

## Cryogenic architecture and heat loads for the High-Luminosity upgrade of the Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC) at CERN

V. Gahier on behalf of HL-LHC Cryogenics team ICEC29/ICMC24

Geneva, 23/07/2024

# **Outline**

1. Global scope overview and HL-LHC cryogenic architecture

- 2. Methodology for heat load definition
- 3. Heat Load Summary
  - Machine components
  - Cryogenic distribution
- 4. Required helium refrigerators cooling capacity
- 5. Conclusions and way forward



### **Global scope overview**

- HL-LHC goal : producing in that period 10 times more data as compared to the nominal LHC operation period.
- Requires an upgrade of the final focusing both for machine lifetime components and performance by increasing the peak luminosity by a minimum of five times wrt LHC nominal.
- New superconducting elements having increased cryogenic demand requires the installation of new Refrigerator and Infrastructure in IP1 and IP5.

Machine components





### **HL-LHC P1/P5 Cryogenic architecture**



### Heat load classification and mechanisms

#### Static

Depends on cryostat design and insulation vacuumConstant at nominal conditions

#### Resistive

Resistive heating occurs in splices of the superconducting cables and in current leads.

#### **Beam Induced**

Image current depend on beam characteristics
 Electron cloud considering Carbon coating

#### **Collision Induced**

Secondary particle losses

Mainly depend on luminosity and beam energy

#### **Magnetic Induced**

Dissipation of Eddy currents due to change of magnetic field

➢Occurs at ramp up and ramps down





#### Methodology at Machine component level

- Design cooling capacity Q<sub>design</sub> is calculated for each temperature level and machine component considering an uncertainty factor F<sub>un</sub> applied only on the static heat loads and an overcapacity factor Fov at 1.5 applied only on the Nominal conditions (7 TeV and 5L0).
- Estimation of the static heat load consider the characteristic of each individual components and design maturity.
- The installed local capacity is the maximum heat load which can be extracted from any cooling circuit limited by the systems design. It should be at least as high as the design capacity.





## **Machine Component Heat Load Summary**

Temperature level	Component	Static x $F_{un}$	Nominal	Ultimate	Design
1.9 K /2.0 K [W]	Inner Triplet	224	892	1358	1358
	D2	30	56	74	84
	CC module	23	45	45	68
4.5 K to 20 K [W]	D2	34	86	87	129
	CC module	11	16	16	24
60 K to 80 K [W]	Inner Triplet	697	1677	2134	2515
	D2	186	186	186	279
	CC module	255	264	264	396
4.5 K to 300 K [g/s]	IT Cold Powering	3.0	4.8	5.0	7.0
	MS Cold Powering	3.1	3.0	3.0	4.5

- Machine component led by the heat load at 1.9 K on the Inner Triplet.
- More specifically, heat load on the IT is led by the Collision heat Induced heat load.







#### Illustration of heat loads for one IT @ 1.9 K



Dynamic heat loads account for ~ 75%

Installed local cooling capacity is determined by the gas velocity in the magnet heat exchangers in the Inner triplet depending on cold mass temperature.



### **Design heat loads on the Cryogenic distribution system**



- The cryogenic distribution system includes :
  - Five process pipes in a single vacuum envelope, thermally shielded by an actively cooled thermal shield.
  - Service modules including valves and sub-cooling heat exchangers to connect the insertion elements.
  - Return modules, located close to the interaction point (IP), including valves, heaters, and a phase separator.
  - Junction modules, located at the junction to the LHC distribution (QRL), including valves, heaters and a phase separator.

QXL	P nominal	T nominal	Specified Heat load	Design HL for Refrigerator Design
Line B	~15 mbar	~4 K	0.2 W /m	374 W
Line <mark>C</mark>	~4 bar	~5 K	0.1 W /m	187 W
Line D	~1.3 bar	~20 K	0.3 W /m	560 W
Line E <sub>H</sub>	~21 bar	~60 K	0.05 W/m	95 W
Line F <sub>H</sub>	~20 bar	~80K	3 W/m	5600 W



## Towards Refrigerator design : consideration on 1.9/2.0 K level

- Heat load to 1.9K / 2.0 K level at the component level represents more than 70% of the charge coming mostly from dynamic load.
- **Dynamic heat loads** are well controlled based on a **return of experience** from LHC machine and **model benchmark**.



