Semisymmetries

Bohdan Grzadkowski

May 3, 2024

University of Warsaw



"Cosmology, Astrophysics, Theory and Collider Higgs 2024 (CATCH22+2)", 4th May, 2024, Dublin, Ireland

Table of contents

- 1. The Two-Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM) in the bilinear notation
- 2. Basis transformations
- 3. Global symmetries of 2HDM
- 4. Running of parameters of 2HDM
- 5. New 2HDM symmetries/semisymmetries
- 6. The *r*₀ symmetry/semisymmetry
- 7. Symmetries/Semisymmetries and 1-loop CW effective potential
- 8. The toy model
- 9. Summary and conclusions

based on

- P.M. Ferreira , B.G., O.M. Ogreid, P. Osland, "New Symmetries of the Two-Higgs-Doublet Model", *Eur.Phys.J.C* 84 (2024) 3, 234, e-Print: 2306.02410
- \cdot work in progress

The Two-Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM) in the bilinear notation

$$\begin{split} V &= m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - [m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.}] + \\ &\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1) + \\ &\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_5 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + [\lambda_6 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) + \lambda_7 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)] \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.} \right\} \,, \end{split}$$

where m_{12}^2 and $\lambda_{5,6,7}$ might be complex.

An alternative notation uses four gauge-invariant bilinears constructed from the doublets (Velhinho 1994, Nagel 2004, Ivanov 2005, Maniatis 2006, Nishi 2006):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{r}_{0} & \equiv & \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} + \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right), \\ r_{1} & \equiv & \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right), \\ r_{2} & \equiv & -\frac{i}{2} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} - \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right) = \operatorname{Im} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right), \\ r_{3} & \equiv & \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} - \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right). \end{array}$$

The Two-Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM) in the bilinear notation

The potential of may be written as

$$V = M_{\mu} r^{\mu} + \Lambda_{\mu\nu} r^{\mu} r^{\nu} ,$$

where

$$r^{\mu} \equiv (r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}) = (r_{0}, \vec{r}),$$

$$M^{\mu} \equiv (m_{11}^{2} + m_{22}^{2}, 2\text{Re}(m_{12}^{2}), -2\text{Im}(m_{12}^{2}), m_{22}^{2} - m_{11}^{2}) = (M_{0}, \vec{M}),$$

$$\Lambda^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}) + \lambda_{3} & -\text{Re}(\lambda_{6} + \lambda_{7}) & \text{Im}(\lambda_{6} + \lambda_{7}) & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1}) \\ -\text{Re}(\lambda_{6} + \lambda_{7}) & \lambda_{4} + \text{Re}(\lambda_{5}) & -\text{Im}(\lambda_{5}) & \text{Re}(\lambda_{6} - \lambda_{7}) \\ \text{Im}(\lambda_{6} + \lambda_{7}) & -\text{Im}(\lambda_{5}) & \lambda_{4} - \text{Re}(\lambda_{5}) & -\text{Im}(\lambda_{6} - \lambda_{7}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1}) & \text{Re}(\lambda_{6} - \lambda_{7}) & -\text{Im}(\lambda_{6} - \lambda_{7}) & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}) - \lambda_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Lambda^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{00} & \vec{\Lambda} \\ \vec{\Lambda}^T & \Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

4

Weak-basis transformation, U(2):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1' \\ \Phi_2' \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{e^{i\psi} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & e^{-i\tilde{\xi}}\sin\theta \\ -e^{i\chi}\sin\theta & e^{i(\chi-\tilde{\xi})}\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}}_{U(2)} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Higgs kinetic terms remain invariant

Basis transformations

$$V = M_{\mu} r^{\mu} + \Lambda_{\mu\nu} r^{\mu} r^{\nu}$$

The basis rotation matrix

$$R_{ij}(U) \equiv rac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \left(U^{\dagger} \sigma_i U \sigma_j
ight),$$

where σ_i (*i* = 1, 2, 3) are the Pauli matrices.

The basis transformations: $\vec{r} \rightarrow \vec{r}' = R \vec{r}$ $\vec{M} \rightarrow \vec{M}' = R \vec{M}$ $\vec{\Lambda} \rightarrow \vec{\Lambda}' = R \vec{\Lambda}$ $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda' = R \Lambda R^T$

whereas r_0 , M_0 and Λ_{00} do not change under basis transformations – they are basis invariants.

Global symmetries of 2HDM

• *Higgs-family symmetries*, unitary transformations mix both doublets,

$$\Phi_i \rightarrow \Phi'_i = \sum_{j=1}^2 U_{ij} \Phi_j, \qquad U \in U(2)$$

e.g. Z₂:

$$\Phi_1 \ \rightarrow \ \Phi_1 \ \ , \ \ \Phi_2 \ \rightarrow \ - \Phi_2 \, , \label{eq:phi_eq}$$

prevents the occurrence of tree-level flavour-changing neutral currents (FCNC).

