GW sources

SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook

1/16

# Testing fundamental physics with gravitational waves

#### Mauro Pieroni



European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN) mauro.pieroni@cern.ch

Future colliders for Early-Career Researchers Workshop

Wednesday 27th September, 2023

GW sources

SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook

#### Overview



#### 2 GW sources

- Individual GW sources
- Stochastic Gravitational Wave Backgrounds (SGWBs)

#### 3 SGWB detection to constrain HEP

4 Conclusions and outlook

GW sources

SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook

## The dawn of GW astronomy

Gravitational Waves (GWs) are:

- Spacetime perturbations
- Almost free streaming
- $\bullet$  The ultimate cosmological probe





Why GWs are interesting?

• Finally detected (GW150914)!



'16

- Some detectors are active now
- More will join in the next years
- New window on high energy physics
- Unveil new details on gravity

\* Figures from https://www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/thumbnails/image/ns\_gw\_art.jpg and https://www.ligo.org/detections/images/ligoGW150914signals-lg.jpg Introduction ○●○ GW sources

SGWB detection to constrain HEP  $_{\rm OOO}$ 

Conclusions and outlook

4/16

#### Exploring the cosmic history with GWs



GWs decouple much earlier than photons and neutrinos!

Could bring info on scales we cannot access in any other way

\* Figure from https://home.cern/news/series/lhc-physics-ten/recreating-big-bang-matter-earth BICEP2 Collaboration/CERN/NASA Introduction 00● GW sources

SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook

#### Present and future GW detectors

Different types of detectors will probe different frequency bands (and sources)



\* Figure adapted from GWPlotter

GW sources ●00000 SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook

Individual GW sources

## Individual (and possibly resolvable) sources

Signals having a predictable morphology in time and frequency

Loud sources can be seen individually (like LVK detectors do)

Choose your favourite th template (GR or something beyond) and reconstruct the parameters

Combine single events to constrain the population parameters (or your favourite cosmological model)



\* Figure from LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations B.P. Abbott et al.,

Introduction 000	GW sources	SGWB detection to constrain HEP	Conclusions and outlook
Individual GW sources			
~		and the second	



\* LISA Collaboration, K.G. Arun et al. Living Rev. Rel. 25 (2022) 1, 4, ArXiv: 2205.0159

Introduction 000	GW sources ○○●○○○	SGWB det	tection to co	onstrain HEP	Conclusions and outlook
Individual GW sources					
-					

#### Some aspects we can probe with individual sources



\* LISA Collaboration, K.G. Arun et al. Living Rev. Rel. 25 (2022) 1, 4, ArXiv: 2205.0159

Introduction 000	GW sources	SGWB detection to constrain HEP	Conclusions and outlook
Individual GW sources			

#### Some aspects we can probe with individual sources



\* LISA Collaboration, K.G. Arun et al. Living Rev. Rel. 25 (2022) 1, 4, ArXiv: 2205.0159

GW sources ○○○○●○ SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook

Stochastic Gravitational Wave Backgrounds (SGWBs)

## SGWBs detection and characterization

#### <u>SGWBs</u> are:

- Stochastic signals from the whole sky
- Either cosmological or astrophysical origin
- Invaluable source of information (HEP!)
- A target for all future detectors



GW sources ○○○○●○ SGWB detection to constrain HEP  $_{\rm OOO}$ 

Conclusions and outlook

Stochastic Gravitational Wave Backgrounds (SGWBs)

## SGWBs detection and characterization

#### $\underline{SGWBs}$ are:

- Stochastic signals from the whole sky
- Either cosmological or astrophysical origin
- Invaluable source of information (HEP!)
- A target for all future detectors



#### Detection prospects?

- At least two SGWB components (SOBBHs and CGBs) are guaranteed signals for LISA!
- LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA + future Earth-based interferometers (LIGO-India, ET, CE, ...)
- Millisecond pulsars timing to detect GWs (hints for SGWB detection..)

GW sources ○○○○●○ SGWB detection to constrain HEP  $_{\rm OOO}$ 

Conclusions and outlook

Stochastic Gravitational Wave Backgrounds (SGWBs)

## SGWBs detection and characterization

#### <u>SGWBs</u> are:

- Stochastic signals from the whole sky
- Either cosmological or astrophysical origin
- Invaluable source of information (HEP!)
- A target for all future detectors



#### Detection prospects?

- At least two SGWB components (SOBBHs and CGBs) are guaranteed signals for LISA!
- LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA + future Earth-based interferometers (LIGO-India, ET, CE, ...)
- Millisecond pulsars timing to detect GWs (hints for SGWB detection..)

#### Few characteristics

to classify SGWBs:

- Isotropy / Anisotropy
- Stationary / Non-stationary
- Polarized / Unpolarized
- Statistical properties
- Frequency shape

\* Figure from: https://sci.esa.int/web/planck/-/60500-plancks-view-of-the-cosmic-microwave-background

GW sources ○○○○○● SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook

Stochastic Gravitational Wave Backgrounds (SGWBs)

#### Sources for SGWBs of cosmological origin



The detection of any of these signals could unveil signatures from HEP:

- Inflaton's coupling to other particles?
- Occurrence of first order phase transitions in the ealy Univer?
- Generation (and interactions within networks of) cosmic strings?

