



Collinearly Enhanced YFS MC Approach to Precision High Energy Collider Physics

B.F.L. Ward^a, S. Jadach^{b*}, Z. Was^b

^aDepartment of Physics, Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA and RISC, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria,

^bInstitute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, Poland

*Deceased

Introduction

- The Future of Precision Theory: Dictated by Future Accelerators – FCC, CLIC, ILC, CEPC, CPPC, ...
- Using FCC as an example, factors of improvement from ~5 to ~100 are needed from Theory
- Resummation is a key to such improvements in many cases: Today, we discuss amplitude-based resummation following the YFS methodology
- YFS → 'no limit to precision'
- See 1989 CERN Yellow Book article by Berends et al.

BAYLOR

Introduction

- YFS methods are exact in the infrared but treat the collinear logs perturbatively in the β_l residuals
- DGLAP-based collinear factorization treats the collinear logs to all orders but has a non-exact IR limit – see Stefano's talk and references therein (all roads lead to Rome)
- Today, we investigate improving the collinear limit of YFS theory
- A Key Point: Exact Amplitude-Based Resummation Realized on Evt-by-Evt Basis – Enhanced Precision for a Given Level of Exactness: LO, NLO, NNLO, ...

BAYLOR

Review of Exact Amplitude-Based Resummation Theory

$$\bar{d}\sigma_{\text{res}} = e^{\text{SUM}_{\text{IR}}(\text{QED})} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!m!} \int \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d^4k_i}{k_i^2} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{d^4q_j}{q_j^2} \tilde{\beta}_{n,m}(k_1, \dots, k_n; q_1, \dots, q_m) \frac{d^4p_\alpha}{p_\alpha^2} \frac{d^4p_\beta}{p_\beta^2} \quad (1)$$

where new (YFS-style) non-Abelian residuals $\tilde{\beta}_{n,m}(k_1, \dots, k_n; q_1, \dots, q_m)$ have n hard gluons and m hard photons.

BAYLOR

Review of Exact Amplitude-Based Resummation Theory

Here,

$$\text{SUM}_{\text{IR}}(\text{QED}) = 2\alpha_s \mathfrak{B}_{\text{QED}} + 2\alpha_e \mathfrak{B}_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}}$$

$$D_{\text{QED}} = \int \frac{d^4k}{k^2} (e^{-ky} - \theta(k_{\text{max}} - k^2)) \frac{S_{\text{QED}}}{k^2} \quad (2)$$

where K_{max} is "dummy" and

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}} &\equiv B_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}} - \frac{\alpha_e}{\alpha_s} B_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}}, \\ B_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}} &\equiv B_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}} - \frac{\alpha_e}{\alpha_s} B_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}}, \\ S_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}} &\equiv \frac{\alpha_e}{\alpha_s} S_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}} + S_{\text{QED}}^{\text{cross}} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

"nis" DGLAP-CS synthesis.

Shower/ME Matching: $\tilde{\beta}_{n,m} \rightarrow \tilde{\beta}_{n,m} - \text{KKMCCc, KKMChh, Herwir, ...}$

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- Basic Formula for CEEX/EEEX realization of the YFS resummation of $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f} + m\gamma$, $f = l, q, \ell = e, \mu, \tau, \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau, q = u, d, s, c, b, t$:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\text{flux}} \sum_{n,m} \int d\text{LIPS}_{n+m} P_A^{(n)}(l, \{p\}, \{k\}), \quad (4)$$

- $P_{\text{CEEX}}^{(n)}(l, \{p\}, \{k\}) = \frac{1}{n!} e^{Y(\Omega, l, \{p\})} \Theta(\Omega) \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{helicities } \{k, l, p\}} \left| \mathcal{M}(\{k\}, \{p\}) \right|^2$

$$\Theta(\Omega, k) = 1 - \Theta(\Omega, k) \text{ and } \Theta(\Omega) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Theta(\Omega, k_i), \quad (5)$$

By definition, $\Theta(\Omega, k) = 1$ for $k \in \Omega$ and $\Theta(\Omega, k) = 0$ for $k \notin \Omega$, with

