Performance of an advanced liquid air energy storage system based on cold energy utilization at LNG receiving station

J. X. Li^{1,2}, X. Y. Fan^{1, 2}, Y. H. Li^{1, 2}, Z. K. Wang^{1, 2}, Z. Z. Gao^{1, 2}, W. Ji³, L. B. Chen^{1, 2, 4, *, J. J. Wang^{1, 2, 3, *}}

1. Key Laboratory of Cryogenic Science and Technology, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, CAS, Beijing 100190, China

- *2. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*
- *3. Zhonglv Zhongke Energy Storage Technology Co., Ltd., 18 Lishi Hutong, Dongcheng District, Beijing, P. R. China*
- *4. Institute of Optical Physics and Engineering Technology, Qilu Zhongke, Licheng District, Jinan, P. R. China*

The integration of liquid air energy storage (LAES) with liquefied natural gas (LNG) cold energy utilization of LNG cold energy but also enhances the energy efficiency of the LAES system. However, the desynchronization of the regasification process at the LNG receiving station and the cold energy utilization process results in an imbalance between the supply and demand of LNG cold energy, thereby limiting the scale and efficiency of cold energy utilization. In this study, we propose a system to stabilize the utilization of LNG cold energy. The system employs the intermediate storage unit to preserve the cold energy LNG, which is subsequently channeled to the LAES. We conduct detailed calculations and discussions on the system using a developed composite thermodynamic model.

Abstract

- \triangleright The cold fluid outlet temperature in IC-4 diminishes, leading to a reduction in cold energy utilization.
- \triangleright The mass flow rate of air in the LAES system decreases.
- \triangleright The air outlet temperature (A6) in IC-4 decreases and then stabilizes.

- \triangleright When the expansion pressure rises from 38 bar to 48 bar, the system's efficiency initially increases, reaching a peak of 76.15% at 46 bar, before subsequently decreasing.
- ➢ The system's input power steadily declines, while its output power first increases, peaking at 1291.14 kW at 46 bar, before decreasing.

 \triangleright The increase in expansion pressure results in a higher liquefaction temperature (T_{A10}) and a reduction in the amount of liquid air produced. .

 \triangleright The low liquefaction rate reduces the total amount of working fluid, leading to a decrease in the output electrical energy.

 \triangleright Concurrently, an accumulator is utilized to cold energy, mitigate the fluctuation of the cold energy supply at the LNG receiving station, and stabilize the cold energy output to the downstream LAES system.

 \triangleright During the storage phase, the air undergoes pre-cooling and stage-wise compression (A3*Fig 2. Influence of pre-cooling temperature on the LNG-LAES performance*

- \triangleright The high-pressure liquid air is vaporized by an evaporator to recover the cold energy.
- ➢ The vaporized high-pressure air is heated by 80℃ hot water between stages and then enters the expander to perform work and output peak

Power output

Power input
 $-\leftarrow$ Round trip effici

Expansion pressure (bar)

- ➢ Both the input and output electrical energy of the system gradually decrease.
- \triangleright The round-trip efficiency of the system initially increases and subsequently decreases.
- ➢ At the pre-cooling temperature of -105°C, the round-trip efficiency attains its maximum value of 75.40%.

 \blacksquare The liquid yield, Y, is defined as the ratio of liquid air flow to the liquid air storage tank:

- LNG mass flow: 1 kg/s
- LNG inlet pressure: 1.3 bar
- Charging time: 8 h
- Discharging time: 8 h
- Natural gas outlet pressure: 70.0 bar
- Natural gas outlet temperature: 15 °C
- The adiabatic efficiency of compressor: 85%
- The adiabatic efficiency of pump: 75%
- The adiabatic efficiency of expander: 85%

■ The round-trip efficiency of this system is defined as follows: $\eta_{RT} =$ $8\times (\sum_{i=1}^{4} W_{Tur,i}$ – $W_{Cryo-Pump}$ – $W_{LNG-Pump}$ $8\times \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 W_{Comp,j} - W_{Cryo-Tur} \right)$ +16× $W_{ING-Pump}$ ■ Assumptions (1) The air is assumed to be pure and uncontaminated. (2) The state parameters of all the fluids are based on the Peng-Robinson.

Fig 3. Influence of pre-cooling temperature on air flow and cold energy utilization.

Fig 4. Influence of expansion pressure on the LNG-LAES performance.

- \Box This study proposes a coupled system that integrates LNG cold energy using a two-stage cold storage device.
- \Box This arrangement allows the LAES to indirectly utilize the cold energy and achieve operation cycle matching.
- \Box It is observed that the system's round-trip efficiency initially increases and then decreases with the rise in air pre-cooling temperature and expansion pressure.
- \Box At the air pre-cooling temperature of -105 °C and expansion pressure of 46 bar, the system achieves a maximum round-trip efficiency of 76.15% and an output of 1,291.14 kW.

Fig 5. Liquid yield and liquefaction temperature of the system at different expansion pressures.

➢ A methanol solution is employed to store the low-grade cold energy of LNG.

 \triangleright The propane is used for high-grade cold energy

- ➢ The high-pressure air is cooled and liquefied
- ➢ The liquid high-pressure air is expanded to atmospheric pressure by a cryogenic turbine before being stored in the liquid air storage

LAES energy release unit

 \triangleright The liquid air is pressurized by a cryogenic

$$
Y = \frac{m_L}{m_c}
$$

■ Basic parameters

Effect of air expansion pressure

Effect of air pre-cooling temperature

Conclusion

LNG cold energy utilization unit

LAES energy storage unit

Process Calculation