• generalized CP (GCP), transformations:

$$\Phi_i \rightarrow \Phi'_i = \sum_{j=1}^2 X_{ij} \Phi_j^*, \qquad X \in U(2)$$

e.g. "standard" CP transformation (CP1):

$$\Phi_i \rightarrow \Phi_i^*$$

In the bilinear formalism, symmetries are represented by rotations in the 3-dimensional space defined by the vector \vec{r} :

 $\vec{r} \rightarrow \vec{r}' = S \vec{r}$,

where $S \in O(3)$.

$$S_{Z_2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , S_{CP1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Global symmetries of 2HDM

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{CP2:} \ \Phi_1 \to \Phi_2^*, & \ \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_1^* \\ & \\ S_{CP2} \ = \ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \end{array}$$

A parity transformation about the three axes.

S	m_{11}^2	m_{22}^2	m_{12}^2	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4	λ_5	λ_{6}	λ_7
CP1			real					real	real	real
Z ₂			0						0	0
U(1)			0					0	0	0
CP2		m_{11}^2	0		λ_1					$-\lambda_6$
CP3		m_{11}^2	0		λ_1			λ_{134}	0	0
<i>SO</i> (3)		m_{11}^2	0		λ_1		$\lambda_1 - \lambda_3$	0	0	0

Table 1: Relations between 2HDM scalar potential parameters for each of the six symmetries discussed, $\lambda_{134} \equiv \lambda_1 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4$.

The 1-loop β -functions for the quadratic couplings

$$\begin{split} \beta_{m_{11}^2} &= 3\lambda_1 m_{11}^2 + (2\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) m_{22}^2 - 3 \left(\lambda_6^* m_{12}^2 + \text{h.c.}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(9g^2 + 3g'^2\right) m_{11}^2 \\ &+ \beta_{m_{11}^2}^F, \\ \beta_{m_{22}^2} &= (2\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) m_{11}^2 + 3\lambda_2 m_{22}^2 - 3 \left(\lambda_7^* m_{12}^2 + \text{h.c.}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(9g^2 + 3g'^2\right) m_{22}^2 \\ &+ \beta_{m_{22}^2}^F, \\ \beta_{m_{12}^2} &= -3 \left(\lambda_6 m_{11}^2 + \lambda_7 m_{22}^2\right) + (\lambda_3 + 2\lambda_4) m_{12}^2 + 3\lambda_5 m_{12}^2^* - \frac{1}{4} \left(9g^2 + 3g'^2\right) m_{12}^2 \\ &+ \beta_{m_{12}^2}^F, \end{split}$$

-1

Running of parameters of 2HDM

and 1-loop β functions for the quartic ones,

$$\begin{split} \beta_{\lambda_{1}} &= 6\lambda_{1}^{2} + 2\lambda_{3}^{2} + 2\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4} + \lambda_{4}^{2} + |\lambda_{5}|^{2} + 12|\lambda_{6}|^{2} \\ &+ \frac{3}{8}(3g^{4} + g'^{4} + 2g^{2}g'^{2}) - \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{1}(3g^{2} + g'^{2}) + \beta_{\lambda_{1}}^{F}, \\ \beta_{\lambda_{2}} &= 6\lambda_{2}^{2} + 2\lambda_{3}^{2} + 2\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4} + \lambda_{4}^{2} + |\lambda_{5}|^{2} + 12|\lambda_{7}|^{2} \\ &+ \frac{3}{8}(3g^{4} + g'^{4} + 2g^{2}g'^{2}) - \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{2}(3g^{2} + g'^{2}) + \beta_{\lambda_{2}}^{F}, \\ \beta_{\lambda_{3}} &= (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})(3\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4}) + 2\lambda_{3}^{2} + \lambda_{4}^{2} + |\lambda_{5}|^{2} + 2(|\lambda_{6}|^{2} + |\lambda_{7}|^{2}) + 8\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{6}\lambda_{7}^{*}) \\ &+ \frac{3}{8}(3g^{4} + g'^{4} - 2g^{2}g'^{2}) - \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{3}(3g^{2} + g'^{2}) + \beta_{\lambda_{3}}^{F}, \\ \beta_{\lambda_{4}} &= (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})\lambda_{4} + 4\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4} + 2\lambda_{4}^{2} + 4|\lambda_{5}|^{2} + 5(|\lambda_{6}|^{2} + |\lambda_{7}|^{2}) + 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{6}\lambda_{7}^{*}) \\ &+ \frac{3}{2}g^{2}g'^{2} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{4}(3g^{2} + g'^{2}) + \beta_{\lambda_{4}}^{F}, \\ \beta_{\lambda_{5}} &= (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + 4\lambda_{3} + 6\lambda_{4})\lambda_{5} + 5(\lambda_{6}^{2} + \lambda_{7}^{2}) + 2\lambda_{6}\lambda_{7} \\ &- \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{5}(3g^{2} + g'^{2}) + \beta_{\lambda_{5}}^{F}, \\ \beta_{\lambda_{6}} &= (6\lambda_{1} + 3\lambda_{3} + 4\lambda_{4})\lambda_{6} + (3\lambda_{3} + 2\lambda_{4})\lambda_{7} + 5\lambda_{5}\lambda_{6}^{*} + \lambda_{5}\lambda_{7}^{*} \\ &- \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{6}(3g^{2} + g'^{2}) + \beta_{\lambda_{6}}^{F}, \\ \beta_{\lambda_{7}} &= (6\lambda_{2} + 3\lambda_{3} + 4\lambda_{4})\lambda_{7} + (3\lambda_{3} + 2\lambda_{4})\lambda_{6} + 5\lambda_{5}\lambda_{7}^{*} + \lambda_{5}\lambda_{6}^{*} \\ &- \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{7}(3g^{2} + g'^{2}) + \beta_{\lambda_{7}}^{F}, \\ \end{array}$$

where the β_x^F terms contain all contributions coming from fermions.

