The ALPHA axion dark matter experiment *Jón E. Guðmundsson* (Stockholm University and the University of Iceland)

ICHEP, Prague *18 July 2024*

Jon E. Gudmundsson

July 18, 2024

Hout and Alice Wallenberg
Foundation

The axion

- The neutron's electric dipole moment (EDM) constrained to $d_{\sf n}$ = 0 ± 1.3 \times 10⁻²⁸ e m
- Axions, a solution to the strong CP problem, explains the vanishing EDM Peccei & Quinn (1977) Weinberg, Wilczek (1978)
- Abbott & Sikivie; Dine & Fischler; Preskill, Wise & Wilczek (1983): **the axion could be dark matter**
- *Experimental tests of the "invisible" axion,* P. Sikivie*, PRL* (1983): the haloscope needs a strong magnetic field, cryogenic cooling, resonant cavities, sensitive microwave antennas
	- \circ AC current term: $g_{a\gamma} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{e}} \, \dot{a}$
- A QCD axion model with m_a = 20 µeV gives $n_{\sf a}$ \approx 2 \times 10¹³ /cm³

Wuensch et al. (1989)

The RBF experiment produced impressive constraints on axion coupling factors in the 1-4 GHz (4-16 μeV) range using **11 different** cavities

Axion classification

Post-inflation axion w/ mass range 1 μeV – 1 meV, can in theory be explicitly derived. Typically probed with **cavity haloscopes**.

Credit: PDG 2020 review of axion particle physics

The axion haloscope

Jon E. Gudmundsson

July 18, 2024

Stockholm
University

A conceptual schematic of a microwave-cavity

Figure: Kowitt, Balafendiev et al. (2023) *Tunable wire metamaterials for an axion haloscope*

4

Axion signal strength

The **power** expected from an axion induced signal: $P \propto B^2 C V Q$

For a typical axion haloscope operating in the Ο (10 GHz) range, we expect:

 $P_{\text{ax}} \approx 10^{-23} \text{W}$

This corresponds to a tens of photons per second!!

"Compare this with the signals received on earth from the 4-watt transmitter aboard the Voyager spacecraft at the periphery of our solar system — a whopping 10−17 Watts." **L. J. Rosenberg and K. van Bibber**

System noise temperature (Dicke equation): Ability experimentalists can (hope to) control: to measure a signal in the presence of noise sources

$$
SNR = \frac{P}{k_B T_{\text{Sys}}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{\Delta \nu}}
$$

$$
k_{\rm B}T_{\rm Sys} = h\nu \left(\frac{1}{e^{h\nu/k_{\rm B}T}-1} + \frac{1}{2}\right) + k_{\rm B}T_{\rm A}
$$

Jon E. Gudmundsson

July 18, 2024

Stockholm

Form factor: The overlap between the cavity mode and the magnetic field.

$$
\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{B_e^2 V^2} \left(\int dV B_e \mathcal{E}_i \right)^2 \qquad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}
$$

E E Good Better! $C \sim 0.7$ $C \sim 1.0$

For a cylindrical cavity, the TM₀₁₀ mode gives $C_{010} \approx 0.7$

Scanning rate: The rate with which we can scan through frequency in search for an axion signal

$$
\frac{d\nu}{dt} \propto V^2 B^4 C^2 Q
$$

Figure of merit: The combined effects that

$$
F_{\text{OM}} \propto \frac{V^2 B^4 C^2 Q}{T_{\text{Sys}}}
$$

Does not include: axion physics, coupling strengths, dark matter clustering, mode purity, tuning range, duty cycle, etc.

Axions and decay of cosmic strings

If the axion is generated after inflation, there is a **unique mass** that gives rise to the observed dark matter abundance, but these calculations are challenging

Borsanyi et al. (2016) PQ symmetry broken after inflation: *m* a > 10 μeV **Klaer & Moore (2017)** *m* a = 26.2 ± 3.4 μeV **Buschmann et al. (2022)** *m* a = 65 ± 6 μeV **Saikawa et al. (2024)** *m*_a≈ 95–450 μeV Buschmann et al. (2022)

precisely how they are produced from the decay of global cosmic strings in the early Universe. — Saikawa et al. Cold dark matter axions produced in the post-inflationary Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking scenario serve as clear targets for their experimental detection, since it is in principle possible to give a sharp prediction for their mass once we understand

