D⁰ -D⁰ MIXING FROM NONLOCAL CONDENSATE CONTRIBUTIONS

BLAZENKA MELIC

Theoretical Physics Division ThPhys

Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Zagreb

Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundatio

work in progress in collaboration with L. Dulibic (RBI, Zagreb) and A. Petrov (U. South Carolina)

ICHEP2024, Prague, 17-24th July 2024

BASICS

neutral mesons mix:

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix}D^0\\ \bar{D}^0\end{pmatrix} = \left(M - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma\right)\begin{pmatrix}D^0\\ \bar{D}^0\end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{pmatrix}M_{11} & M_{12}\\ M_{12}^* & M_{11}\end{pmatrix} - \frac{i}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12}\\ \Gamma_{12}^* & \Gamma_{11}\end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix}D^0\\ \bar{D}^0\end{pmatrix}
$$

off-shell states contribute on-shell states contribute

4

 $\overline{12}$

D

parameters:

$$
x = \frac{\Delta M_D}{\Gamma_D} \qquad y = \frac{\Delta \Gamma_D}{2\Gamma_D}
$$

$$
|D_{1,2}\rangle = p|D^0\rangle \pm q|\overline{D}^0\rangle
$$

\n
$$
\Delta M \equiv M_1 - M_2,
$$

\n
$$
\Delta \Gamma \equiv \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2.
$$

\n
$$
\Delta \Gamma_D = 2|\Gamma_{12}^D| \cdot (1 + O((\phi_{12}^D)^2))
$$

\n
$$
\Delta \Gamma_D = 2|\Gamma_{12}^D| \cdot (1 + O((\phi_{12}^D)^2))
$$

$$
\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\!2} = \frac{M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^*}{M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}}
$$

$$
x \approx x_{12} = 2 \frac{|M_{12}|}{\Gamma_D} \qquad y \approx y_{12} = \frac{|\Gamma_1|}{\Gamma_2}
$$

+ possible (indirect) CPU: $\phi_{12} = \arg \left(\frac{M_{12}}{\Gamma_{12}}\right)$

more general approach with two phases Kagan, Silvestrini, 2001.07207 :

$$
\phi_{12} \,\equiv\, \arg\left(\frac{M_{12}}{\Gamma_{12}}\right) = \phi^M - \phi^\Gamma
$$

HFLAV fits, 2206.07501 - clear evidence for D⁰-D⁰ mixing - no-mixing point x=y= 0 is excluded at >11.5

no direct evidence for CPV :

dispersive part

 $\Gamma_{12}^D = -\lambda_s^2 \left(\Gamma_{ss}^D - 2\Gamma_{sd}^D + \Gamma_{dd}^D \right) + 2\lambda_s \lambda_b \left(\Gamma_{sd}^D - \Gamma_{dd}^D \right) - \lambda_b^2 \Gamma_{dd}^D$

absorptive part "Im part"

EXTREME GIM suppression ! all three contributions are of the same size and SMALL (equal zero in the chiral limit) (although separate amplitudes are large: $\lambda_s^2 \Gamma_{ss}^D \tau_D \simeq 5.6 y^{exp}$

EXTREME GIM suppression ! all three contributions are of the same size and SMALL (equal zero in the chiral limit) (although separate amplitudes are large: $\lambda_s^2 \Gamma_{ss}^D \tau_D \simeq 5.6 y^{exp}$

 $\Gamma_{12} = (2.08 \cdot 10^{-7} - 1.34 \cdot 10^{-11} I)$ (1st term) $- (3.74 \cdot 10^{-7} + 8.31 \cdot 10^{-7} I)$ (2nd term) $+$ $(2.22 \cdot 10^{-8} - 2.5 \cdot 10^{-8} I)$ (3rd term).

$$
y^{\rm naive \, HQE} \sim (10^{-4}, 10^{-5}) \; y^{\rm exp}
$$

SM results are 4 orders of magnitude smaller than experimental results ?!

the matrix element :

$$
2M_D \left(M_{12} - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_{12} \right) = \langle D^0 | \mathcal{H}^{\Delta C = 2} | \bar{D}^0 \rangle + \sum_n \frac{\langle D^0 | \mathcal{H}^{\Delta C = 1} | n \rangle \langle n | \mathcal{H}^{\Delta C = 1} | \bar{D}^0 \rangle}{M_D - E_n + i0^+}
$$