\* Figure from LISA Cosmology Working Group WP, P. Auclair et al., Living Rev.Rel. 26 (2023) 1, 5, ArXiv: 2204.05434





GWs from slow-roll inflation are too feeble to be detected!



Conclusions and outlook

10/16

#### Inflation



GWs from slow-roll inflation are too feeble to be detected!

Things change dramatically in non-minimal scenarios:

(see, e.g., N. Bartolo et al., JCAP 12 (2016) 026, ArXiv: 1610.06481)

- Axion inflation:  $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\alpha}{4\Lambda} \phi F \tilde{F}$
- Spectator fields:  $\mathcal{L} \supset P(\dot{\sigma}, \sigma)$
- Symmetry breaking:  $m_h \neq 0$
- . . .

\* Figures from Baumann, ArXiv: 0907.5424

Introduction 000	GW sources	SGWB detection to constrain HEP $\circ \bullet \circ$	Conclusions and outlook
Cosmic Strin	ngs		





Gouttenoire, Servant and Simakachorn JCAP 07 (2020) 032, ArXiv: 1912.02569, Auclair et al. JCAP 04 (2020) 034, ArXiv: 1909.00819, Cui, et al. Phys.Rev.D 97 (2018) 12, 123505, ArXiv:1711.03104. 11/16

 $10^{6}$ 

Figures from Ringeval, Adv.Astron. 2010 (2010) 380507, ArXiv: 1005.4842, Shellard and Vilenkin 1994.

 $10^{-6}$ 

 $10^{-4}$ 

f[Hz]

 $10^{-}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

103

 $10^{-15}$ 

10-9

10-6

10-3

frequency (Hz)

1

Introduction 000	GW sources	SGWB detection to constrain HEP ○○●	Conclusions and outlook

#### First order phase transitions



Bubble collisions, sound waves in plasma, and MHD turbulence contribute to SGWB!

ntroduction 000	GW sources	SGWB detection to constrain HEP	Conclusions and outloo

#### First order phase transitions



Bubble collisions, sound waves in plasma, and MHD turbulence contribute to SGWB! In SM both EW and QCD PTs should be second order  $\implies$  Detection implies BSM!



### Conclusions and outlook

#### Some general conclusions:

- GWs have a great potential to probe High Energy Physics (HEP)
- $\bullet$  Individual sources  $\rightarrow$  direct way to test modifications of gravity
- SGWBs of cosmological origin  $\rightarrow$  new window on BSM!

Conclusions and outlook  $\bullet$  000

## Conclusions and outlook

#### Some general conclusions:

- GWs have a great potential to probe High Energy Physics (HEP)
- $\bullet~$  Individual sources  $\rightarrow~$  direct way to test modifications of gravity
- SGWBs of cosmological origin  $\rightarrow$  new window on BSM!

#### New ideas and tools will be necessary:

- Cross-correlations with other probes (CMB, LSS, ...?)
- Identification of "smoking-gun" observables for the different mechanisms (chirality, anisotropy, time modulations, statistical properties, ...)
- Data analysis techniques to fully exploit the data

## Conclusions and outlook

#### Some general conclusions:

- GWs have a great potential to probe High Energy Physics (HEP)
- $\bullet~$  Individual sources  $\rightarrow~$  direct way to test modifications of gravity
- SGWBs of cosmological origin  $\rightarrow$  new window on BSM!

#### New ideas and tools will be necessary:

- Cross-correlations with other probes (CMB, LSS, ...?)
- Identification of "smoking-gun" observables for the different mechanisms (chirality, anisotropy, time modulations, statistical properties, ...)
- Data analysis techniques to fully exploit the data

#### More detectors to cover all frequencies:

- More Earth-based detectors (also new generation) will join the network
- First space-based detectors: LISA + (maybe ?) Taiji/TianQin
- Others?

GW sources

 $\underset{000}{\mathsf{SGWB}} \text{ detection to constrain HEP}$ 

Conclusions and outlook ○●○○

## High frequency GWs?

What about high frequency GWs??



GW sources

SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook 0 = 00

#### High frequency GWs?



\* Figures from https://cerncourier.com/a/exploring-the-early-universe-with-gravitational-waves/ N. Aggarwal et al. Living Rev.Rel. 24 (2021) 1, 4, ArXiv: 2011.12414

GW sources

SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook 0000

#### Other probes?

Some astro/cosmo DM probes:

- Detection of X-rays and γ-rays
- High-energy neutrinos searches
- Charged cosmic rays
- Axion Indirect Detection

- CMB (anistropies/distorsions/...)
- 21-cm line at high redshift
- Lyman- $\alpha$  forest
- Gravitational lensing



Figures from Snowmass2021 Theory Frontier White Paper, ArXiv:2203.06380

GW sources

SGWB detection to constrain HEP

Conclusions and outlook 0000



## The end

## Thank you for your attention