









# **Reconstruction of multiple calorimetric clusters in the LHCf experiment with machine learning techniques**

**Giuseppe Piparo**, **, on behalf of the LHCf collaboration**

*1. Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Catania section 2. University of Catania, Department of Physics and Astronomy*

**42 International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP 2024), Prague (CZE), Jul 17-24, 2024**



ICSC Italian Research Center on High-Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing Missione 4 **• Istruzione e Ricerca** 

# **WHY TO IMPROVE LHCF RECONSTRUCTION WITH ML?**









# **The LHCf Experiment at LHC**

- ➢ A **unique experiment** designed to measure neutral particle production in the **forward pseudorapidity region**.
- ➢ Composed by two **sampling and immaging** calorimeters (ARM1 & ARM2), located at about  $\pm 141$  m from the LHC Interaction Point 1 (IP1).



#### Longitudinal view













# **Experimental purpose of LHCf**

- ➢ The main **hadronic interaction models** (HIM) (like QGSJET, SIBYLL or EPOS) suffer of large discrepancy due to limited understanding of the **soft QCD processes**.
- ➢ This is reflected on **large uncertainties** induced in the results of the ground-based cosmic rays experiments, due to the dependency of **air shower modeling** on HIM.
- $\triangleright$  LHCf provides neutral particles' energy and momentum distributions in the **forward region** to test and calibrate the models.

















### **Reconstruction of multiple calorimetric clusters in LHCf**

 $\triangleright$  The total energy is reconstructed by summing the **calibrated energy releases** in the GSO layers. This sum is then converted to the total energy using a function derived from Monte Carlo simulations.











# **Reconstruction of multiple calorimetric clusters in LHCf**

- $\triangleright$  The total energy is reconstructed by summing the **calibrated energy releases** in the GSO layers. This sum is then converted to the total energy using a function derived from Monte Carlo simulations.
- ➢ To reconstruct the position of hitting particles, we use the **transversal profile** of tracking detectors, by finding the peaks using TSpectrum and fitting them with a **3 component Lorentzian function for each peak**.
- ➢ The current energy-sharing method uses the **ratio of peak heights** for each particle to share the energy between the events.



#### **Transversal profile with 2 clusters**



#### **3-components Lorentzian function**

$$
f(x) = p_0 \left[ \frac{p_2}{\frac{(x-p_1)^2}{p_3} + p_3} + \frac{p_4}{\frac{(x-p_1)^2}{p_5} + p_5} + \frac{1-p_2-p_4}{\frac{(x-p_1)^2}{p_6} + p_6} \right]
$$

들<br>르 2000<br>병 1500

 $1000$ 









# **Reconstruction of multiple calorimetric clusters in LHCf**

- $\triangleright$  The total energy is reconstructed by summing the **calibrated energy releases** in the GSO layers. This sum is then converted to the total energy using a function derived from Monte Carlo simulations.
- ➢ To reconstruct the position of hitting particles, we use the **transversal profile** of tracking detectors, by finding the peaks using TSpectrum and fitting them with a **3 component Lorentzian function for each peak**.
- ➢ The current energy-sharing method uses the **ratio of peak heights** for each particle to share the energy between the events.
- $\triangleright$  This method was initially developed to determine the energy when **two photons** hit the same detector tower. We are now extending this approach to handle cases involving **three or four photons** and to account for **the presence of neutrons**.



#### **Energy releases**



#### **3-components Lorentzian function**

$$
f(x) = p_0 \left[ \frac{p_2}{\frac{(x-p_1)^2}{p_3} + p_3} + \frac{p_4}{\frac{(x-p_1)^2}{p_5} + p_5} + \frac{1-p_2-p_4}{\frac{(x-p_1)^2}{p_6} + p_6} \right]
$$









**Scintillator Tungsten Silicon** 

# Motivation I: Type II  $\boldsymbol{\pi^0}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}\,$  analysis

- $\triangleright$  The analyses of  $\pi^0$  and  $\eta$  are two of the **main physics targets** of the LHCf experiment.
- $\triangleright$  They are identified by their decay into two photons through the analysis of **invariant mass spectra**.
- $\triangleright$  These two photons can either hit different detector towers (**Type I events**) or both enter the same tower (**Type II events**).
- ➢ **Type II events** analysis is less precise due to the complexity of reconstructing **two photons in a single tower.**



▪ Both particles decay mainly into **two photons:**

 $\eta/\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ 

- **E** Branching ratio in the case of  $\pi^0$  is about **98.82%**.
- In the case of η is about **39.36%**.











