Global analysis of D mixing data and determination of the CKM angle γ Update from the UT*fit* collaboration

42nd International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP), Prague, 20/07/2024





2: Infn, sezione di Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale 84, 00146, Roma, Italy

Roberto Di Palma^{1,2} on behalf of the UT*fit* collaboration





1: Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università di Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale 84, 00146, Roma, Italy





Why charm mixing?

NO FCNC at the tree-level in the SM

FCNC LEGACY

1.27 GeV/c² ^{2/3} ^{1/2} **C** charm

 GIM-like search for heavy new Weak Interactions with Lepton-Hadron Symmetry* physics (NP) coupled to up-type S. L. GLASHOW, J. ILIOPOULOS, AND L. MAIANI[†] Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusets 02139 quarks (Received 5 March 1970)



Mass of the top quark and induced decay and mixing of neutral B mesons

Bruce A. Campbell and Patrick J. O'Donnell Department of Physics and Scarborough College, University of Toronto, West Hill, Ontario, Canada M1C 1A4 (Received 22 May 1981)

ICHEP, 20/07/2024



 Increase in the experimental precision





Dispersive part: M

Absorptive part: I

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

$$x_{12} = \frac{2|M_{12}|}{\Gamma} \simeq \frac{\left|m_S - m_L\right|}{\Gamma}$$

$$y_{12} = \frac{|\Gamma_{12}|}{\Gamma} \simeq \frac{|\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L|}{2\Gamma}$$

R. Di Palma: *D* mixing and γ





CP-violating parameters

• CPV in pure mixing A. Kagan, M. D. Sokoloff Y. Grossman, Y. Nir, G. Perez

H_{12}

CPV in the interference between mixing and decay









$$\phi_{f}^{M} = \arg \lambda_{f}^{M} + \arg \lambda_{\bar{f}}^{M} = \frac{1}{2} \int \phi_{f}^{\Gamma} = \arg \lambda_{f}^{\Gamma} + \arg \lambda_{\bar{f}}^{\Gamma} \neq \frac{1}{2} \int \phi_{f}^{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \int \phi_{f}^{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \int \phi_{f}^{M} - \phi_{f}^{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \int \phi_{f}^{M} =$$

R. Di Palma: D mixing and γ





ICHEP, 20/07/2024

Measuring CPV: three-body decays

 Model-independent analysis of the 2D phase space of $D \to K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

6

Absolute bin index

Measuring CPV: three-body decays

- Model-independent analysis of the 2D phase space of $D \to K_{\rm S}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
- WS/RS-like analysis

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

CP-conserving observables!! $x_{CP}^{f} = x_{12} \cos(\phi_{f}^{M})$ $y'_{CP} = y_{12} \cos(\phi_f^1)$

 $R_{ij}^{\pm} =$

 $d\Gamma_{\mp ij} \left(\stackrel{(-)}{D^0} \to f \right)$ $d\Gamma_{\pm ij} \left(\stackrel{(-)}{D^0} \to f \right)$

CPV observables!! $\Delta x^f = -y_{12}\sin(\phi_f^{\Gamma})$ $\Delta y^{f} = x_{12} \sin(\phi_{f}^{M})$

$A_f(t) = \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{D^0} \to f)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{D^0} \to f)} = a_f + \Delta Y_f t / \tau$

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

R. Di Palma: *D* mixing and γ

$$(t) = \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{D^0} \to f)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{D^0} \to f)} = a_f + \Delta Y_f t / \tau$$

$$Y_f = \eta_f(-x_{12}\sin(\phi_f^M) + a_f y_{12})$$

Charm parameters

Beauty observables More information about decay and mixing parameters

Approximate Universality Dropping the finalstate dependent parts of ϕ_f^M and ϕ_f^{Γ}

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

r δ^{f} Decay amplitudes Introduced by LHCb-2021 *X*₁₂ *Y*₁₂ Mixing parameters

ϕ^M_{f}, ϕ^1_{f} IVERSAL A. Kagan, L. Silvestrini

R. Di Palma: *D* mixing and γ

Approximate Universality • Flavour structures of M and Γ in the SM i,j=d,s**On-shell** states