\n
$$
M_{12, \text{ local contribution at}} \qquad \qquad M_{12}
$$

\n
$$
\mu \sim M_D
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{INCUSIVE (perturbative, HQE) APPROACH}
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{DISPERSIVE APPROACH - x and y are connected}
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{LATTICE / HQET sum rules} \quad \Delta C = 2 \text{ operators only}
$$

lattice - Bazavov et al (Fermilab Lattice and MILC) 1706.04622 HQET sum rules - Kirk, Lenz , Rauch, 1711.02100

General solution to the problem in the HQE approach -> LIFTING THE GIM SUPPRESSION

INCLUSIVE HQE APPROACH

- NLO and mass corrections
- inclusion of new, higher operators
- quark-hadron duality violation
- different renormalization scales in the process

Golowich, Petrov - NLO corrections Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 0904.3971 - alphaS and mass corrections Georgi, 9209291 – the first suggestion for higher dim operators in HQET Ohl, Ricciardi, 9301212 - higher dim operators in HQET – full matching Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089 – suggestion for higher dim operators from practical OPE = CONDENSATES Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 1002.4794 – dim7 operators Bobrowski, Lenz, Rauh, 1208.6438 - higher dim operators - dim 9 Jubb, Kirk, Lenz, Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, 1603.07770 - quark-hadron duality violation Umeeda, 2106.06215 - quark-hadron duality violation in the t'Hooft model Lenz, Piscopo, Vlahos, 2007.03022 - different scales in the process

General solution to the problem in the HQE approach -> LIFTING THE GIM SUPPRESSION

INCLUSIVE HQE APPROACH

- NLO and mass corrections
- inclusion of new, higher operators
- quark-hadron duality violation
- different renormalization scales in the process

Golowich, Petrov - NLO corrections Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 0904.3971 - alphaS and mass corrections Georgi, 9209291 – the first suggestion for higher dim operators in HQET Ohl, Ricciardi, 9301212 - higher dim operators in HQET – full matching Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089 – suggestion for higher dim operators from practical OPE = CONDENSATES Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 1002.4794 – dim7 operators Bobrowski, Lenz, Rauh, 1208.6438 - higher dim operators - dim 9 Jubb, Kirk, Lenz, Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, 1603.07770 - quark-hadron duality violation Umeeda, 2106.06215 - quark-hadron duality violation in the t'Hooft model Lenz, Piscopo, Vlahos, 2007.03022 - different scales in the process

EXCLUSIVE APPROACH

- SU(3) breaking from final state phase space differences
- inclusion of multi-body states
- quark-hadron duality violation
- topological amplitude approach

Falk, Grossmann, Ligeti, Petrov, 0110317 - SU(3) breaking Gershon, Libby, Wilkinson, 1506.08594 - inclusion of multi-body states H-Y Cheng, Chiang, 1005.1106 Jiang, Yu, Qiu, H-n Li, C-D Lu, 1705.07335 - topological amplitudes

General solution to the problem in the HQE approach -> LIFTING THE GIM SUPPRESSION

INCLUSIVE HQE APPROACH

- \overline{N} O and mass corrections
- inclusion of new, higher operators
- quark-hadron duality violation
- different renormalization scales in the process

Golowich, Petrov - NLO corrections Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 0904.3971 - alphaS and mass corrections Georgi, 9209291 – the first suggestion for higher dim operators in HQET Ohl, Ricciardi, 9301212 - higher dim operators in HQET – full matching Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089 – suggestion for higher dim operators from practical OPE = CONDENSATES Bobrowski, Lenz, Riedl, Rohrwild, 1002.4794 – dim7 operators Bobrowski, Lenz, Rauh, 1208.6438 - higher dim operators - dim 9 Jubb, Kirk, Lenz, Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, 1603.07770 - quark-hadron duality violation Umeeda, 2106.06215 - quark-hadron duality violation in the t'Hooft model Lenz, Piscopo, Vlahos, 2007.03022 - different scales in the process

EXCLUSIVE APPROACH

- SU(3) breaking from final state phase space differences
- inclusion of multi-body states
- quark-hadron duality violation
- topological amplitude approach

DISPERSIVE APPROACH

 \bullet x_D by modelling y_D ; SU(3) breaking through physical thresholds of different D meson decay channels for y(s)

Falk, Grossmann, Ligeti, Petrov, 0110317 - SU(3) breaking Gershon, Libby, Wilkinson, 1506.08594 - inclusion of multi-body states H-Y Cheng, Chiang, 1005.1106 Jiang, Yu, Qiu, H-n Li, C-D Lu, 1705.07335 - topological amplitudes

Falk, Grossmann, Ligeti, Nir, Petrov, 0402204 - from dispersion relation in HQET limit H-n. Li, Umeeda, Xu, Yu, 2001.04079 - as an inverse problem H-n. Li, 2208.14798

ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS IN D0-D0 MIXING IN ALL APPROCHES:

charm mass m_c is close to the hadronic scale $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \rightarrow$ expansion in Λ_{QCD} / m_c is slowly converging

although the expansion $<\!O$ m_c seems to work for c-hadron lifetimes

King, Lenz, Piscopo, Rauh, Rusov, Vlahos, Revisiting inclusive decay widths of charmed mesons, 2109.13219 Gratrex, BM, Nisandzic, Lifetimes of singly charmed hadrons, 2204.11935 Dulibic, Gratrex, BM, Nisandzic, Revisiting lifetimes of doubly charmed baryons, 2305.02243

ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS IN D0-D0 MIXING IN ALL APPROCHES:

charm mass m_c is close to the hadronic scale $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \rightarrow$ expansion in Λ_{QCD} / m_c is slowly converging

although the expansion $<$ O $>$ / m_c seems to work for c-hadron lifetimes

King, Lenz, Piscopo, Rauh, Rusov, Vlahos, Revisiting inclusive decay widths of charmed mesons, 2109.13219 Gratrex, BM, Nisandzic, Lifetimes of singly charmed hadrons, 2204.11935 Dulibic, Gratrex, BM, Nisandzic, Revisiting lifetimes of doubly charmed baryons, 2305.02243

the strong coupling at m_c is large ~ 0.3

LIFTING GIM SUPPRESSION BY HIGHER DIMENSIONAL OPERATORS - M_s EFFECTS IN PRACTICAL VERSION OF OPE -> CONDENSATES Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089

LIFTING GIM SUPPRESSION BY HIGHER DIMENSIONAL OPERATORS - m_s EFFECTS IN PRACTICAL VERSION OF OPE -> CONDENSATES Bigi, Uraltsev, 0005089

 $\propto (m_s/m_c)^3$

we trade a power of m_s/m_c suppression for a suppression of the higher dimensional operator. \bullet don't forget - there is also $16\pi^2$ relative enhancement since this is not a loop calculation

OUR APPROACH NONLOCAL CONDENSATE CONTRIBUTION

Local expansion of propagators in the soft background field generates condensates:

M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, QCD and resonance physics. theoretical foundations, Nucl. Phys. B147, Issue 5, 1979, 385-447

$$
\begin{split} &\langle \overline{q}(x)^{a}_{\alpha}q(0)^{b}_{\beta}\rangle \\ &= \frac{\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}}{4N_{C}}\delta^{ab}[\delta_{\alpha\beta}\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{2}-\frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq\rangle_{0}}{2\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}}\right)...\right)+\left(\widetilde{\iota}(x)^{a}_{\beta\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{m}{4}-\frac{x^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{m^{3}}{12}-\frac{m}{12}\frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq\rangle_{0}}{\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}}\right)+\frac{2}{81}\pi\alpha_{s}^{NP}\frac{\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}^{2}}{\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}}\right)...\right)\end{split}
$$

QCD CONDENSATES

The relevant contributions in the local expansion

QCD CONDENSATES

The relevant contributions in the local expansion

QCD CONDENSATES

The relevant contributions in the local expansion

 $\alpha_s \langle \bar{q}^a_\alpha(x) q(0)^\text{b}_\beta \bar{q}^c_\gamma(0) q^d_\delta(x) \rangle \sim \alpha_s \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0^2$

NONLOCAL QCD CONDENSATES

- Assumption long distances play a crucial role in $D^0\overline{D}^0$ mixing \bullet
- Questions have been raised in the literature as to whether this expansion is well behaved Mikhailov, Radyushkin, *Nonlocal condensates and QCD sum rules for the pion wave function*, Phys.Rev.D 45 (1992) 1754

$$
\frac{\langle \overline{q}(x)_{\alpha}^{a}q(0)_{\beta}^{b}\rangle}{4N_{C}} = \frac{\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}}{4N_{C}}\delta^{ab}[\delta_{\alpha\beta}\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{2}-\frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq\rangle_{0}}{2\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}}\right)\cdots\right)+i(x)_{\beta\alpha}\left(\frac{m}{4}-\frac{x^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{m^{3}}{12}-\frac{m}{12}\frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq\rangle_{0}}{2\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}}+\frac{2}{81}\pi\alpha_{s}^{NP}\frac{\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}^{2}}{\langle \overline{q}q\rangle_{0}}\right)\cdots\right)]
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{\overline{q}(x)_{\alpha}^{a}q(0)_{\beta}^{b}} = \frac{\langle \overline{q}q\rangle}{4N_{C}}\delta^{ab}[\delta_{\alpha\beta}F_{S}(x) + i(x)_{\beta\alpha}F_{V}(x)]
$$
\npartial of the OPE to all orders\n
$$
-F_{S}(x)
$$
 and $F_{V}(x)$ as vacuum distribution functions

