# D<sup>0</sup>-D<sup>0</sup> MIXING FROM NONLOCAL CONDENSATE CONTRIBUTIONS



Theoretical Physics Division **InPhys** 

Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Zagreb



Alexander von Humbold Stiftung/Foundation

work in progress in collaboration with L. Dulibic (RBI, Zagreb) and A. Petrov (U. South Carolina)

ICHEP2024, Prague, 17-24th July 2024

## BASICS

#### neutral mesons mix:

 $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^2 = \frac{M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^*}{M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}}$ 

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix}D^{0}\\\bar{D}^{0}\end{pmatrix} = \left(M - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma\right)\begin{pmatrix}D^{0}\\\bar{D}^{0}\end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{pmatrix}M_{11} & M_{12}\\M_{12}^{*} & M_{11}\end{pmatrix} - \frac{i}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12}\\\Gamma_{12}^{*} & \Gamma_{11}\end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix}D^{0}\\\bar{D}^{0}\end{pmatrix}$$
  
off-shell states contribute on -shell states contribute



#### parameters:

$$x = \frac{\Delta M_D}{\Gamma_D} \qquad y = \frac{\Delta \Gamma_D}{2\Gamma_D}$$

$$|D_{1,2}\rangle = p|D^0\rangle \pm q|\overline{D}^0\rangle$$
  

$$\Delta M \equiv M_1 - M_2,$$
  

$$\Delta \Gamma \equiv \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2.$$

$$\Delta M_D = 2|M_{12}^D| \cdot (1 + O((\phi_{12}^D)^2)))$$
  

$$\Delta \Gamma_D = 2|\Gamma_{12}^D| \cdot (1 + O((\phi_{12}^D)^2)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &\approx x_{12} = 2 \frac{|M_{12}|}{\Gamma_D} \qquad y &\approx y_{12} = \frac{|\Gamma_{12}|}{\Gamma_D} \\ &+ \text{possible (indirect) CPV}: \quad \phi_{12} = \arg\left(\frac{M_{12}}{\Gamma_{12}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

**O** more general approach with two phases Kagan, Silvestrini, 2001.07207 :

$$\phi_{12} \equiv \arg\left(\frac{M_{12}}{\Gamma_{12}}\right) = \phi^M - \phi^\Gamma$$

#### **HFLAV fits**, **2206.07501** - clear evidence for D<sup>0</sup>-D<sup>0</sup> mixing - no-mixing point x=y= 0 is excluded at >11.5 $\sigma$



no direct evidence for CPV :





lq/pl-1

 $y_{CP} = (0.719 \pm 0.113)\%$ 



dispersive part

 $\Gamma_{12}^{D} = -\lambda_{s}^{2} \left( \Gamma_{ss}^{D} - 2\Gamma_{sd}^{D} + \Gamma_{dd}^{D} \right) + 2\lambda_{s}\lambda_{b} \left( \Gamma_{sd}^{D} - \Gamma_{dd}^{D} \right) - \lambda_{b}^{2}\Gamma_{dd}^{D}$ 

absorptive part "Im part"



all three contributions are of the same size and SMALL (equal zero in the chiral limit) (although separate amplitudes are large:  $\lambda_s^2 \Gamma_{ss}^D \tau_D \simeq 5.6 y^{exp}$  EXTREME GIM suppression !



all three contributions are of the same size and SMALL (equal zero in the chiral limit) (although separate amplitudes are large:  $\lambda_s^2 \Gamma_{ss}^D \tau_D \simeq 5.6 y^{exp}$  EXTREME GIM suppression !

 $\Gamma_{12} = (2.08 \cdot 10^{-7} - 1.34 \cdot 10^{-11}I) \text{ (1st term)}$  $- (3.74 \cdot 10^{-7} + 8.31 \cdot 10^{-7}I) \text{ (2nd term)}$  $+ (2.22 \cdot 10^{-8} - 2.5 \cdot 10^{-8}I) \text{ (3rd term)}.$ 

 $y^{\text{naive HQE}} \sim (10^{-4}, 10^{-5}) y^{\text{exp}}$ 

SM results are 4 orders of magnitude smaller than experimental results ?!



