## Exploring the frontier: Theoretical insights into the physics of dark sectors

Stefania Gori UC Santa Cruz



ICHEP 2024 conference

Prague, July 23, 2024

## The quest for new physics



We do not know what will be the next New Physics (NP) scale.

## The quest for new physics



#### We do not know what will be the next New Physics (NP) scale. Search as broadly as possible.

Accelerator experiments have access to NP scales in the range of few TeV and below. Enormous progress in the exploration has been made in the past several years. Numerous gaps still to cover.

The most hidden particles are "dark sector particles", i.e. those particles that are not charged under the Standard Model (SM) gauge symmetries.



S.Gori

## **Theoretical guidance**

#### No guaranteed discovery but...



As we will discuss in this talk, **new dark particles could address** each of these problems.

## **Experiments and experimental techniques**





#### New ~ electroweak scale dark particles at the LHC

### **Resonances & exotic searches at the LHC**

Dark sector particles can be produced because they generically mix with SM particles



## **Resonances & exotic searches at the LHC**

Dark sector particles can be produced because they generically mix with SM particles



### **New SUSY searches to dark sectors**

SUSY searches are also affected by the presence of a dark sector.

For example, the **NMSSM** has a <u>singlet chiral super field</u> in addition to the particle content of the MSSM.

Because of the additional dark scalar, pseudo-scalar, and neutralino (singlino), the NSSM has a richer phenomenology. New signatures can arise.

### **New SUSY searches to dark sectors**

SUSY searches are also affected by the presence of a dark sector.

For example, the **NMSSM** has a <u>singlet chiral super field</u> in addition to the particle content of the MSSM.

Because of the additional dark scalar, pseudo-scalar, and neutralino (singlino), the NSSM has a richer phenomenology. New signatures can arise.

For example: Singlino-Higgsino coannihilation regime (  $m_{\chi_1^\pm} \simeq m_{\chi_3^0} \simeq m_{\chi_2^0} \simeq m_{\chi_1^0}$  )

Most searched decay modes are suppressed because of the **squeezed** spectrum:



Singlino-Bino coannihilation regime: cascade decays containing multiple photons s.Gori e.g.,  $pp \rightarrow \chi_1^{\pm}\chi_{3,4}^0 \rightarrow (\chi_2^0 W^{\pm})(\chi_2^0 h) \rightarrow (\chi_1^0 \gamma)(\chi_1^0 \gamma) W^{\pm} h$  Roy, Wagner, 2401.08917

 $q(\ell)$ 

 $W^*$ 

## Long-lived-particles (LLPs)

#### Long-lived-particles generically arise in dark sector models.

#### NP particles can have a width that is suppressed by

- small mass splitting
- multi-body final states
- symmetry
- high NP scale
- small coupling



#### Examples

Models to explain the origin of the EW scale SUSY: pure wino states  $\delta m_{\chi} = M_{\chi^{\pm}} - M_{\chi^0} \simeq 166 \text{MeV}$  $\tau_{\chi^{\pm}}^{-1} \propto \frac{G_F^2}{\pi} f_{\pi}^2 \ \delta m_{\chi}^3 \sim \frac{1}{6 \text{ cm}}$ 

#### Twin Higgs: glueballs

Models to explain the origin of neutrino masses Sterile neutrinos

## Long-lived-particles (LLPs)

#### Long-lived-particles generically arise in dark sector models.

#### NP particles can have a width that is suppressed by

- small mass splitting
- multi-body final states
- symmetry
- high NP scale
- small coupling

#### **Appreciable gaps**

- \* Singly produced LLPs (\*)
- \* Low-mass (< 20 GeV)</p>
- \* LLPs from Higgs decays (\*)
- High multiplicities
- displaced taus
- small displacements

\* ...