# **Motivation II:**  $K^0$  analysis

- $\triangleright K_S^0$  measurements are critical for inferring the production of charged *K* mesons in EAS, which are significant sources of **TeV-PeV atmospheric neutrinos,** and to understanding **strange quark** forward production.
- $\triangleright$  Understanding  $K^0_s$  production helps improve **models of hadronic interactions** in cosmic ray showers.
- $\triangleright K_S^0$  events often involve **multiple calorimetric hits**, requiring the reconstruction of three or four particles.















# **Motivation III: analysis**

- ➢ <sup>0</sup> baryons are key to understanding **forward strange particle production** and **hadronization** in high-energy collisions.
- $\triangleright$  Accurate  $\Lambda^0$  measurements refine models of strange quark behavior and improve QCD process predictions.
- ➢ <sup>0</sup> decays produce **complex event topologies**, involving mainly one or two photons and a neutron in a single tower.
- ➢ Effective reconstruction requires **distinguishing between different particle types** and precisely determining their energies and positions, which is challenging due to **overlapping signals** and varied interaction characteristics.







# **DEVELOPMENT STATUS**









# **Machine Learning in the LHCf multi-hit reconstruction pipeline**

- ➢ There are **several steps** of the LHCf multihit-hit reconstruction that can be improved using **ML methods**:
	- **Peak identification** and inference on the **number of hits**  (actually performed with TSpectrum).
	- **Position reconstruction** (actually performed by fitting the identified peaks).
	- **Energy sharing** (actually performed using the ratio of peak heights in the layer with the highest energy release).
- ➢ **In this first stage we are focusing on the last point, in the case of two photons hitting the same tower of the LHCf-Arm2 detector.**
- $\triangleright$  This will permit **to improve the analysis** of  $\pi^0$  and  $\eta$  and to find the best models and methods to analyze events with 3 or 4 photons or with the presence of a neutron for  $K^0_s$  and  $\varLambda^{0}$  analysis.



$$
f(x) = p_0 \left[ \frac{p_2}{\frac{(x-p_1)^2}{p_3} + p_3} + \frac{p_4}{\frac{(x-p_1)^2}{p_5} + p_5} + \frac{1-p_2-p_4}{\frac{(x-p_1)^2}{p_6} + p_6} \right]
$$

#### **Transversal profile with 2 clusters**









#### **Dataset preparation**

- ➢ To make inferences on energies of two-hit events we used as input for the **the fit results of the silicon transverse profile** in the first 4 layers.
- ➢ The dataset was obtained by a **full MC simulation**  (collision generation, transport and interaction with the detector) of p-p collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV, using as generator the model **QGSJETII-04**.
- ➢ Different models were constructed and trained for **each tower**.
- ➢ In particular, the 7 fit parameters for **each particle,** for **each view** (x and y) for the first two **silicon plane pairs** were used as input variables (56 input variables).











### **Tested models**

- $\triangleright$  We tested two similar approaches, both based on **gradient-boosting decision trees (BDTs)**.
- ➢ Two **ensemble models** were constructed, consisting of **two BDTs on a first level** to make inferences on the single energy of each of the two photons, and an **on-top BDT**  which, based on the predictions of the previous two combined with the input dataset, would make inference on the energy of both particles.











# **Tested models**

- $\triangleright$  We tested two similar approaches, both based on **gradient-boosting decision trees (BDTs)**.
- ➢ Two **ensemble models** were constructed, consisting of **two BDTs on a first level** to make inferences on the single energy of each of the two photons, and an **on-top BDT**  which, based on the predictions of the previous two combined with the input dataset, would make inference on the energy of both particles.
- $\triangleright$  In particular, the two libraries used for the test are **XGBoost** and **CatBoost**, which were initialized with similar values of the hyperparameters (e.g. **300k weak learners**  and **depth of each tree equal to three**).
- ➢ The **RMSE** was used as a metric for the evaluation.











# **Tested models**

- $\triangleright$  We tested two similar approaches, both based on **gradient-boosting decision trees (BDTs)**.
- ➢ Two **ensemble models** were constructed, consisting of **two BDTs on a first level** to make inferences on the single energy of each of the two photons, and an **on-top BDT**  which, based on the predictions of the previous two combined with the input dataset, would make inference on the energy of both particles.
- $\triangleright$  In particular, the two libraries used for the test are **XGBoost** and **CatBoost**, which were initialized with similar values of the hyperparameters (e.g. **300k weak learners**  and **depth of each tree equal to three**).
- ➢ The **RMSE** was used as a metric for the evaluation.