the matrix element :

$$2M_D\left(M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}\right) = \langle D^0 | \mathcal{H}^{\Delta C=2} | \bar{D}^0 \rangle + \sum_n \frac{\langle D^0 | \mathcal{H}^{\Delta C=1} | n \rangle \langle n | \mathcal{H}^{\Delta C=1} | \bar{D}^0 \rangle}{M_D - E_n + i0^+}$$

$$M_{12}, \text{ local contribution at}$$

$$M_{12} \text{ intermediate states (} \pi\pi, \pi K, KK \dots)$$

$$Contribution \text{ at } \mu \ll M_D$$
INCLUSIVE (perturbative, HQE) APPROACH
EXCLUSIVE (nonperturbative) APPROACH
DISPERSIVE APPROACH - x and y are connected
$$\Delta C = 2 \text{ operators only}$$

lattice - Bazavov et al (Fermilab Lattice and MILC) 1706.04622 HQET sum rules - Kirk, Lenz , Rauch, 1711.02100

## General solution to the problem in the HQE approach -> LIFTING THE GIM SUPPRESSION

#### **INCLUSIVE HQE APPROACH**

- NLO and mass corrections
- inclusion of new, higher operators
- quark-hadron duality violation
- different renormalization scales in the process

Golowich, Petrov - NLO corrections Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 0904.3971 - alphaS and mass corrections Georgi, 9209291 – the first suggestion for higher dim operators in HQET Ohl, Ricciardi, 9301212 - higher dim operators in HQET – full matching Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089 – suggestion for higher dim operators from practical OPE = CONDENSATES Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 1002.4794 – dim7 operators Bobrowski, Lenz, Rauh, 1208.6438 - higher dim operators - dim 9 Jubb, Kirk, Lenz, Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, 1603.07770 - quark-hadron duality violation Umeeda, 2106.06215 - quark-hadron duality violation in the t'Hooft model Lenz, Piscopo, Vlahos, 2007.03022 - different scales in the process

## General solution to the problem in the HQE approach -> LIFTING THE GIM SUPPRESSION

#### **INCLUSIVE HQE APPROACH**

- NLO and mass corrections
- inclusion of new, higher operators
- quark-hadron duality violation
- different renormalization scales in the process

Golowich, Petrov - NLO corrections Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 0904.3971 - alphaS and mass corrections Georgi, 9209291 – the first suggestion for higher dim operators in HQET Ohl, Ricciardi, 9301212 - higher dim operators in HQET – full matching Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089 – suggestion for higher dim operators from practical OPE = CONDENSATES Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 1002.4794 – dim7 operators Bobrowski, Lenz, Rauh, 1208.6438 - higher dim operators - dim 9 Jubb, Kirk, Lenz, Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, 1603.07770 - quark-hadron duality violation Umeeda, 2106.06215 - quark-hadron duality violation in the t'Hooft model Lenz, Piscopo, Vlahos, 2007.03022 - different scales in the process

#### **EXCLUSIVE APPROACH**

- SU(3) breaking from final state phase space differences
- inclusion of multi-body states
- quark-hadron duality violation
- topological amplitude approach

Falk, Grossmann, Ligeti, Petrov, 0110317 - SU(3) breaking Gershon, Libby, Wilkinson, 1506.08594 - inclusion of multi-body states H-Y Cheng, Chiang, 1005.1106 Jiang, Yu, Qiu, H-n Li, C-D Lu, 1705.07335 - topological amplitudes

## General solution to the problem in the HQE approach -> LIFTING THE GIM SUPPRESSION

#### **INCLUSIVE HQE APPROACH**

- NLO and mass corrections
- inclusion of new, higher operators
- quark-hadron duality violation
- different renormalization scales in the process

Golowich, Petrov - NLO corrections Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 0904.3971 - alphaS and mass corrections Georgi, 9209291 – the first suggestion for higher dim operators in HQET Ohl, Ricciardi, 9301212 - higher dim operators in HQET – full matching Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089 – suggestion for higher dim operators from practical OPE = CONDENSATES Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 1002.4794 – dim7 operators Bobrowski, Lenz, Rauh, 1208.6438 - higher dim operators - dim 9 Jubb, Kirk, Lenz, Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, 1603.07770 - quark-hadron duality violation Umeeda, 2106.06215 - quark-hadron duality violation in the t'Hooft model Lenz, Piscopo, Vlahos, 2007.03022 - different scales in the process

#### **EXCLUSIVE APPROACH**

- SU(3) breaking from final state phase space differences
- inclusion of multi-body states
- quark-hadron duality violation
- topological amplitude approach