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- For Ω defined with the condition $k^0 < E_{\text{min}}$, the YFS infrared exponent reads

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\Omega; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) &= Q_e^2 Y_{\Omega}(\rho_1, \rho_2) + Q_e^2 Y_{\Omega}(\rho_2, \rho_3) \\ &+ Q_e Q_f Y_{\Omega}(\rho_1, \rho_2) + Q_e Q_f Y_{\Omega}(\rho_3, \rho_4) \\ &- Q_e Q_f Y_{\Omega}(\rho_1, \rho_3) - Q_e Q_f Y_{\Omega}(\rho_2, \rho_4). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- Here

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\Omega}(\rho, q) &\equiv 2\alpha_e \mathfrak{B}(\Omega, \rho, q) + 2\alpha_s \mathfrak{B}(\rho, q) \\ &= 2\alpha_e \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \frac{d^4k}{k^2} \Theta(\Omega; k) \left(\frac{p-k}{k_0} - \frac{q}{k_0} \right)^2 \\ &+ 2\alpha_s \int \frac{d^4k}{k^2} \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{2p-k}{2k_0-k^2} - \frac{2q+k}{2k_0+k^2} \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

- Fundamental Idea of YFS: isolate and resum to all orders in α the infrared singularities so that these singularities are canceled to all such orders between real and virtual corrections. What collinear singularities are also resummed in the YFS resummation algebra?

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- Focusing on the s-channel and s'-channel contributions, we have

$$Y_s(\Omega; \rho_1, \rho_2) = \gamma_s \ln \frac{2E_{\text{min}}}{\sqrt{2\rho_1\rho_2}} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_s + \mathcal{O}_s^{\frac{\alpha}{\pi}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right),$$

$$Y_{s'}(\Omega; \rho_1, \rho_2) = \gamma_{s'} \ln \frac{2E_{\text{min}}}{\sqrt{2q_1q_2}} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{s'} + \mathcal{O}_{s'}^{\frac{\alpha}{\pi}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right),$$

where

$$\gamma_s = 2C_F^{\frac{\alpha}{\pi}} \left(\ln \frac{2\rho_1\rho_2}{m_0^2} - 1 \right), \quad \gamma_{s'} = 2C_F^{\frac{\alpha}{\pi}} \left(\ln \frac{2q_1q_2}{m_f^2} - 1 \right), \quad (9)$$

→ The YFS exponent resums the collinear big log term $\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E_{\text{min}}}{m^2}$ to the infinite order in both the ISR and FSR contributions.

- Can this be improved to the result of Gribov and Lipatov to exponentiate $\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E_{\text{min}}}{m^2}$ via the QED form-factor?

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- The YFS form factor derivation illustrated in Fig. 1

Fig. 1: Virtual corrections which generate the YFS infrared function B. Self-energy contributions are not shown.

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- the amplitude factor, for $A = \gamma$ or Z ,

$$\mathcal{M}_f = \frac{\int d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-i}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \tilde{\nu}(p_2)(-iQ_e e) \frac{i}{\not{p}_2 - \not{k} - m + i\epsilon} (-i\epsilon) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) \tilde{\nu}(p_1) \quad (10)$$

- Scalarising the fermion propagator denominators →

$$\mathcal{M}_f = -i \epsilon \frac{\int d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-i}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \gamma_\mu \frac{i}{\not{p}_2 - \not{k} - m + i\epsilon} (-i\epsilon) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) \tilde{\nu}(p_1) \quad (11)$$

- Using the equations of motion

$$(\not{p}_1 - \not{k} - m) \gamma_\mu u(p_1) = \left\{ (2p_1 - k)_\mu - \frac{1}{2} [k, \gamma_\mu] \right\} u(p_1), \quad (a)$$

$$\tilde{\nu}(p_2) \gamma^\mu (-\not{p}_2 - \not{k} + m) = \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ -(2p_2 + k)^\mu + \frac{1}{2} [k, \gamma^\mu] \right\}. \quad (b)$$

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- Contribution to $2\alpha_e^2 \alpha_B \mathfrak{B}(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ corresponding to the cross-term in the virtual IR function on the RHS of eq.(7):

$$2\alpha_e^2 \alpha_B \mathfrak{B}(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{\text{cross-term}} = \int \frac{d^4k}{k^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(2p_1 - k)^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(2p_2 + k)^2 + i\epsilon} \quad (13)$$

This term, together with the two squared terms in $2\alpha_e^2 \alpha_B \mathfrak{B}(\rho_1, \rho_2)$, leads to the exponentiation of $\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E_{\text{min}}}{m^2}$.