#### Examples

Models to explain the origin of the EW scale SUSY: pure wino states

$$\delta m_{\chi} = M_{\chi^{\pm}} - M_{\chi^0} \simeq 166 \mathrm{MeV}$$

$$au_{\chi^{\pm}}^{-1} \propto rac{G_F^2}{\pi} f_\pi^2 \ \delta m_\chi^3 \sim rac{1}{6 \ \mathrm{cm}}$$

#### Twin Higgs: glueballs

Models to explain the origin of neutrino masses Sterile neutrinos

## Higgs exotic decays to LLPs

 Some searches will greatly benefit from the increase in luminosity (case of low/negligible backgrounds)



Cepeda, SG, Martinez-Outschoorn, Shelton, 2111.12751

- Significant improvements in sensitivity of many searches could be possible in the future with potential improvements in
- timing (Liu, Liu, Wang, 1805.05957, ...);
- triggers (Gershtein, 1705.04321,
   Gershtein et al., 2012.07864...);
- analysis strategies (e.g. Csaki et al, 1508.01522, ...).

## Higgs exotic decays to LLPs



Some searches will greatly benefit

Cepeda, SG, Martinez-Outschoorn, Shelton, 2111.12751

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Decay	Mode	Mod	Reference	Method	$\sqrt{s}$ (TeV)	$\int \mathcal{L}$ (fb <sup>-1</sup> )	m (GeV)	<i>cτ</i> (m)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	SM+s: $h \rightarrow ss$ or $s + X$ , s long-lived									
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	bbbb	Wh/Zh	Wh/2	ATLAS [76]	prompt reinterp.	13	36.1	20-60	$10^{-4} - 10^{-2}$	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} ggF & LHCb [94] & disp. jets & 7,8 & 2.0 & 25-50 & 10^{-3} - \frac{10^{-3}}{2} - 10^$	bbbb									
$\begin{array}{c cccccccc} sgF & ATLAS [99] & ID+MS DVs & 13 & 117 & 15-55 & 10^{-3} & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 &$	cccc	ggF	ggF	LHCb [94]	disp. jets	7,8	2.0	25-50	$10^{-3} - 10^{-1}$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8888									
$\begin{array}{c cccc} dddd & 2n & CMS [35] & 2+disp. jets & 13 & 111 & 1555 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline bbbb & Zh & ATLAS [96] & Z+disp. jets & 13 & 139 & 16-55 & 10^{-3} \\ \hline bbbb & ggF & CMS [97] & disp. jets & 13 & 132 & 15-55 & 10^{-3} \\ \hline bbbb & cccc & ggF & ATLAS [98] & CalRatio & 13 & 10.8, 33.0 & 5-55 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & cccc & ggF & ATLAS [99] & ID+MS DVs & 13 & 33.0 & 8-55 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & ggF & CMS [100] & hadronic MS & 13 & 137 & 7-55 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline t\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline t\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline t\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline ttrt & bbbb & cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline ttrt & bbbb & ccccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline ttrt & t$	bbbb	Zh	CMS [05]	7 dian inte	19	117	15 55	10-3 1		
$\begin{array}{c cccc} bbbb & Zh & ATLAS [96] & Z+disp. jets & 13 & 139 & 16-55 & 10^{-3} \\ \hline bbbb & \\ dddd & ggF & CMS [97] & disp. jets & 13 & 132 & 15-55 & 10^{-3} \\ \hline dddd & bbbb & \\ cccc & ggF & ATLAS [98] & CalRatio & 13 & 10.8, 33.0 & 5-55 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [99] & ID+MS DVs & 13 & 33.0 & 8-55 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [99] & ID+MS DVs & 13 & 33.0 & 8-55 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline dddd & ggF & CMS [100] & hadronic MS & 13 & 137 & 7-55 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline t\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline t\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} \\ \hline cccc & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	dddd		211	Owo [so]	Z+disp. Jets	19	117	10-00	10 -1	
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} bbbb \\ dddd \\ ggF \\ cccc \\ ggF \\ ATLAS [98] \\ CalRatio \\ 13 \\ 10.8, 33.0 \\ 10.8, 33.0 \\ 5-55 \\ 10^{-1} - 1 \\ 10^{-1$	bbbb	Zh	Zh	ATLAS [96]	Z+disp. jets	13	139	16-55	$10^{-3} - 1$	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} dddd & ggF & CMS [57] & disp. jets & 10 & 102 & 1050 & 10 \\ \hline dddd & bbbb \\ ccccc & ggF & ATLAS [98] & CalRatio & 13 & 10.8, 33.0 & 5-55 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline t\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline dddd & ggF & CMS [100] & hadronic MS & 13 & 137 & 7-55 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline t\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline t\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [102] & MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [102] & MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [102] & MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [102] & MS2 DV & 13 & 36^{-1} - & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [102] & MS2 DV & 13 & 36^{-1} - & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1 + & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1 + & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	bbbb	ggF	CMS [97]	dien jete	13	132	15-55	$10^{-3} - 10$		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	dddd		861	Owno [51]	uisp. jeta	10	102	10-00	10 = 10	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} ggF & ATLAS [98] & CalRatio & 13 & 10.8, 33.0 & 5-55 & 10^{-1} - \frac{10^{-1}}{10^{-1}} \\ \hline \\$	bbbb									