11

Running of parameters of 2HDM

$$Z_2$$
 symmetry $\Rightarrow \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0 \Rightarrow \beta_{\lambda_6} = \beta_{\lambda_7} = 0$

a symmetry-based condition on λ 's are preserved by RGE running at the one-loop order.

For the Z_2 model

$$\beta_{\lambda_{5}} = \left[\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + 4\lambda_{3} + 6\lambda_{4} - \frac{3}{2} \left(3g^{2} + g'^{2}\right)\right] \lambda_{5}$$

 $\lambda_5 = 0 \text{ is } a \text{ fixed point of this RG equation: if at any scale} \\ \lambda_5 = 0, that coupling will remain zero for all renormalization scales. Such fixed points of RG equations are usually fingerprints of symmetries, and indeed that is the case here: if <math>\lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0$, the extra constraint $\lambda_5 = 0$ takes us from Z_2 -symmetric model to U(1)-symmetric.

We have noticed that

$$\left\{m_{11}^2 + m_{22}^2 = 0 \ , \ \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0 \ , \ \lambda_6 + \lambda_7 = 0\right\}$$

- constitutes a fixed point of the 1-loop RG equations,
- are basis transformation invariants.

$$\begin{split} \beta_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}} &= 6 \left(\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) + 12 \left(\left|\lambda_{6}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{7}\right|^{2}\right) - \frac{3}{2} (\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}) (3g^{2}+g'^{2}) \\ \beta_{\lambda_{6}+\lambda_{7}} &= 6 \left(\lambda_{1}\lambda_{6}+\lambda_{2}\lambda_{7}\right) + (3\lambda_{3}+2\lambda_{4}) (\lambda_{6}+\lambda_{7}) + 6\lambda_{5} \left(\lambda_{6}^{*}+\lambda_{7}^{*}\right) \\ &- \frac{3}{2} (\lambda_{6}+\lambda_{7}) (3g^{2}+g'^{2}) \\ \beta_{m_{11}^{2}+m_{22}^{2}} &= 3 \left(\lambda_{1}m_{11}^{2}+\lambda_{2}m_{22}^{2}\right) + (2\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}) \left(m_{11}^{2}+m_{22}^{2}\right) \\ &- 3 \left[\left(\lambda_{6}^{*}+\lambda_{7}^{*}\right)m_{12}^{2} + \text{h.c.} \right] - \frac{1}{4} \left(9g^{2}+3g'^{2}\right) (m_{11}^{2}+m_{22}^{2}) \end{split}$$

It turns out that

$$\left\{m_{11}^2 + m_{22}^2 = 0 , \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0 , \lambda_6 + \lambda_7 = 0\right\}$$

is also the 2-loop fixed point.

Conclusion: Perhaps there is a symmetry behind the fixed point:

 $\{m_{11}^2 + m_{22}^2 = 0 , \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0 , \lambda_6 + \lambda_7 = 0\}$

$$V = M_{\mu} r^{\mu} + \Lambda_{\mu\nu} r^{\mu} r^{\nu}$$

The rotation matrix $R_{ij}(U) = \text{Tr} (U^{\dagger}\sigma_i U\sigma_j)/2$, and the basis transformations:

 $\vec{M} \to \vec{M}' = R \vec{M}$ $\vec{\Lambda} \to \vec{\Lambda}' = R \vec{\Lambda}$ $\Lambda \to \Lambda' = R \Lambda R^T$

whereas M_0 and Λ_{00} are basis invariants.

$$M^{\mu} \equiv (m_{11}^{2} + m_{22}^{2}, 2\text{Re}(m_{12}^{2}), -2\text{Im}(m_{12}^{2}), m_{22}^{2} - m_{11}^{2}) = (M_{0}, \vec{M}),$$

$$\Lambda^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}) + \lambda_{3} & -\text{Re}(\lambda_{6} + \lambda_{7}) & \text{Im}(\lambda_{6} + \lambda_{7}) & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1}) \\ -\text{Re}(\lambda_{6} + \lambda_{7}) & \lambda_{4} + \text{Re}(\lambda_{5}) & -\text{Im}(\lambda_{5}) & \text{Re}(\lambda_{6} - \lambda_{7}) \\ \text{Im}(\lambda_{6} + \lambda_{7}) & -\text{Im}(\lambda_{5}) & \lambda_{4} - \text{Re}(\lambda_{5}) & -\text{Im}(\lambda_{6} - \lambda_{7}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1}) & \text{Re}(\lambda_{6} - \lambda_{7}) & -\text{Im}(\lambda_{6} - \lambda_{7}) & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}) - \lambda_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Lambda^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{00} & \vec{\Lambda} \\ \vec{\Lambda}^{T} & \Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
15

