# **Combined upper limits on lepton-flavor-violating tau branching fractions**

On behalf of the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV)

Swagato Banerjee

LOUISVILLE.

### 42<sup>ND</sup> INTERNETIONAL CONFERENCE ON HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS 18-24 July 2024

# Lepton Flavor Violation (LFV)

Global symmetry of the Standard Model can be written as:  $U(1)_{B} \times U(1)_{L_{e}} \times U(1)_{L_{\mu}} \times U(1)_{L_{\tau}} = U(1)_{B+L} \times U(1)_{B-L} \times U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}} \times U(1)_{L_{\mu}+L_{\tau}-2L_{e}}$ where  $L \equiv L_{e} + L_{\mu} + L_{\tau}$  is the total lepton number. LFV conserves *L* and *B* but violates  $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}} \times U(1)_{L_{\mu}+L_{\tau}-2L_{e}}$  $\Rightarrow$  generic classification of all LFV processes L Heeck: Phys. Rev. D 95, 015022 (2017)

LFV via  $\nu$  mixing is very small  $\Rightarrow$  LFV is unambiguous signature of new physics (NP)



 $\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{B}(\tau^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm} \gamma) \text{ Lee \& Shrock: } \underline{Phys.Rev.D 16 (1977) 1444} \\ &= \frac{3\alpha}{128\pi} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{23}^2}{M_W^2}\right)^2 \sin^2 2\theta_{\min} \mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}) \\ &\text{With } \Delta \sim 10^{-3} \, \text{eV}^2, \, M_W \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{11}) \, \text{eV} \\ &\approx \mathcal{O}(10^{-54}) \, (\theta_{\min} : \max) \end{aligned}$ 

P. Blackstone, M. Fael, E. Passemar : Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 6, 506

G. Hernández-Tomé, G. López Castro, P. Roig: Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 1, 84, Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 5, 438 (erratum)

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \mathcal{\ell}^+ \mathcal{\ell}^-) \leq [10^{-56}, 10^{-53}]$$

LFV in  $\tau$  decays

UofL

### LFV in $\tau$ vs $\mu$ sector

LFV in tau sector is complementary to muon sector in NP parameter space: current limit on  $\mathscr{B}(\mu \to e\gamma) \sim 10^{-13}$  does not forbid  $\mathscr{B}(\tau \to \ell \gamma) \sim 10^{-8}$ 

Leptonic MFV:	BR( $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ ) / BR( $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ ) ~ s <sub>13</sub> <sup>2</sup> ~ 10 <sup>-2</sup>
GUT models:	$BR(\mu \to e\gamma) \ \textit{/} \ BR(\tau \to \mu\gamma) \ \sim \  V_{us} ^6 \ \sim 10^{\text{-4}}$

Courtesy: <u>V. Cirigliano's talk at Snowmass 2021</u>

Vincenzo Cirigliano, Benjamin Grinstein, Gino Isidori, Mark B. Wise: <u>hep-ph/0507001 [hep-ph]</u>, <u>hep-ph/0608123 [hep-ph]</u> R. Barbieri, L. Hall, A. Strumia: <u>hep-ph/9501334 [hep-ph]</u>

Mass dependent couplings enhance tau LFV w.r.t. lighter leptons



 $\tau$  decays

## More than 50 $\tau$ LFV decays studied at e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and proton colliders

- Lepton flavor violation (charge conjugate modes implied)
  - $\tau \rightarrow e/\mu \gamma$  (CLEO, BaBar, Belle)
  - $\tau \rightarrow e/\mu$  (Pseudoscalar (P<sup>0</sup>), Scalar (S<sup>0</sup>), Vector (V<sup>0</sup>) mesons) (CLEO, BaBar, Belle)
  - $\tau \rightarrow e \ e \ e, \ e \ \mu, \ \mu \ e \ e \ (CLEO, BaBar, Belle (II)), \ \mu \ \mu \ \mu \ (also \ ATLAS, CMS, LHCb)$
  - $\tau \rightarrow e/\mu$  h h (non-resonant states with h= $\pi/K$ ) (CLEO, BaBar, Belle)
- Lepton number violation
  - $\tau^- \rightarrow e^+/\mu^+ h^- h^-$  (non-resonant final states with h= $\pi/K$ ) (CLEO, BaBar, Belle)
- Baryon number violation
  - $\tau^- \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^-, \overline{\Lambda} \pi^-$  (Belle (II),  $\overline{p} \mu^+ \mu^-, p \mu^- \mu^-$  (Belle, LHCb)



### New Physics illustrations for LFV in $\tau$ decays



### Estimates of experimental sensitivity in LFV searches

$$B_{\mathrm{UL}}^{90} = N_{\mathrm{UL}}^{90} / (N_{\tau} \times \varepsilon)$$

 $\bullet$  <u> $\varepsilon$ </u>: high statistics signal MC simulated for different data-taking periods

