## Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the Standard Model

### Apostolos Pilaftsis

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

## CATCH22+2, DIAS, Dublin, 1-5 May 2024

Based on:

- P.S.B. Dev, AP, JHEP1412 (2014) 024
- AP, PRD93 (2016) 075012
- N. Darvishi, AP, PRD99 (2019) 115014; PRD101 (2020) 095008 . . .
- N. Darvishi, AP, J.-H. Yu, arXiv:2312.00882

## **Outline**:

- The Standard Theory of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking
- Brief history of symmetries for natural SM alignment
- SM Alignment in the 2HDM and Beyond
- CP Violation in 2HDM: Explicit, Spontaneous and Mixed
- Phenomenology at the LHC
- Summary

#### • The Standard Theory of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

**Higgs Mechanism in the SM:**  $SU(3)_{colour} \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ 

[P. W. Higgs '64; F. Englert, R. Brout '64.]

Higgs potential  $V(\phi)$ 

 $V(\phi) = -m^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \lambda (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^2 .$ 

Ground state:

$$\left\langle \phi \right\rangle \;=\; \sqrt{\frac{m^2}{2\lambda}} \, \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right)$$

carries weak charge, but no electric charge and colour.

Custodial Symmetry of the SM with  $g' = Y_f = 0$  and  $V(\phi)$ : [P. Sikivie, L. Susskind, M. B. Voloshin, V. I. Zakharov '80.]

$$\Phi \equiv (\phi, i\sigma^2 \phi^*) \quad \mapsto \quad \Phi' \equiv U_L \Phi U_C ,$$

with  $U_L \in \mathrm{SU}(2)_L$  and  $U_C \in \mathrm{SU}(2)_C$ , and  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_C / \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq \mathrm{SO}(4)$ .



#### On the SM Higgs-Boson at the LHC





#### • Brief history of symmetries for natural SM alignment

- Flavour unitarity of the CKM mixing matrix [Gell-Mann, Levy '60; Cabbibo '63; Kobayashi, Maskawa '73]
- GIM mechanism to explain the smallness of the strangeness-changing interaction at the quantum level (requires the existence of the *c*-quark) [Glashow, Iliopoulos, Maiani '70]
- Conditions for diagonal neutral currents in Z-boson interactions to quarks [Paschos '77]
- Natural diagonal neutral currents in Z- & multi-Higgs-boson interactions to quarks
   [Glashow, Weinberg '77]
- Renormalizable models with partial flavour non-conservation at tree level (GIM suppressed).
   [Branco, Grimus, Lavoura '96]
- Yukawa alignment in the 2HDM broken by RG effects (no global symmetry protected)
   [Pich, Tuzon '09]
- Natural Alignment Beyond the Standard Model
   [Dev, Pilaftsis '14, AP '16 & this talk]

#### • SM Alignment in the 2HDM and Beyond

• 2HDM potential

[TD Lee '73; AP, C Wagner '99; Review: Branco, Ferreira, Lavoura, Rebelo, Sher, Silva '12.]

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{V} &= -\mu_{1}^{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) - \mu_{2}^{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) - m_{12}^{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) - m_{12}^{*2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \\ &+ \lambda_{1}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + \lambda_{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{3}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{4}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{5}}{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{5}^{*}}{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + \lambda_{6}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{6}^{*}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \\ &+ \lambda_{7}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{7}^{*}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \,. \end{split}$$

• Physical (CP-conserving) spectrum:

CP-even Higgs bosons H and h; CP-odd scalar a; charged scalars  $h^{\pm}$ .

• Higgs coupling to gauge bosons V = W, Z:

$$g_{HVV} = \cos(\beta - \alpha)$$
,  $g_{hVV} = \sin(\beta - \alpha)$ ,

where  $\tan\beta = \langle \phi_2 \rangle / \langle \phi_1 \rangle$  and  $\alpha$  diagonalizes the CP-even mass matrix.

#### • Global fit to SM mis-alignment

[e.g. D. Chowdhury, O. Eberhardt, JHEP11 (2015) 052.]



Pheno favoured limit  $\beta \to \alpha$ :  $g_{HVV} = \cos(\beta - \alpha) \to g_{H_{SM}VV} = 1$ .

A. PILAFTSIS

- SM Alignment  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$ :
- (i) Decoupling:

[Georgi, Nanopoulos '79; Donoghue, Li '79; Gunion, Haber '03; Ginzburg, Krawczyk '05.]

$$M_{h}^{2} \simeq M_{a}^{2} + \lambda_{5}v^{2} \simeq M_{h^{\pm}}^{2} \gg v_{\mathsf{SM}}^{2}$$
$$M_{H}^{2} \simeq 2\lambda_{\mathsf{SM}}v^{2} - \frac{v^{4}s_{\beta}^{2}c_{\beta}^{2}}{M_{a}^{2} + \lambda_{5}v^{2}} \left[s_{\beta}^{2}\left(2\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{345}\right) - c_{\beta}^{2}\left(2\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{345}\right) + \dots\right]^{2}$$

(ii) Fine-tuning: [Krawczyk et al. '99; Carena, Low, Shah, Wagner '14; Dev, AP '14.]

$$\lambda_7 t_\beta^4 - (2\lambda_2 - \lambda_{345}) t_\beta^3 + 3(\lambda_6 - \lambda_7) t_\beta^2 + (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_{345}) t_\beta - \lambda_6 = 0$$

(iii) Natural SM Alignment (independent of  $M_{h^{\pm}}$  and  $t_{\beta}$ ): [PSB Dev, AP '14 N Darvishi, AP, J-H Yu, arXiv:2312.00882]

$$\lambda_{1} = \lambda_{2} = \frac{\lambda_{345}}{2} \quad (\text{with } \lambda_{345} \equiv \lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4} + \lambda_{5}), \qquad \lambda_{6} = \lambda_{7} = 0$$

$$1. \quad \text{Sp}(4): \qquad \lambda_{4} = \lambda_{5} = 0$$

$$2. \quad \text{SU}(2)_{\text{HF}} \times \text{U}(1)_{Y}: \qquad \lambda_{5} = 0$$

$$3. \quad \mathcal{CP} \times \text{SO}(2)_{\text{HF}} \times \text{U}(1)_{Y}: \qquad \lambda_{3,4,5} \neq 0$$

$$4. \quad \mathcal{CP} \times \text{Sp}(2)_{\phi_{1}\widetilde{\phi}_{2}} \times \text{Sp}(2)_{\phi_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{1}}: \qquad \lambda_{4} = -\lambda_{5}$$

$$5. \quad \text{SO}(2)_{\text{HF}} \times \text{Sp}(2)_{\phi_{1} + \phi_{2}}: \qquad \lambda_{4} = \lambda_{5}$$
\*Noted by Aiko, Kanemura '21
$$\underbrace{\text{Sp}(4) \text{ field multiplet:}}_{\phi_{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1} \\ \phi_{2} \\ i\sigma^{2}\phi_{1}^{*} \\ i\sigma^{2}\phi_{2}^{*} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi = C\Phi^{*}$$

#### References (an incomplete list on SM Alignment in the 2HDM)

• On the SM Higgs basis (also Decoupling of FCNC Effects): H. Georgi and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B82 (1979) 95.

#### • Alignment via Decoupling:

- J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, Phys. Rev. D67 (2003) 075019.
- I. F. Ginzburg, M. Krawczyk, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 115013.

#### • Alignment via Fine-tuning:

- P. H. Chankowski, T. Farris, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion, J. Kalinowski, M. Krawczyk, Phys. Lett. B496 (2000) 195.
- A. Delgado, G. Nardini, M. Quiros, JHEP1307 (2013) 054.
- M. Carena, I. Low, N. R. Shah, C. E. M. Wagner, JHEP1404 (2014) 015.

#### • Natural Alignment without Decoupling and without Fine-tuning:

- P.S.B. Dev, AP, JHEP1412 (2014) 024.
- B. Grzadkowski, O. M. Ogreid, P. Osland, Phys. Rev. D94 (2016) 115002.

#### **References** (an incomplete list on symmetries in the 2HDM)

- Spontaneous CP Violation: T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. D8 (1973) 1226;
   G.C. Branco, Phys. Rev. D22 (1980) 2901;
   G.C. Branco, M.N. Rebelo, Phys. Lett. B160 (1985) 117.
- Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry: S. L. Glashow, S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D15 (1977) 1958.
- PQ U(1) symmetry: R. D. Peccei, H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 1440.
- Custodial SU(2)<sub>L</sub>-preserving symmetry:
   P. Sikivie, L. Susskind, M. B. Voloshin, V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B173 (1980) 189.
- Bilinear formalism:
   M. Maniatis, A. von Manteuffel, O. Nachtmann, F. Nagel, EPJC48 (2006) 805;
   C. C. Nishi, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 036003.
- SU(2)<sub>L</sub>⊗U(1)<sub>Y</sub>-preserving symmetries: <u>6</u>
   I. P. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. D75 (2007) 035001;
   P. M. Ferreira, H. E. Haber, J. P. Silva, Phys. Rev. D79 (2009) 116004.
- Custodial SU(2)<sub>L</sub>-preserving symmetries: <u>7</u> R. A. Battye, G. D. Brawn, AP, JHEP1108 (2011) 020.
- On completeness and uniqueness of classification: <u>6</u> + <u>7</u> = <u>13</u> AP, Phys. Lett. B706 (2012) 465.