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- The two commutator terms on the RHS of eq.(12), usually dropped, can be analyzed further: possible IR finite collinearly enhanced improvement of the YFS virtual IR function B.
- Isolate the collinear parts of k via the change of variables

$$k = c_1 \rho_1 + c_2 \rho_2 + k_\perp \quad (14)$$

where $\rho_1, k_\perp = 0 = \rho_2, k_\perp$, → we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 - m^2} p_2 k - \frac{m^2}{(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 - m^2} p_1 k \rightarrow \frac{\rho_2 k}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \\ c_2 &= \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 - m^2} p_1 k - \frac{m^2}{(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 - m^2} p_2 k \rightarrow \frac{\rho_1 k}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

CL denotes the collinear limit = $\alpha(m^2/s)$ dropped.

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- $(2\rho_1 - k)^\mu$ in eq.(12(a)) combines with the commutator term in eq.(12(b)) to produce

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ (2\rho_1 - k)_\mu \frac{1}{2} [k, \gamma^\mu] \right\} \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) u(p_1) \\ = \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ k_\mu \rho_1^\mu \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) u(p_1) \right. \\ \xrightarrow{\text{CL}} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ c_2 \rho_2 \cdot p_1 \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) u(p_1) \right. \\ \xrightarrow{\text{CL}} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ -2c_2 \rho_2 \cdot p_1 \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) u(p_1) \right. \\ \xrightarrow{\text{CL}} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ -2(p_2 \cdot k) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) u(p_1) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

- Similarly, $-(2\rho_2 + k)^\mu$ in eq.(12 (b)) combines with the commutator term in eq.(12(a)) to produce

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) \left\{ -(2\rho_2 + k)^\mu \frac{1}{2} [k, \gamma_\mu] \right\} u(p_1) \\ = \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) \left\{ k_\mu \rho_2^\mu \gamma_\mu u(p_1) \right. \\ \xrightarrow{\text{CL}} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) \left\{ c_1 \rho_1 \cdot p_2 \gamma_\mu u(p_1) \right. \\ \xrightarrow{\text{CL}} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) \left\{ 2c_1 \rho_1 \cdot p_2 \gamma_\mu u(p_1) \right. \\ \xrightarrow{\text{CL}} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) \left\{ (2\rho_2 \cdot k) \gamma_\mu u(p_1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- Shift on the RHS of eq.(13):
- What does the term quadratic in the commutator (C^2) contribute?
- Superficial UV divergence → Cannot naively drop k_\perp
- Proceed directly; we need

$$2\alpha_e^2 \alpha_B \mathfrak{B}(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{\text{cross-term}} \equiv \frac{\int d^4k}{8\pi^4} \frac{1}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(2\rho_1 - k)^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(2\rho_2 + k)^2 + i\epsilon} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ k_\mu \rho_1^\mu \gamma_\mu \left\{ (-i\epsilon) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) u(p_1) \right\} \right\}_{\text{CL}} \quad (19)$$

where we define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{B_2} = -i\epsilon \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) u(p_1). \quad (20)$$

- CL now further restricted to contributions singular as $m^2/s \rightarrow 0$.

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- Using standard methods, we need

$$I_\mu = 2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{d^4k}{8\pi^4} \frac{\int d^4k (iQ_e^2 e^2)}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(2\rho_1 - k)^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(2\rho_2 + k)^2 + i\epsilon} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ k_\mu \rho_1^\mu \gamma_\mu \left\{ (-i\epsilon) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) u(p_1) \right\} \right\}_{\text{CL}} \quad (21)$$

where $\Delta = \alpha_s \rho_1 - \alpha_e \rho_2$.