	ε = <b>Trigge</b>		$\epsilon = \text{Trigger}$ . Reco . Topology . PID . Cuts . Signal–Box						
	90%		90%	70°	% 70%	50%	50%	50%	
Cumulative: Cumulative:									
	<mark>90</mark> %		90%	639	% 44%	<b>22%</b>	11%	~5%	

	$\sqrt{s}$	Luminosity	$N_{\tau}=2L\sigma_{\tau\tau}$
CLEO	10.58 GeV	14 fb <sup>-1</sup>	2 x10 <sup>7</sup>
BaBar	10.58 GeV	432 fb <sup>-1</sup>	9 x10 <sup>8</sup>
Belle	10.58 GeV	1 ab-1	2 x10 <sup>9</sup>
Belle II	10.58 GeV	50 ab-1	9 x10 <sup>10</sup>
LHC	7-14 TeV	3 ab-1	10 <sup>15</sup> [efficiency much lower]







### Current status of LFV $\tau$ decays



 $\tau$  decays

### $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$ at e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders



 $\tau$  decays

### $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$ at proton colliders



1.65

1.7

1.75



 $\mathscr{B}(\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu) \leq 3.6 \ge 10^{-8}$ at 90% C.L. with 3 fb<sup>-1</sup>

JHEP 02 (2015) 121

 $\mathscr{B}(\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu) < 2.1 \text{ x } 10^{-8}$ at 90% C.L. with 131 fb<sup>-1</sup>

1.8

1.85

1.9

1.95

m(3µ) [GeV]

Phys. Lett. B 853 (2024) 138633

#### LFV in $\tau$ decays

1500

1600

1700

 $\mathscr{B}(\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu) < 3.8 \ge 10^{-7}$ 

at 90% C.L. with 20 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Eur.Phys.J.C 76 (2016) 5, 232

1800

1900

2000

*m*<sub>3µ</sub> [MeV]

2100

9

# Upper limits at 90% confidence level (CL)



Since there is negligible gain in combining limits of very different strength, the combinations do not include the limits that are more than 10 times larger than the strictest limit in the respective channel. This excludes limits from CLEO and ATLAS.

## Central value of branching fractions



Many publication do not have reported values for central value of branching fraction ( $\mathscr{B}$ ). CMS and LHCb contacts provided central values. In other cases, we calculate the central value using the formula:  $\mathscr{B}_i = (N_{\text{obs}}^i - N_{\text{bkg}}^i)/(N_{\tau}^i \varepsilon^i)$ , where  $N_{\text{obs}}^i$  is the number of observed events,  $N_{\text{bkg}}^i$  is the number of expected background events,  $N_{\tau}^i$  is the number of  $\tau$  decays in the data sample, and  $\varepsilon^i$  is the signal reconstruction efficiency in the signal region.

### Gaussian approximation



All the quoted upper limits (UL) include both statistical as well as systematic uncertainties. The total uncertainty ( $\sigma_i$ ) for each measurement is obtained using the relation:  $UL_i = \mathcal{B}_i + 1.645\sigma_i$  assuming a Gaussian quantile for the 2-sided upper limit at 90% CL.

# **Combination methodology**



Average value of branching fraction  $(\overline{\mathscr{B}} \pm \overline{\sigma})$  is obtained using:

$$\overline{\mathscr{B}} = \frac{\sum_{i} \omega_{i} \mathscr{B}_{i}}{\sum_{i} \omega_{i}}, \quad \overline{\omega} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sum_{i} \omega_{i}}}, \text{ where } \omega_{i} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}$$

The correlated uncertainty on  $\tau$ -pair production cross-section of 0.36% plays a numerically insignificant role in results of this uncorrelated averaging.

### **Combination methodology**



The combined upper limit (UL) is obtained from the averaged branching fraction ( $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \pm \overline{\sigma}$ ) using the formula: UL =  $\overline{\mathscr{B}} + 1.645\overline{\sigma}$ , again using the Gaussian approximation.

4

LFV in		
au decays		

# Combined upper limits for 39 $\tau$ LFV decays



Combined upper limits are obtained for 39 decays having more than 1 measurement in the set of upper limits less than 10 times strictest limit in that channel out of 52  $\tau$  LFV decays studied. 4 out of 39 combined limits are more than the strictest limit in the set.

# Combined upper limits for the 4 $\tau$ LFV decays



Combined results have better precision, even though limits are higher than Belle by  $\sim 10\%$ .

## Summary & Outlook



Inputs to combination are 52  $\tau$  decay modes from different experiments.

LFV in  $\tau$  decays

Swagato Banerjee UofL

# Summary & Outlook



Observation of LFV in the charged lepton sector would completely change our understanding of physics and herald a new period of discoveries in particle physics. Then we can stop at combining central values and do not have to calculate upper limits.