Large mass \rightarrow small volume

Jon E. Gudmundsson Stockholm
University July 18, 2024

Solution: plasmonic resonance

- Wires mutually induct, changing the plasma frequency
- Resonant frequency is decoupled from the size of the system
- Resonance when axion and plasma frequencies match
- Theory of wire metamaterial: Pendry (1998) Belov, et al. (2003)
- A wire-spacing of 1 cm gives a plasma frequency of ~10 GHz

Lawson et al. (2019), PRL

Towards tuning

- *tuned?*
- Answer: Yes (in theory)
	- See Balafendiev et al., PRB (2022) and the ALPHA White paper (2022)
- Early 2021: *Can this system be
tuned?*
● <u>Answer:</u> Yes (in theory)

 See Balafendiev et al., PRB (2022)

and the ALPHA White paper (2022

 Lateral translation works, but it:

implementation in closed caviti

is not t ● Lateral translation works, but its implementation in closed cavities is not trivial
	- See Dr. Gagandeep Kaur's talk tomorrow afternoon in session on *Detectors for Future Facilities, R&D, Novel Techniques*

dx(1)=0 m Eigenfrequency=11.236 GHz

11

```
Kowitt et al. (2022)
```


The onset of plasmonic resonance matches theory expectation Tuning achieved through changing the frame spacing

Comparing simulations with experiment

July 18, 2024

Stockholm
University

Building on Haystack resonator development

Resonator development led by K. van Bibber (Berkeley Axion Works)

Aarav Sindhwad and Pablo Castaño

Multirod cavity with a PBG barrel in a cylindrical geometry

ALPHA Phase Ia resonator

will build on UC Berkeley's experience with tunable lattice & photonic band gap structures; first prototype to be constructed and tested 2024-25

37-rod triangular lattice: Rod: Ø∼7.1mm, Res: Ø∼95.3mm

July 18, 2024

Stockholm
University

55-rod triangular lattice: 10.2 cm ID, 25.4 cm long

Credit: H. Jackson K. van Bibber

R&D towards phase Ib resonator – Tuning with sails

R&D towards optimized FOM actively pursued at Stockholm University, guided by theory and simulations from the ITMO/St. Petersburg group (**R. Balafendiev, P. Belov**, M. Gorlach, et al.)

R&D towards phase Ib resonator

September 2023, Yale University

Jon E. Gudmundsson Stockholm
University July 18, 2024

Future phase of ALPHA – Oak Ridge

A future phase of ALPHA may use a large superconducting magnet from the Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

- ‐ A 13-T magnet w/ 50-cm ⊘ ✕ 170 cm
- ‐ Arriving at ORNL in 2024
- ‐ >10 years of scientific use

Collaboration status **Collaboration Institutions:**

Support is gratefully acknowledged from:

SIM NS O U N D A T I O N

Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation

Yale University (Host) Arizona State University University of California Berkeley University of Cambridge Colorado University Iceland University ITMO University Johns Hopkins University Massachusetts Institute of Technology Oak Ridge National Laboratory Stockholm University Wellesley College

Project Scientist: F. Wilczek (MIT/Stockholm University) **Project PI:** K. van Bibber (Berkeley) **Project Technical Director:** M. Jewell (Yale) **Spokes / deputy persons:** J. Gudmundsson (Stockholm University) R. Maruyama (Yale)

Conclusions

Credit: Hiranya Peiris and Alex Millar

- Post-inflation axion one of two well-motivated mass ranges
- Recent calculations: $~15$ GHz, 65 μeV (Buschmann et al., 2022)
- Out of reach of conventional haloscopes, but accessible to plasma haloscopes
- ALPHA to focus initially on 40-80 μeV
- Construction of ALPHA under way, experiment hosted at Yale in high-field superconducting magnet (16.4 Tesla)
- Commissioning 2026-27

Thank you!

Physics

John E. Gudmundsson

July 18, 2024 23, 2024 24, 2024 25, 2024 25, 2024 25, 2024 26, 2024 27, 2024 27, 2024 27, 2024 27, 2024 27, 20

W