• Maximally Symmetric Two Higgs Doublet Model [P.S.B. Dev. AP '14]  $G_{\Phi} = SU(2)_{T} \otimes Sp(4)/Z_{2} \simeq SU(2)_{T} \otimes SO(5).$  $V = -\mu^2 \Big( |\Phi_1|^2 + |\Phi_2|^2 \Big) + \lambda \left( |\Phi_1|^2 + |\Phi_2|^2 \right)^2 = -\frac{\mu^2}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right)^2,$ where [R. A. Battye, G. D. Brawn, AP, JHEP1108 (2011) 020.]  $oldsymbol{\Phi} = egin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \ \phi_2 \ i\sigma^2\phi_1^* \ i\sigma^2\phi_2^* \end{pmatrix}, ext{ with } U_L \in \ \mathsf{SU}(2)_L : oldsymbol{\Phi} \mapsto oldsymbol{\Phi}' = U_L oldsymbol{\Phi} \ ,$ 

such that under global field transformations, [AP, Phys. Lett. B706 (2012) 465.] Sp(4):  $\Phi \mapsto \Phi' = U \Phi$ , with  $U \in U(4)$  &  $UCU^{T} = C \equiv i\sigma^{2} \otimes \sigma^{0}$ 

 $SU(2)_L$  gauge kinetic terms remain invariant.

**Breaking Effects:**  $-m_{12}^2 \phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2$ , U(1)<sub>Y</sub> coupling g', Yukawa couplings  $\mathbf{Y}^{u,d}$ .

#### • Natural Alignment Beyond the 2HDM

For *n*HDM with m < n inert scalar doublets, there are still <u>3</u> continuous alignment symmetries in the **field space of the** *non-inert sector*:

(i) 
$$\operatorname{Sp}(2N_H) \times \mathcal{D}$$
; (ii)  $\operatorname{SU}(N_H) \times \mathcal{D}$ ; (iii)  $\operatorname{SO}(N_H) \times \mathcal{CP} \times \mathcal{D}$ ,

where  $N_H = n - m$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  acts on the inert sector *only*, and  $\mathcal{CP}$  is the canonical CP:  $\Phi_i(t, \mathbf{x}) \to \Phi_i^*(t, -\mathbf{x})$  (with  $i = 1, 2, ..., N_H$ ).

#### Symmetry invariants:

(i) 
$$S = \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \ldots = \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi$$
  
(ii)  $D^a = \Phi_1^{\dagger} \sigma^a \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \sigma^a \Phi_2 + \ldots$   
(iii)  $T = \Phi_1 \Phi_1^{\intercal} + \Phi_2 \Phi_2^{\intercal} + \ldots$ 

Symmetric part of the scalar potential:

$$V_{\rm sym} = -\mu^2 S + \lambda_S S^2 + \lambda_D D^a D^a + \lambda_T \,{\rm Tr}\,(T\,T^*) \,.$$

**Minimal Symmetry of Alignment:**  $Z_2^{EW} \times Z_2^{I}$ .

#### • CP Violation in 2HDM: Explicit, Spontaneous and Mixed



#### • CP Violation in Naturally Aligned (NA) 2HDM

[N. Darvishi, AP, J.-H. Yu '2023]

No.	Generators	Continuous Syms	Parameters
8	$T^{0-9}$	SO(5)	$m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2,  \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3/2.$
7	$T^{0,2,5,7,8,9}$	$CP1 \times O(4)$	$m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3/2, \ \lambda_4 = -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5).$
7'	$T^{0,1,4,6,8,9}$	$CP2 \times O(4)'$	$m_{11}^{\bar{2}^{-}} = m_{22}^{\bar{2}^{-}}, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3/2, \ \lambda_4 = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5).$
6	$T^{0,2,5,7,8,9}$	SO(4)	$m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2, \ { m Im}(m_{12}^2), \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3/2,$
6'	$T^{0,1,4,6,8,9}$	SO(4)′	$\begin{array}{l} \lambda_4 = - \ {\rm Re}(\lambda_5), \ \ {\rm Im}(\lambda_6) = \ \ {\rm Im}(\lambda_7). \\ m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2, \ \ {\rm Re}(m_{12}^2), \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3/2, \\ \lambda_4 = {\rm Re}(\lambda_5), \ {\rm Re}(\lambda_6) = {\rm Re}(\lambda_7). \end{array}$
5	$T^{0,2,4,6}$	O(2)× O(3)	$m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_{345}/2, \ \lambda_4 = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5).$
5'	$T^{0,1,5,7}$	$O(2)' \times O(3)$	$m_{11}^{\bar{2}_1} = m_{22}^{\bar{2}_2}, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \bar{\lambda}_{345}/2, \ \lambda_4 = -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5).$
4	$T^{0-3}$	$O(3)  imes O(2)_Y$	$m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_{34}/2.$
3	$T^{0,5,7}$	SO(3)	$m_{11}^2, m_{22}^2, \ \operatorname{Im}(m_{12}^2), \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 = -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5),$
3′	$T^{0,4,6}$	SO(3)′	$ \begin{array}{l} {\rm Im}(\lambda_{6}), \ {\rm Im}(\lambda_{7}). \\ m_{11}^{2}, \ m_{22}^{2}, \ {\rm Re}(m_{12}^{2}), \ \lambda_{1}, \ \lambda_{2}, \ \lambda_{3}, \ \lambda_{4} = {\rm Re}(\lambda_{5}), \\ {\rm Re}(\lambda_{6}), \ {\rm Re}(\lambda_{7}). \end{array} $
2	$T^{0,2}$	$CP1 \times O(2) \times O(2)_Y$	$m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_{345}/2.$
2'	$T^{0,1}$	$CP2 \times O(2)' \times O(2)_Y$	$m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \bar{\lambda}_{345}/2.$
1	$T^{0,2}$	$O(2) \times O(2)_Y$	$m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2, \ \text{Im}(m_{12}^2), \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_{345}/2,$
1′	$T^{0,1}$	$O(2)'  imes O(2)_Y$	$ \begin{array}{l} \lim(\lambda_{6}) = \lim(\lambda_{7}).\\ m_{11}^{2} = m_{22}^{2}, \operatorname{Re}(m_{12}^{2}), \ \lambda_{1} = \lambda_{2} = \bar{\lambda}_{345}/2,\\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{6}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{7}). \end{array} $

Abbreviations:  $\lambda_{34} \equiv \lambda_3 + \lambda_4$ ,  $\lambda_{345} (\bar{\lambda}_{345}) \equiv \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + (-) \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5)$ 

#### - CP-violating breaking patterns in NA-2HDM

[N. Darvishi, AP, J.-H. Yu '2023]

No.	Syms with NHAL	No.	Syms breaking of NHAL	Types of CPV after SB				
8	8 SO(5)							
7	CP1×O(4)	6	SO(4)	ECPV/MCPV				
7′	$CP2 \times O(4)'$	6′	SO(4)′	SCPV				
5	$O(2) \times O(3)$	6′	SO(4)'	SCPV				
5′	$O(2)' \times O(3)$	6	SO(4)	ECPV/MCPV				
4	$O(3) \times O(2)_Y$							
2	$CP1 \times O(2) \times O(2)_Y$	1	$O(2) \times O(2)_Y$	ECPV/MCPV				
2′	$CP2 \times O(2)' \times O(2)_Y$	1'	$O(2)' \times O(2)_Y$	SCPV				

SB: Soft symmetry-breaking Bilinear mass terms.

#### • EDM compatible scenarios with approximate natural alignment

[N. Darvishi, AP, J.-H. Yu '2023]

Symmetries	CPV types	aneta	ξ	$\phi_{12}$	$M_{H_1}$	$M_{H_2}$	$M_{H_3}$	$M_{H^{\pm}}$	$g_{H_1VV}$
$O(2) \times O(2)$	ECPV	1.608	0.002	-0.05	125.10	682.99	787.67	640.18	0.998
$O(2) \times O(2)Y$	MCPV	0.804	-1.93	0.27	125.21	225.11	270.50	380.54	0.989
$O(2)' \times O(2)_Y$	SCPV	0.71	0.67	0	125.52	275.23	448.01	551.38	0.957
SO(4)	ECPV	0.827	0.002	-0.02	125.28	506.93	570.58	570.59	0.995
30(4)	MCPV	0.740	-1.25	0.44	125.40	408.49	433.98	433.99	0.984
SO(4)'	SCPV	0.742	-2.42	0	125.68	216.63	265	442.21	0.948