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	cccc	ggF	ggF	ATLAS [98]	CalRatio	13	10.8, 33.0	5-55	$10^{-1} - 10^3$	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} bbbb\\ ccccc\\ ggF\\ \hline TTTT\\ \hline bbbb\\ dddd\\ ggF\\ CMS [100]\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} hadronic MS\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 13\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 13\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 33.0\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 8-55\\ \hline 10^{-1} - 1\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 14-55\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 13\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 137\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 7-55\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 10^{-1} - 1\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 7-55\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 10^{-1} - 1\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 13\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 137\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 7-55\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 10^{-1} - 1\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 13\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 13\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 137\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 7-55\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 10^{-1} - 1\\ \hline mmodel{matrix} 13\\ \hline mmodel{m$	$\tau \tau \tau \tau$									
$\begin{array}{ccccc} ggF & ATLAS [99] & ID+MS DVs & 13 & 33.0 & 8-55 & 10^{-1} - \frac{10^{-1}}{10^{-1}} \\ \hline \\$	bbbb									
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \tau \tau \tau \tau \\ \hline bbbb \\ dddd \\ ggF \\ \hline CMS [100] \\ hadronic MS \\ 13 \\ 137 \\ \hline 7-55 \\ 7-55 \\ 7-55 \\ 7-55 \\ 10^{-1} - 7-55 \\ 7-55 \\ 7-55 \\ 7-55 \\ 10^{-1} - 7-55 \\ 7-$	cccc	ggF	ggF	ATLAS [99]	ID+MS DVs	13	33.0	8-55	$10^{-1} - 10$	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} bbbb \\ dddd \\ ggF \\ \hline CMS [100] \\ tr \tau \tau \tau \\ \hline bbbb \\ ccccc \\ ggF \\ TT \tau \\ \hline bbbb \\ ccccc \\ ggF \\ ATLAS [101] \\ MS1+MS2 \\ DV \\ 13 \\ 36.1 \\ 5-40 \\ 10^{-1} - 10^{-1} $	$\frac{\tau \tau \tau \tau}{\tau}$									
$\begin{array}{c cccc} dddd & ggF & CMS [100] & hadronic MS & 13 & 137 & 7-55 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb \\ ccccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \\ \hline \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & \\ \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \hline bbbb & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$	6666					10	105	14-55	10 1 101	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \tau\tau\tau\tau & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	dddd	ggF	ggF	CMS [100]	hadronic MS	13	137	7-55	$10^{-1} - 10^{4}$	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \frac{\tau\tau\tau\tau}{bbbb} & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & &$	$\frac{\tau \tau \tau \tau}{1}$							7-55		
$\begin{array}{c} cccc & ggF & ATLAS [101] & MS1+MS2 DV & 13 & 36.1 & 5-40 & 10^{-1} - \frac{\tau\tau\tau\tau}{bbbb} \\ \hline \\ bbbb & & & \\ \hline \\ D & & & \\ \end{array}$	0000	n P	E	ATTLAC [101]	MC1 - MC0 DW	19	26.1	F 40	10-1 103	
	cccc	ggr	ggr	ATLAS [101]	M51+M52 DV	15	30.1	5-40	10 - 10	
	TTTT bbbb									
	0000	or F	ort	ATT AS [102]	MS2 DV	12	130	5 55	10-1 102	
$\begin{bmatrix} cccc & ggr & ATLAS [102] & MS2 DV & 15 & 159 & 555 & 10 & - \\ \tau \tau \tau \tau & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	7777	ggr	ggr	ATLAS [102]	M32 DV	15	109	0-00	10 - 10	
	$e_{\mu+X}$									
$\mu\mu+X$ or E CMS [103] disp leptons 13 113-118 30-50 $10^{-3}$	$\mu \mu + X$	ooF	ooF	CMS [103]	disp leptons	13	113-118	30-50	$10^{-3} - 10^{1}$	
ee+X and $[100]$ and $[100]$ and $[100]$ and $[100]$ and $[100]$	ee + X	86*	661		casp. reposts	10	110 110	0000	10 10	

Most searches are done requiring  $\geq$ 1 displaced particles.