**Energy first and second particle**









#### **Results of first particle energy in Small Tower**

#### **Train events = 93k Test events = 40k**











### **Results of second particle energy in Small Tower**

#### **Train events = 93k Test events = 40k**











#### **Results of first particle energy in Large Tower**

#### **Train events = 42k Test events = 18k**











### **Results of second particle energy in Large Tower**

#### **Train events = 42k Test events = 18k**



# **FUTURE PROSPECTS**









# **Prospects: extend to more than two hits**

- $\triangleright$  Current methods are primarily designed for events with up to **two particles** hitting the same detector tower.
- ➢ Extending these techniques to handle more complex scenarios with **three or four hits** is crucial for comprehensive multi-hit event reconstruction.
- $\triangleright$  Increased number of hits leads to significant signal overlap, making accurate deconvolution more challenging.
- ➢ We performed preliminary **tests** using a 3-hits dataset, but with low statistics (O(1k) events).











# **Prospects: extend to more than two hits**

- $\triangleright$  Current methods are primarily designed for events with up to **two particles** hitting the same detector tower.
- ➢ Extending these techniques to handle more complex scenarios with **three or four hits** is crucial for comprehensive multi-hit event reconstruction.
- $\triangleright$  Increased number of hits leads to significant signal overlap, making accurate deconvolution more challenging.
- ➢ We performed preliminary **tests** using a 3-hits dataset, but with low statistics (O(1k) events).
- $\triangleright$  First results are encouraging, but more statistics is needed.



1200

True Values [GeV]

1000



E1 Large Tower Catboost Scatter Plot











#### **Prospects: use of the raw transverse distribution of position detectors**

- ➢ We are exploring the use of **raw transverse distribution data** from position detectors, moving away from relying solely on fit parameters.
- $\triangleright$  This approach leverages the raw signals directly from the detectors to potentially enhance the **accuracy of particle reconstruction**.
- ➢ Test perfomed on a different dataset, created using the same methodology but with a new simulation of p-p collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV (QGSJET II-04 as generator).











#### **Prospects: use of the raw transverse distribution of position detectors**

- ➢ We are exploring the use of **raw transverse distribution data** from position detectors, moving away from relying solely on fit parameters.
- This approach leverages the raw signals directly from the detectors to potentially enhance the **accuracy of particle reconstruction**.
- ➢ Test perfomed on a different dataset, created using the same methodology but with a new simulation of p-p collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV (QGSJET II-04 as generator).
- ➢ **Encouraging Outcomes**: Initial results from are positive, showing improved accuracy in particle reconstruction.
- ➢ Only O(20k) events, improvements are expected by increasing the statistics.











#### **Prospects: use of the raw transverse distribution of position detectors**

- ➢ We are exploring the use of **raw transverse distribution data** from position detectors, moving away from relying solely on fit parameters.
- This approach leverages the raw signals directly from the detectors to potentially enhance the **accuracy of particle reconstruction**.
- ➢ Test perfomed on a different dataset, created using the same methodology but with a new simulation of p-p collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV (QGSJET II-04 as generator).
- ➢ **Encouraging Outcomes**: Initial results from are positive, showing improved accuracy in particle reconstruction.
- ➢ Only O(20k) events, improvements are expected by increasing the statistics.











#### **Summary**

- ➢ The LHCf experiment measures neutral particle production in the forward pseudorapidity region to improve hadronic interaction models used in the cosmic rays field.
- ➢ Machine learning can **enhance the reconstruction of calorimetric clusters**, particularly for complex events involving multiple particles.
- $\triangleright$  Focus areas include energy sharing and position reconstruction, improving  $\pi^0$  and  $\eta$ event analyses and opening new physics channels such as  $K^0_{\rm s}\:$  and  $\varLambda^0.$
- ➢ **Two-Hit Events:** Improved accuracy in energy reconstruction using ensemble methods based on CatBoost and XGBoost.
- ➢ **Three-Hit Events:** Very preliminary results indicate potential for handling more complex scenarios, though additional data and refinement are needed.
- ➢ **Four hit studies, the possible presence of a neutron and improved position reconstruction using ML are foreseen, probably using raw transverse distribution data.**



LHC

**Finanziato** dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU







# **Thanks for the attention!**

**This work is partially supported by ICSC – Centro Nazionale di Ricerca in High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing, funded by European Union – NextGenerationEU**

**Giuseppe Piparo**, **, on behalf of the LHCf collaboration**

*1. Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Catania section 2. University of Catania, Department of Physics and Astronomy*

**42 International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP 2024), Prague (CZE), Jul 17-24, 2024**



ICSC Italian Research Center on High-Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing Missione 4 **• Istruzione e Ricerca**