NONLOCAL QCD CONDENSATES Nonlocal generalization

Mixed nonlocal condensate \angle + $\langle \overline{q}_{\alpha}^{a}(x)G_{\mu\nu}^{cd}(0)q_{\beta}^{b}(0)\rangle$ 4 1 \overline{m} \dot{l} 16 $\frac{1}{1536}\left(\delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} - \right)$ $\delta^{ab}\delta^{cd}$ $\Big)$ $\Big(\sigma_{\mu\nu} +$ $\pi\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle S}^{NP}\langle \overline{q}q \rangle^2$ = $(i\sigma_{\mu\nu}x + \gamma_{\mu}x_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}x_{\mu})\big)\langle\overline{q}i\sigma Gq\rangle +$ $x\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ $F_G(x)$ 3 2 2 9 $\beta\alpha$

How is the nonlocality modeled?

- *condition 1:* must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- *condition 2:* must decay in the large-x limit

fixed by the first moments of the expansion

$$
F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_0^\infty d\alpha (B_{S,V,G}f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G}f'(\alpha))e^{-\alpha\frac{x^2}{4}}
$$

How is the nonlocality modeled?

- *condition 1:* must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- *condition 2:* must decay in the large-x limit

fixed by the first moments of the expansion

$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_0^\infty d\alpha (B_{S,V,G}f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G}f'(\alpha))e^{-\alpha\frac{x^2}{4}}$		
\n $f(\alpha)$ \n	\n $f(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha)$ \n	\n $\text{MODEL 1 - it reproduces the condensates}$ \n
\n $f(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha - \lambda_q^2/2)$ \n	\n $\text{MODEL 2 - it models large-x behavior to be Gaussian-like } e^{-\lambda^2 x^2}$ \n	
\n $f(\alpha) = \frac{\lambda_q^6}{2} \alpha^{-4} e^{-\lambda_q^2/\alpha}$ \n	\n $\text{MODEL 3 - it models large-x}$ \n	
\n $\text{P. Korkensky, The B meson distribution amplitude in QCD, [0309330]}$ \n		
\n $\lambda_q^2 = \frac{\langle \overline{q}(0)^2 q \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} \approx \frac{\langle \overline{q} \text{ i} \sigma \overline{q} q \rangle}{\frac{\langle \overline{q} \text{ i} \$		

(Preliminary) **Results** for D0-D0bar mixing (x-parameter) :

(Preliminary) **Results** (model & parameter dependence) :

Concluding remarks

The result is sensitive to

P. Gubler and D. Satow, *Recent Progress in QCD Condensate Evaluations and Sum Rules,* [1812.00385]

- **Condensate value** $\langle \overline{q} q \rangle$, as well as the ratio $\frac{\langle \overline{S}S \rangle}{\langle \overline{S}S \rangle}$ \overline{q} q $= 0.8 +1.003$
- **Quark virtuality** λ^2 $_{\rm q}$ = 0.4 +/- 0.1 GeV², but much larger values are also reported
- **Scale μ dependence**

$$
x = -1.13 \times 10^{-5}
$$
 PRELIMINARY FINAL RESULT

Concluding remarks

The result is sensitive to

P. Gubler and D. Satow, *Recent Progress in QCD Condensate Evaluations and Sum Rules,* [1812.00385]

- **Condensate value** $\langle \overline{q} q \rangle$, as well as the ratio $\frac{\langle \overline{S}S \rangle}{\langle \overline{S}S \rangle}$ \overline{q} q $= 0.8 +1.003$
- **Quark virtuality** λ^2 $_{\rm q}$ = 0.4 +/- 0.1 GeV², but much larger values are also reported
- **Scale μ dependence**

 $x = -1.13 \times 10^{-5}$ *PRELIMINARY FINAL RESULT*

• **FUTURE RESEARCH** - calculation of the **four-quark condensate** contributions

- both propagators in the box diagram are replaced by condensates expected dependence on strange mass $\propto (m_s/m_c)^2$
- this is supposed to be the (parametrically) **leading contribution!** A. F. Falk, Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, and A. A. Petrov, *SU(3) breaking and 0−0 mixing,* [hep-ph/0110317]