 $h \rightarrow S_1S_2$  Many new opportunities for 1 displaced particle +  $E_T$  or visible.

## An example scenario: twin Higgs models



Several studies for  $h \rightarrow 0^{++} 0^{++}$ , with  $0^{++}$  decaying to two displaced SM fermions (0<sup>++</sup> mixes with the Higgs).

## An example scenario: twin Higgs models



Several studies for  $h \rightarrow 0^{++} 0^{++}$ , with  $0^{++}$  decaying to two displaced SM fermions ( $0^{++}$  mixes with the Higgs).

What about decays containing heavier glueballs? e.g.  $h \rightarrow 0^{++} 2^{++}$ . Typically, heavier glueballs have a much longer life time  $\implies$  invisible to LHC detectors.

Tools have been developed for parton shower and hadronization in dark QCD theories: Curtin, Gemmell, Verhaaren, 2202.12899; Batz, Cohen, Curtin, Gemmell, Kribs, 2310.13731, ...



#### New light (sub-GeV) dark particles

## Why sub-GeV New Physics?



## Why sub-GeV New Physics?



## Why sub-GeV New Physics?



2. Can the strong CP problem be addressed by heavier axions (or axion-like-particles)?
YES!

Can SM neutrino masses be generated in theories with **lighter sterile neutrinos**? YES!

Extended QCD sectors can do that. (Easier to address the <u>axion</u> <u>quality problem</u> with heavier axions and lower f<sub>a</sub>.)

(e.g., Agrawal, Howe, 1710.04213; Foster, Kumar, Safdi, Soreq, 2208.10504, ...) Inverse or linear seesaw models can do that (lepton number is an approximate symmetry)

(e.g., Mohapatra, Valle, '86, Akhmedov, et al, 9507275, ...)

## **Advances in meson factories**

A big jump in luminosity is expected in the coming years

## **Advances in meson factories**

A big jump in luminosity is expected in the coming years

#### **Past/Present**

#### **Future**

Pionfactories
PIENU experiment at TRIUMF: ~10<sup>11</sup> pi<sup>+</sup> PIONEER experiment at PSI

(phase 1 approved. Data in ~2028(?)): ~10<sup>12</sup> pi<sup>+</sup>

 E949
 at BNL: ~10<sup>12</sup> K+

 Kaon E391
 at KEK: ~10<sup>12</sup> KL

 factories
 E391
 at KEK: ~10<sup>12</sup> KL

<u>NA62</u> at CERN: ~10<sup>13</sup> K<sup>+</sup> <u>KOTO</u> at JPARC: ~10<sup>14</sup> K<sub>L</sub>

LHCb: ~30 times more b quarks will be produced by the end of the LHC; Belle-II: ~50 times more BB-pairs will be produced.

Bfactories LHCb: more than ~ 5\*10<sup>12</sup> b quarks produced so far; Belle (running until 2010): ~10<sup>9</sup> BB-pairs were produced.

## **Advances in meson factories**

A big jump in luminosity is expected in the coming years

#### **Past/Present**

Pionfactories

**<u>PIENU experiment</u>** at TRIUMF: ~10<sup>11</sup> pi+

Kaonfactories E949 at BNL: ~10<sup>12</sup> K<sup>+</sup> E391 at KEK: ~10<sup>12</sup> KL

Bfactories

HC,

**LHCb:** more than  $\sim 5^{*}10^{12}$  b quarks produced so far; **Belle** (running until 2010): ~10<sup>9</sup> BB-pairs were produced.