Symmetries	CPV types	The ratio of EDM contributions over the total EDM							EDM [a, cm]
Jynnietnes		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	
$\bigcirc (2) \times \bigcirc (2)$	ECPV	5.581	-0.072	-4.711	-0.308	0.0185	0.312	0.180	$9.51 \times 10^{-30}$
$O(2) \times O(2)Y$	MCPV	2.008	-0.026	-0.942	-0.065	0.0015	0.028	-0.005	$7.67 \times 10^{-30}$
$O(2)'  imes O(2)_Y$	SCPV	2.003	-0.026	-0.866	-0.079	0.0002	0.004	-0.037	$3.86 \times 10^{-30}$
SO(4)	ECPV	1.851	-0.021	-0.832	-0.055	0.0005	0.009	0.048	$2.87 \times 10^{-30}$
30(4)	MCPV	1.995	-0.021	-0.887	-0.077	-0.0013	-0.023	0.016	$1.07 \times 10^{-30}$
SO(4)'	SCPV	-1.211	0.014	1.875	0.172	0.0002	0.004	0.146	$3.55 \times 10^{-30}$

#### - Explcit CP Violation in NA-2HDM

[N. Darvishi, AP, J.-H. Yu '2023]





CATCH 2024

Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

A. PILAFTSIS

#### - Mixed CP Violation in NA-2HDM

#### [N. Darvishi, AP, J.-H. Yu '2023]





Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

#### - Spontaneous CP Violation in NA-2HDM

[N. Darvishi, AP, J.-H. Yu '2023]





CATCH 2024

Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

A. PILAFTSIS

#### • Phenomenology at the LHC

#### • Branching ratios in the MS-2HDM

[Dev, AP '14]



Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

• Discovery channels for aligned Higgs doublets:

$$- gg \to t\bar{b}h^- \to t\bar{b}\bar{t}b$$

[Dev, AP '14; Yvonne Peters, AP et al, PRD100 (2019) 035026]



Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

 $- gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}({m h},{m a}) \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ 

[Dev, AP '14]



A. PILAFTSIS

#### Observation of $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ with the ATLAS detector



• Other production channels for CP-violating NA Higgs bosons

 $-~gg 
ightarrow (Z^*\,,H_2\,,H_3) 
ightarrow ZH_1(+bar{b})$  [N. Darvishi, AP, J.-H. Yu '2023]



Omitted are graphs with  $H_{2,3} \rightarrow Z$  and  $H_{2,3}$  radiation from  $\overline{b}$  quarks.

#### • SUMMARY

- 5 symmetries for natural alignment without decoupling in 2HDM:

   Sp(4)
   SU(2)<sub>HF</sub>
   SO(2)<sub>HF</sub> × CP
   Sp(2)<sub>φ1φ2</sub>× Sp(2)<sub>φ2φ1</sub> × CP
   SO(2)<sub>HF</sub>× Sp(2)<sub>φ1+φ2</sub>
- Soft breaking  $\longrightarrow$  minimal alignment symmetry:  $Z_2^{EW} \times Z_2^{I}$  $\rightarrow$  Naturally aligned (NA) heavy Higgs sector is  $Z_2^{EW}$  odd.
- Three forms of CPV in 2HDM: Explicit, Spontaneous and Mixed. Maximising CPV along softly-broken symmetry directions:

   (i) SO(2)<sub>HF</sub>
   (ii) Sp(2)<sub>φ1φ2</sub>×Sp(2)<sub>φ2φ1</sub> ≃ SO(4),

   compatible with current EDM limits.
- $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  channel is a powerful probe for NA-2HDMs
- $ZH_1$  channel can test CP-violating NA Higgs bosons at the LHC.

# **Back-Up Slides**

A. PILAFTSIS

#### • Quartic Coupling Unification in the MS-2HDM

[Dev, AP '14; N. Darvishi, AP '19]

#### Symmetry-breaking of Sp(4)/Z $_2 \sim$ SO(5):

• Soft breaking (e.g. through  $m_{12}^2$ ):

$$M_H^2 = 2\lambda_2 v^2, \qquad M_h^2 = M_a^2 = M_{h^{\pm}}^2 = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(m_{12}^2)}{s_\beta c_\beta}$$

Heavy Higgs spectrum is degenerate at tree level.

• Explicit breaking through RG running (two loops):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{Sp}(4)/\operatorname{Z}_{2}\otimes\operatorname{SU}(2)_{L} & \xrightarrow{g'\neq 0} & \operatorname{SU}(2)_{\operatorname{HF}}\otimes\operatorname{U}(1)_{Y}\otimes\operatorname{SU}(2)_{L} \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Y}^{u,d}} & \operatorname{U}(1)_{\operatorname{PQ}}\otimes\operatorname{U}(1)_{Y}\otimes\operatorname{SU}(2)_{L} \\ & \xrightarrow{m_{12}^{2}} & \operatorname{U}(1)_{\operatorname{em}} \end{array}$$

#### • Quartic Coupling Unification (two loops)



First conformal unification point:  $\mu_X^{(1)} \sim 10^{11}$  GeV (of order PQ scale)

Second conformal unification point:  $\mu_X^{(2)} \sim 10^{18}$  GeV (of order  $m_{\rm Pl}$ ) [N. Darvishi, AP '19]



– Low- and high-scale quartic coupling unification: aneta vs  $\mu_X^{(1,2)}$ 



#### – Misalignment in the MS-2HDM

CP-even mass matrix in Higgs basis:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{A} & \widehat{C} \\ \widehat{C} & \widehat{B} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{seesaw}} M_H^2 \simeq \widehat{A} - \frac{\widehat{C}^2}{\widehat{B}} \& M_h^2 \simeq \widehat{B} \gg \widehat{A}, \ \widehat{C}$$

Light-to-heavy scalar mixing:

$$\theta_{\mathcal{S}} \equiv \frac{\widehat{C}}{\widehat{B}} = \frac{v^2 s_\beta c_\beta \left[ s_\beta^2 \left( 2\lambda_2 - \lambda_{34} \right) - c_\beta^2 \left( 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_{34} \right) \right]}{M_a^2 + 2v^2 s_\beta^2 c_\beta^2 \left( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_{34} \right)} \ll 1$$

Higgs couplings to V = W, Z:

$$g_{HVV} \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\mathcal{S}}^2, \qquad g_{hVV} \simeq -\theta_{\mathcal{S}}$$

Higgs couplings to quarks:

$$g_{Huu} \simeq 1 + t_{\beta}^{-1} \theta_{\mathcal{S}}, \qquad g_{Hdd} \simeq 1 - t_{\beta} \theta_{\mathcal{S}},$$
$$g_{huu} \simeq -\theta_{\mathcal{S}} + t_{\beta}^{-1}, \qquad g_{hdd} \simeq -\theta_{\mathcal{S}} - t_{\beta}.$$

Misalignment predictions in the MS-2HDM with low- and high-scale quartic coupling unification, assuming  $M_{h\pm} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ .

[N. Darvishi, AP '19]

Couplings	ATLAS	CMS	aneta=2	aneta=20	aneta=50
$ g_{HZZ}^{low-scale} $	[0.86, 1.00]	[0.90, 1.00]	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999
$ g_{HZZ}^{high ext{-scale}} $			0.9981	0.9999	0.9999
$ g_{Htt}^{low-scale} $	$1.31\substack{+0.35 \\ -0.33}$	$1.45\substack{+0.42 \\ -0.32}$	1.0049	1.0001	1.0000
$ g_{Htt}^{high ext{-scale}} $			1.0987	1.0003	1.0001
$ g_{Hbb}^{low-scale} $	$0.49 \substack{+0.26 \\ -0.19}$	$0.57\substack{+0.16 \\ -0.16}$	0.9803	0.9560	0.9590
$ g_{Hbb}^{high-scale} $			0.8810	0.9449	0.9427

 $\rightarrow$  Misalignment predictions consistent with experiment

#### • Maximally Symmetric Three Higgs Doublet Model (MS-3HDM) [N. Darvishi, M. Masouminia, AP '21]

Breaking pattern:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{Sp}(6)/\mathsf{Z}_2\otimes\mathsf{SU}(2)_L & \xrightarrow{g'\neq 0} & \mathsf{SU}(3)_{\mathsf{HF}}\otimes\mathsf{U}(1)_Y\otimes\mathsf{SU}(2)_L \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Y}^{u,d,e}} & \mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{PQ}}\otimes\mathsf{U}(1)'_{\mathsf{PQ}}\otimes\mathsf{U}(1)_Y\otimes\mathsf{SU}(2)_L \\ & \xrightarrow{\left\langle \Phi_{1,2,3}\right\rangle} & \mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{em}} \end{array}$$

#### - Quartic Coupling Unification in the MS-3HDM

[N. Darvishi, M. Masouminia, AP '21]

Input parameters:  $\tan \beta_1 = v_2/v_1$ ,  $\tan \beta_2 = v_3/\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}$ ,  $M_{h_{1,2}^{\pm}}$  and  $h_1^{\pm}h_2^{\mp}$ -mixing angle:  $\sigma$ 



Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

A. PILAFTSIS

#### - Misalignment predictions in the MS-3HDM

[N. Darvishi, M. Masouminia, AP '21]



Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM
- Scalar Mass Spectrum in the MS-3HDM [N. Darvishi, M. Masouminia, AP '21]



#### **Predictions:**

Alignment of masses:  $M_{h_1} \sim M_{a_1} \sim M_{h_1^{\pm}}$   $M_{h_2} \sim M_{a_2} \sim M_{h_2^{\pm}}$ Alignment of all heavy-sector mixing angles in the Higgs basis:  $\alpha \simeq \rho \simeq \sigma$ 

## • Accidental Symmetries in 2HDM, 2HDMEFT, and multi-HDMs

# • 2HDM potential

[TD Lee '73; AP, C Wagner '99; Review: Branco, Ferreira, Lavoura, Rebelo, Sher, Silva '12.]