Basis transformation invariants:

$$\begin{split} I_{1,1} &= \Lambda_{00} , & I_{1,2} &= \text{Tr}\Lambda \\ I_{2,1} &= \vec{\Lambda} \cdot \vec{\Lambda} , & I_{2,2} &= \text{Tr}\Lambda^2 \\ I_{3,1} &= \vec{\Lambda} \cdot \Lambda \vec{\Lambda} , & I_{3,2} &= \text{Tr}\Lambda^3 \\ I_{4,1} &= \vec{\Lambda} \cdot \Lambda^2 \vec{\Lambda} , \end{split}$$

To all orders of perturbation theory,

$$\beta_{\vec{\Lambda}} = a_0 \vec{\Lambda} + a_1 \Lambda \vec{\Lambda} + a_2 \Lambda^2 \vec{\Lambda}$$

 $\cdot \vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0}$ is a fixed point to all orders of perturbation theory.

where the a_i are polynomial expressions involving invariants,

see A.V. Bednyakov, "On three-loop RGE for the Higgs sector of 2HDM", JHEP 11 (2018) 154, e-Print: 1809.04527

16

$$\beta_{M_0} = b_0 M_0 + b_1 \vec{\Lambda} \cdot \vec{M} + b_2 \vec{\Lambda} \cdot \left(\Lambda \vec{M} \right) + b_3 \vec{\Lambda} \cdot \left(\Lambda^2 \vec{M} \right)$$

• If $\vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0}$, then $M_0 = 0$ is a fixed point to all orders.

$$\beta_{\vec{M}} = c_0 \vec{M} + c_1 \wedge \vec{M} + c_2 \wedge^2 \vec{M} + c_3 I_{M3} \vec{\Lambda} + c_4 I_{M4} \wedge \vec{\Lambda} + c_5 I_{M5} \wedge^2 \vec{\Lambda}$$

• If $\vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0}$, then $\vec{M} = \vec{0}$ is a fixed point to all orders

where the *c_i* are polynomial expressions involving invariants, see A.V. Bednyakov

Two all-order fixed points of the 2HDM RG equations: • $\{\vec{M} = \vec{0}, \vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0}\}.$

$$m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2$$
, $m_{12}^2 = 0$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, $\lambda_6 = -\lambda_7$.

These are exactly the CP2 symmetry conditions.

•
$$\{M_0 = 0, \vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0}\}.$$

$$M_0 \equiv m_{11}^2$$
 + m_{22}^2 = 0 , λ_1 = λ_2 , λ_6 = $-\lambda_7$.

These are the conditions mentioned before and are basis invariant, so they are *not* a basis change of the previous ones.

The *r*⁰ symmetry/semisymmetry

$$V = M_{\mu} r^{\mu} + \Lambda_{\mu\nu} r^{\mu} r^{\nu}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \\ r_1 &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \\ r_2 &\equiv -\frac{i}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) = \operatorname{Im} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \\ r_3 &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 - \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$V = M_0 r_0 + \Lambda_{00} r_0^2 - \vec{M} \cdot \vec{r} - 2 \left(\vec{\Lambda} \cdot \vec{r} \right) r_0 + \vec{r} \cdot (\Lambda \vec{r})$$

• $\{\vec{M} = \vec{0}, \vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0}\}$. These are exactly the CP2 $(\vec{r} \rightarrow -\vec{r})$.

• $\{M_0 = 0, \vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0}\}$ These are new, perhaps $r_0 \xrightarrow{?} -r_0$

The *r*⁰ symmetry/semisymmetry

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_5 + i\phi_6 \\ \phi_7 + i\phi_8 \end{pmatrix},$$

The transformation



implies

$$r_0 \rightarrow -r_0$$
 $r_i \rightarrow +r_i$

The *r*⁰ symmetry/semisymmetry

$$\begin{split} \Phi_1 &\to -\Phi_2^* \quad \Phi_1^\dagger \to \Phi_2^{\mathcal{T}}, \\ \Phi_2 &\to \Phi_1^*, \quad \Phi_2^\dagger \to -\Phi_1^{\mathcal{T}}. \end{split}$$

• Higgs kinetic terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{k} = (D_{\mu} \Phi_{1})^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} \Phi_{1}) + (D_{\mu} \Phi_{2})^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} \Phi_{2}),$$

where

$$D^{\mu}=\partial^{\mu}+\frac{ig}{2}\sigma_{i}W^{\mu}_{i}+i\frac{g'}{2}B^{\mu},$$

 \mathcal{L}_k remains invariant if the above transformation of $\Phi_{1,2}$ is supplemented by

 • Gauge kinetic terms

$$\mathcal{L}^{B} = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}W_{i\mu\nu}W_{i}^{\mu\nu},$$

where $B^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\nu}B^{\mu} - \partial^{\mu}B^{\nu}$ and $W_i^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\nu}W_i^{\mu} - \partial^{\mu}W_i^{\nu} + g\epsilon_{ijk}W_j^{\mu}W_k^{\nu}$. Under r_0 transformation

$$\begin{split} & B^{\mu\nu} \to B^{\mu\nu}, \\ W_1^{\mu\nu} \to W_1^{\mu\nu}, \quad W_2^{\mu\nu} \to -W_2^{\mu\nu}, \quad W_3^{\mu\nu} \to W_3^{\mu\nu} \end{split}$$

