The computation of the non-global logarithms with jet grooming techniques for Z+jet process



Safa Gaid with Pr. Yazid Delenda University of Batna 1 Algeria Dr.Rachik Soualah Khalifa University of Science and Technology ICHEP2024

17-24 July 2024 Prague

Motivation

- Among the major goals of the LHC is the discovery of new particles and the making of precision measurements (eg. EW couplings, masses, ...).
- The production of heavy Z/W bosons provide a good environment for such precision measurements.
- Jet substructure tools are widely used to achieve clean signal extraction from larger backgrounds



- Many observable distributions are logarithmically enhanced requiring resummation, usually achieved by parton showers
- Analytical treatment provides more insight (e.g. perturative accuracy, logarithmic accuracy, removing double counting in matching vs. merging)
- For non-trivial resummation (e.g. non-global observables) we employ the large-N_c approximation , also used in MC partons showers.

Substructure in a nutshell

- Jets can result from QCD partons or from the decay of heavy particles Sufficiently boosted heavy particles can result in fat jets with substructure
- Energy deposit in the jet is influenced by the originating splitting partons
- The soft emitter will create 1-prong energy while the hard one will create 2-prongs of energy
- The picture will be mudded by non-perturbative contributions (pile-up , UV, hadronization)
- Jet substructure procedure takes two steps: STEP 1
- → Grooming: which is cleaning the jet from soft emissions
- → Re-defines the new jet with a new jet-Radius

STEP 2

→ Tagging which is identify the features of hard decays and cut on them

Jet grooming technique: Trimming

- In this work we use the Trimming method [<u>Arxiv: 0912.1342</u>]
- Starts by clustering the partons into jets using anti-kt with a large **R**
- Recluster the constituents of a jet with a smaller radius R_{Trimming}
- Selection criteria: sub-jets with $p_T^i / p_T^{jet} < f_{cut}$ are removed
- \boldsymbol{f}_{cut} is a fixed parameter and \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{i} is the transverse momentum of the sub-jet i
- Recombine the sub-jets and form a trimmed jet



Non-global observables and non-global logarithms

- Non-global observables are those sensitive to emissions in restricted regions of phase-space, e.g. the jet mass observable.
 They are associated with so called Non-Global Logs.
- Those logs occur due to mis-cancellation of real/virtual contributions from secondary non-Abelian emissions
- While some jet substructure methods remove NGLs (e.g. Prunning, Soft-drop), the trimming groomer does not.
- To achieve the NLL resummation for the trimmed jet mass distribution, NGLs must be treated.



Resummation

- Many observables distributions suffer from the presence of large logarithms impeding perturbative convergence. Resummation to all orders is required.
- For many observables, we can organize the resummed distribution as:

 $\sigma(V) \propto \exp\left[L g_1(\alpha_s L) + g_2(\alpha_s L) + \alpha_s g_3(\alpha_s L) + \alpha_s^2 g_4(\alpha_s L)\right]$

L: *are the large logs which depend on the observable V*

- g1 resums LL, g2 resums NLL, g3 resums NNLL, and so on
- Resummation of non-global logs is usually achieved using Monte Carlo approach in the large $N_{\rm C}$ limit

NGLs contribution to the jet mass distribution

• The integrated jet mass distribution is written as

$$\sigma(\rho) = \sum_{\delta} \int dB_{\delta} \frac{d\sigma_{0\delta}}{dB_{\delta}} \Theta_{\mathcal{B}} f_{\mathcal{B},\delta}(\rho) S_{\delta}(\rho) C_{\delta}(\rho) \left(1 + \alpha_s C_1(B_{\delta}) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)\right)$$

The ungroomed NGLs numerical resummation is parameterized as a series in the exponent:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(i\ell)}^{\text{ungr}}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{C}_{i\ell}}{C_{A}}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\mathcal{I}_{i\ell}^{(n)}\,\frac{(-2C_{A}t)^{n}}{n!}\right)$$

With:
$$t(L) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\beta_0} \ln(1 - 2\alpha_s\beta_0 L)$$

NGLs contribution to the jet mass distribution

• The integrated jet mass distribution is written as



NGLs contribution after trimming

• The resummation of NGLs to all orders in the large N_C approximation, modified to include trimming.

$$S_{\delta}^{\text{trim}}(t) = \Theta(t_{\text{cut}} - t)S_{\delta}^{\text{ungr}}(t) + \Theta(t - t_{\text{cut}})S_{\delta}^{\text{ungr}}(t_{\text{cut}}) \exp\left(-\sum_{(i\ell)} \frac{C_{i\ell}}{C_A} \sum_{n=2} \tilde{I}_{i\ell}^{(n)} \frac{(-2C_A[t - t_{\text{cut}}])^n}{n!}\right)$$

 $t_{\rm cut} = t(L_{\rm cut})$

NGLs contribution after trimming

• The resummation of NGLs to all orders in the large N_C approximation, modified to include trimming.



• The trimmed distribution features the akt7 at small value of t. while for higher values of t is resembles more to the kt2 results

All orders resummation trimming results

- Comparison of the results for jet mass distribution before and after including NGLs
- Work is in progress to establish a comparison with parton shower results (pythia-herwig-sherpa).



Safa Gaid

All order trimming results comparison



All order trimming results comparison



All order trimming results comparison



Conclusions

- Jet grooming techniques are widely used with aim to discriminate QCD background from signal.
- The resummation to all orders is essential for distributions which receive contributions from logarithmically enhanced terms
- The non-global logs are double logs and are not analytically trivial for calculations
- The large-Nc approximation simplifies the theoretical framework, making the resummation of non-global logarithms more tractable
- Trimming affects the distribution, removing double logs in intermediate mass-region (near the peak) and freezing NGLs

Backup

jet mass distribution fixed-order

- Leading order fixed-order jet mass distribution (MCFM and Madgraph for double checking).
- MCFM is giving better results. Generating more events is needed.
- The intermediate region the distribution exhibits a single log behaviour



Differential distribution fixed-order

- We use the plots to check the consistency of the previous results
- We have good agreement between Monte-carlo and analytical results



Experimental results: CMS collaboration





doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2013)090