**DISPERSIVE APPROACH** 

 x<sub>D</sub> by modelling y<sub>D</sub>; SU(3) breaking through physical thresholds of different D meson decay channels for y(s) Falk, Grossmann, Ligeti, Petrov, 0110317 - SU(3) breaking Gershon, Libby, Wilkinson, 1506.08594 - inclusion of multi-body states H-Y Cheng, Chiang, 1005.1106 Jiang, Yu, Qiu, H-n Li, C-D Lu, 1705.07335 - topological amplitudes

Falk, Grossmann, Ligeti, Nir, Petrov, 0402204 - from dispersion relation in HQET limit H-n. Li, Umeeda, Xu, Yu, 2001.04079 - as an inverse problem H-n. Li, 2208.14798

#### ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS IN D0-D0 MIXING IN ALL APPROCHES:

• charm mass  $m_c$  is close to the hadronic scale  $\Lambda_{QCD} \rightarrow expansion in \Lambda_{QCD} / m_c$  is slowly converging

although the expansion  $\langle O \rangle / m_c$  seems to work for c-hadron lifetimes

King, Lenz, Piscopo, Rauh, Rusov, Vlahos, Revisiting inclusive decay widths of charmed mesons, 2109.13219 Gratrex, BM, Nisandzic, Lifetimes of singly charmed hadrons, 2204.11935 Dulibic, Gratrex, BM, Nisandzic, Revisiting lifetimes of doubly charmed baryons, 2305.02243

#### ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS IN D0-D0 MIXING IN ALL APPROCHES:

• charm mass  $m_c$  is close to the hadronic scale  $\Lambda_{QCD} \rightarrow expansion in \Lambda_{QCD} / m_c$  is slowly converging

although the expansion  $\langle O \rangle / m_c$  seems to work for c-hadron lifetimes

King, Lenz, Piscopo, Rauh, Rusov, Vlahos, Revisiting inclusive decay widths of charmed mesons, 2109.13219 Gratrex, BM, Nisandzic, Lifetimes of singly charmed hadrons, 2204.11935 Dulibic, Gratrex, BM, Nisandzic, Revisiting lifetimes of doubly charmed baryons, 2305.02243

• the strong coupling at  $m_c$  is large ~ 0.3



#### LIFTING GIM SUPPRESSION BY HIGHER DIMENSIONAL OPERATORS - m<sub>s</sub> EFFECTS IN PRACTICAL VERSION OF OPE -> CONDENSATES

Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089



$$S_q = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2 - m_q^2} = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_q^2}{p^2} + \cdots\right)$$

#### LIFTING GIM SUPPRESSION BY HIGHER DIMENSIONAL OPERATORS - m<sub>s</sub> EFFECTS IN PRACTICAL VERSION OF OPE -> CONDENSATES

Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089



OPE -> dim 9 operator - QCD CONDENSATES



 $\propto (m_s/m_c)^3$ 

we trade a power of m<sub>s</sub>/m<sub>c</sub> suppression for a suppression of the higher dimensional operator.
 don't forget - there is also 16π<sup>2</sup> relative enhancement since this is not a loop calculation

# OUR APPROACH NONLOCAL CONDENSATE CONTRIBUTION

Local expansion of propagators in the soft background field generates condensates:

M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, QCD and resonance physics. theoretical foundations, Nucl. Phys. B147, Issue 5, 1979, 385-447



$$\langle \overline{q}(x)^a_{\alpha}q(0)^b_{\beta} \rangle = \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{4N_C} \delta^{ab} \left[ \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \dots \right) + \left( i(x)_{\beta\alpha} \left( \frac{m}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^3}{12} - \frac{m}{12} \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} + \frac{2}{81} \pi \alpha_s^{NP} \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0^2}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \dots \right) \right]$$

## QCD CONDENSATES

## The relevant contributions in the local expansion



# QCD CONDENSATES

## The relevant contributions in the local expansion



# QCD CONDENSATES

## The relevant contributions in the local expansion



# NONLOCAL QCD CONDENSATES

- Assumption long distances play a crucial role in  $D^0\overline{D}^0$  mixing
- Questions have been raised in the literature as to whether this expansion is well behaved Mikhailov, Radyushkin, Nonlocal condensates and QCD sum rules for the pion wave function, Phys.Rev.D 45 (1992) 1754

$$\langle \overline{q}(x)_{\alpha}^{a}q(0)_{\beta}^{b} \rangle = \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0}}{4N_{c}} \delta^{ab} [\delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( 1 - \frac{x^{2}}{4} \left( \frac{m^{2}}{2} - \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_{0}}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0}} \right) \dots \right) + i(x)_{\beta\alpha} \left( \frac{m}{4} - \frac{x^{2}}{4} \left( \frac{m^{3}}{12} - \frac{m}{12} \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_{0}}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0}} + \frac{2}{81} \pi \alpha_{s}^{NP} \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0}^{2}}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0}} \right) \dots \right) ]$$

