



# ATLAS<sup>\* CMS</sup> Searches for DiHiggs



Jana Schaarschmidt (University of Washington)

### CATCH22+2 Dublin, 02.05.2024

# Introduction

#### Di-Higgs production is a key process for Standard Model and Beyond-the-SM physics

Discovery of **non-resonant HH production** would establish the shape of the Higgs potential and tests an important prediction of the SM by measuring  $\lambda_{HHH}$ . Higgs potential



In case of deviations from the SM ( $\kappa_{\lambda}$  = 1), the HH cross section increases considerably (also m<sub>HH</sub> shape changes).

 $\rightarrow$  Can already now establish constraints on that parameter  $\kappa_{\lambda}$ 

Box

H

t/b

 $K_t$ 

8 6666666

# Introduction

#### Di-Higgs production is a key process for Standard Model and Beyond-the-SM physics

Discovery of **non-resonant HH production** would establish the shape of the Higgs potential and tests an important prediction of the SM by measuring  $\lambda_{HHH}$ . Higgs potential





Cross section for non-resonant VBF (13 TeV): 1.73 fb

VBF production is sensitive to  $\kappa_{2V}$  coupling

 $\kappa_{2V} = g_{HHVV} / g_{HHVV}^{SM}$ 



SM: κ<sub>2V</sub> =1

### Introduction

#### Searches for resonant production of Di-Higgs could establish the presence of new particles

Limits on the production of these resonances can be used to constrain BSM model parameters



#### **Benchmark signal models:**

Heavy scalar  $X \rightarrow HH$ , with a negligible decay width, could e.g. be a heavy Higgs in the MSSM

**Spin-2 gravitons G**  $\rightarrow$  **HH**, as predicted by the bulkRandall-Sundrum model (with  $k/M_{Pl}=1$ )

#### New: Narrow width scalar $X \rightarrow SH$

Can have larger rates than  $X \rightarrow HH$  in some models, e.g. TRSM, 2HDM+S, NMSSM, ... for some parameter values.

### **Non-resonant HH** $\rightarrow$ **bb** $\tau\tau$

New!

- Best non-resonant limit in previous round of publications (4.7xSM obs, 3.7 exp) 2209.10910
- New: Better MVA classifier and considering VBF production (in addition to ggF)
- Channels: τ<sub>had</sub>τ<sub>had</sub>, τ<sub>lep</sub>τ<sub>had</sub> (single lepton triger), τ<sub>lep</sub>τ<sub>had</sub> (lepton+tau trigger)
- In each channel: BDTs to split into three categories: VBF, low-mHH, high-mHH (>350 GeV)
- Another BDTs then trained in each category to discriminate signal and background (trained on  $\kappa_{\lambda}$ =10 for the low-mHH cateorgy,  $\kappa_{\lambda}$ =1 elsewhere)



### **Non-resonant HH** $\rightarrow$ **bb** $\tau\tau$



- 95% CL limit on  $\mu_{HH}$  = 5.9 (observed) and 3.3 (expected) (ggF and VBF combined) Best fit:  $\hat{\mu}$  = 2.2 ± 1.7
- Limit on ggF: 5.8 (observed) and 3.4 (expected), VBF: 91 (observed) and 73 (expected)
- Sensitivity is most-limited by data statistics.
- Largest systematics: QCD scales and top-quark mass scheme, MC statistical uncertainties.



### **Non-resonant HH** $\rightarrow$ **bbbb**

<u>2301.03212</u>

7 / 20

- Largest BR (~0.3) of all DiHiggs channels, but large backgrounds that are difficult to estimate
- Triggers: **2b+1j** (b-jet  $E_T > 55$  GeV, extra jet  $E_T > 100-150$  GeV) or **2b+2j** (jet  $E_T > 35$  GeV)
- **4 resolved b-jets**  $\rightarrow$  3 ways to pair them to two Higgs boson candidates. Simple solution gave best efficiency: Highest pT-pair must have lowest  $\Delta R_{bb}$  separation
- VBF selection: Two additional foward jets and  $m_{ii} > 1$  TeV and  $|\Delta \eta_{ii}| > 3$
- Background (~90% multijet) estimated from 2b data (signal-depleted), reweighted to resemble 4b data using two control regions
   m<sub>4b</sub> is the final discriminant:



### **Non-resonant HH** → **bbbb**

#### 95% CL limits on SM HH:

	<b>Observed Limit</b>	$-2\sigma$	$-1\sigma$	Expected Limit	+1 $\sigma$	+2 $\sigma$
$\mu_{ m ggF}$	5.5	4.4	5.9	8.2	12.4	19.6
$\mu_{ m VBF}$	130	70	100	130	190	280
$\mu_{\rm ggF+VBF}$	5.4	4.3	5.8	8.1	12.2	19.1



2301.03212



Observed: -3.5 <  $\kappa_{\lambda}$  < 11.3 Expected: -5.4 <  $\kappa_{\lambda}$  < 11.4

Observed:  $0.0 < \kappa_{2V} < 2.1$ Expected:  $-0.1 < \kappa_{2V} < 2.1$ 

Better than  $bb\tau\tau$  for  $\kappa_{2V}$ But, can do even better...