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{V} &= -\mu_{1}^{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) - \mu_{2}^{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) - m_{12}^{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) - m_{12}^{*2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \\ &+ \lambda_{1}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + \lambda_{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{3}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{4}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{5}}{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{5}^{*}}{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + \lambda_{6}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{6}^{*}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \\ &+ \lambda_{7}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{7}^{*}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \,. \end{split}$$

• Physical spectrum (CP-conserving limit):

CP-even Higgs bosons H and h; CP-odd scalar a; charged scalars  $h^{\pm}$ .

• Higgs coupling to gauge bosons V = W, Z:

$$g_{HVV} = \cos(\beta - \alpha)$$
,  $g_{hVV} = \sin(\beta - \alpha)$ ,

where  $\tan \beta = \langle \phi_2 \rangle / \langle \phi_1 \rangle$  and  $\alpha$  diagonalizes the CP-even mass matrix.

### • Symmetries of the 2HDM Potential

[R. A. Battye, G. D. Brawn, AP, JHEP1108 (2011) 020.]

Introduce the  $SU(2)_L$ -covariant 8D complex field multiplet

$$oldsymbol{\Phi} \ = \left(egin{array}{c} \phi_1 \ \phi_2 \ i\sigma^2 \phi_1^* \ i\sigma^2 \phi_2^* \end{array}
ight) \ , \quad ext{with} \ \ U_L \in \ \mathsf{SU}(2)_L: \ oldsymbol{\Phi} \ \mapsto \ oldsymbol{\Phi}' \ = \ U_L \,oldsymbol{\Phi} \ .$$

 $\Phi$  satisfies the Majorana constraint

$$\Phi = \mathsf{C} \Phi^* ,$$

where C is the **charge conjugation 8D** matrix

$$\mathsf{C} = \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^0 \otimes \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_4 & \mathbf{1}_4 \\ -\mathbf{1}_4 & \mathbf{0}_4 \end{pmatrix} \otimes (-i\sigma_2) \,.$$

# • The SO(1,5) Bilinear Formalism

Introduce the *null* 6-Vector

$$\mathsf{R}^{A} \;=\; \mathbf{\Phi}^{\dagger} \, \Sigma^{A} \, \mathbf{\Phi} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1} + \phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2} \\ \phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2} + \phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1} \\ -i \left[ \phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2} - \phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1} \right] \\ \phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1} - \phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2} \\ \phi_{1}^{\dagger} i \sigma^{2} \phi_{2} - \phi_{2}^{\dagger} i \sigma^{2} \phi_{1}^{*} \\ -i \left[ \phi_{1}^{\intercal} i \sigma^{2} \phi_{2} + \phi_{2}^{\dagger} i \sigma^{2} \phi_{1}^{*} \right] \end{pmatrix} \;,$$

with  $A=\mu,\ 4,\ 5$ , and

$$\begin{split} \Sigma^{\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{\mu} & \mathbf{0}_{2} \\ \mathbf{0}_{2} & (\sigma^{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^{0} ,\\ \Sigma^{4} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2} & i\sigma^{2} \\ -i\sigma^{2} & \mathbf{0}_{2} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^{0} , \qquad \Sigma^{5} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2} & -\sigma^{2} \\ -\sigma^{2} & \mathbf{0}_{2} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^{0} . \end{split}$$

• The 2HDM Potential in the SO(1,5) Formalism

$$V_{2HDM} = -\frac{1}{2} M_A R^A + \frac{1}{4} L_{AB} R^A R^B ,$$

with

$$M_A = \left( \ \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 \,, \ \ 2 {
m Re}(m_{12}^2) \,, \ \ - 2 {
m Im}(m_{12}^2) \,, \ \ \mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2 \,, \ \ 0 \,, \ \ 0 \, 
ight) \,,$$

$$L_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 & \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_6 + \lambda_7) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_6 + \lambda_7) & \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_6 + \lambda_7) & \lambda_4 + \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_5) & \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_6 - \lambda_7) & 0 & 0 \\ -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_6 + \lambda_7) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_5) & \lambda_4 - \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_6 - \lambda_7) & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 & \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_6 - \lambda_7) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_6 - \lambda_7) & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Unitary Field Transformations:

[AP, Phys. Lett. B706 (2012) 465.]

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{Sp}(4): & \Phi \mapsto \Phi' = U \Phi, & \text{with} & U \in \mathsf{U}(4) & \underline{\mathsf{and}} & U \mathsf{C} U^\mathsf{T} = \mathsf{C} \\ \mathsf{SO}(5): & \mathsf{R}^\mathsf{I} \mapsto \mathsf{R}'^\mathsf{I} = \mathsf{O}^\mathsf{I}_\mathsf{J} \mathsf{R}^\mathsf{J}, & \text{with} & \mathsf{O} \in \mathsf{SO}(5) \subset \mathsf{SO}(1,5) \\ & \implies & \mathsf{SO}(5) \sim \mathsf{Sp}(4)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \end{array}$ 

## • Symmetry Breaking Scenarios and pseudo-Goldstone Bosons

[AP, Phys. Lett. B706 (2012) 465.]

No	Symmetry	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Generators} \\ T^a \leftrightarrow K^a \end{array}$	Discrete Group Elements	Maximally Broken SO(5) Generators	Number of Pseudo- Goldstone Bosons
1	$Z_2 \times O(2)$	$T^0$	D <sub>CP1</sub>	-	0
2	$(\mathbf{Z}_2)^2 \times \mathrm{SO}(2)$	$T^0$	$D_{Z_2}$	_	0
3	$(\mathbf{Z}_2)^3 \times \mathrm{O}(2)$	$T^0$	D <sub>CP2</sub>	_	0
4	$O(2) \times O(2)$	$T^3, T^0$	_	$T^3$	1 $(a)$
√ 5	$Z_2 \times [O(2)]^2$	$T^2, T^0$	D <sub>CP1</sub>	$T^2$	1 $(h)$
√ 6	$O(3) \times O(2)$	$T^{1,2,3}, T^0$	_	$T^{1,2}$	2  (h, a)
7	SO(3)	$T^{0,4,6}$	_	$T^{4,6}$	2 $(h^{\pm})$
8	$Z_2  imes O(3)$	$T^{0,4,6}$	$D_{Z_2} \cdot D_{CP2}$	$T^{4,6}$	2 $(h^{\pm})$
9	$(\mathbf{Z}_2)^2 \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$	$T^{0,5,7}$	$D_{CP1} \cdot D_{CP2}$	$T^{5,7}$	2 $(h^{\pm})$
10	$O(2) \times O(3)$	$T^3, T^{0,8,9}$	_	$T^3$	1 $(a)$
11	SO(4)	$T^{0,3,4,5,6,7}$	_	$T^{3,5,7}$	$3$ $(a, h^{\pm})$
12	$Z_2 \times O(4)$	$T^{0,3,4,5,6,7}$	$D_{Z_2} \cdot D_{CP2}$	$T^{3,5,7}$	$3 (a, h^{\pm})$
<b>√</b> 13	SO(5)	$T^{0,1,2,,9}$	-	$T^{1,2,8,9}$	4 $(h, a, h^{\pm})$

 $\checkmark$ : Natural SM Alignment  $\mapsto$ 

[Dev, AP, JHEP1412 (2014) 024.]

### • Symmetries in 2HDMEFTs

[C Birch-Sykes, N Darvishi, Y Peters, AP, NPB960 (2020) 115171]

$$V_{2\text{HDMEFT}} = -\frac{1}{2} M_A R^A + \frac{1}{4} L_{AB} R^A R^B$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} K_{ABC} R^A R^B R^C + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} Z_{ABCD} R^A R^B R^C R^D + \cdots$$

No. of couplings:  $N^{(\dim=2n)} = \frac{1}{6}(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)$ 

 $N^{(\dim \le 4)} = 14, \quad N^{(\dim \le 6)} = 34, \quad N^{(\dim \le 8)} = 69, \ \dots, \ N^{(\dim \le 20)} = 1000$ 

Symmetry restrictions:

$$M_{A} [T^{a}]_{A}^{A'} = 0, \quad L_{A'B} [T^{a}]_{A}^{A'} + L_{AB'} [T^{a}]_{B}^{B'} = 0,$$
  

$$K_{A'BC} [T^{a}]_{A}^{A'} + K_{AB'C} [T^{a}]_{B}^{B'} + K_{ABC'} [T^{a}]_{C}^{C'} = 0,$$
  

$$Z_{A'BCD} [T^{a}]_{A}^{A'} + Z_{AB'CD} [T^{a}]_{B}^{B'} + Z_{ABC'D} [T^{a}]_{C}^{C'} + Z_{ABCD'} [T^{a}]_{D}^{D'} = 0,$$

where  $T^a \in \mathfrak{g}$  are the generators of the symmetry subgroup  $G \subseteq SO(5)$ .

