# Fast ML inference framework for real-time analysis at LHCb



#### **Maarten van Veghel** on behalf of the LHCb RTA project









1

### High throughput demands of LHCb Run 3

**● LHCb** studies mainly decays of **beauty** and **charm hadrons** with **high signal rates**



- **● DAQ running at 40 MHz** to cope with **high signal rate**
	- *○* Reconstruction and selection with as **many features** as possible, as **early** as possible
- Extract information from tracking sub-detectors and subsequently **reconstruct** and **select**
	- Make use of **Machine Learning** (inference) **at earliest level as much as possible**

#### Data flow of the current detector **[LHCB-FIGURE-2020-016](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2730181?ln=en)**



Full offline-quality reconstruction!

## First level trigger at LHCb HLT1

- **● About 400 GPUs** reduce the rate of incoming data from 5 TB/s to approximately 100 GB/s
	- About **order 100 kernels** running, with the **Allen** software project
	- Ballpark: with 500 GPUs, **minimum** requirement is **60 kHz per GPU** for 30 MHz non-empty bunch crossings
- **● Reconstruction**
	- Charged particles in tracking detectors
		- clustering, tracking, vertexing
			- Track fit and secondary vertex reconstruction
	- Muon stations / calorimeter reconstruction
		- Muon and Electron PID
			- *●* Including neural nets
		- Neutrals reconstruction
- **● Selection**
	- **○** focused on **displaced charged tracks**
		- *■* Including neural nets for two-track combinations 4



[Comput Softw Big Sci 4, 7 \(2020\)](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41781-020-00039-7)

## Second and final level trigger HLT2

- **● Full, offline-quality (after alignment and calibration) reconstruction** with full-quality track fit to achieve high momentum resolution, calibrated PID and vertexing **on CPUs**
	- with improvements in muon ID, electron ID and bremsstrahlung reconstruction
- **● Order of 1000 selections**
	- including dedicated reconstructions, selective information persistency, …
- Ballpark: about 200 Hz throughput needed assuming about 5000 servers with 1 MHz input



## Applications of ML in online environment of LHCb

- **Classification of reconstructed objects** (at all levels)
	- **○ Reconstruction**
		- Charged tracks
			- Real vs fake (ghost rejection)
		- Type of charged tracks
			- pion / muon / electron / ...
	- **○ Selection** level
		- Higher level objects
			- combination of tracks coming from heavy flavour decays
		- Typically trained / used for **selecting specific signals** with trigger lines
	- **○ Typical feature counts of 10-20**
- Other tasks like **pattern recognition** and **anomaly detection** are possible and studied



#### **Ghost rejection MLP from previous LHCb Run 2**

### ML infrastructure in online environment of LHCb

#### **● Online environment needs**

- **○ Most of all high speed!**
- **Fast turn around time** of training and deployment, …
- **○ Common tools / standardization**
	- avoid customization / hard coded solutions as much as possible
	- improve maintainability and ease of use
- **○ Production level code needs a lot of testing**
	- Run ML pipelines in CI/CD (Gitlab/Jenkins)
		- Also for fast turnaround time!

#### **● Most needed in HLT2 (CPU)**

- Most applications, most interactions with 'users'
- **○ First focus on fastest algorithms, also have simplest models!**
- But in the **future more emphasize on general libraries and GPUs**, developments ongoing
	- More challenging setup with demands on GPU/CPU compatible libraries and speed



## HLT2 (CPU) throughput

- **● Significant speed improvements** have been achieved using
	- **○ Multithreading / vectorization** also in this CPU-based software
	- *○* Structure-of-Arrays
		- Reduce memory usage
	- Parallelization with SIMD
		- Single Instruction/Multiple Data [JINST 15 \(2020\) 06, P06018](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.09901)
	- Smarter, more selective algorithms
		- Pre-select on input of time-intensive algorithms
	- Mainly used in reconstruction sequences
		- See reconstruction throughput breakdown on the right before speed ups