# **Boosted VBF HH** → **bbbb**

- VBF events with non-SM couplings tend to produce energetic boosted HH
- Each Higgs boson is reconstructed as a single large radius jet
- Leading jet pT > 450 GeV (sub-leading > 250 GeV)
- Double b-tagger based on deep neural network (60% efficiency working point)
- Background estimated from events where only one large-radius jets is double b-tagged ("1Pass")
- BDT trained to discriminate  $\kappa_{2V}$ =0 signal vs. background



New!



Data

- 500  $\times$  SM ggF

- 1000 × SM VBF -  $\kappa_{2V}$  = 0 VBF

Background

ATLAS-CONF-2024-003

ATLAS Preliminary

 $14 - \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 

VBF HH bbbb

SR

Post-Fit

12

9 / 20

### **Boosted VBF HH** → **bbbb**

- Boosted analysis is combined with the resolved one to maximize the sensitivity
- Allowed parameter ranges: Observed: 0.55 <  $\kappa_{2V}$  < 1.49. Expected: 0.37 <  $\kappa_{2V}$  < 1.67

New!

10/20

ATLAS-CONF-2024-003

- $\kappa_{2V}$ =0 excluded with 3.8 $\sigma$ . Best fit ( $\kappa_{\lambda}$ =1 fixed):  $\kappa_{2V}$  = 1.01+ 0.23 0.22
- Analysis also used to set limits on resonant signals VBF X  $\rightarrow$  HH  $\rightarrow$  4b



#### **Non-resonant HH** $\rightarrow$ **Multileptons** 11 / 20 ATLAS-CONF-2024-005

2

0

γγ+τ

0

*l*+2τ

γγ+2(ℓ,τ)

 $\gamma\gamma + \ell$ 

2*ℓ*+2τ

2ℓSC+τ

2

2<sub>ℓ</sub>SC

New!

4ℓ+bb

4

Legend

ML

channels

γγ+ML channels

3ℓ

3

- Combining several subleading channels with small BR: **bbZZ**, **4V**, **VV**<sub>TT</sub>,  $\gamma\gamma$ **VV**,  $\gamma\gamma$ TT (V=W/Z)
- **ggF and VBF** both considered (but not separated)
- **Nine categories** based on number of light leptons ullet $(e/\mu)$ , hadronic taus and photons
- Number of hadronic taus **BDTs** in 8 categories used to discriminate SM HH ulletfrom the backgrounds ( $\gamma\gamma$ +2(I, $\tau$ ) has too little statistics)
- Final discriminant is **BDT score** or  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution



# Non-resonant HH $\rightarrow$ Multileptons ATLAS-CONF-2024-005

#### Combined limit on SM HH production: 18 (observed), 11 (expected)

Sensitivity limited by data statistics



95% CL upper limit on HH signal strength  $\mu_{HH}$ 

New!

### **Non-resonant HH** $\rightarrow$ **bb** $\gamma\gamma$

- Re-analysis of Run 2 dataset. ggF and VBF both considered.
- Better classification of events, higher sensitivity to  $\kappa_{\lambda}$  and  $\kappa_{2V}$
- Events split into high-m<sub>HH</sub> and low-m<sub>HH</sub>
- In each category, BDTs trained to classify events into 3 or 4 regions with different S/B values
- Final discriminant: Diphoton mass. No excess found!
- Limit on SM HH (VBF+ggF): 4 (observed), 5.0 (expected) (previously: 4.2 (obs), 5.7 (exp) <u>2112.11876</u>)





2310.12301

#### 13/20 New. 0.04 ATLAS 10<sup>1</sup> HH ggF, $\kappa_{\lambda}=10$ $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ Event SM HH VBF HH → bbvv HH VBF, κ<sub>λ</sub>=10 High mass region HH VBF, κ<sub>2V</sub>=3 Fraction of $10^{0}$ Single H yy+jets Data sidebands 10-1 0.6 0.8 04 BDT score

 $\kappa_{\lambda}$ 

### **CMS Resonant X** $\rightarrow$ **YH** $\rightarrow$ **bb** $\gamma\gamma$

#### • X mass range: 300 - 1000 GeV, Y mass range: 90 – 800 GeV (with $Y \rightarrow bb$ )

- Events split into 6  $m_X$ - $m_Y$  domains (ensuring  $m_X > m_Y$ ): mX ( < 500, 500 - 700, > 700 ) GeV and  $m_Y$  ( < 300, 300 - 500, > 500 ) GeV
- BDT trained in each mass domain used to classify events into 3 categories each to optimize S/B sensitivity → in total 18 categories.