No.	Symmetry	Non-zero parameters of Symmetric 2HDMEFT Potential		
1	CP1	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_{1}^{2},  \mu_{2}^{2},  \operatorname{Re}(m_{12}^{2}),  \lambda_{1},  \lambda_{2},  \lambda_{3},  \lambda_{4},  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{5}),  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{6}),  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{7}) \\ \kappa_{1},  \ldots,  \kappa_{6},  \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_{7},  \ldots,  \kappa_{13}) \\ \zeta_{1},  \ldots,  \zeta_{9},  \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{10},  \ldots,  \zeta_{22}) \end{array} $	$D \ge 4$	
2	$Z_2$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_1^2,  \mu_2^2,  \lambda_1,  \lambda_2,  \lambda_3,  \lambda_4,  \lambda_5 \\ \kappa_1,  \kappa_2,  \kappa_3,  \kappa_4,  \kappa_5,  \kappa_6,  \kappa_8,  \kappa_9 \\ \zeta_1,  \zeta_2,  \zeta_3,  \zeta_4,  \zeta_5  \zeta_6,  \zeta_7,  \zeta_8,  \zeta_9,  \zeta_{10},  \zeta_{13},  \zeta_{14},  \zeta_{15},  \zeta_{16} \end{array} $	$D \ge 4$	
3	$Z_3$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_1^2,  \mu_2^2,  \lambda_1,  \lambda_2,  \lambda_3,  \lambda_4 \\ \kappa_1,  \kappa_2,  \kappa_3,  \kappa_4,  \kappa_5,  \kappa_6,  \kappa_7 \\ \zeta_1,  \zeta_2,  \zeta_3,  \zeta_4,  \zeta_5,  \zeta_6,  \zeta_7,  \zeta_8,  \zeta_9,  \zeta_{11},  \zeta_{12} \end{array} $	$D \ge 6$	
4	$Z_4$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_1^2,  \mu_2^2,  \lambda_1,  \lambda_2,  \lambda_3,  \lambda_4 \\ \kappa_1,  \kappa_2,  \kappa_3,  \kappa_4,  \kappa_5,  \kappa_6 \\ \zeta_1,  \zeta_2,  \zeta_3,  \zeta_4,  \zeta_5,  \zeta_6,  \zeta_7,  \zeta_8,  \zeta_9,  \zeta_{10} \end{array} $	$D \ge 8$	
5	$\rm CP2$	$ \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \lambda_1 = \lambda_2,  \lambda_3,  \lambda_4,  \lambda_5,  \lambda_6 = -\lambda_7 \\ \kappa_1 = \kappa_2,  \kappa_3 = \kappa_4,  \kappa_5 = \kappa_6,  \kappa_8 = \kappa_9,  \kappa_{11} = -\kappa_{12} \\ \zeta_1 = \zeta_2,  \zeta_3,  \zeta_4 = \zeta_5,  \zeta_6,  \zeta_7 = \zeta_8,  \zeta_9, \\ \zeta_{10},  \zeta_{11} = -\zeta_{12},  \zeta_{13},  \zeta_{14} = \zeta_{15},  \zeta_{16},  \zeta_{17} = -\zeta_{18}, \\ \zeta_{19} = -\zeta_{20},  \zeta_{21} = -\zeta_{22} $	$D \ge 4$	
6	CP3	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1 = \mu_2,  \lambda_1 = \lambda_2,  \lambda_3,  \lambda_4 \\ \kappa_1 = \kappa_2,  \kappa_3 = \kappa_4,  \kappa_5 = \kappa_6,  \kappa_7 \\ \zeta_1 = \zeta_2,  \zeta_3,  \zeta_4 = \zeta_5,  \zeta_6,  \zeta_7 = \zeta_8,  \zeta_9,  \zeta_{11} = \zeta_{12} \end{array} $	$D \ge 6$	
7	CP4	$ \mu_1 = \mu_2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2, \ \lambda_3, \ \lambda_4  \kappa_1 = \kappa_2, \ \kappa_3 = \kappa_4, \ \kappa_5 = \kappa_6, \ \kappa_8 = -\kappa_9  \zeta_1 = \zeta_2, \ \zeta_3, \ \zeta_4 = \zeta_5, \ \zeta_6, \ \zeta_7 = \zeta_8, \ \zeta_9, \ \zeta_{10}, \ \zeta_{14} = -\zeta_{15} $	$D \ge 6$	

8	$\rm U(1)_{PQ}$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$D \ge 4$
9	${ m CP1}\otimes{ m SO(2)_{HF}}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \lambda_1 = \lambda_2,  \lambda_3,  \lambda_4,  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5) = 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_{34} \\ \kappa_1 = \kappa_2,  \kappa_3 = \kappa_4,  \kappa_5 = \kappa_6, \\ \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_8) = \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_9) = \frac{1}{2}(3\kappa_1 - \kappa_3 - \kappa_5) \\ \zeta_1 = \zeta_2,  \zeta_3,  \zeta_4 = \zeta_5,  \zeta_6,  \zeta_7 = \zeta_8,  \zeta_9, \\ \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{10}) = -\frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{13}) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{14}) - \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{16}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{13}) = \frac{1}{6}(4\zeta_1 + 2\zeta_3 - 4\zeta_4 - 4\zeta_6 + 2\zeta_7 - \zeta_9), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{14}) = \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{15}) = \frac{1}{2}(4\zeta_1 - \zeta_4 - \zeta_7), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{16}) = \frac{1}{2}(4\zeta_1 - 2\zeta_3 + 2\zeta_4 - \zeta_9) \end{array} $	$D \ge 4$
10	${ m SU}(2)_{ m HF}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \lambda_1 = \lambda_2,  \lambda_3,  \lambda_4 = 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 \\ \kappa_1 = \kappa_2,  \kappa_3 = \kappa_4,  \kappa_5 = \kappa_6 = 3\kappa_1 - \kappa_3 \\ \zeta_1 = \zeta_2,  \zeta_3,  \zeta_4 = \zeta_5,  \zeta_6 = 2\zeta_1 + \zeta_3 - 2\zeta_4, \\ \zeta_7 = \zeta_8 = 4\zeta_1 - \zeta_4,  \zeta_9 = 4\zeta_1 - 2\zeta_3 + 2\zeta_4 \end{array} $	$D \ge 4$
11	$\operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1 + \phi_2}$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$D \ge 4$

12	$S_2 \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1 + \phi_2}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \operatorname{Re}(m_{12}^2),  \lambda_1 = \lambda_2,  \lambda_3,  \lambda_4 = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5),  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_6) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_7) \\ \kappa_1 = \kappa_2,  \kappa_3 = \kappa_4,  \kappa_5 = \kappa_6 = 2\operatorname{Re}(\kappa_8) = 2\operatorname{Re}(\kappa_9), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_7) = \frac{1}{3}\operatorname{Re}(\kappa_{10}),  \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_{11}) = \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_{12}),  \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_{13}) \\ \zeta_1 = \zeta_2,  \zeta_3,  \zeta_4 = \zeta_5,  \zeta_6 = 6\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{10}) = \frac{3}{2}\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{13}), \\ \zeta_7 = \zeta_8 = 2\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{14}) = 2\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{15}),  \zeta_9 = 2\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{16}) \\ \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{11}) = \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{12}) = \frac{1}{3}\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{17}) = \frac{1}{3}\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{18}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{19}) = \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{20}),  \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{21}) = \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{22}) \end{array} $	$D \ge 4$
13	$ ext{CP2} \otimes  ext{Sp(2)}_{\phi_1 + \phi_2}$	$ \begin{split} \mu_1^2 &= \mu_2^2,  \lambda_1 = \lambda_2,  \lambda_3,  \lambda_4 = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5),  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_6) = -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_7) \\ \kappa_1 &= \kappa_2,  \kappa_3 = \kappa_4,  \kappa_5 = \kappa_6 = 2\operatorname{Re}(\kappa_8) = 2\operatorname{Re}(\kappa_9), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\kappa_{11}) &= -\operatorname{Re}(\kappa_{12}) \\ \zeta_1 &= \zeta_2,  \zeta_3,  \zeta_4 = \zeta_5,  \zeta_6 = 6\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{10}) = \frac{3}{2}\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{13}), \\ \zeta_7 &= \zeta_8 = 2\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{14}) = 2\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{15}),  \zeta_9 = 2\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{16}) \\ \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{11}) &= -\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{12}) = \frac{1}{3}\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{17}) = -\frac{1}{3}\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{18}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{19}) &= -\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{20}),  \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{21}) = -\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_{22}) \end{split} $	$D \ge 4$
14	${ m U}(1)_{ m PQ}\otimes{ m Sp}(2)_{\phi_1\phi_2}$	$\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3, \ \lambda_4$ $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{3}\kappa_3 = \frac{1}{3}\kappa_4, \ \kappa_5 = \kappa_6$ $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \frac{1}{6}\zeta_3 = \frac{1}{4}\zeta_4 = \frac{1}{4}\zeta_5, \ \zeta_6, \ \zeta_7 = \zeta_8 = \frac{1}{2}\zeta_9$	$D \ge 4$
15	$\mathrm{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1}\otimes \mathrm{Sp}(2)_{\phi_2}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2, \ \mu_2^2, \ \lambda_1, \ \lambda_2, \ \lambda_3 \\ \kappa_1, \ \kappa_2, \ \kappa_3, \ \kappa_4 \\ \zeta_1, \ \zeta_2, \ \zeta_3, \ \zeta_4, \ \zeta_5 \end{array} $	$D \ge 4$
16	$S_2 \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1} \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_2}$	$\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2, \ \lambda_3 \\ \kappa_1 = \kappa_2, \ \kappa_3 = \kappa_4 \\ \zeta_1 = \zeta_2, \ \zeta_3, \ \zeta_4 = \zeta_5$	$D \ge 4$
17	$\operatorname{Sp}(4)$	$\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3, \\ \kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{3}\kappa_3 = \frac{1}{3}\kappa_4 \\ \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \frac{1}{6}\zeta_3 = \frac{1}{4}\zeta_4 = \frac{1}{4}\zeta_5$	$D \ge 4$

Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

## • Symmetries of multi-HDM Potentials

[N Darvishi, AP, PRD101 (2020) 095008]

## **Prime bilinear invariants:**

[AP, PRD93 (2016) 075012]

The symmetric potential 
$$\rightarrow V_{\text{sym}} = -\mu^2 S_n + \lambda_S S_n^2 + \lambda_D D_n^2 + \lambda_T T_n^2$$

### • Discrete Symmetries

[Earlier studies: Ivanov, Vdovin '12; V Keus et al '13; Ivanov, Varzielas '19, . . . ]

→ Generalized CP (GCP) transformations:

 $\mathsf{GCP}[\phi_i] = G_{ij}\phi_j^* \qquad G_{ij} \in \mathsf{SU}(n)$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Abelian Discrete Symmetries:

$$Z_2, \quad Z_3, \quad Z_4, \quad Z_2 \times Z_2, \quad Z_3 \times Z_3, \quad \cdots, \quad Z_n, \quad \cdots,$$

where  $Z_n = \{1, \omega, \cdots, \omega^{(n-1)}\}$  with  $\omega^n = 1$ .

### $\rightarrow$ Non-Abelian Discrete Symmetries

• Typical Non-Abelian Discrete Symmetries

- Permutation group 
$$S_N \xrightarrow{\text{with order}} N!$$

- Alternating group 
$$A_N \xrightarrow{\text{with order}} N!/2$$

- $\text{ Dihedral group } D_N \xrightarrow{\text{ isomorphic to}} Z_N \rtimes Z_2$
- Binary Dihedral group  $Q_{2N} \xrightarrow{\text{with order}} 4N$
- $\ \ \underbrace{\textit{Tetrahedral group } T_{N(\text{prime number})} \xrightarrow{\text{isomorphic to}} Z_N \rtimes Z_3}$
- Dihedral-like groups:  $\Sigma(2N^2) \cong (Z_N \times Z'_N) \rtimes Z_2 \qquad \Delta(3N^2) \cong (Z_N \times Z'_N) \rtimes Z_3$   $\Sigma(3N^3) \cong Z_N \times \Delta(3N^2) \qquad \Delta(6N^2) \cong (Z_N \times Z'_N) \rtimes S_3$
- $Crystal-like groups \Sigma(M\phi), \text{ with } \phi = 1, 2, 3:$  $\Sigma(60\phi), \quad \Sigma(168\phi), \quad \Sigma(36\phi), \quad \Sigma(72\phi), \quad \Sigma(216\phi), \quad \Sigma(360\phi)$

No.	Symmetry	Non-zero parameters for 3HDM potentials		
1	CP1	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_{1}^{2}, \mu_{2}^{2}, \mu_{3}^{2}, \operatorname{Re}(m_{12}^{2}), \operatorname{Re}(m_{13}^{2}), \operatorname{Re}(m_{23}^{2}), \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \\ \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221}, \lambda_{1331}, \lambda_{2332}, \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1313}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2323}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1213}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2113}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1223}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2123}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1323}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1332}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1112}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2212}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3312}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1113}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2213}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3313}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1123}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2223}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3323}) \end{array} $		
2	$Z_2$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_3^2, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221}, \lambda_{1331}, \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{m_{13}^2, \lambda_{1212}, \lambda_{1313}, \lambda_{2323}, \lambda_{1232}, \lambda_{1113}, \lambda_{2213}, \lambda_{3313} \text{ and } \text{H.c.} \} \end{array} $		
2′	$Z'_2$	$\begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_3^2, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221}, \lambda_{1331}, \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{m_{23}^2, \lambda_{1212}, \lambda_{1313}, \lambda_{2323}, \lambda_{1213}, \lambda_{1123}, \lambda_{2223}, \lambda_{3323} \text{ and } \text{H.c.}\} \end{array}$		
3	$Z_2 \otimes Z_2'$	$\begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2,  \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11},  \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133},  \lambda_{2233},  \lambda_{1221},  \lambda_{1331},  \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{\lambda_{1212},  \lambda_{1313},  \lambda_{2323} \text{ and } \mathrm{H.c.}\} \end{array}$		
4	Z3	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_3^2, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221}, \lambda_{1331}, \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{\lambda_{1213}, \lambda_{1323}, \lambda_{2123} \text{ and } \mathrm{H.c.}\} \end{array} $		
5	$Z_4$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_3^2, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221}, \lambda_{1331}, \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{\lambda_{1212}, \lambda_{1323} \text{ and } \text{H.c.}\} \end{array} $		
5′	$Z'_4$	$\begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_3^2, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221}, \lambda_{1331}, \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{\lambda_{1313}, \lambda_{3212} \text{ and } \mathrm{H.c.}\} \end{array}$		
6	<sup><i>a</i></sup> U(1)	$\begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2,  \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11},  \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133},  \lambda_{2233},  \lambda_{1221},  \lambda_{1331},  \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{\lambda_{1323} \text{ and H.c.}\} \end{array}$		
6′	${}^{b}$ U(1) $'$	$\begin{array}{l}\mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_3^2, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221}, \lambda_{1331}, \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{m_{12}^2, \lambda_{1212}, \lambda_{1112}, \lambda_{2212}, \lambda_{3312}, \lambda_{1332} \text{ and } \mathrm{H.c.}\}\end{array}$		
7	$U(1)\otimesU(1)^{\prime}$	$\mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_3^2, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221}, \lambda_{1331}, \lambda_{2332}$		
8	$Z_2 \otimes {\rm U(1)}'$	$\begin{array}{l}\mu_1^2,  \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11},  \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133},  \lambda_{2233},  \lambda_{1221},  \lambda_{1331},  \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{\lambda_{1212} \text{ and } \mathrm{H.c.}\}\end{array}$		
9	$CP1\otimesSp(2)_{\phi_3}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_{1}^{2} ,  \mu_{2}^{2} ,  \mu_{3}^{2} ,  \mathrm{Re}(m_{12}^{2}) ,  \lambda_{11} ,  \lambda_{22} ,  \lambda_{33} ,  \overline{\lambda_{1122}} ,  \lambda_{1133} ,  \lambda_{2233} ,  \lambda_{1221} ,  \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{1212})} , \\ \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{1112}) ,  \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{2212}) ,  \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{3312}) \end{array} $		
10	$ ext{CP1} \otimes Z_2 \otimes  ext{Sp(2)}_{\phi_3}$	$\mu_1^2$ , $\mu_2^2$ , $\mu_3^2$ , $\lambda_{11}$ , $\lambda_{22}$ , $\lambda_{33}$ , $\lambda_{1122}$ , $\lambda_{1133}$ , $\lambda_{2233}$ , $\lambda_{1221}$ , Re $(\lambda_{1212})$		
11	$U(1)\otimes Sp(2)_{\phi_3}$	$\mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_3^2, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221}$		
12	CP2	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \ \mu_3^2, \ \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22}, \ \lambda_{33}, \ \lambda_{1122}, \ \lambda_{1221}, \ \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \ \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332}, \\ Re(\lambda_{1313}) = Re(\lambda_{2323}), \ Re(\lambda_{1212}), \ \{\lambda_{1112} = -\lambda_{2212} \ and \ \mathrm{H.c.}\} \end{array} $		

Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

13	$CP2\otimesSp(2)_{\phi_{3}}$	$\begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1221},  \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233},  \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{1212}), \\ \{\lambda_{1112} = -\lambda_{2212}   \mathrm{and}   \mathrm{H.c.}\} \end{array}$
14	$\mathrm{SO(2)}_{\phi_1,\phi_2}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1221},  \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233},  \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332}, \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1313}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2323}),  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}) = 2\lambda_{11} - (\lambda_{1122} + \lambda_{1221}), \end{array} $
15	$D_3$	$\begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233},  \lambda_{1221},  \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332}, \\ \{\lambda_{2131} = -\lambda_{1232},  \lambda_{1323} \text{ and } \mathrm{H.c.}\} \end{array}$
16	$D_4$	$\begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233},  \lambda_{1221},  \{\lambda_{1212} \text{ and } \mathrm{H.c.}\}, \\ \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332} = \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{3231}) \end{array}$
17	$D_3 \otimes { m Sp(2)}_{\phi_3}$	$\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2$ , $\mu_3^2$ , $\lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22}$ , $\lambda_{33}$ , $\lambda_{1122}$ , $\lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}$ , $\lambda_{1221}$
18	$D_4 \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_3}$	$\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233},  \lambda_{1221},  Re(\lambda_{1212})$
19	$\mathrm{SO(2)}_{\phi_1,\phi_2} \otimes \mathrm{Sp(2)}_{\phi_3}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}) = \lambda_{11} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1122} \end{array} $
20	${\rm SU(2)}_{\phi_1,\phi_2}$	$ \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \ \mu_3^2, \ \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22}, \ \lambda_{33}, \ \lambda_{1122} = 2\lambda_{11} - \lambda_{1221}, \ \lambda_{1221}, \ \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \ \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332} $
21	$\mathrm{SU(2)}_{\phi_1,\phi_2} \otimes \mathrm{Sp(2)}_{\phi_3}$	$ \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \ \mu_3^2, \ \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22}, \ \lambda_{33}, \ \lambda_{1122} = 2\lambda_{11} - \lambda_{1221}, \ \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} $
22	$\operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1+\phi_2+\phi_3}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{1}^{2}, \mu_{2}^{2}, \mu_{3}^{2}, \operatorname{Re}(m_{12}^{2}), \operatorname{Re}(m_{13}^{2}), \operatorname{Re}(m_{23}^{2}), \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \\ \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \lambda_{1221} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}), \lambda_{1331} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1313}), \\ \lambda_{2332} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2323}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1213}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2113}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1223}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2123}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1323}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1332}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1112}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2212}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3312}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1113}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2213}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3313}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1123}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2223}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3323}) \end{array} $
23	$Z_2 \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{1}^{2},  \mu_{2}^{2},  \mu_{3}^{2},  \mathrm{Re}(m_{13}^{2}),  \lambda_{11},  \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133},  \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} =  \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{1212}),  \lambda_{1331} = \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{1313}),  \lambda_{2332} = \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{2323}), \\ \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{1113}),  \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{2213}),  \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{3313}) \end{array} $
23'	$\overline{Z_2' \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3}}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{1}^{2}, \mu_{2}^{2}, \mu_{3}^{2}, \operatorname{Re}(m_{23}^{2}), \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}, \lambda_{1122}, \lambda_{1133}, \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}), \lambda_{1331} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1313}), \lambda_{2332} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2323}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1123}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2223}), \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3323}) \end{array} $
24	$Z_2  \overline{\otimes  Z_2' \! \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3}}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{1}^{2},  \mu_{2}^{2},  \overline{\mu_{3}^{2}},  \lambda_{11},  \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133},  \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} =  Re(\lambda_{1212}),  \lambda_{1331} = Re(\lambda_{1313}),  \lambda_{2332} = Re(\lambda_{2323}) \end{array} $
25	$Z_4\otimes~{\rm Sp(2)}_{\phi_1+\phi_2+\phi_3}$	$\mu_1^2,  \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11},  \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133},  \lambda_{2233},  \lambda_{1221} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212})$

Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

26	$(CP1\rtimes S_2)\otimes \operatorname{Sp(2)}_{\phi_1+\phi_2+\phi_3}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \operatorname{Re}(m_{12}^2),  \operatorname{Re}(m_{13}^2) = \operatorname{Re}(m_{23}^2),  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33}, \\ \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233},  \lambda_{1221} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}), \\ \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1313}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2323}),  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1323}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1332}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1223}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2123}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1213}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2113}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3313}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3323}),  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1112}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2212}), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{3312}),  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1113}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1123}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2213}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2223}) \end{array} $
27	$D_4 \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2,  \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}) \end{array} $
28	$\operatorname{Sp(2)}_{\phi_1+\phi_2}\otimes\operatorname{Sp(2)}_{\phi_3}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_{1}^{2},  \mu_{2}^{2},  \mu_{3}^{2},  \mathrm{Re}(m_{12}^{2}),  \lambda_{11},  \lambda_{22},  \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122},  \lambda_{1133},  \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{1212}),  \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{1112}),  \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{2212}),  \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{3312}) \end{array} $
29	${ m Sp(2)}_{\phi_1\phi_2}$	$ \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \ \mu_3^2, \ \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1122}, \ \lambda_{33}, \ \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \ \lambda_{1221}, \\ \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332} $
30	$Sp(2)_{\phi_1\phi_2}\otimesSp(2)_{\phi_3}$	$\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \ \mu_3^2, \ \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1122}, \ \lambda_{33}, \ \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \ \lambda_{1221}$
31	$A_4$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2 = \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122} = \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332},  \{\lambda_{1212} = \lambda_{1313} = \lambda_{2323},  \text{and H.c.} \} \end{array} $
32	$S_4$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2 = \mu_3^2 \text{, } \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \lambda_{33} \text{, } \lambda_{1122} = \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233} \text{,} \\ \lambda_{1221} = \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332} \text{, } \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1313}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2323}) \end{array} $
33	SO(3)	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2 = \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122} = \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332}, \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1313}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2323}) = 2\lambda_{11} - (\lambda_{1122} + \lambda_{1221}) \end{array} $
34	$S_4 \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)_{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2 = \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \lambda_{33} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1122} = \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332} = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1212}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1313}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2323}) \end{array} $
35	$\Delta(54)$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2 = \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122} = \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332},  \{\lambda_{1213} = \lambda_{2123} = \lambda_{3231} \text{ and } \text{H.c.} \} \end{array} $
36	$\Sigma(36)$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2 = \mu_3^2,  \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \lambda_{33},  \lambda_{1122} = \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332},  \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1213}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1323}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1232}) = \\ \frac{3}{4}(2\lambda_{11} - \lambda_{1122} - \lambda_{1221}) \end{array} $
37	$Sp(2)_{\phi_1}\otimes Sp(2)_{\phi_2}\otimes Sp(2)_{\phi_3}$	$\mu_1^2$ , $\mu_2^2$ , $\mu_3^2$ , $\lambda_{11}$ , $\lambda_{22}$ , $\lambda_{33}$ , $\lambda_{1122}$ , $\lambda_{1133}$ , $\lambda_{2233}$
38	$Sp(4)\otimesSp(2)_{\phi_3}$	$\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2, \ \mu_3^2, \ \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1122}, \ \lambda_{33}, \ \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}$
39	SU(3) ⊗ U(1)	$ \mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2 = \mu_3^2, \ \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \lambda_{33}, \ \lambda_{1122} = \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233}, \\ \lambda_{1221} = \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332} = 2\lambda_{11} - \lambda_{1122} $
40	Sp(6)	$\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2 = \mu_3^2, \ \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = \lambda_{33} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1122} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1133} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2233}$

Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

[R Battye, G Brawn, AP, JHEP08 (2011) 020.]

$G_{ m HF/CP}$	$H_{\rm HF/CP}$	$\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\mathrm{HF/CP}}$	Topological Defect
$Z_2$	Ι	$Z_2$	Domain Wall
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{PQ}} \simeq S^1$	Ι	$S^1$	Vortex
$\mathrm{SO}(3)_{\mathrm{HF}}$	$SO(2)_{\rm HF}$	$S^2$	Global Monopole
$CP1 \simeq Z_2$	Ι	$Z_2$	Domain Wall
$CP2 = \mathrm{Z}_2 \otimes \Pi_2$	$\Pi_2$	$Z_2$	Domain Wall
$\operatorname{CP1}\otimes\operatorname{SO}(2)$	CP1	$S^1$	Vortex

• Energy density of the topological defect  $\phi_{1,2}(\mathbf{r})$ :

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi_1,\phi_2) = (\nabla \phi_1^{\dagger}) \cdot (\nabla \phi_1) + (\nabla \phi_2^{\dagger}) \cdot (\nabla \phi_2) + \mathcal{V}(\phi_1,\phi_2) + \mathcal{V}_0.$$

 $\bullet$  Gradient flow approach to numerically find  $\phi_{1,2}({\bf r})$ 

$$-\frac{\delta E[\phi_{1,2}]}{\delta \phi_{1,2}(\mathbf{r},\tau)} = \frac{\partial \phi_{1,2}(\mathbf{r},\tau)}{\partial \tau} \to 0, \quad \text{for } \tau \gg 1.$$

• **Z**<sub>2</sub> **Domain Walls** 





– Spatial profile of the  $Z_2$  domain wall

[R Battye, G Brown, AP, JHEP08 (2011) 020.]

Introduce dimensionless quantities:

$$\hat{x} = \mu_2 x$$
,  $\hat{v}_{1,2}^0(\hat{x}) = \frac{v_{1,2}^0(\hat{x})}{\eta}$ ,  $\hat{E} = \frac{\lambda_2 E}{\mu_2^3}$ , with  $\eta = \frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}$ .