#### **Future**

**PIONEER experiment** at PSI (phase 1 approved. Data in ~2028(?)): ~10<sup>12</sup> pi+

Proton fixed target: 1018; 1020 pi+

**NA62** at CERN: ~10<sup>13</sup> K<sup>+</sup>

KOTO at JPARC: ~10<sup>14</sup> K

Proton fixed target:  $10^{17}$ ;  $10^{20}$  K<sup>+</sup>, K<sub>L</sub>

**LHCb:** ~30 times more b quarks will be produced by the end of the LHC; **Belle-II:** ~50 times more BB-pairs will be produced.

Proton fixed target: 10<sup>8</sup>; 10<sup>13</sup> B

collidersi future **Proton fixed target** experiments also produce a huge statistics of mesons.

E.g., DarkQuest (120 GeV protons, 2\*10<sup>18</sup> POT); SHiP (400 GeV protons, 2\*10<sup>20</sup> POT) S.Gori

## **Sterile neutrinos at meson factories**

Several meson decays can lead to a sizable production of sterile neutrinos. E.g.,  $B \rightarrow X \mid N, \pi \rightarrow \mid N, D_s \rightarrow \mu N, ...$ 



## Sterile neutrinos at meson factories

Several meson decays can lead to a sizable production of sterile neutrinos. E.g.,  $B \rightarrow X \mid N, \pi \rightarrow N, D_s \rightarrow \mu N, \dots$ 



S.Gori

### ALP EFTs at high intensity/energy experiments

see e.g. Brivio et al, 1701.05379 Bauer et al, 1708.00443, 1808.10323, ...

High energy colliders (LHC, Tevatron, LEP, future colliders) see e.g. Calibbi et al, 2006.04795 Panci et al, 2209.03371, ...

Low energy flavor experiments (Mu3e, MEG-II, ...)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -rac{g_{ag}}{4} a \, G^a_{\mu
u} ilde{G}^{a\mu
u} - rac{g_{aW}}{4} a \, W^a_{\mu
u} ilde{W}^{a\mu
u} - rac{g_{aB}}{4} a \, B_{\mu
u} ilde{B}^{\mu
u} + i g_{af} (\partial_\mu a) (ar{f} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 f)$$

Fixed target (beam dump) experiments (proton, electron, photons)

see e.g., Dobrich et al, 1512.03069 Harland-Lang et al, 1902.04878, ... Meson factories (pion, Kaon, and B-mesons)

see e.g., Bauer et al, 2110.10698 Altmannshofer, Dror, SG, 2209.00665, ...

### Neutral & charged current meson decays to ALPs

#### Flavor changing neutral current

They arise in models with

- \* ALPs mixed with SM neutral pions (e.g.  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \implies K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a$ )
- \* ALPs coupling to W or tops



\* ALPs coupling to leptons (higher loop)  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 a$   $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a$   $B \rightarrow Ka$ \* Flavor violating ALPs

#### S.Gori Most studied (both th. and exp.)

#### **Charged current**



$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \overline{(\partial_{\mu}a)}_{m_{e}} \left[ \overline{e}\gamma^{\mu} \left( \overline{g}_{ee} + g_{ee}\gamma_{5} \right) e + g_{\nu}\overline{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}\nu \right] \right] \\ \mathcal{L} &= -a\partial_{\mu}j_{PQ}^{\mu} \\ \partial_{\mu}j_{PQ}^{\mu} &= g_{\ell\ell}(\overline{\ell}i\gamma_{5}\ell) & \underbrace{\text{"Standard"}}_{\text{vertex}} \right]_{e}^{\mu} \\ &+ \frac{e^{2}}{16\pi^{2}m_{\ell}} \frac{\overline{g}_{\ell\ell} - g_{\ell\ell} + g_{\nu_{\ell}}}{4s_{W}^{2}} W_{\mu\nu}^{+}\overline{W}^{-,\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{e^{2}}{16\pi^{2}m_{\ell}} \frac{\overline{g}_{\ell\ell} - g_{\ell\ell}(1 - 4s_{W}^{2})}{2c_{W}s_{W}} F_{\mu\nu}\overline{Z}^{\mu\nu} - g_{\ell\ell}F_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu} + \\ &+ \frac{e^{2}}{16\pi^{2}m_{\ell}} \frac{\overline{g}_{\ell\ell}(1 - 4s_{W}^{2}) - g_{\ell\ell}(1 - 4s_{W}^{2} + 8s_{W}^{4}) + g_{\nu}}{8s_{W}^{2}c_{W}^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}m_{\ell}} (g_{\ell\ell} - \overline{g}_{\ell\ell} + g_{\nu_{\ell}})(\overline{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}\nu)W_{\mu}^{-} \\ & \underbrace{\text{Weak}}_{\text{vertex}} W_{\nu}^{-e} \\ & \text{(only present for} \\ & \mathbf{SU(2) weak-violating models)} \\ & \overline{g}_{ee} - g_{ee} - g_{\nu} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -a\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{PQ}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -a\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{P} - -a\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{P} + -a\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{P} - -a\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{P} + -a\partial_$$