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_5 + i\phi_6 \\ \phi_7 + i\phi_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_{CW}^{(1-loop)}(\phi_a) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4 p_E}{(2\pi)^4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\ln(p_E^2 + M_S^2)\right] = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4 p_E}{(2\pi)^4} \left[\operatorname{Tr}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \left(\frac{\mathsf{M}_S^2}{p_E^2}\right)^n\right]$$

$$\left(\mathsf{M}_{S}^{2}\right)_{ab} \equiv \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \phi_{a} \partial \phi_{b}}$$

 $a, b = 1, \cdots, 8$

Q.-H. Cao, K. Cheng, and C. Xu, "Global Symmetries and Effective Potential of 2HDM in Orbit Space", Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) 055036, arXiv:2305.12764 [hep-ph].

$$r_0 \rightarrow -r_0$$
 $r_i \rightarrow +r_i$

Is $V_{\rm CW}^{(1-loop)}(\phi_a)$ invariant under the r_0 transformation?

At the new fixed point $M_0 = 0$ and $\vec{\Lambda} = 0$ ($m_{11} + m_{22} = 0$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_6 = -\lambda_7$):

$$n = 1: \qquad \operatorname{Tr}(M_5^2) = 4[5\Lambda_{00} + \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda)]r_0 \xrightarrow{r_0} - \operatorname{Tr}(M_5^2) = -4[5\Lambda_{00} + \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda)]r_0$$

$$\Lambda^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2})+\lambda_{3} & -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{6}+\lambda_{7}) & \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{6}+\lambda_{7}) & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}) \\ -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{6}+\lambda_{7}) & \lambda_{4}+\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{5}) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{5}) & \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{6}-\lambda_{7}) \\ \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{6}+\lambda_{7}) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{5}) & \lambda_{4}-\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{5}) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{6}-\lambda_{7}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}) & \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{6}-\lambda_{7}) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{6}-\lambda_{7}) & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2})-\lambda_{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ \Lambda^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{00} & \vec{\Lambda} \\ \vec{\Lambda}^{T} & \Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$r_0 \rightarrow -r_0$$
 $r_i \rightarrow +r_i$

$$V_{CW}^{(1-loop)}(\phi_a) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4 p_E}{(2\pi)^4} \left[\text{Tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \left(\frac{\mathsf{M}_S^2}{p_E^2} \right)^n \right]$$

For $M_0 = 0$ and $\vec{\Lambda} = 0$ $(m_{11}^2 + m_{22}^2 = 0, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \text{ and } \lambda_6 = -\lambda_7)$:

$$n = 1: \qquad \text{Tr} [M_5^2] \qquad \text{odd}$$
$$n = 2: \qquad \text{Tr} [(M_5^2)^2] \qquad \text{even}$$

$$n = 2k: \qquad \operatorname{Tr}\left[(M_S^2)^{2k}\right] \qquad \text{even}$$

$$n = 2k + 1: \qquad \operatorname{Tr}\left[(M_S^2)^{2k+1}\right] \qquad \text{odd}$$

Conclusion: The r_0 symmetry is explicitly broken by n = 2k + 1 contributions to $V_{CW}^{(1-loop)}(\phi_a)$.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi_1 \partial^{\mu} \phi_1 + \partial_{\mu} \phi_2 \partial^{\mu} \phi_2) - V(\phi_1, \phi_2),$$

with

$$V(\phi_1 \phi_2) = \frac{1}{2} m_1^2 (\phi_1^2 - \phi_2^2) + m_{12}^2 \phi_1 \phi_2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (\phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4) + \lambda_3 (\phi_1 \phi_2)^2 + \lambda_6 (\phi_1^2 - \phi_2^2) \phi_1 \phi_2 .$$

The model is invariant under the following r_0 -like transformation

$$x^{\mu}
ightarrow i x^{\mu}, \qquad \phi_1
ightarrow i \phi_2, \qquad \phi_2
ightarrow -i \phi_1$$

It is possible to choose a (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) basis such that $\lambda_6 = 0$.

The mass² matrix

$$\left(M_{S}^{2} \right)_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1}^{2} + 6\lambda_{1}\phi_{1}^{2} + 2\lambda_{3}\phi_{2}^{2} & m_{12}^{2} + 4\lambda_{3}\phi_{1}\phi_{2} \\ & -m_{1}^{2} + 6\lambda_{1}\phi_{2}^{2} + 2\lambda_{3}\phi_{1}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The toy model - 2RSM

One can express the potential in terms of bilinear variables:

$$r_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{1}^{2} + \phi_{2}^{2})$$

$$r_{1} \equiv \phi_{1}\phi_{2}$$

$$r_{2} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{1}^{2} - \phi_{2}^{2}).$$