Employing the Unitarity of the CKM Dominant

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

$\Gamma^{SM} = \frac{(\lambda_{uc}^{s} - \lambda_{uc}^{d})^{2}}{(\lambda_{uc}^{s} - \lambda_{uc}^{d})\lambda_{uc}^{b}} + (\lambda_{uc}^{b})^{2} \Gamma$

U-spin amplitudes $\Gamma_n \approx \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^n)$ *I l*

11

Approximate Universality CPV phases w.r.t. the dominant contribution in the SM

$$\phi_{2}^{\xi} = \arg \left[\frac{\xi_{12}}{\xi_{2}(\lambda_{uc}^{s} - \lambda_{uc}^{d})^{2}/4} \right], \ \xi = M, \Gamma \qquad \text{SM +} \\ \text{Possible NP} \\ \text{Possible NP} \\ \text{proximate universality} \qquad \phi_{2}^{M,\Gamma} \approx \phi_{f}^{M,\Gamma} \quad \forall f \\ \text{spin estimate} \\ \text{Spin estima$$

 $\mathbf{70}$

- Ap
- U-spin estimate

$$(\phi_2^{M,\Gamma})^{U-spin} \approx 0.1$$

Short-distance NP signal

$$\phi_2^M > \phi_2^\Gamma \simeq (\phi_2^\Gamma)^{U-spin}$$

12

B Cascade decays

CKM ANGLE

 $\gamma = \arg$

- $B \rightarrow D$ decays:
- $D \text{ mixing} + D \rightarrow f \text{ decays: } r_D^f e^{-i\delta_D^f}$

B Cascade decays

CKM ANGLE

- $B \to D$ decays: $\gamma = \arg \frac{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd}V_{ch}^*}$
- $D \text{ mixing} + D \rightarrow f \text{ decays: } r_D^f e^{-i\delta_D^f}$
- GLW/ADS Observables

M. Gronau, D. Wyler, M. Gronau, D. London, D. Atwood, I. Dunietz, A. Soni

$\Gamma(B \to [f]_D h) - \Gamma(\overline{B} \to [\overline{f}]_D \overline{h}) \propto \sin \gamma$

• GGSZ Observables Study of the phase-space

dependent decay rates for $f = K_S^0 K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

A. Giri, Y. Grossman, A. Soffer, J. Zupan

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

Polar Observables $x_{\pm}^{Dh} = r_{R}^{Dh} \cos(\delta_{R}^{Dh} \pm \gamma)$ $y_{\pm}^{Dh} = r_B^{Dh} \sin(\delta_B^{Dh} \pm \gamma)$

14

ICHEP	20/	/07/	2024
	, _ • /		

R. Di Palma: *D* mixing and γ

Mixing
$$\phi = -2\beta$$

phases $\phi_s = 2\beta_s$

• Fitting the time-dependent decay rates

$$h(\Delta\Gamma_{(s)}t/2) - G_f \sinh(\Delta\Gamma_{(s)}t/2) + C_f \cos(\Delta m_{(s)}t) - S_f \sin(\Delta m_{(s)}t) - S_f \sin($$

Observables!!

$$C_f \quad G_f \propto \cos(\delta_{B_{(s)}^0}^f + (\phi_{(s)} - \gamma))$$

 $S_f \propto \sin(\delta_{B_{(s)}^0}^f + (\phi_{(s)} - \gamma))$

15

 $(\Delta m_{(s)}t)$

Statistical treatment

Bayesian approach

MCMC Algorithm

Bayesian Analysis Toolkit \rightarrow home

home
download

version of BAT is still being maintained, but addition of to Metropolis-Hastings sampling, BAT.jl supports Ham transformations, and much more. See the <u>BAT.jl documentation</u>.