- To avoid non-necessary overdesign for the refrigerator and allow for more energy efficient turn-down operation, the design capacity was chosen at 2720 W @1.9/2.0 K (F<sub>ov</sub> at 1.3) for the refrigerator allowing :
  - To cover the heat loads at ultimate luminosity of 7.5 L0 at 7 TeV.
    - To cover the heat loads at maximum beam energy of 7.5 TeV and a luminosity of 6.5xL0.
    - To guarantee an overall overcapacity factor of 1.3 for the nominal luminosity at 7 TeV.
- At the machine component level, all cryogenic elements were designed for at least 3116 W.
- At maximum, the cold compressor are designed to 3210 W to absorb excess heat deposited on the cold mass when collision occur.



## **Refrigerator cooling capacity**

- Summarizing all the previous data, each P1 and P5 Refrigerators shall be designed for 14 kW at 4.5 K eq.
- Three operating cases were considered for the Refrigerator design :
  - The **Maximum Capacity mode**, corresponding to the moment when collision occurs. This mode last one hour during each fill with a supplementary charge being compensated by buffered liquid helium in the Refrigerator:
  - The **Design Capacity mode**, covering a steady state operating mode when the design heat load is extracted at the HL-LHC machine component level.
  - The **Turndown mode**, characterized by minimum helium cooling before beam injection and after beam dump.

Operating mode	С→В	Isothermal 4.5K	C→D	C→300 K	E→F
Maximum Capacity	3760 W	-	1320 W	23 g/s	13000 W
Design Capacity	3250 W	700 W	1320 W	23 g/s	13000 W
Turndown	1100 W	-	700W	10 g/s	6000 W





### Conclusion

- The HL-LHC heat loads were assessed taking into account beam parameters, luminosity, and beam energy as well as the maturity of design of the machine components considering overcapacity contingencies.
- The installed cryogenic capacity was found adequate for each machine component, with sufficient local margins.
- The Refrigerator capacity was defined for an equivalent capacity of 14 kW@4.5
  K, including 3.25 kW@1.9 K, based on the machine components and cryogenic distribution heat loads with a limited overcapacity.
- The contracts of the two new cryogenic plants and associated cryogenic distribution lines required for the HL-LHC upgrade are currently under manufacturing phase → The next phases to come will be the installation, commissioning and tests, and handover to operation.
- In the same while, the machine components are currently tested at CERN with thermal measurements as per expectation.



Installation of gaseous storage tank



PFHE test at Linde premises



Vacuum vessel in stock at KrioSystem





#### Thanks for your time and questions

V.Gahier | ICEC29/ICMC2024

### **Static heat loads on Inner Triplet**

Q<sub>static</sub> heat load

#### Approach for each Temperature level



		Q1	Q2a	Q2b	Q3	СР	D1	DCM	Grand	total
Cold Mass - 1.9 K Static	Uncertainty factor	Q <sub>static</sub>	F <sub>un</sub> * Q <sub>static</sub>							
Support posts	1.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	-	16.00	20.00
Vacuum barrier	1.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.00	5.00	7.50
Radiation Thermal Shield to	1.25	4.23	4.02	4.02	4.16	2.47	3.03		21.93	27.41
Radiation beam screen to cold	1.25	3.04	2.94	2.94	3.04	1.22	2.21		15.39	19.24
CWT / Interconnect	1.50	4.89	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.35		25.80	38.70
IFS type 1	2.00	1.76	0.88	0.88	1.76	0.88	-		6.16	12.32
IFS type 2	2.00	-	0.16	0.16	-	0.16	0.16		0.64	1.28
K-MOD	1.25	2.60	-	-	2.60	-	-		5.20	6.50
CLIQ	1.25	3.32	1.66	1.66	3.32	-	-		9.96	12.45
Phase Separator	1.50	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28		7.68	11.52
Alignment ports	1.50	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.39	-	-		5.56	8.34
Lambda Plate	1.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.60	11.60	17.40
XB Pumping line	1.25	1.57	1.57	1.57	1.57	1.57	1.57	-	9.45	11.81
Local powering current leads	1.50	-	-	-	-	20.00	-		20.00	30.00
Total	-	27.08	21.04	21.04	26.26	33.72	14.60	16.60	160.37	224.47