Upon the r_0 transformation

$$(r_0, r_1, r_2) \stackrel{r_0}{\longrightarrow} (-r_0, r_1, r_2)$$

The potential could be written as

$$V(r^{\mu}) = M_{\mu}r^{\mu} + \Lambda_{\mu\nu}r^{\mu}r^{\nu}$$

for μ,ν = 0, 1, 2 with \textit{M}_{μ} = (0, $m_{12}^2,\,m_1^2)$ and

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{00} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_{11} & \Lambda_{12} \\ 0 & \Lambda_{21} & \Lambda_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_0 = 0$$
 and $\vec{\Lambda} = 0$ are implied by the r_0 symmetry
 $(m_1^2 + m_2^2 = 0, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \text{ and } \lambda_6 = -\lambda_7 = 0).$

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{S}^{2}\right) = 4(3\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3})r^{0}$$

Under the r_0 transformation the trace is odd:

$${\rm Tr}\left(M_{S}^{2}\right) \stackrel{r_{\rm o}}{\longrightarrow} - {\rm Tr}\left(M_{S}^{2}\right) \,,$$

Two local minima:

$$(v_1^2 - v_2^2) = \frac{-m_1^2}{\lambda_1}, \qquad v_1v_2 = \frac{-m_{12}^2}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)}$$

where $\langle \phi_{1,2} \rangle \equiv v_{1,2}/\sqrt{2}$.

The toy model - 2RSM



Figure 1: Scalar potential of the toy model, $m_1 = 10$, $m_{12} = 20$, $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\lambda_3 = 2$.

The eigenvalues of M_S^2 could be expressed through bilinears

$$M_1^2(r_\mu) = 2(3\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)r_0 + \sqrt{\Delta}$$
$$M_2^2(r_\mu) = 2(3\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)r_0 - \sqrt{\Delta},$$

where

$$\Delta = m_1^4 + m_{12}^4 + 4m_1^2(3\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)r_2 + 8m_{12}^2\lambda_3r_1 + 16\lambda_3^2r_0^2 + 12(3\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)r_2^2$$

The toy model - 2RSM

$$\begin{array}{c} M_1^2 \stackrel{r_0}{\longrightarrow} -M_2^2 \\ M_2^2 \stackrel{r_0}{\longrightarrow} -M_1^2 \end{array}$$

The 1-loop effective potential

$$V_{CW}^{1-\text{loop}}(r_{\mu}) = \frac{1}{32\pi^{2}} \sum_{i=1,2} M_{i}^{2}(r_{\mu}) \Lambda_{\text{cut}}^{2} + \frac{1}{64\pi^{2}} \sum_{i=1,2} M_{i}^{4}(r_{\mu}) \left[\log \frac{M_{i}^{2}(r_{\mu})}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \cdot V_{CW}^{1-\text{loop}}(r_{0}) \xrightarrow{r_{0}} V_{CW}^{1-\text{loop}}(-r_{0}) = V_{CW}^{1-\text{loop}}(r_{0}) + -\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} (M_{1}^{2} + M_{2}^{2}) \Lambda_{\text{cut}}^{2} - \frac{i\pi}{64\pi^{2}} (M_{1}^{4} + M_{2}^{4}) ,$$
for

$$M_1^4 + M_2^4 = 2 \left\{ [2(3\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)r_0]^2 + \Delta \right\} .$$

The 1-loop effective potential is not invariant under the r_0 transformation.

The model considered in this section indeed is stable under 1-loop RGE running.



Figure 2: Diagrams which generate mass² beta functions: $\beta_{m_1^2}$ and $\beta_{m_2^2}$.

$$r_0 \rightarrow -r_0$$
 $r_i \rightarrow +r_i$

$$V_{CW}^{(1-loop)}(\phi_a) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4 p_E}{(2\pi)^4} \left[\text{Tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \left(\frac{\mathsf{M}_S^2}{p_E^2} \right)^n \right]$$

For $M_0 = 0$ and $\vec{\Lambda} = 0$ $(m_{11}^2 + m_{22}^2 = 0, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \text{ and } \lambda_6 = -\lambda_7)$:

$$n = 1: \qquad \text{Tr} \left[M_5^2 \right] \qquad \text{odd}$$
$$n = 2: \qquad \text{Tr} \left[(M_5^2)^2 \right] \qquad \text{even}$$

$$n = 2k: \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left[(M_S^2)^{2k}\right] \quad \text{even}$$

$$n = 2k + 1: \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left[(M_S^2)^{2k+1}\right] \quad \text{odd}$$

Conclusion: The r_0 symmetry is explicitly broken by n = 2k + 1 contributions to $V_{CW}^{(1-loop)}(\phi_a)$.

Summary and conclusions

- A set of constraints on 2HDM scalar parameters which is RG invariant to all orders with bosonic contributions to the β-functions – and which can be invariant to at least two loops if fermions are also included, have been found.
- \cdot The constraints are

 $m_{11}^2 + m_{22}^2 = 0$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, $\lambda_6 = -\lambda_7$,

- The constraints are basis invariant.
- The constraints are fixed points of RGE equations for corresponding quantities, however they do not imply presence of any known symmetry.
- The constraints could be seeing as emerging from the " r_0 symmetry" (semisymmetry): $r_0 \rightarrow -r_0$ defined in terms of the bilinears $r_0 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)$.

