Long-lived particles and t-channel models

Jan Heisig (RWTH Aachen / UVA)



Towards a t-channel DM whitepaper – status report meeting – Why long-lived particles (LLPs)?

Minimal quark-philic models:























Plot only for small mass splittings, $\Delta m \leq 0.1 m_Y$!

Range of dark matter couplings (large mass splittings)













LLP Signatures: large Δm

(non-thermalized: superWIMPs, Freeze-in)

hard jet/lepton

Soo Y

Y

p_C

(highly ionising) track

ATLAS Long-lived Particle Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion

Status: July 2022



ATLAS Preliminary

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$

 $\int \mathcal{L} \, dt = (32.8 - 139) \, \text{fb}^{-1} \qquad \sqrt{s} =$



ATLAS Long-lived Particle Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion

Status: July 2022



(non-thermalized: superWIMPs, Freeze-in)





LLP Signatures: small Δm

(thermalized: conversion-driven FO, co-annihilation)

Yp _ Ý (highly ionising, soft jet/lepton disappearing) track

Sta	atus: July 2022						$\int \mathcal{L}$	$dt = (32.8 - 139) \text{ fb}^{-1}$	\sqrt{s} = 13 TeV
	Model Signa	iture ∫£ o	dt [fb $^{-1}$]	Lifetime l	imit		-		Reference
	RPV $\tilde{t} \rightarrow \mu q$ displaced v	tx + muon 1	36 t̃ lifetime				0.003-6.0 m	$m(\tilde{t})=1.4$ TeV	2003.11956
	RPV ${{ ilde \chi}_1^0} ightarrow {eev}/{e\mu v}/{\mu \mu v}$ displaced le	epton pair 32	2.8 $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ lifetime			0.003-1.0 m		$m(ilde{q}){=}$ 1.6 TeV, $m(ilde{\chi}_1^0){=}$ 1.3 TeV	1907.10037
	$\operatorname{GGM} \tilde{\chi}^0_1 \to Z \tilde{G} \qquad \qquad \text{displaced}$	dimuon 32	2.9 $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ lifetime				0.029-18	8.0 m $m(\tilde{g}) = 1.1 \text{ TeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 1.0 \text{ TeV}$	1808.03057
	GMSB non-pointing	or delayed γ 13	39 $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ lifetime			0.2	24-2.4 m	$m(ilde{\chi}_1^0, ilde{G})$ = 60, 20 GeV, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}}$ = 2%	CERN-EP-2022-096
	GMSB $ ilde{\ell} o \ell ilde{G}$ displaced	d lepton 1	39 $\tilde{\ell}$ lifetime			6-750 mm		$m(ilde{\ell}){=}$ 600 GeV	2011.07812
S	GMSB $ ilde{ au} o au ilde{G}$ displaced	d lepton 1:	39 $\tilde{\tau}$ lifetime		9-2	70 mm		$m(ilde{\ell}){=}$ 200 GeV	2011.07812
SU	AMSB $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^{+} \tilde{\chi}_1^{-}$ disappear	ing track 1:	36 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ lifetime			0.	.06-3.06 m	$m(ilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}){=}$ 650 GeV	2201.02472
	AMSB $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ large pixe	∋l dE/dx 13	39 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ lifetime			0.3-30.0	0 m	$m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}) = 600 \text{ GeV}$	2205.06013
	Stealth SUSY 2 MS ve	ertices 36	5.1 Š lifetime			0.1-519 m		$\mathcal{B}(\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{S}g) = 0.1, m(\tilde{g}) = 500 \mathrm{GeV}$	1811.07370
	Split SUSY large pixe	∍l dE/dx 13	39 g lifetime			> 0.	.45 m	$m(ilde{g}){=}$ 1.8 TeV, $m(ilde{\chi}_1^0){=}$ 100 GeV	2205.06013
	Split SUSY displaced v	$tx + E_T^{miss}$ 32	2.8 g lifetime				0.03-13.2	m $m(\tilde{g}) = 1.8 \text{ TeV}, \ m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 100 \text{ GeV}$	1710.04901
	Split SUSY 0 <i>l</i> , 2 – 6 je	$ts + E_T^{miss}$ 36	6.1 <mark>ĝ lifetime</mark>		_	0.0	<mark>)-2.1 m</mark>	$m(ilde{g}){=}$ 1.8 TeV, $m(ilde{\chi}_1^0){=}$ 100 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2018-003
Higgs BR = 10%	AMSB $pp \rightarrow$ AMSB $pp \rightarrow$	$ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} $	$\begin{array}{c} & \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- \\ & \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- \end{array}$	disapp large	pearing	g track IE/dx	136 139	2201.0247 2205.060 ⁻	72 13
Scalar	Split SUSY	la	rge pixe	el dE/dx		139	22	205.06013	1002 0200
HNL	Split SUSY	disp	laced v	$tx + E_T^{mi}$	SS	32.8	1	710.04901	
	Split SUSY	0ℓ,	2 – 6 je	$ets + E_T^{mi}$	SS .	36.1	ATLAS	-CONF-2018	-003
*On	ly a selection of the available lifeti	me limits is sł	hown. 0.001	0.01	0.1	1	10	100 τ [ns]	

ATLAS Long-lived Particle Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion Status: July 2022

ATLAS Preliminary







(thermalized: conversion-driven FO, co-annihilation)



 Displaced jets+MET suffers from m_{inv}-cut! [ATLAS, 1710.04901]







Leptophilic t-channel models:

Similar pheno, e.g. conversion-driven freeze-out:



Non-minimal models:

e.g. Dark Minimal Flavour Violation [Acaroglua, Blanke 2109.10357]

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2} \left(i \bar{\chi} \partial \!\!\!/ \chi - M_{\chi} \bar{\chi} \chi \right) - \left(\lambda_{ij} \, \bar{u}_{Ri} \chi_j \, \phi + \text{h.c.} \right)$$



Non-minimal models:

e.g. Dark Minimal Flavour Violation [Acaroglua, Blanke 2109.10357]

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2} \left(i \bar{\chi} \partial \!\!\!/ \chi - M_{\chi} \bar{\chi} \chi \right) - \left(\lambda_{ij} \, \bar{u}_{Ri} \chi_j \, \phi + \text{h.c.} \right)$$



- Non-minimal models:
 - e.g. Dark Minimal Flavour Violation [Acaroglua, Blanke 2109.10357]



Conclusion

- Minimal quark-philic models
 - SuperWIMP / freeze-in: Light DM, current searches apply
 - Conversion-driven freeze-out: Small mass splitting O(10GeV) challenging
 - Coannihilation: Transition between prompt and long-lived
- Beyond minimal quark-philic models
 - Leptophilic: Similar pheno
 - Dark Minimal Flavor Violation: more variety

Current table of contents (very preliminary):

Contents

1	Appearance of long-lived particles in t-channel models									
2	2 Currently performed searches									
3	Coverage of current searches									
	3.1 Small mass splittings	3								
	3.2 Sizeable mass splittings	6								
	3.3 Non-minimal models	6								
4	Interpretation and presentation of results	7								
5	Closing gaps									

 Contribution to LLP section very welcome! please contact me at <u>heisig@virginia.edu</u>