# **2. Background(2): SRF cavity**

- To operate the SRF cavity by cryocoolers, RF heating (loss) at the cavity wall must be small enough because cryocooler's cooling power is low.
- ➢ RF heating ∝ Surface resistance
- $\triangleright$  Surface resistance = BCS resistance + Residual resistance

• Conventional SRF cavity was made by Niobium (Tc=9.2K).  $\rightarrow$  2 K is required for 1.3 GHz cavities. 2 K is too low temperature for cryocoolers.



• The  $Nb<sub>3</sub>$ Sn layer is formed by diffusing Tin vaper inside Niobium cavity.

## **Abstract**

\*Email: ytomohi@post.kek.jp



# **Cryocooler-based conduction cooling technology development** for 1.3 GHz Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn superconducting radio frequency cavity



- Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coating and cavity conduction cooling researches are conducted in KEK.
- We used the GM-JT and the GM cryocoolers for conduction cooing and achieved Eacc of 6 MV/m or more than 6 MV/m with conduction cooling condition.
- Improvements on cooling jigs are necessary not to damage Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn fragile film.
- Horizontal cavity performance tests will be performed as a next step.

The superconducting radio frequency (SRF) cavity is, along with the superconducting magnet, indispensable technology for modern particle accelerators. This research focused on **conduction cooling** of the SRF cavity by **cryocoolers** while current SRF cavities were cooled by immersing in liquid helium. In stead of liquid helium bath, copper rings surrounded the outer surface of cavity equator region for thermal conduction cooling. As for cryocoolers, we have used two types of cryocoolers: **GM-JT** (9 W@4.2 K) and **GM** (1.8 W@4.2 K, 50 Hz) (both Sumitomo Heavy Industries). We were able to see each advantage in the GM-JT and the GM in terms of cooling capacity, temperature stability, and cooling time. To evaluate conduction cooling systems, the cavity performance was compared between immersion cooling with liquid helium and conduction cooling with a cryocooler. Although the cavity performance was degraded due to the cooling capacities of cryocoolers, accelerating gradients of 6 MV/m or more than 6 MV/m were recorded. The current target is 10 MV/m.

The SRF cavity is quite sensitive to magnetic field, therefore it is covered by a magnetic shield. In the early conduction cooling test, cavity performance deteriorated due to geomagnetic penetration through opening at the top of the magnetic shield. **A cancelling coil was implemented and magnetic field was reduced from about 20mG to a few mG.**

## **6. Summary**

different Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coatings, results cannot be simply compared. Facts are 1) KEK's Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coating technology is rapidly improving, and 2) Eacc reached about **6 MV/m with one GM-JT (9 W@4.2 K)** and now **one GM (1.8 W@4.2 K) can achieve more than 6 MV/m** thanks to 1).

 **Sudden Q drop** occurred at 3.5 MV/m. This was due to breaking of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn film due to strong clamping force. Improvements are required.

**\*Tomohiro Yamada,** Hayato Ito, Kensei Umemori, Hiroshi Sakai (High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, KEK) **Thu-Po-3.5 No.395**

# **1. Background(1): Difficulties with liquid helium**

### **Liquid helium immersion cooling**

The cavity is covered by a liquid helium jacket. Ideal method.



#### **Complex cryomodule design**

Many kinds of transfer lines. High cost.



### **Large facility (footprint)**

"Building size" facility, gas cardles and gas tanks.



 $\triangleright$  BCS resistance = A  $\omega^2$  $\overline{T}$  $\exp$  |  $\boldsymbol{B}$  $k_B T$  $A, B$ : Constant depending on material  $\omega$ : Cavity frequency

> **4. Cooling results** • **Cooling time and temperature**

#### • **Temperature stability (for GM-JT)**

Since the GM-JT uses latent heat of liquid helium, temperatures were quite stable.



## **3. Cooling system**

# **5. Conduction cooled RF measurements**

#### • **Slow cooling**

Once cavities reached the lowest temperatures, they were **warmed above 20 K and re-cooled at a rate of 3 K/h** between 20 K to 7 K to minimize temperature gradient at superconducting transition.

#### • **Magnetic field cancellation**

#### • **Q-E curve**

First of all, since two graphs on the right used two different cavities with

Coating furnace

• Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavity can be operated at 4.2K. (Tc=18.3K)













**E** High pressure gas safety The cavity must pass pressure proof test. **E** Helium price increase

## **Future application:**

Liquid helium free superconducting high-power electron beam irradiator



H Sakai et al 2024 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **2687** 092013

This work was supported by 【MEXT Development of key element technologies to improve the performance of future accelerators Program】 Japan Grant Number **JPMXP1423812204**.

**ICEC29-ICMC2024 @CICG –Geneva, Switzerland** 

Eacc [MV/m]

10

Time [min], 10 Hz sampling



 $\Omega$ 

 $10<sup>9</sup>$