# **Exploring di-Higgs in Lepton-Tau Final States**

#### Babar Ali for the ATLAS Collaboration

#### HH Production at the LHC

At the LHC, the dominant HH production mode in the Standard Model (SM) is gluon-gluon fusion (ggF) with a cross-section of 31.1  $\pm$  24.15% fb [1]. The two ggF HH production modes, the top-quark box and the self-interaction triangle, interfere destructively. The cross-section and the shape of the m<sub>HH</sub> distributions change as the strength of the self-coupling  $\kappa_{\lambda}$  varies.





#### **2** How does ATLAS search for HH?

- O No single 'golden channel' with the clearest and cleanest signature exists. HH decay modes require a trade-off between higher branching ratios and cleaner final states.
- © Main channels are HH  $\rightarrow$  4b (high background), HH  $\rightarrow$  bb $\tau\tau$  (moderate), and HH  $\rightarrow$  bb $\gamma\gamma$  (tiny but identifiable) [3].
- O Di-Higgs soup: ~6.5% of HH events decay into final states where HH system is not fully reconstructible, combined in the multilepton channel.



## B HH → Multilepton: Analysis Overview

- O Targeted final states include multiple light leptons, hadronic taus (τ<sub>had</sub>), and diphoton final states with additional leptons or taus.
- © Analysis covers HH decays into 4V,  $4\tau$ , VV $\tau\tau$ , bbZZ,  $\gamma\gamma$ VV, and  $\gamma\gamma\tau\tau$  [1].





Fig. 3: BDT output distributions in  $2\ell + 2\tau_{had}$  and  $1\ell + 2\tau_{had}$  channels [1].

Boosted decision trees (BDTs) employed to separate signal from backgrounds.



- Backgrounds with prompt leptons are estimated using Monte Carlo simulation, with dominant backgrounds normalized to data in control regions.
- $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$  Processes with misidentified  $au_{had}$  are estimated using data-driven fake-factor method.

## ↓ HH → Multilepton: Key Findings

 O ATLAS performed its first search for HH production in the multilepton final state, comprising 9 sub-channels, limited by statistical precision on available data.

© Observed (expected) upper limits on the HH signal strength at 95% CL under the background-only hypothesis are set at 17 (11) times the SM prediction [1].

- © Observed (expected) constraints on the Higgs self-coupling modifier  $\kappa_{\lambda}$  at 95% CL are -6.2 <  $\kappa_{\lambda}$  < 11.6 (-4.5 <  $\kappa_{\lambda}$  < 9.6) [1].
- © These results were combined with other HH channels, setting an observed (expected) upper limit of **2.9 (2.4)** times the SM prediction on HH production at 95% CL [3].

### Search for $X \rightarrow SH$ in $VV\tau\tau$ Final State

 Many beyond-the-SM theories predict a heavy scalar boson X in the X  $\rightarrow$  SH process, where S is a scalar singlet (see figure 5).

 Explored the most sensitive parameter space: X mass 500–1500 GeV, S mass 200–500 GeV (18 mass points).

<u> </u>		
[[p]	ATLAS	√s=13 TeV, 140 fb <sup>-1</sup>
$\widehat{\mathbf{T}}$		Exp. limits (95% CL) Obs. limits (95% CL)
5 S	$m_{\chi}/25 = [20, 30, 40, 50, 60]$	$WW1\ell 2\tau_{had}$ $WW1\ell 2\tau_{had}$
10 <sup>4</sup>	$m_s = [200, 300, 400, 500]$	$WW2\ell 2\tau_{had} \longrightarrow WW2\ell 2\tau_{had}$
$\mathbf{X}$	<b>J</b>	$ ZZ2\ell 2\tau_{had} - ZZ2\ell 2\tau_{had}$



© Final states include one or two light leptons from S  $\rightarrow$  WW, ZZ decays, and two  $\tau_{had}$  candidates from H  $\rightarrow \tau\tau$  decays.





© The results are obtained from a binned likelihood fit to 12 parametrized BDTs.

No excess of events is observed, 95% CL upper limits on the production cross-section X → SH range from 72 fb to 542 fb (assuming SM-Higgs like branching ratio for S) are derived [4].

 References
 [1] arXiv:2405.20040 [hep-ex] (2024)

 [2] arXiv:1906.02025 [hep-ex] (2020)

[3] arXiv:2406.09971 [hep-ex] (2024) [4] arXiv:2307.11120 [hep-ex] (2023) ICHEP 2024 Prague | 17 - 24 July

