



Astrophysical Probes of Self-Interacting Dark Matter

Tesla Jeltema

Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics University of California, Santa Cruz







Self-Interacting Dark Matter

Is dark matter actually collisionless?

- Would lead to dark matter halos which are rounder and less dense in the middle.
- > Can also lead to gravothermal core-collapse!
- Possible solution to small-scale tension e.g. core-cusp, diversity at dwarf galaxy scales





Characterize in terms of the cross section over mass

Diversity of galaxy/dwarf rotation curves and densities imply $\sigma/m > 3 - 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$

with some fraction in core-collapse phase (e.g. Roberts+2024)

- Scattering rate scales with density SIDM may affect cores but outer structure the same as CDM
- Cross section could be velocity dependent (e.g. smaller for clusters than dwarfs)



Self-Interacting Dark Matter

Merging clusters

Limits from a variety of probes imply

$\sigma/m < 0.1 - 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$





Self-Interacting Dark Matter

- Strongest constraints at cluster scales
- Implies a needed velocity-dependent cross-section to explain galaxy and dwarf scale observations
- Natural in many SIDM models, e.g. light mediator





- SIDM halos are predicted to be rounder in the inner regions
- > X-ray gas in hydrostatic equilibrium constrains shape of DM halo
- NCG 720: isolated elliptical galaxy, measure e ~ 0.37 (Buote+2002)







Alex McDaniel

Measured the shapes of 11 relaxed, isolated ellipticals with XMM (9 also with Chandra)



ε = 0.2-0.5 with significant scatter, consistent with mild self-interaction though cannot rule out CDM

McDaniel et al. 2021



- In SIDM, the formation of cores in clusters means the central galaxies orbit over large distances
- Kim et al. 2016 found BCG oscillations on long lived orbits lasting several Gyrs
- Longer lasting signature than merger offsets (e.g. Bullet cluster)
- Including baryons Harvey et al. 2019 found smaller, but measurable offsets of 5-10 kpc even in relaxed clusters





Central Galaxy Offsets

Measured the offset of the central galaxy from the X-ray center for 23 relaxed, X-ray bright DES and SDSS clusters





Dane Cross

Gray Thoron



- Non-zero offsets larger than positional and statistical uncertainties for most of the sample
- Consistent with mild self interaction of ~ 1 cm²/g

Cross, Thoron+ 2023



Cluster Strong Lensing

- Cluster strong lensing: Cored or cuspy central densities?
- Identified 189 cluster strong lenses in DES Y3 (O'Donnell+ 2022)
- Conducting spectroscopic and X-ray follow up



O'Donnell+ 2022



Jack O'Donnell





Cluster Inner Density Profile



Including cluster member galaxy masses using MUSE data to measure stellar velocity dispersions and cluster Faber-Jackson relation



Abigail Flowers



Using PyAutoLens (Nightingale+ 2021) edited for cluster strong lens modeling

Dhruv Aldas

Example NFW fit: $M = 10^{15} M_{sun}$ c = 7.3



Absolute magnification





Will model density profile from 2 – 500 kpc scales including the contributions from baryons



Will use a fully consistent mass modeling, directly sampling the SIDM parameters and computing the strong lens observables from the predicted density profile plus baryonic components



- > We find populations of high-z (z>6) supermassive black holes $(M_{BH}>10^9)$ whose formation so early is difficult to explain.
- If a fraction of the dark matter is ultra-strongly interacting, these black holes can be seeded by core collapse (e.g. Pollack+2014)



Grant Roberts

 We find constraints on the DM fraction versus interaction cross section for a sample of z = 6-10 quasars





- ➤ Galaxy shapes and central galaxy offsets consistent with mild dark matter self interaction of σ ≤ 1 cm²/g
- Strong constraints coming from cluster strong lensing plus galaxy stellar dynamics
- Strong self interaction for a fraction of the dark matter can also help seed supermassive black holes through core collapse