Gray: neutral current decays  $K \rightarrow \pi a$ ,  $B \rightarrow K a$ Blue:  $\pi \rightarrow eva \rightarrow ev(ee)$ , interpretation from SINDRUM search, '80s Red:  $K \rightarrow eva \rightarrow ev(ee)$ , interpretation from E865, '00s Green:  $W \rightarrow eva \rightarrow ev(ee)$  exotic W boson decay Searches yet!

S.Gori

## Flavor violating QCD axion

Kaon factories **can probe the QCD axion**, in the case of additional flavor violating couplings:

access to very low masses (sub-eV):

$${\cal L} \supset {\partial_\mu a\over 2f_a} C^V_{sd}(ar s \gamma^\mu d)$$



### Dark particles at proton beam dump experiments



Dark particles can be produced from **meson decays or radiated** from the high intensity (primary or secondary) beam.

Many searches can be performed in the coming years...

### Dark particles at proton beam dump experiments



## Take home messages

Dark sectors - i.e. new particles not charged under the SM gauge symmetries - very often arise in well-motivated beyond the SM theories.

They can address open problems in particle physics (origin of Dark matter, the hierarchy problem, the strong CP problem, the origin of neutrino masses, ...)

- There are numerous gaps in the experimental exploration of dark sectors, both at the electroweak-TeV scale and at the sub-GeV scale.
- The LHC will have many opportunities to test these theories in the coming years, looking for exotics, soft objects, displaced particles, new SUSY signatures, ...
- Meson factories and fixed target experiments will be able to probe new scenarios with sub-GeV dark particles.



## **Testing the thermal DM framework**



Let's put all these experiments together and see how far we get in testing the thermal freeze-out mechanism



## **Testing the thermal DM framework**



Let's put all these experiments together and see how far we get in testing the **thermal freeze-out mechanism** 



## **Invisible dark sectors at B-factories**

The dark Higgs, S, can decay (invisibly) to dark matter particles,  $\chi$ , and then...



# **Historical note:** Discoveries of new particles at fixed target experiments

## History of incredibly successful colliding beam experiments



## New particle discoveries were done at **fixed target experiments**, as well!

#### E288 Collaboration:

OBSERVATION OF A DIMUON RESONANCE AT 9.5 GeV IN 400 GeV PROTON-NUCLEUS COLLISIONS

S. W. Herb, D. C. Hom, L. M. Lederman, J. C. Sens, H. D. Snyder, and J. K. Yoh Columbia University, New York, New York 10027

and

J. A. Appel, B. C. Brown, C. N. Brown W. R. Innes, K. Ueno, and T. Yamanouchi Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

and

bottom quark A. S. Ito, H. Jöstlein, D. M. Kaplan, and R. D. Kephart discovery State University of New York at Stony Brook Stony Brook, New York 11794

 $\Upsilon = ar{b}b$ 

July 1977

(The bottom quark was first described theoretically in 1973 by Kobayashi and Maskawa to explain CP violation)



### A new proposal for Fermilab: the DarkQuest experiment



Nuclear physics: Measuring the Drell-Yan muon process for studies of the proton structure Particle Physics: Visible dark sector searches (any visible)

Initial proposal: Berlin, SG, Schuster, Toro, 1804.00661

 $rac{\left(\partial_{\mu}a
ight)}{\left[ar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\left(ar{g}_{ee}+g_{ee}\gamma_{5}
ight)e+g_{
u}ar{
u}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}
u
ight]}$ 



Gray: neutral current decays  $K \rightarrow \pi a$ Blue:  $\pi \rightarrow eva \rightarrow ev(ee)$ , interpretation from SINDRUM search, '80s Red:  $K \rightarrow eva \rightarrow ev(ee)$ , interpretation from E865, '00s Green:  $W \rightarrow eva \rightarrow ev(ee)$  exotic W boson decay S.Gori

Backup

## The strong CP problem & the QCD axion

The strong interactions have a puzzling problem, which became particularly clear with the development of QCD in the 70s.