https://bat.mpp.mpg.de/

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

Charm

modes

 x_{12}, y_{12}

 $r_D^f, \, \delta_D^f$

Cascade

decays

Neutral

 B^0

(S)

Posterior p.d.f

$P(\overrightarrow{\lambda} | \mathbf{O}) \propto P(\mathbf{O} | \overrightarrow{\lambda}) P_0(\overrightarrow{\lambda})$

16

 Gaussian likelihood: $P(\mathbf{O} \mid \lambda)$

• Flat priors: $P_0(\lambda) \propto \text{const}$

Results: CKM angle γ

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

Summary

 CPV in charm mixing can be used as a powerful probe for heavy NP. In the Approximate universality framework

$$\phi_2^M > \phi_2^\Gamma \simeq (\phi_2^{M,\Gamma})^{U-spin} \approx 0.13^\circ$$

- One order of magnitude away from testing the SM

Used as input for the new **UT analysis by UT***fit*

• Consistency between γ estimates and error at the level of 5 %

19

Backup slides

Charm mixing contributions CKM + GIM: order of magnitude estimate

- •Short distance: $m_i^2 > m_c^2$ sensitive to heavy NP
 - **SM:** $\propto (\lambda_{\mu c}^{b} m_{b})^{2} \approx (\theta_{C}^{5} m_{b})^{2}$

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

•Long distance: $m_i^2 < m_c^2$ inherently non-perturbative, leading contribution in the SM

SM: $\propto (\lambda_{\mu c}^{s} m_{s})^{2} \approx (\theta_{c} m_{s})^{2} \approx 10^{2} \times SD$

$$U-spin decomposition
\Gamma_{2} = (\bar{s}s - \bar{d}d)^{2} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2})$$

$$\Gamma_{1} = (\bar{s}s - \bar{d}d)(\bar{s}s + \bar{d}d) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2})$$

$$\Gamma_{0} = (\bar{s}s + \bar{d}d)^{2} = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$\Gamma_{12}^{SM} = \frac{(\lambda_{uc}^{s} - \lambda_{uc}^{d})^{2}}{4} \Gamma_{2} \times$$

$$1 + (0.86 + i1.8) \times 10^{-3}$$

 $\lambda_{\mu c}^{s} - \lambda_{\mu c}^{d} \approx 0.44 - i1.2 \times 10^{-4}$

 ϵ) $\lambda^b_{\mu c} \approx (5.7 + i12) \times 10^{-5}$

Dominant contribution

 $\left(\frac{0.3}{1000}\right) + (-6.4 + i7.8) \times 10^{-7} \left(\frac{0.3}{10000}\right)^{2}$ ϵ

CPV phases in the SM

$\phi_2^{\Gamma} \bigg|_{SM} = \arg \left[1 + \frac{2\lambda_{uc}^b}{\lambda_{uc}^s - \lambda_{uc}^d} \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2} \right] = \arg \left[1 - \frac{V_{ub}^* V_{ud}}{V_{cb}^* V_{cd}} \times \left(\frac{2}{1 - \frac{V_{us}^* V_{cs}}{1 - \frac{V_{us}^* V_{cs}}{1$

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

R. Di Palma: *D* mixing and γ

 $\phi_f^{M,\Gamma} = \phi_2^{M,\Gamma}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon))$ **CF/DCS** $\phi_f^{M,\Gamma} = \phi_2^{M,\Gamma} + \mathcal{O}(\theta_C^6)$ **SCS** $(\phi_{KK}^{M,\Gamma} + \phi_{\pi\pi}^{M,\Gamma})/2 = \phi_2^{M,\Gamma}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2))$

 $\simeq \left| \frac{\lambda_{uc}^b}{\lambda_d} \right| \sin(\gamma) \epsilon^{-1} \approx (2.2 \times 10^{-3}) \times \left[\frac{0.3}{-1} \right]$ λ^d_{uc}