- Equations of motion → term involving Δ is not collinearly enhanced.
- The term contracted with $g_{\mu\lambda}$ gives us

$$I_\mu = \left\{ \frac{-3Q_e^2 \alpha_B \mathfrak{M}_{B_2}}{4\pi} \right\}_{\text{CL}} \equiv 0 \quad (22)$$

- No collinearly enhanced contribution from I_μ .
- Eq.(18) gives the complete collinear enhancement of B.

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- We have

$$2\alpha_e^2 \alpha_B \mathfrak{B}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \frac{\int d^4k}{8\pi^4} \frac{1}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(2\rho_1 - k)^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(2\rho_2 + k)^2 + i\epsilon} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ k_\mu \rho_1^\mu \gamma_\mu \left\{ (-i\epsilon) \gamma_\mu (v_A - a_A \gamma_5) u(p_1) \right\} \right\}_{\text{CL}} \quad (23)$$

where $d = d^4k$ with $p_1 = x_1 p_1 - x_2 p_2$.

- We get

$$2\alpha_e^2 \alpha_B \mathfrak{B}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \mathcal{O}_e^{\frac{\alpha}{\pi}} L \quad (24)$$

- We see that indeed the entire term $\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E_{\text{min}}}{m^2}$ is now exponentiated by our collinearly improved YFS virtual IR function B_{CL}

$$B_{\text{CL}} = B + \Delta B$$

$$= \int \frac{d^4k}{k^2} \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2p_1 - k}{2p_1 - k^2} - \frac{2q_1 + k}{2q_1 + k^2} \right)^2 - \frac{4p_1 \cdot k}{(2p_1 - k^2)(2q_1 + k^2)} \right\} \quad (25)$$

See S. Jadach, Durham talk, 2002, for integrated form of B_{CL} .

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- What about the real YFS IR algebra? Collinear enhancement desired in some applications
- We again isolate collinearly enhanced contributions by using the representation in eq.(14) for k , respecting the condition $k^2 = 0$. → Maintain $0 = (c_1^2 + c_2^2)m^2 - 2c_1 c_2 \rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 - |k_\perp|^2$.
- Collinear enhancement of B:

$$2\alpha_e^2 \alpha_B \mathfrak{B}_{\text{CL}} = -\alpha_e^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{k^2} \frac{1}{(2\rho_1 - k)^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(2\rho_2 + k)^2 + i\epsilon} \tilde{\nu}(p_2) \left\{ \frac{p_1 \cdot k}{k_0} \rho_1^\mu \gamma_\mu \left\{ \frac{p_2 \cdot k}{k_0} \rho_2^\mu \gamma_\mu \right\} \right\} \quad (26)$$

- Agreement with Berends et al.

BAYLOR

Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory

- What about CEEX?
- In the use of amplitude-level isolation of real IR divergences, KS photon polarization vectors →

$$\mathfrak{M}_f = \mathfrak{M}_{\text{real, CEEX}}(k) \quad (27)$$

with

$$\epsilon_{\text{CL}, \mu}(k) = \sqrt{2Q_e} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1 \cdot k}{k_0}} \frac{\rho_1^\mu - \sigma^\mu}{2\rho_1 k} + \delta_\mu \sqrt{\frac{k_0^2 - \rho_1 \cdot k}{2\rho_1 k}} \frac{\rho_1^\mu + \sigma^\mu}{2\rho_1 k} + \sqrt{\frac{\rho_2 \cdot k}{k_0}} \frac{\rho_2^\mu - \sigma^\mu}{2\rho_2 k} + \delta_\mu \sqrt{\frac{k_0^2 - \rho_2 \cdot k}{2\rho_2 k}} \frac{\rho_2^\mu + \sigma^\mu}{2\rho_2 k} \right] \quad (28)$$

Here, $\xi = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ and $\rho = \rho - \zeta m^2 / (2s)$.

- Upon taking the modulus squared of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{real, CEEX}}(k)$ we see that the extra non-IR divergent contributions reproduce the known collinear big log contribution which is missed by the usual YFS algebra.

BAYLOR SUMMARY: Enhanced the toolbox available to extend the CEEX YFS MC method to the other important processes at present and future colliders.