**TrackFit** 

**● Developed new ML inference infrastructure to fully make use of that**

23.57

## Fast inference in HLT2 (CPU)

- Fast inference of **relatively simple models** (MLPs)
	- **Shapes of models fully set at compile time**
	- Custom implementation within Gaudi framework
		- Allows full control (of speed ups)
		- Typical MLP layers supported
		- Integration with (SIMD) event model
	- **○ Evaluation using SIMD**
		- Automatic batching when running
			- over ranges like std:: vector with non-SIMD event model
	- **○ Weights loaded during configuration from database**
		- Allows flexibility with retraining and deployment

#### **● Training infrastructure**

- API with **PyTorch**
	- Regression test to ensure similarity
	- Easily extendable to other training software
- Example of **training runs in CI / Jenkins**





## Testing, pipelines and experience in production

- **● General aims achieved** for HLT2 (CPU) infrastructure
	- **○ Speeding up main classifiers** (roughly 10% of reco timing) ✅
		- **■ factor 2 3**
	- **○ Separate / fully optimized inference from training** ✅
	- **○ Maintained training pipeline** ✅
- **Running in production since start 2024** for HLT2 (CPU) infrastructure
	- **○ Already used for fast retraining due to online needs**
		- *■* Retraining within a day, cross-checked / released / deployed within a few days
	- Multiple developers picked it up and are expanding it
		- Feedback so far is that it's easy to use and expand
- **● General aims achieved**
	- **○ Fast turnaround time** ✅





## General libraries for ML inference in HLT1 (GPU)

- *●* **Flexibility, maintainability**
	- Hard/hand-coded ML inference is not flexible / not great to maintain
	- Platform to load **standardized ML-model data format**: **ONNX**
		- Supported by many (if not most) training software
		- **■ At CPU (HLT2) level being integrated with ONNXRuntime**



1. Weight & Activation **Precision Calibration** Maximizes throughput by quantizing

models to INT8 while preserving accuracy

#### 2. Laver & Tensor Fusion

Optimizes use of GPU memory and bandwidth by fusing nodes in a kernel

3. Kernel Auto-Tuning

Selects best data layers and algorithms based on target GPU platform

#### 4. Dynamic Tensor Memory

Minimizes memory footprint and re-uses memory for tensors efficiently

5. Multi-Stream Execution

Scalable design to process multiple input streams in parallel

#### 6. Time Fusion

Optimizes recurrent neural networks over time steps with dynamically generated kernels

#### ● Providing these features with inference on **GPU**

- LHCb uses NVIDIA RTX A5000
- **○ TensorRT** [\[link\]](https://developer.nvidia.com/tensorrt) from NVIDIA provides
	- Fast-inference platform / SDK
	- ONNX files can be read by it
	- Optimization possible within package, like quantization



#### Throughput impact of TensorRT inference

- The **baseline model** tested with respect to TensorRT **batch size**
	- **○ Kernel overhead is main bottleneck**
		- These MLPs are small
- At high batch size it seems getting **feasible to run a few copies** of such neural nets!



### Conclusions and outlook

- **● LHCb** has **high demands of throughput** of reconstruction and selection on both CPUs and GPUs to cope with high signal rates
	- **○ Machine learning ideal to reduce rates while keeping signal efficiencies high**



- **● ML infrastructure for online use**
	- **○ General aims**
		- **■ Fast inference**
		- **Separate inference from training**, using common tools for training like PyTorch
		- **Maintained** training pipeline
	- **○ Generally achieved for simple, but most demanding models for CPU (HLT2) part** ✅
	- **○ Ongoing developments for GPU as well**

#### **● More is needed though**

- Better infrastructure with **general inference libraries** (ONNXRuntime, …) in selections
	- Selections are typically less demanding in terms of speed ups
- Testing infrastructure is not really scalable, needs a dedicate solution 13