• Charge-Violating Domain Walls in the 2HDM

[R Battye, AP, D Viatic, JHEP2101 (2021) 105. K.H. Law, AP, PRD105 (2022) 056007]

- Relatively gauge-rotated vacua at the boundaries:

$$\begin{split} \Phi_1(-\infty) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi_2(-\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_+ \to 0\\-v_2 e^{-i\xi} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Phi_1(+\infty) &= U(+\infty) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi_2(+\infty) = U(+\infty) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\+v_2 e^{+i\xi} \end{pmatrix}, \\ U(x) &= e^{i\theta(x)} \exp\left(\frac{i G^i(x)}{v_{\text{SM}}} \frac{\sigma^i}{2}\right), \qquad \text{with} \quad U(-\infty) = \mathbf{1}_2. \end{split}$$



CATCH 2024

## – 2D DW simulations in the Type-I $Z_2$ -symmetric 2HDM



- **3D** DW network in the Type-I  $Z_2$ -symmetric 2HDM



– Evolution of DW number  $N_{dw}$  in the Type-I  $Z_2$ -symmetric 2HDM



#### – QCD instantons in Type-II $Z_2$ -symmetric 2HDM

[R Battye, AP, D Viatic, PRD102 (2020) 123536; RD Peccei, HR Quinn '77]

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm inst} \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^4 \left[ \left( \frac{\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2}{v_{\rm SM}^2} \right)^{n_{\rm G}} - \left( \frac{\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 e^{i\theta_{\rm QCD}}}{v_{\rm SM}^2} \right)^{n_{\rm G}} \right] + \, {\rm H.c.} \\ \lesssim \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^4}{v_{\rm SM}^2} s_{\beta}^2 c_{\beta}^2 \left( 1 - \cos\left(n_{\rm G} \theta_{\rm QCD}\right) \right) \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \, {\rm H.c.} \,, \\ \implies \theta_{\rm QCD} \gtrsim \frac{10^{-11}}{\sin\beta\cos\beta} \end{split}$$

From neutron EDM limit:  $\theta_{QCD} \lesssim 10^{-11} - 10^{-10}$ 

Loose constraint:

 $0.3 \lesssim aneta \lesssim 3$ 

### – **Biased** initial conditions in $Z_2$ -symmetric 2HDMs

[R Battye, AP, D Viatic, PRD102 (2020) 123536]



Avoidance of DW domination in the Universe:

$$\varepsilon > \frac{640\pi}{3} \frac{A\widehat{E}}{e} \left(\frac{v_{\rm SM}^{3/2}}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^2 \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-29} A\widehat{E} \,\, {\rm GeV}, \quad {\rm with} \,\, A, \, \widehat{E} \sim 1.$$

• Other Topological Defects from the 2HDM Potential

• U(1)<sub>PQ</sub> Vortices [R. A. Battye, G. D. Brawn, A.P., JHEP08 (2011) 020.]

$$\phi_1(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_1^0(r) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \phi_2(r,\chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_2^0(r)e^{in\chi} \end{pmatrix}.$$



# Energy dependence of the $U(1)_{PQ}$ Vortex

[R. A. Battye, G. D. Brawn, A.P., JHEP08 (2011) 020.]

Energy per unit length:

$$\mathcal{E} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty r dr \ \mathcal{E}(\phi_1, \phi_2) \ ,$$



with

$$\mu^2 = \frac{\mu_1^2}{\mu_2^2}$$

CATCH 2024

### • CP3 Vortices

[R. A. Battye, G. D. Brawn, A.P., JHEP08 (2011) 020.]

$$\phi_1(r,\chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v(r)\cos(n\chi) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \phi_2(r,\chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -v(r)\sin(n\chi) \end{pmatrix}.$$



• **SO(3)**<sub>HF</sub> Global Monopole

$$\phi_1(r,\chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v(r)\sin\chi \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \phi_2(r,\chi,\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v(r)e^{i\psi}\cos\chi \end{pmatrix}.$$



Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

• Phenomenology at the LHC

- Branching ratios in the MS-2HDM

[Dev, AP '14]



Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

- Discovery channels for aligned Higgs doublets:



[Dev, AP '14]

CATCH 2024

Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

•  $gg \to t\bar{t}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{a}) \to t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ 

[Dev, AP '14]



#### **Observation of** $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ with the ATLAS detector



#### - Realistic simulation analysis with a reconstruction BDT

[Emily Hanson, W. Klemm, R. Naranjo, Yvonne Peters, AP, PRD100 (2019) 035026]



Reconstruction BDT trained on 57 observables:

- $\Delta R(b_i, l^a)$ ,  $\Delta \eta(b_i, l^a)$ ,  $\Delta \phi(b_i, l^a)$ ,  $p_T^{b_i + l^a}$ ,  $m(b_i, l^a)$ , where i = tH, t and a = +, -
- $|m(l^+, b_{tH}) m(l^-, b_t)|$  and  $|m(l^-, b_{tH}) m(l^+, b_t)|$
- $p_T^{b_j}$ , where j = tH, H, t
- $\Delta R(b_{tH}, b_k)$ ,  $\Delta \eta(b_{tH}, b_k)$ ,  $\Delta \phi(b_{tH}, b_k)$ ,  $p_T^{b_{tH}+b_k}$ ,  $m(b_{tH}, b_k)$ , where k = H, t
- $\Delta R(t_{H^a}, b_H)$ ,  $\Delta \eta(t_{H^a}, b_H)$ ,  $\Delta \phi(t_{H^a}, b_H)$ ,  $p_T^{t_{H^a}, b_H}$ ,  $m(t_{H^a}, b_H)$ , where a = +, -
- $\Delta R(t_{H^a}, t_c)$ ,  $\Delta \eta(t_{H^a}, t_c)$ ,  $\Delta \phi(t_{H^a}, t_c)$ , where  $(H^a, t_c) = (H^+, \bar{t})$  or  $(H^-, t)$
- $m(H^a) m(b_H)$ , where a = +, -
- $m(H^+) m(\bar{t})$  and  $m(H^-) m(t)$
- $p_T^{H^{\pm}+t_{\text{other}}}$

CATCH 2024

•  $m(H^{\pm}, t_{\text{other}})$ 




• Natural Alignment Beyond the 2HDM

[AP '16]

– nHDM potential with m inert scalar doublets:

$$V_{n \text{HDM}} = V_{\text{sym}} + V_{\text{inert}} + \Delta V_{\text{soft}}$$

- <u>3</u> continuous alignment symmetries in the field space of the active EWSB sector  $(N_H = n - m)$ :

(i)  $\operatorname{Sp}(2N_H) \times \mathcal{D}$  (ii)  $\operatorname{SU}(N_H) \times \mathcal{D}$  (iii)  $\operatorname{SO}(N_H) \times \mathcal{CP} \times \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  acts on the inert sector *only*.

## - Symmetry invariants:

(i) 
$$S = \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \ldots = \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi$$
  
(ii)  $D^a = \Phi_1^{\dagger} \sigma^a \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \sigma^a \Phi_2 + \ldots$   
(iii)  $T = \Phi_1 \Phi_1^{\intercal} + \Phi_2 \Phi_2^{\intercal} + \ldots$ 

- Symmetric part of the scalar potential:

$$V_{\rm sym} = -\mu^2 S + \lambda_S S^2 + \lambda_D D^a D^a + \lambda_T \,{\rm Tr}\,(T\,T^*) \,.$$

CATCH 2024

– Inert part of the scalar potential:

$$V_{\text{inert}} = \widehat{m}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}^{2} \widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{a}}^{\dagger} \widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{b}}^{\dagger} + \lambda_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}} (\widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{a}}^{\dagger} \widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{b}}) (\widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{c}}^{\dagger} \widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{d}}) + \lambda_{\hat{a}\hat{b}cd} (\widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{a}}^{\dagger} \widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{b}}) (\Phi_{c}^{\dagger} \Phi_{d}) + \lambda_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}d} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{b}}) (\widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{c}}^{\dagger} \Phi_{d}) + \left[ \lambda_{\hat{a}\hat{b}c\hat{d}} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{b}}) (\Phi_{c}^{\dagger} \widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{d}}) + \text{H.c.} \right]$$

 $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathsf{I}}: \quad \Phi_a \rightarrow \Phi_a \quad (a = 1, 2, \dots, N_H), \qquad \widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{b}} \rightarrow -\widehat{\Phi}_{\hat{b}} \quad (\hat{b} = \hat{1}, \hat{2}, \dots, \widehat{m})$ 

- Soft-symmetry Breaking:

$$\Delta V_{\rm soft} = m_{ab}^2 \Phi_a^{\dagger} \Phi_b$$

- Minimal Symmetry of Alignment in the Higgs basis:

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathsf{EW}}: \quad \Phi_1' \to \Phi_1', \qquad \Phi_{a'}' \to -\Phi_{a'}' \qquad (a'=2, 3, \dots, N_H)$$

where  $m_{ab}^2$  becomes diagonal.

**Minimal Alignment Symmetry:**  $Z_2^{EW} \times Z_2^{I}$ 

[AP '16]

Natural Alignment and CP Violation Beyond the SM

A. PILAFTSIS