Why in QCD is the CP symmetry not very badly broken?

 $\mathcal{L}_{
m QCD} \supset ar{m{ heta}} rac{g^2}{32\pi^2} G_{\mu
u} ilde{G}^{\mu
u}$  numbers

Strong experimental bound on the neutron electric dipole moment implies a very small parameter:  $|d_n| \leq 10^{-26} e \text{ cm} \implies \bar{\theta} \leq 10^{-10}$ 

Peccei-Quinn solution (Phys.Rev.Lett. 38 (1977) 1440-1443): the Lagrangian of SM is augmented by axion interactions  $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{a}{f_a} \frac{1}{32\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$ At the minimum of the axion potential  $\bar{\theta} = 0$  Additional interesting property: the QCD axion can be a **DM candidate**!

A problem

of small

## (1) Inelastic DM and singly produced LLP

#### Inelastic Dark Matter (IDM) models:

Tucker-Smith, Weiner, 0101138



## (1) Inelastic DM and singly produced LLP

Tucker-Smith, Weiner, 0101138

Inelastic Dark Matter (IDM) models:

 $-\mathcal{L} \supset m_D \eta \xi + \frac{1}{2} \delta_\eta \eta^2 + \frac{1}{2} \delta_\xi \xi^2 + \text{h.c.} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{2-component Weyl spinors} \\ \text{with opposite charge under U(1)'} \end{array}$  $\mathcal{L} \supset rac{\imath e_D m_D}{\sqrt{m_D^2 + (\delta_{\xi} - \delta_{\eta})^2/4}} A'_{\mu} (\bar{\chi}_1 \gamma^{\mu} \chi_2 - \bar{\chi}_2 \gamma^{\mu} \chi_1)$ dark **Recent**: first di-muon jet search, CMS, 2305.11649 photon  $10^{-1}$ **\*** Freeze-out:  $X_1 X_2 \rightarrow SM$ LEP = RDM  $\chi_1$  $10^{-2}$  $\chi_2$  $\hat{\Omega}_{X1}$ \* <u>Signatures</u> in our labs:  $\epsilon$  10<sup>-3</sup> BaBar *C* (timine) FASER  $\Gamma(\chi_2) \rightarrow \chi_1 e^+ e^-) = rac{4\epsilon^2 lpha_{
m em} \ lpha_D \ \Delta^5 m_1^5}{15\pi m_{A'}^4}$  $10^{-4}$ MATHUSLA Belle II generically  $10^{-5}$ **long-lived** (because of the small mass splitting,  $\Delta$ ) 10  $10^{2}$ m<sub>1</sub> [GeV] Berlin, Kling, 1810.01879  $pp \rightarrow A' \rightarrow \chi_2 \chi_1, \ \chi_2 \rightarrow e^+ e^- \chi_1$ new opportunity trigger based on timing Backup Liu, Liu, Wang, 1805.05957

S.Gori

### **Complementarity with <u>neutral current</u> decays**

**Neutral current** meson decays are also generated at the 2 or 3-loop level (suppressed by CKM elements as well)



#### Main bounds: reinterpreting past data

NA62, 2103.15389:  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ + (a \rightarrow \text{ invisible})$ 

KTeV, 0309072:  $K_L \to \pi^0(a \to e^+e^-)$ m<sub>ee</sub> in (140, 363) MeV

777 @ BNL, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 2832–2835:  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ (a \rightarrow e^+ e^-) \text{ m}_a < 100 \text{ MeV}$ 

see also Alves, Weiner, 1710.03764

LHCb, 1501.03038: 
$$B^0 
ightarrow K^{(*)0}(a 
ightarrow e^+e^-)$$

### **Complementarity with <u>neutral current</u> decays**

**Neutral current** meson decays are also generated at the 2 or 3-loop level (suppressed by CKM elements as well)





Backup