: e.g. pass/fail, date, location, user Search requests: including filtering Contingent: e.g. test parameters, analysis versions Related objects: components Shipment information: custom scripts for specialised PDB interactions. E.g. sender, recipient, contents, courier A hierarchical component structure reflects complex assembly webapps, notebooks and command-line scripts. Current content for Strips & Pixels sub-projects: component (types) test types institutes users Strips ~100k (~200) ~320 ~60 >300 Pixels ~900k (~200) ~120 ~60 >450 **PDB Uploads PDB Reports** PDB uploads: prescribed data + attachments Data integrity checks of PDB data Prescribed: json format sent to PDB Production quality monitoring of parts Small / large attachments: binary sent to PDB / CERN EOS Yield calculations and Cost Reporting (CORE) Input to simulation of production flow Various frontend formats are used for collaboration needs, Automated reports and alerts are also deployed making uses of the PDB API: Python package API wrapper (itkdb)

- Data-acquisition GUIs with integrated PDB scripts
- Interface scripts distributed via git repositories
- GUIs in docker containers
- CERN hosted OpenShift containers



The ITk Production Database (PDB) stores information on:

Dual export license materials that must be returned to

Pixels, Strips, Common Electronics, Common Mechanics

Interactions with the PDB is done via a centralised web-based

The PDB API is documented and supports development of

The ITk community develops modern interface tools for GUIs, Tools are distributed via CERN's IT platforms & licences



Reports are prepared for specific audiences and tasks



Effort is made to centrally host reports for collaborative review.