### **CMS Resonant X** $\rightarrow$ **YH** $\rightarrow$ **bb** $\gamma\gamma$

#### 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) CMS 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) CMS CMS GeV (Spin-0) $X \rightarrow HY \rightarrow \gamma\gamma b\overline{b}$ (Spin-0) $X \rightarrow HY \rightarrow \gamma\gamma b\overline{b}$ CAT 0 CAT 0 Data m<sub>x</sub> = 300 GeV m<sub>v</sub> = 650 GeV m<sub>v</sub> = 350 GeV Data $m_x = 650 \text{ GeV}$ S m<sub>u</sub> = 125 GeV ----- S+B fit ----- S+B fit mີ = 125 GeV m<sub>v</sub> = 90 GeV <sup>-</sup> m<sub>∨</sub> = 90 GeV Events / B component B component [f] ±1σ ±1σ ±2 σ ±2 σ 5Ē (ddγγ m<sub>x</sub> = 450 GeV m<sub>x</sub> = 500 GeV 10-10 F B component subtracted m<sub>x</sub> = 600 GeV m<sub>x</sub> = 650 GeV B component subtracted • X 250 300 350 400 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 100 200 170 180 120 130 140 150 160 В m<sub>x</sub> = 800 GeV m<sub>v</sub> = 750 GeV m<sub>vv</sub> [GeV] 160 180 80 100 140 120 m<sub>ii</sub> [GeV] $\overline{\times}$

Final discriminant: 2D fit to m<sub>vv</sub> and m<sub>ii</sub>

Events

Excess at (650, 90) GeV with  $3.8\sigma$  local and 2.8 $\sigma$  global significance



2310.01643

15/20

### **Resonant X** $\rightarrow$ **SH** $\rightarrow$ **bb** $\gamma\gamma$

- X mass range: 170 1000 GeV
   S mass range: 15 500 GeV
- S  $\rightarrow$  bb, H (125 GeV)  $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
- If m<sub>X</sub> >> m<sub>S</sub> + m<sub>H</sub> → boosted regime.
   If boosted, reconstruct only 1 small-radius b-jet.
   If resolved, require two b-tagged jets.
- Parametrized neural networks (PNN) used for signal background discrimination, trained in each SR
- PNN score is the final discriminant
- Background estimation:
  - Sherpa for  $\gamma\gamma$ +jets non-resonant background shape, normalized to data sideband in m<sub> $\gamma\gamma$ </sub>
  - H and SM HH resonant backgrounds from MC



### **Resonant X** $\rightarrow$ **SH** $\rightarrow$ **bb** $\gamma\gamma$

2404.12915

New!

100

17 / 20

bēvv) [fb]

m<sub>s</sub> [GeV] [GeV] ک Observed significance 500 ATLAS 500 ATLAS  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  $X \rightarrow SH \rightarrow b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 95% CL expected upper limi  $X \rightarrow SH \rightarrow b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ 400 300 200 300 200 400 200 [GeV] 500 ATLAS  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ŋ 100  $X \rightarrow SH \rightarrow b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ 0 95% CL observed upper lim 300 1000 200 400 600 800 m<sub>X</sub> [GeV] 200

- ATLAS sees no excess at (650, 90) GeV (CMS excess)
- ATLAS would have seen this excess with  $2.7\sigma$
- Largest ATLAS excess at (575, 200) GeV, 3.5 $\sigma$  local, 2 $\sigma$  global







b-jet 1

#### $X \rightarrow SH \rightarrow bb\gamma\gamma$ candidate event

γ2

 $m_{\gamma\gamma} = 127 \text{ GeV}$ m<sub>bb</sub>=199 GeV  $m_{bb\gamma\gamma}$ =570 GeV

b-jet 2

18 / 20

### **Resonant X** $\rightarrow$ **HH Combination**



Largest excess at 1.1 TeV driven by  $bb\tau\tau$ (2209.10910): 3.3 $\sigma$  local, 2.1 $\sigma$  global

Low tan $\beta$  region in 2HDM/MSSM excluded



2311.15956

New

### Conclusions

- Many new results based on the Run 2 dataset presented here
- $\kappa_{2V}$  constrained considerably through boosted 4b channel
- CMS excess at (650, 90) GeV in bbγγ not confirmed by ATLAS
- Revisiting analysis strategies and advances in ML techniques increased the sensitivity to non-resonant HH
- All searches are limited by data statistics
- Analyses with Run 3 data are ongoing!

Backup

#### Non-resonant combination before the re-optimizations in $bb\tau\tau$ and $bb\gamma\gamma$



#### m<sub>HH</sub> shape on truth level



#### $\textbf{CMS X} \rightarrow \textbf{HH} \rightarrow \textbf{bb}\gamma\gamma$



#### Non-resonant HH $\rightarrow$ bb+II+MET

- $HH \rightarrow bb+WW/ZZ/\tau\tau$
- ggF and VBF considered
- Deep Neural Network for event classification, separate DNNs for ggF and VBF topologies



Limit on SM HH: 9.7 (observed), 16.2 (expected)

- κ<sub>λ</sub>: [-6.2, 13.3] (observed),
   [-8.1, 15.5] (expected)
- κ<sub>2V</sub>: [-0.17, 2.4] (observed)
   [-0.51, 2.7] (expected)





#### $VHH \rightarrow bb+II+MET$

500

600

700

800

900

m<sub>H</sub> [GeV]

1000

-Observed

-- Expected

Expected  $\pm 1\sigma$ 

Expected  $\pm 2\sigma$ 