		Q1	Q2a	Q2b	Q3	СР	D1	DCM	Grand total	
Thermal Shield 60-80 K	Uncertainty factor	Q <sub>static</sub>	F <sub>un</sub> * Q <sub>static</sub>							
Support posts	1.25	39.60	39.60	39.60	39.60	26.40	26.40	-	211.20	264.00
Vacuum barrier	1.50							30.00	30.00	45.00
Radiation to Thermal Shield	1.25	34.74	31.37	31.37	32.51	19.29	23.60	10.00	182.88	228.60
Current leads (conduction)	1.50	-	-	-	-	106.00	-	-	106.00	159.00
Total	-	74.34	70.97	70.97	72.11	151.69	50.00	40.00	530.08	696.60

Uncertainty factor (F<sub>un</sub>) was tailored made for each users according to the uncertainty /room to growth left for each component taking into account recommendation from heat load review panel.

> This table does **not** include the overcapacity factor (F<sub>ov</sub>) that is still to be included in design heat load



14

### **Dynamic heat loads on Inner Triplet**



		Q1	Q2a	Q2b	Q3	СР	D1	DCM	Grand	l total
Cold Mass 1.9 K Dynamic	Overcapacity factor	Q <sub>dynamic</sub>	F <sub>ov</sub> * Q <sub>dynamic</sub>							
Resistive	1.50	7.11	3.72	3.72	6.85	6.06	0.44	0.95	28.84	43.26
Beam Induced	1.50	0.38	0.29	0.37	0.40	0.13	0.19	-	1.75	2.63
Collision Induced	1.50	116.89	104.22	126.22	136.89	68.22	84.22	-	636.67	955.00
Total	-	124.38	108.23	130.31	144.14	74.41	84.85	0.95	667.26	1000.89

		Q1	Q2a	Q2b	Q3	СР	D1	DCM	Grand	l total
Beam Screen 60-80 K	Overcapacity factor	Q <sub>dynamic</sub>	F <sub>ov</sub> * Q <sub>dynamic</sub>							
Beam Induced	1.50	75.70	58.12	73.82	80.12	25.22	37.22	-	350.20	525.30
Collision Induced	1.50	193.00	85.67	105.00	97.67	73.67	75.00	-	630.00	945.00
Total	-	268.70	143.79	178.82	177.79	98.89	112.22	0.00	980.20	1470.30



### **Heat Loads on D2**

The following graphs summarise the heat loads on the cryogenic circuits of the D2, including the uncertainty and overcapacity margins which have been applied:







#### Heat loads on Cold powering systems



L-LHC PROJEC



- Cold Powering for IT is designed for current lead requirement,
- Cold Powering for MS is designed for SC link requirement,
- Refrigerator to be designed for 23.0 g/s.

### **Heat load on Crab Cavities**

23.8 W

4.60

2.30

5.63

2.25

Nominal (3.4 MV)

4.5 - 20 K Design Heat Load

23.8 W

4.60

2.30

5.63

2.25

Nominal + margin

(4.1 MV)



\* Static overcapacity not applied to the 4.1 MV case as already included on RF side for nominal 3.4 MV case \*\* No overcapacity applied to ultimate case

DQW prototype (length = 3 m)



RFD prototype (length = 3.36 m)



#### Considerations:

15.9 W

4.60

2.25

Exceptionnal

(5MV)

- 3.4 MV nominal operation
- 4.1 MV design operation
- 5 MV exceptional operation

#### 80 K Design Heat Load