Equivalent formalisms

•CP-conserving

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{A}} & |x| = 1/\sqrt{2} \left[x_{12}^2 - y_{12}^2 + \sqrt{(x_{12}^2 + y_{12}^2)^2 - 4x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2 \sin^2 \phi_{12}} \right]^{1/2} = x_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\phi_{12}^2) \\ & y = 1/\sqrt{2} \left[y_{12}^2 - x_{12}^2 + \sqrt{(x_{12}^2 + y_{12}^2)^2 - 4x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2 \sin^2 \phi_{12}} \right]^{1/2} = y_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\phi_{12}^2) \\ & \bullet \mathbf{CP-violating} \\ & \left| \frac{q}{p} \right| = \left[\frac{x_{12}^2 + y_{12}^2 + 2x_{12}y_{12} \sin \phi_{12}}{x_{12}^2 + y_{12}^2 - 2x_{12}y_{12} \sin \phi_{12}} \right]^{1/4} = 1 + \frac{x_{12}y_{12}}{x_{12}^2 + y_{12}^2} \sin \phi_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\phi_{12}^2) \\ & \tan(2\phi_{\lambda_f}) = -\frac{x_{12}^2 \sin 2\phi_f^M + y_{12}^2 \sin 2\phi_f^\Gamma}{x^2 \cos 2\phi^M + y^2 \cos 2\phi^\Gamma} \approx -\frac{x_{12}^2}{x^2 + y_{12}^2} \phi_f^M - \frac{y_{12}^2}{x^2 + y_{12}^2} \phi_f^\Gamma + \mathcal{O}(\phi_{12}^2) \end{aligned}$$

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

25

Charm parameters

Mixing parameters

Beauty observables More information about decay and mixing parameters

Approximate Universality Dropping the finalstate dependent parts of ϕ_f^M and ϕ_f^{Γ}

Results: CP-conserving parameters

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

R. Di Palma: *D* mixing and γ

Results: ϕ_{12}

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

$\phi_{12} = (-2.3 \pm 1.5)^{\circ}$

Results: CPV using the familiar formalism

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

R. Di Palma: D mixing and γ

M. Gronau, D. Wyler

M. Gronau, D. London

ICHEP, 20/07/2024

R. Di Palma: *D* mixing and γ

- Cascade decay rate $\Gamma(B \to [f]_D h) \propto 1 + (r_D^f r_B^{Dh})^2 + 2r_B^{Dh} r_D^f \cos(\delta_B^{Dh} - \delta_D^f - \gamma)$ $+\Gamma_{mix}$

• **GLW/ADS Observables** $\Gamma(B \to [f]_D h) - \Gamma(\overline{B} \to [f]_D \overline{h}) \propto \sin \gamma$

GGSZ Observables from LHCb-2021 3.0 $[GeV_{c}^{2}/c_{c}^{4}]$ Study of the phase-space dependent decay rates for $f = K_S^0 K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ A. Giri, Y. Grossman, $d\Gamma\left(\begin{array}{c} (-) \\ B \end{array} \rightarrow [f]_D \stackrel{(-)}{h} \right)/dp \qquad \stackrel{\stackrel{\stackrel{\stackrel{\stackrel{}}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\atop\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\atop\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\atop\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\atop\scriptstyle 0}{\underset{\scriptstyle 0}{\atop\scriptstyle 0}{\atop\scriptstyle 1}{\atop\scriptstyle 1}{\atop\scriptstyle 1}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}} \right) h = 1.5$ A. Soffer, J. Zupan 0.5decays

- Model-dependent approach
- Model-independent : Integrating over 2k bins and solving a system of 4k equations $\Gamma_{+i}(B \rightarrow [f]_D h)$ for 2k + 4 unknowns.

Polar Observables $x_{\pm}^{Dh} = r_{B}^{Dh} \cos(\delta_{B}^{Dh} \pm \gamma)$ $y_{\pm}^{Dh} = r_B^{Dh} \sin(\delta_B^{Dh} \pm \gamma)$

1.0

1.5

0.5

R. Di Palma: *D* mixing and γ

2.0

