





Power Consumption Optimization for LHCb Online and DAQ System

Matías Leandro Flores Supervisor: Francesco Sborzacchi

August 9th, 2023





The LHCb Online System



Trigger-less readout system

- Readout board (PCIe40)
- Event Builder
- HLT1 \rightarrow storage buffer
- HLT2 \rightarrow storage buffer
- Offline storage

PCIe40 card



Motivation

- Future upgrades to LHCb datacenters
 - ✓ Need to optimize energy efficiency
 - ✓ New constraints on operating conditions
- Servers currently running on maximum performance mode
 - Fans take up a large percentage of system power
 - ✓ More efficient configurations could highly impact power consumption
- Evaluation of alternate cooling methods
- New servers for HLT2
 - Establish a way to determine efficiency of systems along with performance metrics



Datacenters: ~ 2 MW



Event builder nodes



Supermicro TYAN AMD Intel Xeon EPYC Gold Server Server



Efficiency and performance of servers

- Power efficiency of Intel Xeon and AMD EPYC servers while running high CPU workload
 - ✓ HEPscore23Beta benchmark
 - ✓ HEP workloads representative of computing usage of LHC experiments
- Established metric to measure and compare performance: HEPscore/W
 - General purpose tool to select best platform CPU vs price vs power efficiency
- Tested different generations of technology
 - ✓ Difference in power consumption per memory module for DDR4 and DDR5









Efficiency and performance of servers

- Power efficiency of Intel Xeon and AMD EPYC servers while running high CPU workload
 - ✓ HEPscore23Beta benchmark
 - ✓ HEP workloads representative of computing usage of LHC experiments
- Established metric to measure and compare performance: HEPscore/W
 - General purpose tool to select best platform CPU vs price vs power efficiency
- Tested different generations of technology
 - ✓ Difference in power consumption per memory module for DDR4 and DDR5









Cold-plate Cooling Energy Efficiency



Results for server with cold-plate cooling:

- 93.84% system efficiency
- 2.97 HEPscore/W •
- Low fan activity •
- ~ 79 W for whole cooling system, which can be used for up • to 40 kW of computing.

Supermicro Intel Quad server:

- 84.47% system efficiency
 - \checkmark In contrast to rated power supply efficiency at the same load (96.22%): 11 % less, by not accounting fans
 - ✓ Translates to ~50 W per node due to fans (low estimate)
- For 40 kW of computing \rightarrow ~ **4.4 kW** due to fan activity





Event builder fan control

- PCle40 card: can work up to 70°C, for longevity it's best to keep it below 55°C.
 Workaround → internal fan speed always at 100 %
 - At idle, fan activity represented around 40% of system power.
- **Solution:** To control fan duty according to TELL40 transceiver temperature.
 - 2nd optimization: Remove metal covers to improve air flow.













Event builder fan control

With the created fan duty control according to TELL40 transceiver temperature:

- 40 kW difference on IT3 container compared to previous fan configuration.
 - ✓ 80 kW difference on two containers.
 - ✓ On one year → 700.8 MWh of energy saved.







Conclusions

- Successfully measured power efficiency and quantified performance of different server configurations.
- Alternate cooling solutions, such as direct liquid cold-plate cooling, drastically reduce power consumption.
- Created a more efficient energy configuration by changing behavior of fans, which proved to save up significant power.

Further work

- Fine-tune fan control script with newly added ASIC temperature readings for optimized decision making.
- Analyze new fan configuration's impact on performance with reference workloads.
- Study impact and operation of new server designs based on acquired information.





Thank you