Summary and conclusions

•

• The r_0 symmetry can not be obtained in terms of unitary transformation acting upon Higgs doubles, except for an unorthodox transformation (i.e. r_0 transformation) that involves $x_{\mu} \rightarrow i x_{\mu}$ and perhaps $p^{\mu} \rightarrow i p^{\mu}$.

$$V_{\rm CW}^{(1-loop)}(\phi_a) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4 p_E}{(2\pi)^4} \left[{\rm Tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \left(\frac{{\sf M}_{\sf S}^2}{p_E^2} \right)^n \right]$$

If change of sign of massless propagator (i.e. $p_E^2 \rightarrow -p_E^2$) is applied while calculating 1-loop CW potential, the r_0 parity of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{M_s^2}{p_E^2}\right)^n$ changes for n = 2k + 1 so that the total effective potential becomes r_0 invariant.

• Application: finding RGE stable relations between parameters in BSM models.

$$\Lambda^{3} = (\mathrm{Tr}\Lambda)\Lambda^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left[(\mathrm{Tr}\Lambda)^{2} - \mathrm{Tr}\Lambda^{2} \right] \Lambda + \frac{1}{6} \left[(\mathrm{Tr}\Lambda)^{3} - 3\mathrm{Tr}\Lambda \,\mathrm{Tr}\Lambda^{2} + 2\mathrm{Tr}\Lambda^{3} \right]$$

Symmetry	m_{11}^2	m_{22}^2	m_{12}^2	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4	λ_5	λ_{6}	λ_7
r ₀		$-m_{11}^2$			λ_1					$-\lambda_6$
OCP1		$-m_{11}^2$	real		λ_1			real	real	$-\lambda_{6}$
0 <i>Z</i> 2		$-m_{11}^2$	0		λ_1				0	0
OU(1)		$-m_{11}^2$	0		λ_1			0	0	0
oCP2	0	0	0		λ_1					$-\lambda_{6}$
oCP3	0	0	0		λ_1			λ_{134}	0	0
0 <i>SO</i> (3)	0	0	0		λ_1		$\lambda_1 - \lambda_3$	0	0	0

Table 2: Relations between 2HDM scalar potential parameters for each of the new seven symmetries discussed, $\lambda_{134} \equiv \lambda_1 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4$.

Remarks:

- \cdot The two fixed points
 - $\cdot \ \{ \vec{M} = \vec{0} \,,\, \vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0} \}.$
 - $\{M_0 = 0, \vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0}\}.$

imply the same quartic scalar couplings, i.e. CP2 invariant.

- Yukawa couplings consistent with CP2 are known, see P. M. Ferreira and J. P. Silva, "A Two-Higgs Doublet Model With Remarkable CP Properties," Eur. Phys. J. C **69** (2010), 45-52, [arXiv:1001.0574 [hep-ph]].
- *r*⁰ transformations of fermions are unknown,
- in the following we will adopt CP2 invariant Yukawas to calculate fermionic contributions to beta functions.

 $-\mathcal{L}_Y = \bar{q}_L (\Gamma_1 \Phi_1 + \Gamma_2 \Phi_2) n_R + \bar{q}_L (\Delta_1 \tilde{\Phi}_1 + \Delta_2 \tilde{\Phi}_2) p_R + \bar{l}_L (\Pi_1 \Phi_1 + \Pi_2 \Phi_2) l_R + \text{H.c.}$

• For the CP2 symmetry:

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{12} & -a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \ \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -a_{12}^* & a_{11}^* & 0 \\ a_{11}^* & a_{12}^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Similarly for Δ and Π matrices, with different coefficients b_{ij} and c_{ij} instead of a_{ij} .

Fermionic digression

For the most general 2HDM

$$\begin{split} \beta_{m_{11}}^{F,1L} &= \left[3\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_1\Delta_1^{\dagger}) + 3\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1\Gamma_1^{\dagger}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_1\Pi_1^{\dagger}) \right] m_{11}^2 \\ &- \left\{ \left[3\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_1^{\dagger}\Delta_2) + 3\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1^{\dagger}\Gamma_2) + \operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_1^{\dagger}\Pi_2) \right] m_{12}^2 + \mathrm{h.c.} \right\} , \\ \beta_{m_{22}}^{F,1L} &= \left[3\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_2\Delta_2^{\dagger}) + 3\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_2\Gamma_2^{\dagger}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_2\Pi_2^{\dagger}) \right] m_{22}^2 \\ &- \left\{ \left[3\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_1^{\dagger}\Delta_2) + 3\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1^{\dagger}\Gamma_2) + \operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_1^{\dagger}\Pi_2) \right] m_{12}^2 + \mathrm{h.c.} \right\} , \end{split}$$

It turns out that

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_1\Delta_1^\dagger)=\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_2\Delta_2^\dagger) \ , \ \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1\Gamma_1^\dagger)=\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_2\Gamma_2^\dagger) \ , \ \operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_1\Pi_1^\dagger)=\operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_2\Pi_2^\dagger) \,, \\ & \text{s well as} \end{split}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_1 \Delta_2^{\dagger}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\dagger}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_1 \Pi_2^{\dagger}) = 0.$$