$$\langle \overline{q}(x)_{\alpha}^{a}q(0)_{\beta}^{b} \rangle = \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle}{4N_{c}} \delta^{ab} [\delta_{\alpha\beta} F_{s}(x) + i(x)_{\beta\alpha} F_{V}(x)]$$
partial resummation of the OPE to all orders
$$- F_{s} (x) \text{ and } F_{V} (x) \text{ as vacuum distribution functions}$$

# NONLOCAL QCD CONDENSATES Nonlocal generalization



# 

## How is the nonlocality modeled?

- condition 1: must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- condition 2: must decay in the large-x limit

fixed by the first moments of the expansion

$$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_0^\infty d\alpha (B_{S,V,G}f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G}f'(\alpha))e^{-\alpha \frac{x^2}{4}}$$

# How is the nonlocality modeled?

- condition 1: must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- condition 2: must decay in the large-x limit

fixed by the first moments of the expansion

$$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha (B_{S,V,G}f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G}f'(\alpha))e^{-\alpha \frac{x^{2}}{4}}$$

$$f(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha)$$

$$f(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha)$$

$$f(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha - \lambda_{q}^{2}/2)$$

$$MODEL 1 - it reproduces the expansion in terms of local condensates$$

$$f(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha - \lambda_{q}^{2}/2)$$

$$MODEL 2 - it models large-x behavior to be Gaussian-like e^{-\lambda^{2}x^{2}}$$

$$f(\alpha) = \frac{\lambda_{q}^{6}}{2} \alpha^{-4} e^{-\lambda_{q}^{2}/\alpha}$$

$$MODEL 3 - it models large-x behavior to be like e^{-\lambda x}$$

$$behavior to be like e^{-\lambda x}$$

$$V. M. Braun, D. Y. Ivanov and G. P. Korchemsky, The B meson distribution amplitude in QCD, [0309330]$$

$$\lambda_{q}^{2} = \frac{\langle \overline{q}(D)^{2}q \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} \approx \frac{\langle \overline{q}ioGq \rangle}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle}$$

# (Preliminary) **Results** for D0-D0bar mixing (x-parameter) :



# (Preliminary) **Results** (model & parameter dependence) :

Dependence on the quark virtuality  $\begin{array}{c}
x_{D} \\
-6.5 \times 10^{-6} \\
-7. \times 10^{-6} \\
0.5 \\
0.6 \\
0.7 \\
0.8 \\
0.9^{\lambda_{q}}
\end{array}$ Braun's model

Dependence on the mu-scale



Dependence on the SU(3) breaking in quark condensate





# **Concluding remarks**

• The result is sensitive to

P. Gubler and D. Satow, *Recent Progress in QCD Condensate Evaluations and Sum Rules*, [1812.00385]

- Condensate value  $\langle \overline{q}q \rangle$ , as well as the ratio  $\frac{\langle \overline{s}s \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} = 0.8 + -0.3$
- Quark virtuality  $\lambda_{q}^{2} = 0.4 + 0.1 \text{ GeV}^{2}$ , but much larger values are also reported
- Scale µ dependence

 $x = -1.13 \times 10^{-5}$  PRELIMINARY FINAL RESULT

# **Concluding remarks**

• The result is sensitive to

P. Gubler and D. Satow, *Recent Progress in QCD Condensate Evaluations and Sum Rules*, [1812.00385]

- Condensate value  $\langle \overline{q}q \rangle$ , as well as the ratio  $\frac{\langle \overline{s}s \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} = 0.8 + -0.3$
- Quark virtuality  $\lambda_{q}^{2} = 0.4 + 0.1 \text{ GeV}^{2}$ , but much larger values are also reported
- Scale µ dependence

 $x = -1.13 \times 10^{-5}$  PRELIMINARY FINAL RESULT

# • FUTURE RESEARCH - calculation of the four-quark condensate contributions

- both propagators in the box diagram are replaced by condensates expected dependence on strange mass  $\propto (m_s/m_c)^2$
- this is supposed to be the (parametrically) leading contribution! A. F. Falk, Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, and A. A. Petrov, *SU*(3) breaking and *DO-DO mixing*, [hep-ph/0110317]

