Neural-network measurement calibration

Louis-Guillaume Gagnon (UC Berkeley)

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{ACTS developers workshop 2023} \\ 2023/10/11 \end{array}$ 



- Running example today: Silicon Pixel Detector
- Ionisation due to incident charged particle

   measured voltage in individual pixels
- Pixel chip records the time-over-threshold
- With calibration, convert to deposited charge
- Typically measured directly with known charge injection
- Outside of scope of ACTS handled by experiments
  - <u>not</u> the calibration that's covered today!



- From Si pixel detector: get location and charge of above-threshold pixels
- Beginning of ACTS Core scope: Clusterization
- Connected component analysis  $\rightarrow$  charge clusters
- ► In ACTS: Hoschen-Kopelman algorithm
  - "Implicit" raster scan over pixels
  - Use disjoint set forest to keep track of cluster assignment
- Initial position estimation from measurement: Currently outside of scope of ACTS Core!
  - In General, need knowledge of readout geometry
- In ACTS examples, simple strategy:
  - Position estimate: Charge-weighted average of pixel center positions
  - Uncertainty estimate: Pixel width  $/\sqrt{12}$



- ACTS Track state model:  $(d_0, z_0, \theta, \phi, q/p, t)$ 
  - with associated covariance
- Estimated with <u>measurements</u> from detector
- E.g. for pixel detector: m = (x, y)
  - with associated covariance, usually diagonal
- Track state incorporates measurements via Kalman Filter formalism
  - Start from track seed parameters
  - Predict parameters at next surface
  - Search for matching measurements
  - Kalman update stage: Update track state using matching measurement
  - Repeat until no more surfaces
- ▶ Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 262 (1987) 444-450



► At a glance:



#### [2105.01796]

- Left: Have a track state at layer k-1 and a measurement on layer k
- $\blacktriangleright$  Center: Using known track state and its covariance, Predict track state at layer k
- Right: Obtain track state at layer k by updating the prediction with the measurement

- From pixel detector, obtained measurements m = (x, y)
  - (x, y) = charge-weighted cluster center
  - $(\sigma_x, \sigma_y) = \text{pix. width } / \sqrt{12}$
- Possible to improve:
  - Take direction into account
  - Do fancier shape analysis
  - ► ...
- Measurement calibration paradigm: Apply corrections to estimated measurements during Kalman update stage
  - Simple scale-and-offset schemes
  - ATLAS: "Analogue clustering", NN-based clustering
  - ... many other possibilities



- Primarily rely on shape analysis to constrain position
- Edge case: 1-pixel clusters, "no" shape information
- However: Angles of incidence give some constraint!
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $\approx$  90° crossing: Anywhere on surface
  - ▶  $\rightarrow 0^{\circ}$  crossing: Near center (else,  $\geq 2$  pixel)
- N.B. position defined at middle of Si bulk, by convention



- Single-pixel clusters offer simple example of interplay between cluster shape, crossing angles, and position
- Clear relationship between  $\sigma(pos)$  and angle
- $\theta \approx \pi/2$  (head-on):  $\sigma$  largest
- $\theta \ll \pi/2$ :  $\sigma$  smallest
- Intuition: If θ ≪ π/2 AND position not near center: high likelihood of having ≥ 1 pixel cluster!
- If can estimate crossing angle, can assign "correct" irreducible uncertainty to measurement



## Measurement Calibration with Neural Networks

- $MDN \equiv Mixture Density Network$
- ▶ i.e. any neural network trained to output parameters of a gaussian mixture
- Model output: parameters  $\pi_i, \mu_i, \sigma_i$  such that:

$$P(Y|X) \sim \sum_{i} \pi_i(X) \mathcal{N}(Y|\mu_i(X), \sigma_i(X))$$

- ► X is set of variables describing a measurement (e.g. charge, volume/layer, angles of incidence)
- Y is true crossing position in Si bulk (ground truth)
- $\pi_i(X)$ : Prior probability for *i*-th component (if using  $\geq 2$  components)
- $\mu_i(X)$ : Calibrated position estimate (Supervised learning)
- $\sigma_i(X)$ : Uncertainty estimate (Unsupervised learning)
- ▶ If using single component, model is a simple normal distribution
- ▶ Trained using probabilistic programming paradigm: loss is directly  $-\log P(Y|X)$
- At runtime, use  $\mu_i \pm \sigma_i$  corresponding to highest  $\pi_i$  as position estimate
- ▶ This method naturally generalizes to clusters with  $\geq$  2 particles
- Method used by ATLAS collaboration for pixel measurement calibration
  - See e.g. <u>ATL-PHYS-PROC-2019-082</u>

- Example Architecture to work with <u>NeuralCalibrator</u> in ACTS Examples
- ▶ Input  $\rightarrow$  NN  $\rightarrow$  Mean, Variance: Can be any neural network
- For proof-of-concept: simple tensorflow.keras dense network
- Loss: IndependantNormal layer from tensorflow\_probability
- ▶ "public" example coming soon™
  - Not one-size-fits-all detector-agnostic network
  - Rather, reference implementation + "how-to-train" documentation



## Measurement Calibration with Neural Networks: in action

- Clear relationship between  $\sigma(pos)$  and angle
  - Stronger constraint at large angles
  - Weaker constraint for head-on particles
- ► "Head-on" variance > pixel width / √(12): Charge drift from neighboring pixels (?)
- σ<sub>x/y</sub> are model-estimated uncertainties, <u>not</u> residuals



# Calibration interface in ACTS

- ▶ The ACTS tracking toolkit contains Kalman Filter-based track finding & fitting algorithms
- Calibrations can be applied on-the-fly during track finding / track fitting
- Interface implemented using template-based delegation:

/// The Calibrator is a dedicated calibration algorithm that allows
/// to calibrate measurements using track information, this could be
/// e.g. sagging for wires, module deformations, etc.
Calibrator calibrator;

```
...
};
```

- Calibrator class acts directly on track state proxy, which holds the current measurement
- ▶ Dynamic geometry effects and intra-run calibration changes encapsulated via contextualization

# Calibration interface in ACTS Examples framework

- See ActsExamples/EventData/MeasurementCalibration.hpp
- ▶ The ACTS Core calibration interface does not directly take measurements
- Previously: Calibrators would be instantiated for each event with vector of measurement in constructor
- ▶ Fine for calibrators with "trivial" initialization, not fine for more complex cases
- ► Now have access to a different approach:
  - MeasurementCalibrator base class that accepts vector of measurements in its calibrate method
  - MeasurementCalibratorAdapter wrapper that binds a vector of measurements to a calibrator
- Calibrator can be instantiated once, outside of event loop
- Adapter instantiated for each event, with trivial initialization
- Adapter has a calibrate method that conforms to the ACTS Core interface
- Uses of this interface in the Examples:
  - ScalingCalibrator
  - NeuralCalibrator (Uses ONNX plugin)

- Measurement Calibration: Correcting the measurement positions & errors on-the-fly during track finding & track fitting
- ▶ The ACTS Kalman Filter includes efficient template-based interface to measurement calibration
- Different examples are provided: Simple ScalingCalibrator, Fancy MDN-based <u>NeuralCalibrator</u>

#### Future plans:

- Provide documentation and tutorials for the interface and the examples
- Explore more calibration methods (e.g. ATLAS "Analogue Clustering")
- ► Implement ATLAS-inspired dense environment calibration (Cluster splitting, positions for ≥ 2 particles, ...)