Hence,

а

$$\beta_{m_{11}^2+m_{22}^2}^{F,1L} = \left[3\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_1 \Delta_1^\dagger) + 3\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_1^\dagger) + \operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_1 \Pi_1^\dagger) \right] \left(m_{11}^2 + m_{22}^2 \right)$$

It could be shown that

$$\begin{split} \beta_{m_{11}^2+m_{22}^2}^{F,1-loop} \propto \left(m_{11}^2+m_{22}^2\right) \\ \text{and} \\ \beta_{m_{11}^2+m_{22}^2}^{F,2-loop} \propto \left(m_{11}^2+m_{22}^2\right) \\ \text{So } m_{11}^2+m_{22}^2 = 0 \text{ is preserved by fermionic contributions} \\ \text{ up to 2 loops.} \end{split}$$

The set of 11 independent physical parameters of 2HDM:

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \{M_{H^{\pm}}^2, M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2, e_1, e_2, e_3, q_1, q_2, q_3, q\}$$

The kinetic Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{k} = (D_{\mu}\Phi_{1})^{\dagger}(D^{\mu}\Phi_{1}) + (D_{\mu}\Phi_{2})^{\dagger}(D^{\mu}\Phi_{2})$$

Coefficient $\left(\mathcal{L}_{k}, Z^{\mu}\left[H_{j}\overleftrightarrow{\partial_{\mu}}H_{i}\right]\right) = \frac{g}{2v\cos\theta_{W}}\epsilon_{ijk}e_{k}$
Coefficient $(\mathcal{L}_{k}, H_{i}Z^{\mu}Z^{\nu}) = \frac{g^{2}}{4\cos^{2}\theta_{W}}e_{i}g_{\mu\nu}$
Coefficient $(\mathcal{L}_{k}, H_{i}W^{*\mu}W^{-\nu}) = \frac{g^{2}}{2}e_{i}g_{\mu\nu}$

$$q_i \equiv \text{Coefficient}(V, H_i H^* H^-)$$

$$q \equiv \text{Coefficient}(V, H^* H^* H^- H^-)$$

CP-sensitive invariants in the bilinear notation

$$I_{1} = \left(\vec{M} \times \vec{\Lambda}\right) \cdot \left(\Lambda \vec{M}\right)$$
$$I_{2} = \left(\vec{M} \times \vec{\Lambda}\right) \cdot \left(\Lambda \vec{\Lambda}\right)$$
$$I_{3} = \left[\vec{M} \times \left(\Lambda \vec{M}\right)\right] \cdot \left(\Lambda^{2} \vec{M}\right)$$
$$I_{4} = \left[\vec{\Lambda} \times \left(\Lambda \vec{\Lambda}\right)\right] \cdot \left(\Lambda^{2} \vec{\Lambda}\right)$$

Since the r_0 symmetry implies $\vec{\Lambda} = \vec{0}$ the invariants $I_{1,2,4}$ are automatically zero. However

$$I_3 = -16\lambda_5 m_{11}^2 \ln(m_{12}^2) \operatorname{Re}(m_{12}^2) \left[(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4)^2 - \lambda_5^2 \right] \neq 0$$

explicit violation of CP

Stationary-point equations:

$$\begin{split} m_{11}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \left(v_2^2 - v_1^2 \right), \\ \mathrm{Re} \, m_{12}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} v_1 v_2 \cos \xi \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5 \right), \\ \mathrm{Im} \, m_{12}^2 &= -\frac{1}{2} v_1 v_2 \sin \xi \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_5 \right). \end{split}$$

The neutral sector rotation matrix is then given by

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_2 \cos \xi}{v} & \frac{v_1 \cos \xi}{v} & -\sin \xi\\ -\frac{v_1}{v} & \frac{v_2}{v} & 0\\ \frac{v_2 \sin \xi}{v} & \frac{v_1 \sin \xi}{v} & \cos \xi \end{pmatrix},$$

yielding masses

$$\begin{split} M_1^2 &= \frac{1}{2} v^2 \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5 \right), \quad M_2^2 = \lambda_1 v^2, \\ M_3^2 &= \frac{1}{2} v^2 \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_5 \right), \quad M_{H^{\pm}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 \right) v^2 \end{split}$$

No decoupling limit!

Assuming that M_2 is the SM-like Higgs boson, we obtain from unitarity and boundedness-from-below constraints:

Input parameters:

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \{M_{H^{\pm}}^2, M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2, e_1, e_2, e_3, q_1, q_2, q_3, q\}$$

Constraints implied by the *r*₀ symmetry:

$$\begin{split} v^2(e_1q_2 - e_2q_1) + e_1e_2(M_2^2 - M_1^2) &= 0, \quad v^2(e_1q_3 - e_3q_1) + e_1e_3(M_3^2 - M_1^2) = 0, \\ v^2(e_2q_3 - e_3q_2) + e_2e_3(M_3^2 - M_2^2) &= 0, \quad q = \frac{1}{2v^4}(e_1^2M_1^2 + e_2^2M_2^2 + e_3^2M_3^2), \\ M_{H^\pm}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(e_1q_1 + e_2q_2 + e_3q_3) + \frac{1}{2v^2}(e_1^2M_1^2 + e_2^2M_2^2 + e_3^2M_3^2), \end{split}$$